Iowa Environmental Quality Incentives Program (EQIP)

List of Eligible Practices and Payment Schedule FY2016

November-2015

EQIP Scenario Booklet Glossary

Practice Code Table - The table of Practices is in alphabetical order. The practice code for each has a hot link to the individual Practice Code Scenario Descriptions.

Conservation Practice Description - Each Conservation Practice has the Practice Code, Livestock or non-Livestock practice and whether structural or vegetative, PRS Unit of Measure, Definition, Purpose, and Applicability for the conservation practice from the Iowa Field Office Technical Guide.

Limitations - This area will list any limitations a conservation practice may have related to EQIP, i.e., payment limitations, planning considerations, practice requirements, etc.

Maintenance - This is the Conservation Practice Lifespan.

Payment Schedule Headers:

ID - This is a numeric identifier for internal tracking purposes.

Scenario Name - Unique name for each scenario.

Scenario and After Practice Description - For each Conservation Practice Scenario Name this column provides the Scenario Description, After Practice Description and may include associated practices.

Scenario Feature Measure - This provides additional description of the scenario unit, if different than the scenario unit.

Scenario Unit - Unit of measure for the scenario.

PAYMENT RATE - The payment rate is the amount of financial assistance (\$/unit) available through EQIP.

EQIP – Payment rate is based on 50% of the estimated incurred costs and foregone income (if applicable) associated with practice implementation.

EQIP – HU – Payment rate is based on 75% of the estimated incurred costs and foregone income (if applicable) associated with practice implementation.

Initiative – Payment rate is based on 75% of the estimated incurred costs and foregone income (if applicable) associated with practice implementation.

Initiative – HU - Payment rate is based on 90% of the estimated incurred costs and foregone income (if applicable) associated with practice implementation.

HU = Historically Underserved: Includes, Beginning Farmers/Ranchers, Limited Resource Farmers/Ranchers, Socially Disadvantaged Farmers/Ranchers, Tribal Farmers/Ranchers and Veteran Farmers/Ranchers. The payment rate is higher for HU producers on most practices. To determine if you are an HU producer go to:

http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/main/national/people/outreach/slbfr

Initiative – Applications that meet the requirements of a National initiative such as Mississippi River Basin Initiative (MRBI), Seasonal High Tunnel Initiative, Energy Initiative, Organic Initiative, National Water Quality Initiative (NWQI), and Driftless Area Landscape Conservation Initiative (DALCI) use the initiative payment rates. Management practices (Practices with a 1 year Lifespan) allow up to 3 years of Financial Assistance. The up to 3 years of Practice implementation is tied to the land not to the participant.

Table of Practices

Practice		Practice	
Code	Practice Name	Code	Practice Name
<u>472</u>	Access Control (4)	<u>592</u>	Feed Management (171)
<u>560</u>	Access Road (5)	<u>108</u>	Feed Management Plan (176)
<u>309</u>	Agrichemical Handling Facility (11)	<u>382</u>	Fence (179)
<u>128</u>	Agricultural Energy Management Plan, Headquarters - Written (16)	<u>386</u>	Field Border (184)
<u>371</u>	Air Filtration and Scrubbing (29)	<u>393</u>	Filter Strip (188)
<u>591</u>	Amendments for the Treatment of Agricultural Waste (31)	<u>394</u>	Firebreak (193)
<u>366</u>	Anaerobic Digester (35)	<u>142</u>	Fish and Wildlife Habitat Plan – Written (196)
<u>316</u>	Animal Mortality Facility (43)	<u>512</u>	Forage and Biomass Planting (198)
<u>396</u>	Aquatic Organism Passage (50)	<u>511</u>	Forage Harvest Management (203)
<u>314</u>	Brush Management (53)	<u>106</u>	Forest Management Plan – Written (205)
<u>672</u>	Building Envelope Improvement (57)	<u>666</u>	Forest Stand Improvement (209)
<u>326</u>	Clearing and Snagging (62)	<u>410</u>	Grade Stabilization Structure (212)
<u>317</u>	Composting Facility (64)	<u>412</u>	Grassed Waterway (227)
<u>102</u>	Comprehensive Nutrient Management Plan (CNMP) – Written (69)	<u>110</u>	Grazing Management Plan – Written (239)
<u>327</u>	Conservation Cover (78)	<u>355</u>	Groundwater Testing (554)
<u>328</u>	Conservation Crop Rotation (85)	<u>561</u>	Heavy Use Area Protection (242)
<u>138</u>	Conservation Plan Supporting Organic Transition (88)	<u>422</u>	Hedgerow Planting (247)
<u>656</u>	Constructed Wetland (90)	<u>315</u>	Herbaceous Weed Control (252)
<u>332</u>	Contour Buffer Strips (93)	<u>325</u>	High Tunnel System (256)
<u>330</u>	Contour Farming (97)	<u>595</u>	Integrated Pest Management (258)
<u>340</u>	Cover Crop (99)	<u>114</u>	Integrated Pest Management Plan - Written (268)
<u>342</u>	Critical Area Planting (104)	<u>464</u>	Irrigation Land Leveling (271)
<u>605</u>	Denitrifying Bioreactor (108)	<u>441</u>	Irrigation System, Microirrigation (273)
<u>356</u>	Dike (111)	<u>442</u>	Irrigation System, Sprinkler (278)
<u>362</u>	Diversion (113)	<u>430</u>	Irrigation Pipeline (287)
<u>554</u>	Drainage Water Management (116)	<u>436</u>	Irrigation Reservoir (293)
<u>130</u>	Drainage Water Management Plan – Written (121)	<u>449</u>	Irrigation Water Management (295)
<u>647</u>	Early Successional Habitat Development/Management (124)	<u>118</u>	Irrigation Water Management Plan – Written (301)
<u>201</u>	Edge of Field Water Quality Monitoring – Data Collection & Eval (129)	<u>460</u>	Land Clearing (303)
<u>202</u>	Edge of Field Water Quality Monitoring – System Installation (147)	<u>670</u>	Lighting System Improvement (305)
<u>368</u>	Emergency Animal Mortality Management (155)	<u>468</u>	Lined Waterway or Outlet (309)
<u>374</u>	Farmstead Energy Improvement (158)	<u>516</u>	Livestock Pipeline (311)
		<u>484</u>	Mulching (315)

Practice		Practice	
Code	Practice Name (Page #)	Code	Practice Name (Page #)
<u>590</u>	Nutrient Management (319)	<u>612</u>	Tree and Shrub Establishment (471)
<u>104</u>	Nutrient Management Plan – Written (327)	<u>490</u>	Tree/Shrub Site Preparation (476)
<u>500</u>	Obstruction Removal (331)	<u>620</u>	Underground Outlet (480)
<u>582</u>	Open Channel (334)	<u>645</u>	Upland Wildlife Habitat Management (486)
<u>146</u>	Pollinator Habitat Plan – Written (336)	<u>739</u>	Vegetated Subsurface Drain Outlet (490)
<u>378</u>	Pond (338)	<u>635</u>	Vegetated Treatment Area (492)
<u>521A</u>	Pond Sealing or Lining, Flexible Membrane (341)	<u>360</u>	Waste Facility Closure (496)
<u>521B</u>	Pond Sealing or Lining, Soil Dispersant (343)	<u>313</u>	Waste Storage Facility (503)
<u>521C</u>	Pond Sealing or Lining, Bentonite Sealant (345)	<u>634</u>	Waste Transfer (521)
<u>521D</u>	Pond Sealing or Lining, Compacted Clay Treatment (347)	<u>629</u>	Waste Treatment (531)
<u>338</u>	Prescribed Burning (349)	<u>359</u>	Waste Treatment Lagoon (533)
<u>112</u>	Prescribed Burning Management Plan (352)	<u>638</u>	Water and Sediment Control Basin (535)
<u>528</u>	Prescribed Grazing (359)	<u>642</u>	Water Well (539)
<u>533</u>	Pumping Plant (367)	<u>614</u>	Watering Facility (542)
<u>345</u>	Residue and Tillage Management, Reduced Till (378)	<u>351</u>	Well Decommissioning (551)
<u>329</u>	Residue and Tillage Management, No-Till (381)	<u>658</u>	Wetland Creation (556)
<u>643</u>	Restoration and Management of Rare and Declining Habitats (383)	<u>659</u>	Wetland Enhancement (558)
<u>391</u>	Riparian Forest Buffer (387)	<u>657</u>	Wetland Restoration (564)
<u>390</u>	Riparian Herbaceous Buffer (389)	<u>644</u>	Wetland Wildlife Habitat Management (570)
<u>367</u>	Roofs and Covers (392)	<u>380</u>	Windbreak/Shelterbelt Establishment (576)
<u>558</u>	Roof Runoff Structure (397)	<u>650</u>	Windbreak/Shelterbelt Renovation (580)
<u>350</u>	Sediment Basin (403)		
<u>646</u>	Shallow Water Development and Management (406)		
<u>632</u>	Waste Separation Facility (409)		
<u>574</u>	Spring Development (414)		
<u>570</u>	Stormwater Runoff Control (417)		
<u>578</u>	Stream Crossing (419)		
<u>580</u>	Streambank and Shoreline Protection (423)		
<u>395</u>	Stream Habitat Improvement and Management		
<u>585</u>	Stripcropping (439)		
<u>587</u>	Structure for Water Control (441)		
<u>649</u>	Structures for Wildlife (448)		
<u>606</u>	Subsurface Drain (452)		
<u>600</u>	Terrace (461)		
<u>575</u>	Trails and Walkways (48)		

ACCESS CONTROL Practice Code 472

Livestock Structural Practice

PRS Unit of Measurement: ACRE

Definition: Excluding animals, people, or vehicles from sensitive woodland, stream or pond areas.

Purpose: To protect, maintain, or improve the quantity and quality of the resource of concern.

Applicability: In areas where vegetative establishment and maintenance, soil condition, water and air quality, wildlife or aesthetic values are in need of protection. This practice is also applicable in areas where human and animal health and safety hazards are present.

Limitations:

Maintenance: Practice must be maintained for a lifespan of 10 years.

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
472-2	Animal exclusion from sensitive areas	Scenario Description: Excluding animals from an area in order to address identified resource concerns. This is for facilitating exclusion of animals to protect or enhance natural resource values. Any need for permanent fencing will be planned and installed using the Fence practice (382). Clearing of brush and trees is not necessary. Resource concerns include Wildlife Habitat degradation, Undesirable plant productivity and health, and/or Excessive sediment in surface waters. After Practice Description: Sensitive areas are protected from the adverse actions of domestic and/or wild animals by excluding them from the area. Cost represents forgone income for typical situations for conventional, organic, and transitioning to organic producers. Associated Practices: Prescribed Grazing, Pipeline, Water Well, Spring Development, Heavy Use Area, Pumping Plant, Watering Facility, Forage and Biomass Planting, Critical Area Planting, Fence.	Acres excluded	Acre	\$21.34	\$32.01	\$32.01	\$38.41

ACCESS ROAD Practice Code 560

Livestock Structural Practice

PRS Unit of Measurement: FEET

Definition: A travel-way for equipment and vehicles constructed as part of a conservation plan.

Purpose: To provide a fixed route for vehicular travel for resource activities involving the management of timber, livestock, agriculture, wildlife habitat, and other conservation enterprises while protecting the soil, water, air, fish, wildlife, and other adjacent natural resources.

Applicability: Where access is needed from a private or public road or highway to a land use enterprise or conservation measure, or where travel ways are needed in a planned land use area. Access roads range from seasonal use roads, designed for low speed and rough driving conditions, to all-weather roads heavily used by the public and designed with safety as a high priority. Some roads are only constructed for a single purpose; i.e. control of forest fires, logging and forest management activities, access to remote recreation areas, or access for maintenance of facilities.

Limitations:

Maintenance: Practice must be maintained for a lifespan of 10 years.

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & <u>After Practice Description</u>	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
560-1	New gravel road, 6in, wet level terrain	Scenario Description: Newly Constructed gravel road with min. 6 inch thick compacted gravel surface in relatively level ground in wet areas. A properly constructed, well defined access road will address resource concerns related with compaction, emissions of fugitive dust, and excessive sediment in surface water. It also improves the plant productivity, vigor and health and substantially reduces the chance of wild fire hazards. Short term air quality deterioration may result if proper dust control measures are not implemented during the practice installation. Costs include excavation, shaping, grading, surface material, vegetation of disturbed areas, and all equipment, labor and incidental materials necessary to install the practice. After Practice Description: The single lane road will be graveled to a width of 10 feet, plus 2 foot shoulders for a total width of 14 feet wide. Gravel will be a minimum of 6 inches, underlain with geotextile fabric. It is mostly in embankment less than 3 feet in height, (average 1.5 ft.) typical side slopes 2:1. A properly constructed, well defined access road will greatly reduce sheet, rill and wind erosion, eliminate compaction in land use areas where it is harmful, reduce emissions of particulate matter (PM) and PM precursors and also reduce excessive sediment in surface water by reducing uncontrolled sediment transport. Planned grades will include all dips and water bars. If clearing and grubbing of land in the alignment area is r e q u i r e d , use Land Clearing (460). Pipe culverts installed as part of access road should be covered by either Structures for Water Control (587) or Stream Crossings (578) depending on the type of structure. Earth fill embankment above the culvert structure would still be covered by this Practice. Diversions constructed as part of access road should be covered by Diversion (362). All seeding or revegetation of disturbed areas is provided. Dust control must be addressed under Dust Control on Unpaved Roads and Surfaces (373).	Length of Roadway	Foot	\$5.43	\$8.14	\$8.14	\$9.77

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
560-2	New gravel road, 6in, dry level terrain	Scenario Description: Newly Constructed gravel road with min. 6 inch thick compacted gravel surface in relatively level ground in dry areas. A properly constructed, well defined access road will address resource concerns related with compaction, emissions of fugitive dust, and excessive s e d i m e n t in surface water. It also improves the plant productivity, vigor and health and substantially reduces the chance of wild fire hazards. Short term air quality deterioration may result if proper dust control measures are not implemented during the practice installation. Costs include excavation, shaping, grading, surface material, vegetation of disturbed areas, and all equipment, labor and incidental materials necessary to install the practice. After Practice Description: The single lane road will be graveled to a width of 10 feet, plus 2 foot shoulders for a total width of 14 feet wide. Gravel will be a minimum of 6 inches. It is mostly in embankment less than 3 feet in height, (average 1.5 ft.) typical side slopes 2:1. A properly constructed, well defined access road will greatly reduce sheet, rill and wind erosion, eliminate compaction in land use areas where it is harmful, reduce emissions of particulate matter (PM) and PM precursors and also reduce excessive sediment in surface water by reducing uncontrolled sediment transport. Planned grades will include all dips and water bars. If clearing and grubbing of land in the alignment area is required, use Land Clearing (460). Pipe culverts installed as part of access road should be covered by either Structures for Water Control (587) or Stream Crossings (578) depending on the type of structure. Earth fill embankment above the culvert structure would still be covered by this Practice. Diversions constructed as part of access road should be covered by Diversion (362). All seeding or revegetation of disturbed areas is provided. Dust control must be addressed under Dust Control on Unpaved Roads and Surfaces (373).	Length of Roadway	Foot	\$3.97	\$5.95	\$5.95	\$7.14

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
560-3	New gravel road, 8in x 10ft, wet level terrain	Scenario Description: Newly Constructed 10 foot wide gravel road with min. 8 inch thick compacted gravel surface in relatively level ground in wet areas. A properly constructed, well defined access road will address resource concerns related with compaction, emissions of fugitive dust, and excessive sediment in surface water. It also improves the plant productivity, vigor and health and substantially reduces the chance of wild fire hazards. Short term air quality deterioration may result if proper dust control measures are not implemented during the practice installation. Costs include excavation, shaping, grading, surface material, vegetation of disturbed areas, and all equipment, labor and incidental materials on e c e s s a r y to install the practice. After Practice Description: The single lane road will be graveled to a width of 10 feet, plus 2 foot shoulders for a total width of 14 feet wide. Gravel will be a minimum of 8 inches, underlain with geotextile fabric. It is mostly in embankment less than 3 feet in height, (average 1.5 ft.) typical side slopes 2:1. A properly constructed, well defined access road will greatly reduce sheet, rill and wind erosion, eliminate compaction in land use areas where it is harmful, reduce emissions of particulate matter (PM) and PM precursors and also reduce excessive sediment in surface water by reducing uncontrolled sediment transport. Planned grades will include all dips and water bars. If clearing and grubbing of land in the alignment area is required, use Land Clearing (460). Pipe culverts installed as part of access road should be covered by either Structures for Water Control (587) or Stream Crossings (578) depending on the type of structure. Earth fill embankment above the culvert structure w o u 1 d still be covered by this Practice. Diversions constructed as part of access road should be covered by Diversion (362). All seeding or revegetation of disturbed areas is provided. Dust control must be addressed under Dust Control on Unpaved Roads and Surfaces (373).	Length of Roadway	Foot	\$6.14	\$9.21	\$9.21	\$11.05

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
560-4	New gravel road, 8in x 12ft, wet level terrain	Scenario Description: Newly Constructed 12 foot wide gravel road with min. 8 inch thick compacted gravel surface in relatively level ground in wet areas. A properly constructed, well defined access road will address resource concerns related with compaction, emissions of fugitive dust, and excessive sediment in surface water. It also improves the plant productivity, vigor and health and substantially reduces the chance of wild fire hazards. Short term air quality deterioration may result if proper dust control measures are not implemented during the practice installation. Costs include excavation, shaping, grading, surface material, vegetation of disturbed areas, and all equipment, labor and incidental materials n e c e s s a r y to install the practice. After Practice Description: The single lane road will be graveled to a width of 12 feet, plus 2 foot shoulders for a total width of 16 feet wide. Gravel will be a minimum of 8 inches, underlain with geotextile fabric. It is mostly in embankment less than 3 feet in height, (average 1.5 ft.) typical side slopes 2:1. A properly constructed, well defined access road will greatly reduce sheet, rill and wind erosion, eliminate compaction in land use areas where it is harmful, reduce emissions of particulate matter (PM) and PM precursors and also reduce excessive sediment in surface water by reducing uncontrolled sediment transport. Planned grades will include all dips and water bars. If clearing and grubbing of land in the alignment area is required, use Land Clearing (460). Pipe culverts installed as part of access road should be covered by either Structures for Water Control (587) or Stream Crossings (578) depending on the type of structure. Earth fill embankment above the culvert structure w o u I d still be covered by this Practice. Diversions constructed as part of access road should be covered by Diversion (362). All seeding or revegetation of disturbed areas is provided. Dust control must be addressed under Dust Control on Unpaved Roads and Surfaces (373).	Length of Roadway	Foot	\$7.14	\$10.71	\$10.71	\$12.86

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
560-5	New gravel road, 8in x 16ft, wet level terrain	Scenario Description: Newly Constructed 16 foot wide gravel road with min. 8 inch thick compacted gravel surface in relatively level ground in wet areas. A properly constructed, well defined access road will address resource concerns related with compaction, emissions of fugitive dust, and excessive sediment in surface water. It also improves the plant productivity, vigor and health and substantially reduces the chance of wild fire hazards. Short term air quality deterioration may result if proper dust control measures are not implemented during the practice installation. Costs include excavation, shaping, grading, surface material, vegetation of disturbed areas, and all equipment, labor and incidental materials necessory of the practice. After Practice Description: The road will be graveled to a width of 16 feet, plus 2 foot shoulders for a total width of 20 feet wide. Gravel will be a minimum of 8 inches, underlain with geotextile fabric. It is mostly in embankment less than 3 feet in height, (average 1.5 ft) typical side slopes 2:1. A properly constructed, well defined access road will greatly reduce sheet, rill and wind erosion, eliminate compaction in land use areas where it is harmful, reduce emissions of particulate matter (PM) and PM precursors and also reduce excessive sediment in surface water by reducing uncontrolled sediment transport. Planned grades will include all dips and water bars. If clearing and grubbing of land in the alignment area is required, use Land Clearing (460). Pipe culverts installed as part of access road should be covered by either Structures for Water Control (587) or Stream Crossings (578) depending on the type of structure. Earth fill embankment above the culvert structure would still be covered by this Practice. Diversions constructed as part of access road should be covered by Diversion (362). All seeding or revegetation of disturbed areas is provided. Dust control must be addressed under Dust Control on Unpaved Roads and Surfaces (373).	Length of Roadway	Foot	\$9.54	\$14.31	\$14.31	\$17.18

AGRICHEMICAL HANDLING FACILITY Practice Code 309

Non-Livestock Structural Practice

PRS Unit of Measurement: NO

Definition: A facility with an impervious surface to provide an environmentally safe area for the handling of on-farm agrichemicals.

Purpose: To provide a safe environment on the farm and ranch operations for the storage, mixing, loading, and cleanup of agrichemicals, retain incidental spillage, retain leakage, and to reduce pollution to surface water, groundwater, air, and/or soil.

Applicability: This practice applies where the handling of agrichemicals creates significant potential for pollution of surface water, groundwater, air, or soil and a facility of needed to manage and handle the chemical operation.

Limitations:

Maintenance: Practice must be maintained for a lifespan of 15 years.

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & <u>After Practice Description</u>	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
309-1	Liquid Agrichemical Storage, Concrete Block Walls	Scenario Description: This practice scenario is an agrichemical handling facility for storage of liquid agrichemicals. Due to topography, limited site space and/or geological conditions a fabricated structure is needed. This practice addresses water quality degradation and due to mishandling, storing, and mixing of agrichemicals where nutrients and/or chemicals are running off into surface waters or leaching into ground water. Associated practices: Heavy Use Area Protection (561), Diversion (362), Access Road (560), Pipeline (516), Pumping Plant for Water Control (533), Nutrient Management (590), Pest Management (595), Pond Sealing or Lining Flexible Membrane (521A), Roofs and Covers (367). After Practice Description: An agrichemical handling facility is constructed for storage of liquid agrichemicals. The average size of the agrichemical handling facility for proper storage of liquid agrichemicals is in fabricated containment that is 30 ft x 40 ft with flexible membrane lined walls. The walls are made of modular blocks stacked two high for a 4ft wall height on four sides. This practice will contain agrichemicals and prevent contamination of surface and ground water resources.	Square Feet of storage area	Square Foot	\$2.85	\$4.27	\$4.27	\$5.12

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & <u>After Practice Description</u>	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
309-2	Liquid Agrichemical Storage, Treated Timber Walls	Scenario Description: This practice scenario is an agrichemical handling facility for storage of liquid agrichemicals. Due to topography, limited site space and/or geological conditions a fabricated structure is needed. This practice addresses water quality degradation and due to mishandling, storing, and mixing of agrichemicals where nutrients and/or chemicals are running off into surface waters or leaching into ground water. Associated practices: Heavy Use Area Protection (561), Diversion (362), Access Road (560), Pipeline (516), Pumping Plant for Water Control (533), Nutrient Management (590), Pest Management (595), Pond Sealing or Lining Flexible Membrane (521A), Roofs and Covers (367). After Practice Description: An agrichemical handling facility is constructed for storage of liquid agrichemicals. The average size of the agrichemical handling facility for proper storage of liquid agrichemicals is in fabricated containment that is 24' x 36' x3' with flexible membrane lined walls. The walls are made of treated timber. This practice will contain agrichemicals and prevent contamination of surface and ground water resources.	Square Feet of storage area	Square Foot	\$4.06	\$6.09	\$6.09	\$7.30
309-3	Concrete Agrichemical Handling Pad for mixing and loading	Scenario Description: This practice scenario is an agrichemical handling facility for mixing and loading operations. This practice addresses water quality degradation and due to mishandling, and mixing of agrichemicals where nutrients and/or chemicals are running off into surface waters or leaching into ground water. Associated practices: Heavy Use Area Protection (561), Diversion (362), Access Road (560), Pipeline (516), Pumping Plant for Water Control (533), Nutrient Management (590), Pest Management (595), Roofs and Covers (367), Pond Sealing or Lining, Flexible Membrane (521a). After Practice Description: This scenario is an agrichemical handling facility pad for mixing and loading operations. The average size of the agrichemical handling pad for mixing and loading is 16' x 40' x 6' with an application equipment length of 36 ft. The handling pad for mixing and loading operations is sized to contain the length of the agrichemical spray tank and its volume. The concrete is sealed and sloped to a collection sump, containment of the pad is surrounded by sloped and ramped reinforced concrete. This practice will contain agrichemicals and prevent contamination of surface and ground water resources.	Square Feet of handling area	Square Foot	\$3.61	\$5.41	\$5.41	\$6.50

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & <u>After Practice Description</u>	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
309-4	Storage, Concrete	Scenario Description: This practice scenario is an agrichemical handling facility for storage of liquid agrichemicals. This practice addresses water quality degradation and due to mishandling, storing, and mixing of agrichemicals where nutrients and/or chemicals are running off into surface waters or leaching into ground water. Associated practices: Heavy Use Area Protection (561), Diversion (362), Access Road (560), Pipeline (516), Pumping Plant for Water Control (533), Nutrient Management (590), Pest Management (595), Pond Sealing or Lining Flexible Membrane (521A), Roofs and Covers (367) After Practice Description: An agrichemical storage and handling facility is constructed with a concrete floor and 1' concrete perimeter walls. The average size of the agrichemical handling facility for storage is 35' x 40' with a 5' thick slab. The concrete is sealed and sloped to a collection sump. This practice will contain agrichemicals and prevent contamination of surface and ground water resources.	Square Feet of storage area	Square Foot	\$3.82	\$5.73	\$5.73	\$6.87
309-6	Storage, Double walled tank	Scenario Description: This practice scenario is an agrichemical handling facility for storage of liquid agrichemicals. This practice addresses water quality degradation and due to mishandling, storing, and mixing of agrichemicals where nutrients and/or chemicals are running off into surface waters or leaching into ground water. Associated practices: Heavy Use Area Protection (561), Diversion (362), Access Road (560), Pipeline (516), Pumping Plant for Water Control (533), Nutrient Management (590), Pest Management (595), Pond Sealing or Lining Flexible Membrane (521A), Roofs and Covers (367) After Practice Description: An agrichemical handling facility is constructed for storage of liquid agrichemicals. Selfcontained 3200 gallon double walled tank that provides secondary containment meeting NRCS Conservation Practice Standard 309 and local requirements for secondary containment. Tank is set on a 12'x12'x6' gravel pad. This practice will contain agrichemicals and prevent contamination of surface and ground water resources.	Each	Each	\$4,298.79	\$6,448.19	\$6,448.19	\$7,737.83

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & <u>After Practice Description</u>	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
309-7	Liquid Agrichemical Storage, Lined earthen basin	Scenario Description: This practice scenario is an agrichemical handling facility for storage of liquid agrichemicals along with a mixing and loading pad. This practice addresses water quality degradation and due to mishandling, storing, and mixing of agrichemicals where nutrients and/or chemicals are running off into surface waters or leaching into ground water. Associated practices: Heavy Use Area Protection (561), Diversion (362), Access Road (560), Pipeline (516), Pumping Plant for Water Control (533), Nutrient Management (590), Pest Management (595), Pond Sealing or Lining Flexible Membrane (521A), Roofs and Covers (367) After Practice Description: An agrichemical storage and handling facility is constructed as a lined earthen basin. The average size of the agrichemical handling facility for storage is 40' x 40' (bottom dimensions). Typical depth is 30', and earthwork balances cut/fill. Following earthwork, 4' of sand or #8 bank run gravel is placed on the bottom as a liner base and sloped to a collection sump. When this scenario is used, the complete installation also includes a synthetic liner under code 521A. This practice will contain agrichemicals and prevent contamination of surface and ground water resources.	Square Feet of storage area	Square Foot	\$0.63	\$0.94	\$0.94	\$1.13

AGRICULTURAL ENERGY MANAGEMENT PLAN, HEADQUARTERS - WRITTEN Practice Code 128

PRS Unit of Measurement: Number

Definition: An Agricultural Energy Management Plan- Headquarters (AgEMP) is a detailed documentation of energy consuming components and practices of

Purpose: The AgEMP will provide appropriate energy savings for each major activity (including a comparison to the baseline energy use) that reduces energy use and addresses the energy management needs for the agricultural operation.

Applicability: On lands in lowa that will benefit from the development and implementation of an Agricultural Energy Management Plan.

Limitations: Contracts that include Agricultural Energy Management Plans shall have energy plans or audits sent to the Area Engineer who will forward a copy to Mark Garrison in the State Office for administrative review before certification of plans or installation of practices outlined in plans or audits is completed. Plans or audits must meet the technical requirements of ASABE S612 - Performing On-farm Energy Audits.

Maintenance: Practice must be maintained for a lifespan of 1 year.

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
128-39	AgEMP Small, One Enterprise	Scenario Description: Typical operation has either < 300 Acres < 300 AU Up to 2 irrigation pumps <20,000 sq. ft. of heater greenhouse, or A maple syrup enterprise One enterprise as defined in the ASABE S612 Standard on-farm energy audit standard. A small operation is as described above. Agricultural producer currently has minimal knowledge of and no plan for energy conservation. Producer is willing to collaborate with a certified TSP to develop an AgEMP 128 CAP. The AgEMP is a grouping of conservation measures and management activities which, when implemented as part of a conservation system, will help to ensure that both production and natural resource protection goals are achieved. An AgEMP incorporates recommended measures to maximize energy conservation and efficiency. Natural Resource: Energy Conservation After Practice Description: After EQIP contract approval, participant has obtained services from a certified TSP for development of the 'Agricultural Energy Management Plan'. The CAP criteria requires the plan to meet quality criteria for energy conservation and efficiency. The CAP plan may include recommendations for associated conservation practices which address energy conservation. The CAP meets the basic quality criteria for the 128 plan as cited in the NRCS Field Office Technical Guide.	Number	Number	\$1,601.98	\$1,922.37	N/A	N/A

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
128-41	AgEMP Medium, One Enterprise	Scenario Description: Typical operation has either 301 to 2500 Ac 301 to 1000 AU 3 to 6 Irrigation Pumps, or 20,001 to 40,000 sq. ft. heated greenhouse One enterprise as defined in the ASABE S612 Standard on-farm energy audit standard. A medium operation as described above. Agricultural producer currently has minimal knowledge of and no plan for energy conservation. Producer is willing to collaborate with a certified TSP to develop an AgEMP 128 CAP. The AgEMP is a grouping of conservation measures and management activities which, when implemented as part of a conservation system, will help to ensure that both production and natural resource protection goals are achieved. An AgEMP incorporates recommended measures to maximize energy conservation and efficiency. Natural Resource: Energy Conservation After Practice Description: After EQIP contract approval, participant has obtained services from a certified TSP for development of the 'Agricultural Energy Management Plan'. The CAP criteria requires the plan to meet quality criteria for energy conservation and efficiency. The CAP plan may include recommendations for associated conservation practices which address energy conservation. The CAP meets the basic quality criteria for the 128 plan as cited in the NRCS Field Office Technical Guide.	Number	Number	\$1,993.44	\$2,392.13	N/A	N/A

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
128-43	AgEMP Large, One Enterprise	Scenario Description: Typical operation has either > 2,500 Ac >1000 AU More than 7 irrigation pumps or >40,001 sq. ft. of heater greenhouse One enterprise as defined in the ASABE S612 Standard on-farm energy audit standard in combination with a large operation with one enterprise, one of which is described above. Agricultural producer currently has minimal knowledge of and no plan for energy conservation. Producer is willing to collaborate with a certified TSP to develop an AgEMP 128 CAP. The AgEMP is a grouping of conservation measures and management activities which, when implemented as part of a conservation system, will help to ensure that both production and natural resource protection goals are achieved. An AgEMP incorporates recommended measures to maximize energy conservation and efficiency. Natural Resource: Energy Conservation. After Practice Description: After EQIP contract approval, participant has obtained services from a certified TSP for development of the 'Agricultural Energy Management Plan'. The CAP criteria requires the plan to meet quality criteria for energy conservation and efficiency. The CAP plan may include recommendations for associated conservation practices which address energy conservation. The CAP meets the basic quality criteria for the 128 plan as cited in the NRCS Field Office Technical Guide.	Number	Number	\$2,627.51	\$3,153.01	N/A	N/A

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
128-44	AgEMP Small, Two Enterprise	Scenario Description: Typical operation has either < 300 Ac < 300 AU More to 2 irrigation pumps, or > 40,001 sq. ft. heated greenhouse Two enterprises as defined in the ASABE S612 Standard on-farm energy audit standard. A small operation as described above. Agricultural producer currently has minimal knowledge of and no plan for energy conservation. Producer is willing to collaborate with a certified TSP to develop an AgEMP 128 CAP. The AgEMP is a grouping of conservation measures and management activities which, when implemented as part of a conservation system, will help to ensure that both production and natural resource protection goals are achieved. An AgEMP incorporates recommended measures to maximize energy conservation and efficiency. Natural Resource: Energy Conservation After Practice Description: After EQIP contract approval, participant has obtained services from a certified TSP for development of the 'Agricultural Energy Management Plan'. The CAP criteria requires the plan to meet quality criteria for energy conservation and efficiency. The CAP plan may include recommendations for associated conservation practices which address energy conservation. The CAP meets the basic quality criteria for the 128 plan as cited in the NRCS Field Office Technical Guide.	Number	Number	\$2,480.76	\$2,976.92	N/A	N/A

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
128-45	AgEMP Medium Two Enterprises	Scenario Description: Typical operation has either 301 to 2500 Ac 301 to 1000 AU 3 to 6 Irrigation Pumps, or 20,001 to 40,000 sq. ft. heated greenhouse Two enterprises as defined in the ASABE S612 Standard on-farm energy audit standard in combination with a medium operation, one of which is described above. Agricultural producer currently has minimal knowledge of and no plan for energy conservation. Producer is willing to collaborate with a certified TSP to develop an AgEMP 128 CAP. The AgEMP is a grouping of conservation measures and management activities which, when implemented as part of a conservation system, will help to ensure that both production and natural resource protection goals are achieved. An AgEMP incorporates recommended measures to maximize energy conservation and efficiency. Natural Resource: Energy Conservation After Practice Description: After EQIP contract approval, participant has obtained services from a certified TSP for development of the 'Agricultural Energy Management Plan'. The CAP criteria requires the plan to meet quality criteria for energy conservation and efficiency. The CAP plan may include recommendations for associated conservation practices which address energy conservation. The CAP meets the basic quality criteria for the 128 plan as cited in the NRCS Field Office Technical Guide.	Number	Number	\$3,359.55	\$4,031.46	N/A	N/A

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
128-46	AgEMP Large, Two Enterprises	Scenario Description: Typical operation has either > 2,500 Ac > 1000 AU More than 7 irrigation pumps or > 40,001 sq. ft. of heater greenhouse Two enterprises as defined in the ASABE S612 Standard on-farm energy audit standard in combination with a large operation, one of which is described above. Multiple irrigation systems or a mixture of irrigation types may be counted as one of extra enterprises. Agricultural producer currently has minimal knowledge of and no plan for energy conservation. Producer is willing to collaborate with a certified TSP to develop an AgEMP 128 CAP. The AgEMP is a grouping of conservation measures and management activities which, when implemented as part of a conservation system, will help to ensure that both production and natural resource protection goals are achieved. An AgEMP incorporates recommended measures to maximize energy conservation and efficiency. Natural Resource: Energy Conservation After Practice Description: After EQIP contract approval, participant has obtained services from a certified TSP for development of the 'Agricultural Energy Management Plan'. The CAP criteria requires the plan to meet quality criteria for energy conservation and efficiency. The CAP plan may include recommendations for associated conservation practices which address energy conservation. The CAP meets the basic quality criteria for the 128 plan as cited in the NRCS Field Office Technical Guide.	Number	Number	\$4,590.34	\$5,508.40	N/A	N/A

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
128-47	AgEMP Small, Three Enterprise	Scenario Description: Typical operation has either < 300 Acres < 300 AU Up to 2 irrigation pumps < 20,000 sq. ft. of heater greenhouse, or Three enterprises as defined in the ASABE S612 Standard on-farm energy audit standard in combination with a small operation, one of which is described above. Agricultural producer currently has minimal knowledge of and no plan for energy conservation. Producer is willing to collaborate with a certified TSP to develop an AgEMP 128 CAP. The AgEMP is a grouping of conservation measures and management activities which, when implemented as part of a conservation system, will help to ensure that both production and natural resource protection goals are achieved. An AgEMP incorporates recommended measures to maximize energy conservation and efficiency. Natural Resource Concern: Energy Conservation After Practice Description: After EQIP contract approval, participant has obtained services from a certified TSP for development of the 'Agricultural Energy Management Plan'. The CAP criteria requires the plan to meet quality criteria for energy conservation and efficiency. The CAP plan may include recommendations for associated conservation practices which address energy conservation. The CAP meets the basic quality criteria for the 128 plan as cited in the NRCS Field Office Technical Guide.	Number	Number	\$2,872.23	\$3,446.68	N/A	N/A

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
128-48	AgEMP Medium, Three Enterprise	Scenario Description: Typical operation has either 301 to 2500 Ac 301 to 1000 AU 3 to 6 Irrigation Pumps, or 20,001 to 40,000 sq. ft. heated greenhouse Three enterprises as defined in the ASABE S612 Standard on-farm energy audit standard in combination with a medium operation, one of which is described above. Agricultural producer currently has minimal knowledge of and no plan for energy conservation. Producer is willing to collaborate with a certified TSP to develop an AgEMP 128 CAP. The AgEMP is a grouping of conservation measures and management activities which, when implemented as part of a conservation system, will help to ensure that both production and natural resource protection goals are achieved. An AgEMP incorporates recommended measures to maximize energy conservation and efficiency. Natural Resource Concern: Energy Conservation. After Practice Description: After EQIP contract approval, participant has obtained services from a certified TSP for development of the 'Agricultural Energy Management Plan'. The CAP criteria requires the plan to meet quality criteria for energy conservation and efficiency. The CAP plan may include recommendations for associated conservation practices which address energy conservation. The CAP meets the basic quality criteria for the 128 plan as cited in the NRCS Field Office Technical Guide.	Number	Number	\$3,751.02	\$4,501.22	N/A	N/A

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
128-49	AgEMP Large, Three Enterprise	Scenario Description: Typical operation has either > 2,500 Ac > 1000 AU More than 7 irrigation pumps or > 40,001 sq. ft. of heater greenhouse Three enterprise as defined in the ASABE S612 Standard on-farm energy audit standard in combination with a large operation, one of which is described above. Multiple irrigation systems or a mixture of irrigation types may be counted as one of extra enterprises. Agricultural producer currently has minimal knowledge of and no plan for energy conservation. Producer is willing to collaborate with a certified TSP to develop an AgEMP 128 CAP. The AgEMP is a grouping of conservation measures and management activities which, when implemented as part of a conservation system, will help to ensure that both production and natural resource protection goals are achieved. An AgEMP incorporates recommended measures to maximize energy conservation. After Practice Description: After EQIP contract approval, participant has obtained services from a certified TSP for development of the 'Agricultural Energy M a n a g e m e n t Plan'. The CAP criteria requires the plan to meet quality criteria for energy conservation and efficiency. The CAP plan may include recommendations for associated conservation practices which address energy conservation. The CAP meets the basic quality criteria for the 128 plan as cited in the NRCS Field Office Technical Guide.	Number	Number	\$5,052.20	\$6,062.64	N/A	N/A

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
128-50	AgEMP Small, Four Enterprises	Scenario Description: Typical operation has either < 300 Acres < 300 AU Up to 2 irrigation pumps, or < 20,000 sq. ft. of heater greenhouse Four enterprises as defined in the ASABE S612 Standard on-farm energy audit standard in combination with a small operation, one of which is described above. Agricultural producer currently has minimal knowledge of and no plan for energy conservation. Producer is willing to collaborate with a certified TSP to develop an AgEMP 128CAP. The AgEMP is a grouping of conservation measures and management activities which, when implemented as part of a conservation system, will help to ensure that both production and natural resource protection goals are achieved. An AgEMP incorporates recommended measures to maximize energy conservation and efficiency. Natural Resource Concern: Energy Conservation. After Practice Description: After EQIP contract approval, participant has obtained services from a certified TSP for development of the 'Agricultural Energy Management Plan'. The CAP criteria requires the plan to meet quality criteria for energy conservation and efficiency. The CAP plan may include recommendations for associated conservation practices which address energy conservation. The CAP meets the basic quality criteria for the 128 plan as cited in the NRCS Field Office Technical Guide.	Number	Number	\$3,506.29	\$4,207.55	N/A	N/A

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
128-51	AgEMP 128 Medium, Four Enterprise	Scenario Description: Typical operation has either 301 to 2500 Ac 301 to 1000 AU 3 to 6 Irrigation Pumps, or 20,001 to 40,000 sq. ft. heated greenhouse Four enterprise as defined in the ASABE S612 Standard on-farm energy audit standard in combination with an medium operation, one of which is described above. Agricultural producer currently has minimal knowledge of and no plan for energy conservation. Producer is willing to collaborate with a certified TSP to develop an AgEMP 128 CAP. The AgEMP is a grouping of conservation measures and management activities which, when implemented as part of a conservation system, will help to ensure that both production and natural resource protection goals are achieved. An AgEMP incorporates recommended measures to maximize energy conservation. After Practice Description: After EQIP contract approval, participant has obtained services from a certified TSP for development of the 'Agricultural Energy Management Plan'. The CAP criteria requires the plan to meet quality criteria for energy conservation and efficiency. The CAP plan may include recommendations for associated conservation practices which address energy conservation. The CAP meets the basic quality criteria for the 128 plan as cited in the NRCS Field Office Technical Guide.	Number	Number	\$4,385.08	\$5,262.10	N/A	N/A

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
128-52	AgEMP 128 Large, Four Enterprise	Scenario Description: Typical operation has either > 2,500 Ac > 1000 AU More than 7 irrigation pumps or > 40,001 sq. ft. of heater greenhouse Four enterprises as defined in the ASABE S612 Standard on-farm energy audit standard in combination with an large livestock operation, one of which is described above. Multiple irrigation systems or a mixture of irrigation types may be counted as one of extra enterprises. Agricultural producer currently has minimal knowledge of and no plan for energy conservation. Producer is willing to collaborate with a certified TSP to develop an AgEMP 128 CAP. The AgEMP is a grouping of conservation measures and management activities which, when implemented as part of a conservation system, will help to ensure that both production and natural resource protection goals are achieved. An AgEMP incorporates recommended measures to maximize energy conservation. After Practice Description: After EQIP contract approval, participant has obtained services from a certified TSP for development of the 'Agricultural Energy Management Plan'. The CAP criteria requires the plan to meet quality criteria for energy conservation and efficiency. The CAP plan may include recommendations for associated conservation practices which address energy conservation. The CAP meets the basic quality criteria for the 128 plan as cited in the NRCS Field Office Technical Guide.	Number	Number	\$5,756.67	\$6,908.00	N/A	N/A

AIR FILTRATION AND SCRUBBING Practice Code 371

Livestock Structural Practice

PRS Unit of Measurement: Number

Definition: A device or system for reducing emissions of air contaminants from a structure via interception and/or collection.

Purpose: To control gaseous and particulate air emissions from ventilated structures by inertial collection, filtration, electrostatic collection, adsorption, scrubbing, and/or bio removal. Specifically, this practice standard can be used to reduce emissions of the following air contaminates that contribute to air quality resource concerns: direct emissions of particulate matter; volatile organic compounds (VOCs); ammonia; odorous sulfur compounds; and methane.

Applicability: This practice applies to any agricultural operation that includes a naturally or mechanically ventilated structure from which the air contaminants identified in the Purpose section above may be emitted.

Limitations: A completed Bio filter Certification Checklist is required before payment is authorized and must be filed in the contract folder.

Maintenance: Practice must be maintained for a lifespan of 10 years.

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
371-1	Single Pit Fan Bio filter	Scenario Description: Establishment of a bio filter used to treat the air flow from a single waste pit ventilation fan in instances where control of the exhaust from all pit ventilation fans is not needed. Adequate moisture in the wood chip media is maintained for proper growth of bacteria. For each waste pit ventilation fan servicing a waste storage facility that is identified as exhausting odorous and/or particulate laden air into the atmosphere, a separate bio filter is installed. Payment includes materials, equipment, and labor costs for installing the bio filter. A stabilized area around the bio filter is not included and must be addressed through the associated practice of Heavy Use Area Protection (561), if needed. After Practice Description: Air Quality resource concerns are addressed through installation of the practice by reducing odors and/or particulate matter emissions. Exhaust from a 24 " waste pit ventilation fan is piped to a 16' wide by 20' long by 4'high horizontal bio filter constructed of a formed concrete bin that is filled with wood chip media, capable of handling 5,500 cubic feet per minute of airflow. The loading of odor and/or particulates into the air at the production facility is significantly reduced, resulting in a substantial improvement in air quality. Ammonia emissions are reduced approximately 60%; hydrogen sulfide about 80% and odor 60 to 80%. Associated practices include Heavy Use Area Protection (561), Amendments for Treatment of Agricultural Waste (591), Windbreak (380), Waste Storage Facility (313) and CAP-Comprehensive Air Quality Management Plan (126).	Number of Bio filters Installed	Each	\$6,273.10	\$9,409.65	N/A	N/A

AMENDMENTS FOR THE TREATMENT OF AGRICULTURAL WASTE Practice Code 591

Livestock Management Practice

PRS Unit of Measurement: AU

Definition: Treatment of manure, process wastewater, storm water runoff from lots or other high intensity areas, and other wastes, with chemical or biological

Purpose: To alter the physical and/or chemical characteristics of the waste stream to facilitate the implementation of a waste management system to: improve or protect air quality, improve or protect water quality, improve or protect animal health, and/or alter the consistency of the waste stream to facilitate implementation of a waste management system

Applicability: This practice applies where the use of a chemical or biological amendment will alter the physical and chemical characteristics of the waste stream as a part of a planned waste management system. This practice does not include amendments added to the animal feed.

Limitations:

Maintenance: Practice must be maintained for a lifespan of 1 year.

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
591-3	Litter Amendments applied for Air Quality resource concerns	Scenario Description: This practice scenario includes the application of a litter treatment amendment that is approved by NRCS to the entire poultry house to reduce ammonia emissions from the house and facilitate manure management. The amendment used is proven to reduce ammonia levels in the house by transforming nitrogen into a form of ammonium. The purpose of the practice is to address resource concerns from existing nutrient levels that may contribute to air quality impacts such as objectionable odors and ammonia emissions and impacts on bird health due to excess nutrients and pathogens. Associated practices: Nutrient Management (590). After Practice Description: This scenario is based on a typical poultry operation with a 2-house facility and each house size is 40° x 400°, 16,000 SF. An NRCS approved amendment is applied between flocks, 5 flocks annually, at rate required to meet air quality resource concern, typically 100 pounds per 1000 SF. Formula to calculate the amount of amendment per year on a 1000 SF basis: (Square Feet of house) / 1000 SF X (Number of houses) X (Number of Applications per Year) = Number of 1000SF. 16,000 SF / 1000 SF X 2 houses X 5 applications/year= 160 units of 1000SF An NRCS approved amendment is applied between each flock, 5 applications, at rate required for treatment to address air quality resource concerns. For most products, this is 100 pounds per 1000 SF. The amendment is proven to control the odor, and to reduce ammonia emissions. The selected amendment is applied in conformance with the manufacturer's recommendations and the rates required. The resulting litter contains higher levels of nutrients and nutrient management plans must account for this. Nutrient level testing of the litter and nutrient planning shall be in conformance with CPS Nutrient Management, Code 590. The amendment successfully addresses the air quality impacts of objectionable odors, ammonia emissions, PM and PM precursors and bird health resource concerns.	Number of 1000SF applications per year	1,000 Square Foot	\$17.27	\$25.90	\$25.90	\$31.08

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
591-4	Litter Amendments applied on a %w/w basis for Water Quality Impacts	Scenario Description: This practice scenario includes the application of a litter treatment amendment that is approved by NRCS to the entire poultry house to reduce water-soluble phosphorous in the poultry litter by a specified percentage. The amendment used is proven to and transform nitrogen into a form of ammonium and reduce the concentration of water-soluble phosphorous in the litter and reduces ammonia levels in the house. Resource concerns from existing nutrient levels may contribute to water quality degradation from nutrient runoff and leaching from fields fertilized with poultry litter and air quality impacts such as objectionable odors and ammonia emissions. Associated practices: Nutrient Management (590). After Practice Description: This scenario is based on a typical poultry operation with a desired application rate is 10% by weight of the litter (10%w/w) of a phosphorus binding amendment. Typical operation consists of 2 houses, 40' x 400' house (16,000 SF), 20,000 birds (4 pound finished bird weight), 0.5 lb. litter/bird (assume 54 pounds P205/Ton of litter). The operation raises 5 flocks per year. Formula to calculate required amendment at the prescribed rate in tons per year is: (Number of birds) X (Finish weight of birds (lbs.)) X (Pounds of litter)/bird) X (Number of houses) X (application rate) X (Number of applications per year) / 2000 pounds/ton 20,000 birds X 4 lb. bird X 0.50 lb. litter/bird X 2 houses X 0.10 lb. amendment/lb. litter X 5 app/year / 2000 lb./ton = 20 tons/year. An NRCS approved amendment is applied between each flock at the prescribed rate. The selected amendment is applied in conformance with the manufacturer's recommendations and the rates required. The amendment is proven to reduce soluble phosphorus in the litter, to control the odor, and to reduce ammonia emissions. The resulting litter contains higher levels of nutrients and nutrient management plans must account for this. Nutrient Level testing of the litter and nutrient planning shall be in conformance with CPS	Tons of amendment per year.	Ton	\$333.60	\$500.40	\$500.40	\$600.48

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
591-5	Liquid Animal Waste Amendment	Scenario Description: This practice scenario includes the treatment of liquid animal waste for odor control. The purpose of the practice is to address resource concerns related to air quality impacts from objectionable odors caused by manure storage in a facility close to a small town. Associated practices: Nutrient Management (590), Waste Storage Facility (313). After Practice Description: This practice scenario is applicable for all types of liquid animal waste. A swine operation has been chosen for this scenario example. Typical implementation scenario is a pit under a swine production building for 1180 head of lactating sows, 400 lb. each. The pit is 100' x 140' x 8' deep; 1' freeboard and 1' unpumpable sludge reduces w o r k i n g depth to 6'. This scenario is based on the working volume of manure stored and treated per year. The working volume in the manure storage facility is 84,000 cubic feet, and the facility is emptied every 6 months. The resulting total annual working volume of manure to be treated with the amendment is 168,000 cubic feet. An NRCS approved amendment is a p p I i e d periodically according to manufacturer's instructions, typically on a monthly basis. The manufacturer's recommended dosage is based on the volume of manure added to the waste storage facility between amendment doses. The resulting waste contains higher levels of nutrients, which is accounted for in the nutrient management plan. Nutrient level testing of the liquid manure and nutrient planning is done in conformance with CPS N u t r i e n t Management, Code 590. The amendment is proven to reduce odor by up to 83%, and successfully reduces the objectionable odors on the site. Complaints from neighbors are no longer received.	Cubic Feet of required manure storage per year	Cubic Foot	\$0.10	\$0.14	\$0.14	\$0.17

ANAEROBIC DIGESTER Practice Code 366

Livestock Structural Practice

PRS Unit of Measurement: Number

Definition: A component of a waste management system that provides biological treatment in the absence of oxygen.

Purpose: For the treatment of manure and other byproducts of animal agriculture operations for one or more of the following reasons: capture biogas for energy production; manage odors; reduce the net effect of greenhouse gas emissions; or reduce pathogens.

Applicability: Biogas production and capture are components of a planned animal waste byproducts management system. Sufficient and suitable organic feed stocks are readily available. Existing facilities can be modified to the requirements of this standard or for new construction. The operator has the interest and skills to monitor and maintain processes or contracts with a consultant to provide these services.

Limitations:

Maintenance: Practice must be maintained for a lifespan of 25 years.

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
366-1	Small Plug Flow <1000 AU	Scenario Description: A plug flow anaerobic digester that is part of a waste management system for the biological treatment of the waste in the absence of oxygen. This process for manure and other byproducts of animal agricultural operations will manage odors, r e d u c e the net effect of greenhouse gas emissions, and/or reduce pathogens. This scenario is for a plug flow digester for a livestock operation with less than 1,000 animal units. Energy generation is not included with this scenario. After Practice Description: Manure and other agricultural by-products are being treated such that odors are managed and/or pathogens are reduced. Effluent from the digester is disposed of or utilized in a proper manner in accordance with a nutrient management plan. A plug flow digester is typically constructed of concrete with vertical side walls and solid or flexible top. The typical scenario also includes items necessary to maintain mesophylic or thermophylic temperatures for bacterial activity (i.e. piping and boiler or other heat source). Typical Design Scenario: 910 animal units (650 - 1,400 lbs dairy cows). Potential Associated Practices: Fence (382), Critical Area Planting (342), Nutrient Management (590), Waste Transfer (634), Heavy Use Area Protection (561), Roof and Covers (367), Solid/Liquid Waste Separation Facility (632), Waste Treatment Lagoon (359), and Waste Storage Facility (313).	Animals Units Contributing to Digester	Animal Unit	\$357.09	\$535.63	N/A	N/A

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
366-2		Scenario Description: A plug flow anaerobic digester that is part of a waste management system for the biological treatment of the waste in the absence of oxygen. This process for manure and other byproducts of animal agricultural operations will manage odors, r e d u c e the net effect of greenhouse gas emissions, and/or reduce pathogens. This scenario is for a plug flow digester for a livestock operation with 1,000 to 2,000 animal units. Energy generation is not included with this scenario. After Practice Description: Manure and other agricultural by-products are being treated such that odors are managed and/or pathogens are reduced. Effluent from the digester is disposed of or utilized in a proper manner in accordance with a nutrient management plan. A plug flow digester is typically constructed of concrete with vertical side walls and solid or flexible top. The typical scenario also includes items necessary to maintain mesophylic or thermophylic temperatures for bacterial activity (i.e. piping and boiler or other heat source). Typical design scenario: 1,750 animal units (1,250 - 1,400 lbs dairy cows). Potential Associated Practices: Fence (382), Critical Area Planting (342), Nutrient Management (590), Waste Transfer (634), Heavy Use Area Protection (561), Roof and Covers (367), Solid/Liquid Waste Separation Facility (632), Waste Treatment Lagoon (359), and Waste Storage Facility (313).	Animals Units Contributing to Digester	Animal Unit	\$254.11	\$381.17	N/A	N/A

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
366-3	0 0	Scenario Description: A plug flow anaerobic digester that is part of a waste management system for the biological treatment of the waste in the absence of oxygen. This process for manure and other byproducts of animal agricultural operations will manage odors, reduce the net effect of greenhouse gas emissions, and/or reduce pathogens. This scenario is for a plug flow digester for a livestock operation with more than 2,000 animal units. Energy generation is not included with this scenario. After Practice Description: Manure and other agricultural by-products are being treated such that odors are managed and/or pathogens are reduced. Effluent from the digester is disposed of or utilized in a proper manner in accordance with a nutrient management plan. A plug flow digester is typically constructed of concrete with vertical side walls and solid or flexible top. The typical scenario also includes items necessary to maintain mesophylic or thermophylic temperatures for bacterial activity (i.e. piping and boiler or other heat source). Typical Design Scenario: 3,920 animal units (2,800 - 1,400 lbs. dairy cows). Potential Associated Practices: Fence (382), Critical Area Planting (342), Nutrient Management (590), Waste Transfer (634), Heavy Use Area Protection (561), Roof and Covers (367), Solid/Liquid Waste Separation Facility (632), Waste Treatment Lagoon (359), and Waste Storage Facility (313).	Animals Units Contributing to Digester	Animal Unit	\$169.73	\$254.59	N/A	N/A

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
366-4		Scenario Description: A complete mix anaerobic digester that is part of a waste management system for the biological treatment of the waste in the absence of oxygen. This process for manure and other byproducts of animal agricultural operations will manage odors, reduce the net effect of greenhouse gas emissions, and/or reduce pathogens. This scenario is for a complete mix system for a livestock operation with less than 1,000 animal units. Energy generation is not included with this scenario. After Practice Description: Manure and other agricultural by-products are being treated such that odors are managed and/or pathogens are reduced. Effluent from the digester is disposed of or utilized in a proper manner in accordance with a nutrient management plan. A complete mix digester is typically a round above ground structure constructed of concrete or steel. The typical scenario also includes items necessary to maintain mesophylic or thermophylic temperatures for bacterial activity (i.e. piping and boiler or other heat source). Typical Design Scenario: 1,039 animal units (742 - 1,400 lbs dairy cows). Potential Associated Practices: Fence (382), Critical Area Planting (342), Nutrient Management (590), Waste Transfer (634), Heavy Use Area Protection (561), Roof and Covers (367), Solid/Liquid Waste Separation Facility (632), Waste Treatment Lagoon (359), and Waste Storage Facility (313).	Animals Units Contributing to Digester	Animal Unit	\$358.78	\$538.18	N/A	N/A

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
366-5	·	Scenario Description: A complete mix anaerobic digester that is part of a waste management system for the biological treatment of the waste in the absence of oxygen. This process for manure and other byproducts of animal agricultural operations will manage odors, reduce the net effect of greenhouse gas emissions, and/or reduce pathogens. This scenario is for a complete mix system for a livestock operation with 1,000 to 2,000 animal units. Energy generation is not included with this scenario. After Practice Description: Manure and other agricultural by-products are being treated such that odors are managed and/or pathogens are reduced. Effluent from the digester is disposed of or utilized in a proper manner in accordance with a nutrient management plan. A complete mix digester is typically a round above ground structure constructed of concrete or steel. The typical scenario also includes items necessary to maintain mesophylic or thermophylic temperatures for bacterial activity (i.e. piping and boiler or other heat source). Typical Design Scenario: 1,890 animal units (1,350 - 1,400 lbs. dairy cows). Potential Associated Practices: Fence (382), Critical Area Planting (342), Nutrient Management (590), Waste Transfer (634), Heavy Use Area Protection (561), Roof and Covers (367), Solid/Liquid Waste Separation Facility (632), Waste Treatment Lagoon (359), and Waste Storage Facility (313).	Animals Units Contributing to Digester	Animal Unit	\$344.07	\$516.11	N/A	N/A

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
366-6	Large Complete Mix >2,000 AU	Scenario Description: A complete mix anaerobic digester that is part of a waste management system for the biological treatment of the waste in the absence of oxygen. This process for manure and other byproducts of animal agricultural operations will manage odors, r e d u c e the net effect of greenhouse gas emissions, and/or reduce pathogens. This scenario is for a complete mix system for a livestock operation with more than 2,000 animal units. Energy generation is not included with this scenario. After Practice Description: Manure and other agricultural by-products are being treated such that odors are managed and/or pathogens are reduced. Effluent from the digester is disposed of or utilized in a proper manner in accordance with a nutrient management plan. A complete mix digester is typically a round above ground structure constructed of concrete or steel. The typical scenario also includes items necessary to maintain mesophylic or thermophylic temperatures for bacterial activity (i.e. piping and boiler or other heat source). Typical Design Scenario: 3,220 animal units (2,300 - 1,400 lbs. dairy cows). Potential Associated Practices: Fence (382), Critical Area Planting (342), Nutrient Management (590), Waste Transfer (634), Heavy Use Area Protection (561), Roof and Covers (367), Solid/Liquid Waste Separation Facility (632), Waste Treatment Lagoon (359), and Waste Storage Facility (313).		Animal Unit	\$234.53	\$351.79	N/A	N/A

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
366-7	Covered Lagoon/Holding Pond	Scenario Description: A covered lagoon that is part of a waste management system to provide biological treatment of the waste in the absence of oxygen. This process for manure and other byproducts of animal agricultural operations will manage odors, reduce the net effect of greenhouse gas emissions, and/or reduce pathogens. This scenario is for all livestock operation sizes. Scenario applies to retrofitting an existing anaerobic lagoon, or as an addition to a new construction using waste treatment lagoon (359) or waste storage facility (313), and roofs and covers (367). Payment includes system controls, gas collection, and flaring system. Energy generation is not included with this scenario. After Practice Description: Manure and other agricultural by-products are being treated such that odors are managed and/or pathogens are reduced. Effluent from the digester is disposed of or utilized in a proper manner in accordance with a nutrient management plan. A covered lagoon/holding pond typically has a flexible top installed over an earthen storage/treatment facility for the purpose of capturing the biogas. Typical Design Scenario: 1,000 animal units (715 - 1,400 lbs dairy cows). Potential Associated Practices: Fence (382), Critical Area Planting (342), Nutrient Management (590), Waste Transfer (634), Heavy Use Area Protection (561), Roof and Covers (367), Solid/Liquid Waste Separation Facility (632), Waste Treatment Lagoon (359), and Waste Storage Facility (313).	Animals Units Contributing to Digester	Animal Unit	\$54.18	\$81.28	N/A	N/A

ANIMAL MORTALITY FACILITY Practice Code 316

Livestock Structural Practice

PRS Unit of Measurement: Number

Definition: An on-farm facility for the treatment or disposal of livestock or poultry mortalities.

Purpose: To decrease non-point source pollution of surface and groundwater resources, reduce the impact of odors that result from improperly handled animal mortality, decrease the likelihood of the spread of disease or other pathogens that result from the interaction of animal mortality and predators and to provide contingencies for normal and catastrophic mortality events.

Applicability: Where animal carcass treatment or disposal must be a component of a waste management system for livestock or poultry operations. This applies where on-farm carcass treatment and disposal are permitted by federal, state, and local laws, rules, and regulations. It also applies where a waste management system plan as described in the AWMFH has been developed that accounts for the end use of the product from the mortality facility.

Limitations: Nonmortality composting will be done under Practice 317 - Composting Facility.

Maintenance: Practice must be maintained for a lifespan of 15 years.

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
316-1	Incinerator	Scenario Description: This scenario consists of installing a manufactured Type IV incinerator. Payment includes the incinerator, fuel tank and concrete slab to support the incinerator and fuel tank. If a roof is to be included in the installation refer to Practice Standard 367 - Roofs and Covers. The purpose of the practice is to address resource concerns related to water quality degradation due to excessive nutrients, organics, and pathogens being transported into surface and groundwater resources. After Practice Description: Animal mortality is being done in a manner that prevents non-point source pollution of excessive nutrients, organics, and pathogens being transported into surface and groundwater resources. Proper operation results in little to no odors, complete incineration, and protection from predators to minimize pathogen survival or spreading. Included is a concrete slab to set the incinerator on and a fuel tank. Ash materials to be stored in suitable containers until land disposal as per the nutrient management plan or landfilled. Potential Associated Practices: Heavy Use Area Protection (561), Fence (382), Critical Area Planting (342), Access Road (560), Waste Storage Facility (313), Nutrient Management (590), Roofs and Covers (367), Critical Area Planting (342).	Pounds capacity of incinerator	Pounds per Day	\$17.43	\$26.14	\$26.14	\$31.37

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
316-20	Medium Animal Composter	Scenario Description: This scenario applies to composting of medium-sized animals, regardless of technology; each state is responsible for determining the size range of the animals to which this scenario applies. The typical scenario is a series of concrete bins, open on one end, on top of a concrete pad, to compost mortality in static piles with sufficient bulking material to allow natural aeration. The producer will be managing the composting with heavy equipment, requiring durable, concrete walls. Facility sizing parameters include primary and secondary composting area requirements, to allow piles to be turned at least once to go into another heat cycle prior to final disposal, typically land application. If a roof is to be included in the installation refer to Practice Standard 367 - Roofs and Covers. Where needed, use Practice Standard 561 – Heavy Use Area Protection adjacent to the composting facility for protected access, and Practice Standard 362 - Diversion to divert surface flow away from the facility. Typical scenario design uses the process outlined in the Illinois supplement to Chapter 10 of the Ag Waste Field Handbook (IL651.1007(f)), using a volume factor of 20 cubic feet. Animals being composted are grow-finish swine at an average weight of 165 lb., and the average mortality rate (death loss) for the operation is 4%, or 87 lbs/day for a 2400-head operation with 2 turns per year. The resulting typical design has twelve bins, each 10' x 9.8' by 5'7" high (reference standard drawing IL-ENG-149). Site preparation includes topsoil removal, minimal regrading and compaction, installing gravel or sand sub base and then concrete. After Practice Description: Animal mortality is being done in a manner that prevents non-point source pollution of excessive nutrients, organics, and pathogens being transported into surface and groundwater resources. Proper operation results in little to no odors, complete composting, and protection from predators to minimize pathogen survival or spreading. An overall plan cov	Pounds of dead animals per day	Pounds per Day	\$111.23	\$166.85	\$166.85	\$200.22

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
316-21	Large Animal Composter	Scenario Description: This scenario applies to composting of larger animals, regardless of technology; each state is responsible for determining the size range of the animals to which this scenario applies. The typical scenario is a concrete pad sized for composting animal mortality in windrow(s), including equipment access to the material. Facility sizing parameters include primary and secondary composting area requirements to allow piles to be turned at least once to go into another heat cycle prior to final disposal, typically land application. Site to be located out of drainage areas, off-site water diverted and any runoff to spread out into a grassed area or vegetated treatment area as per regulations. If a roof is to be included in the installation refer to Practice Standard 367 - Roofs and Covers. Where needed, use Practice Standard 561 - Heavy Use Area Protection adjacent to the composting facility for protected access, and Practice Standard 362 - Diversion to divert surface flow away from the facility. Typical scenario design is Example 4 from the Ohio Livestock and Poultry Mortality Composting Manual. Animals being composted are cattle at an average weight of 1,400 lb., and the average mortality rate (death loss) for the operation is 20 lbs/day. The windrow system includes a primary and a secondary composting operation, with 30 days' worth of storage. The resulting typical design is a 25' x 60' concrete pad, 5" thick, with light reinforcement. Site preparation includes topsoil removal, minimal regrading and compaction, installing gravel or sand sub base and then concrete. An earthen berm (2' tall, 4' top width with 2:1 side slopes) around three sides of the facility captures any leachate. After Practice Description: Animal mortality is being done in a manner that prevents non-point source pollution of excessive nutrients, organics, and pathogens being transported into surface and groundwater resources. Proper operation results in little to no odors, complete composting, and protection from predators t	Pounds of dead animals per day	Pounds per Day	\$162.46	\$243.69	\$243.69	\$292.43

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
316-22	Small Animal	Scenario Description: This scenario applies to composting of small animals, regardless of technology; each state is responsible for determining the size range of the animals to which this scenario applies. The typical scenario is a series of concrete bins, open on one end, on top of a concrete pad, to compost mortality in static piles with sufficient bulking material to allow natural aeration. The producer will be managing the composting with heavy equipment, requiring durable, concrete walls. Facility sizing parameters include primary and secondary composting area requirements, to allow piles to be turned at least once to go into another heat cycle prior to final disposal, typically land application. If a roof is to be included in the installation refer to Practice Standard 367 - Roofs and Covers. Where needed, use Practice Standard 561 - Heavy Use Area Protection adjacent to the composting facility for protected access, and Practice Standard 362 - Diversion to divert surface flow away from the facility. Typical scenario design uses the Indiana NRCS composter design spreadsheet process. Animals being composted are poultry at an average weight of 3 lb., and the average mortality rate (death loss) for the operation is 4%, or 267 lbs/day for a 100,000 - chicken operation with a 45 day cycle time. The resulting typical design has four bins, each 10' x 9.8' by 5'7" high (reference standard drawing IL-ENG-161. Site preparation includes topsoil removal, minimal regrading and compaction, installing gravel or sand subbase and then concrete. After Practice Description: Animal mortality is being done in a manner that prevents non-point source pollution of excessive nutrients, organics, and pathogens being transported into surface and groundwater resources. Proper operation results in little to no odors, complete composting, and protection from predators to minimize pathogen survival or spreading. An overall plan covers normal and catastrophic mortality events. Potential Associated Practices: Roofs and Covers (367), Roof	Pounds of dead animals per day	Pounds per Day	\$11.68	\$17.52	\$17.52	\$21.02

TRAILS AND WALKWAYS Practice Code 575

Livestock Structural Practice

PRS Unit of Measurement: Feet

Definition: A trail/walkway is used to facilitate the movement of animals, people, or off-road vehicles.

Purpose: To provide or improve access to forage, water, working/handling facilities, or shelter, facilitate improved grazing efficiency and distribution, protect ecologically sensitive, erosive, or potentially erosive sites, provide pedestrian or off-road vehicle access to agricultural, construction, or maintenance operations, provide trails/walkways for recreational activities or access to recreation sites.

Applicability: On all lands where management of animal or human movement is needed.

Limitations:

Maintenance: Practice must be maintained for a lifespan of 10 years.

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
575-4	Trail or Walkway, Rock/Gravel on Geotextile	Scenario Description: Layout and construct a trail or walkway with rock and or gravel on a geotextile fabric foundation to facilitate the movement of animals, people, or off-road vehicles to provide or improve access to forage, water, working/handling facilities, and/or shelter, improve grazing efficiency and distribution, and/or protect ecologically sensitive, erosive and/or potentially erosive sites, pedestrian or off-road vehicle access to agricultural, construction, or maintenance operations, provide trails for recreational activities or access to recreation sites and address the resource concerns of soil erosion and water quality degradation. Includes excavation, shaping, grading, rock and or gravel, geotextile, vegetation of disturbed areas, and all equipment, labor and incidental materials necessary to install the practice. After Practice Description: The typical trail or walkway is an 8 foot w i d e by 600 foot long lane with a surface treatment of rock and or gravel on a geotextile fabric foundation. The trail or walkway is constructed of approved materials, with a life expectancy that meets or exceeds the planned useful life of the installation. All materials, equipment, and labor to install the trail or walkway and surfacing is included. Vegetation of adjacent disturbed areas is also included. The resource concerns of soil erosion, water quality, and livestock production limitations have been addressed. Other associated practices include Stream Crossing (578), Diversion (362), and Fence (382). Use Access Road (560) if the movement of vehicles or equipment is needed for purposes other than management and maintenance of the trail or walkway.	Length of trail or walkway	Foot	\$3.79	\$5.68	\$5.68	\$6.81

AQUATIC ORGANISM PASSAGE Practice Code 396

PRS Unit of Measurement: Mi

Definition: Modification or removal of barriers that restrict or impede movement of aquatic organisms.

Purpose: Improve or provide passage for aquatic organisms.

Applicability: All aquatic habitats where barriers impede passage of aquatic organisms.

Limitations:

Maintenance: Practice will be maintained for a lifespan of 5 years.

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
396-1	Concrete Dam Removal	Scenario Description: The full or partial removal of a concrete or earthen dam which is restricting or impeding movement of aquatic organisms to restore aquatic organism passage, improve water quality, and promote functional river ecology and geomorphology. The extent of removal (full or partial) is determined through consultations with the dam owner in consideration of prevailing regulations and site historical status. Adjacent floodplain surfaces above and below the target dam are considered in the planning process to account for shifts in streamflow and geomorphic regime. Resulting channel dimensions and profile are determined on a site-specific basis to reflect—to the fullest extent possible—pre-dam conditions. Removal is completed w i t h an assortment of equipment, including tracked excavators o u t f i t t e d with hydraulic chisels, hammers and/or buckets with 'thumbs', bull dozers, skid steers, cranes, front-end loaders, and dump trucks. Alternative demolition techniques may include the use of high explosives, diamond-chain, or similar circular saws to remove the dam in a piecewise manner. Removed materials are trucked away and disposed or recycled off-site. Associated practices for practice installation include: (326) Clearing and Snagging, (342) Critical Area Planting, (382) Fence, (390) Riparian Herbaceous Cover, (391) Riparian Forest Buffer, (612) Tree/Shrub Establishment, (395) Stream Habitat Improvement and Management, (410) Grade Stabilization Structure, (584) Channel Bed Stabilization, (580) Streambank and Shoreline Protection, (587) Structure for Water Control, and (578) Stream Crossing. After Practice Description: A 7 foot tall, 85 foot long low head concrete dam is demolished and debris is removed. The geometry and slope of the reach impacted by removal of the dam are restored to pre dam conditions to the fullest extent practicable. Aquatic organism passage and river ecology and geomorphic conditions are restored to pre-dam conditions to the fullest extent practicable. Resource Concerns are	Linear Feet of Low Head Dam and Abutments	Foot	\$220.47	\$330.70	\$330.70	\$396.84

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
396-2	Culvert Replacement	Scenario Description: A corrugated metal (galvanized steel or aluminum) pipe culvert (CMP) of any shape (round, elliptical, or squash) used where a field access road intersects with a stream crossing. The aquatic organism passage (AOP) will provide and promote stream ecological and geomorphic function. CMPs used for AOP are filled with a mixture of rock and gravel sized to emulate site stream conditions and geomorphic units in the channel. The simulated streambed material is continuous throughout the culvert barrel, and blended with the intact streambed at the culvert inlet and outlet. Any associated road surface reinstallation is not included as part of this practice. If the reinstallation of a field access lane is needed, consider the planning and application of the associated road surface reinstallation through (560) Access Road. Other associated practices include, but is not limited to (342) Critical Area Planting, (382) Fence, (390) Riparian Herbaceous Cover, (391) Riparian Forest Buffer, (612) Tree/Shrub Establishment; (395) Stream Habitat Improvement and Management, (410) Grade Stabilization Structure, (584) Channel Bed Stabilization, (580) Streambank and Shoreline Protection. After Practice Description: The undersized culvert is replaced with a CMP sized, placed, and backfilled with material determined by geomorphic analyses performed in a reference upstream reach of the crossing location. Geomorphic and ecological functions are preserved through the crossing site, enhancing AOP, water quality, and culvert longevity. In addition, because the culvert is sized to transport the streamflow, the culvert requires decreased maintenance activities over time. Landowners are able to access their property across a range of flows, and are able to seek and receive emergency and post-flood recovery services.	CMP	Each	\$2,392.12	\$3,588.18	\$3,588.18	\$4,305.82

BRUSH MANAGEMENT Practice Code 314

Non-Livestock Management Practice

PRS Unit of Measurement: Acre

Definition: The removal, reduction, or manipulation of woody (non-herbaceous) plant species. Brush includes unwanted woody vegetation consisting of half-shrubs, shrubs and trees.

Purpose: To restore natural plant community balance, create a desirable plant community, reduce competition for space, moisture, and sunlight between desired and unwanted plants and manage noxious woody plants. The restoration of desired vegetative cover will protect soils, control erosion, reduce sediment, improve water quality, and enhance stream flow. Managing brush will maintain or enhance wildlife habitat including that associated with threatened and endangered species, improve the forage accessibility, quality and quantity for livestock. Managing brush will protect life and property from hazardous wildfires and improve visibility and access for livestock handling.

Applicability: Prairie, native or naturalized pastures, pasture and hay lands where removal or reduction of excessive woody (non-herbaceous) plants is desired.

Limitations: Brush management will be planned in a manner that will not adversely affect threatened or endangered species or their habitats. Areas of critically important wildlife habitat for endangered species will be pointed out. Landowners will be encouraged to exclude the area from treatment, use treatments that do not impact the desired habitat, or treat at a time when adverse impact will be minimal. See lowa Biology Technical Note #22 in regards to Indiana Bat and their Habitat Requirements when developing brush management plans in lowa.

Maintenance: Practice will be maintained for a lifespan of 10 years.

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
314-1	Light Brush Management	Scenario Description: Light brush management is used on non-cropland acres (including forestland, pasture, and wildlife areas) where less than 10% canopy cover across the treatment area is in undesirable non-herbaceous cover, and the treatment area is less than 18% slope on average. Payment is based on impacted acres only. Treatment may consist of chemical, mechanical, manual, or a combination of methods. Cost represents typical situations for conventional, organic, and transitioning to organic producers. For organic land, chemical applications must be OMRI approved chemicals. After Practice Description: Undesirable non-herbaceous species are controlled with a pass with a brush hog over the treatment area followed by spot chemical treatment. The treatment area is mechanically treated early in the growing season to reduce above ground biomass. The treated plants will readily resprout, and after adequate re-sprouting occurs herbicide will be applied to the new growth. This combined treatment will allow better access for the herbicide application equipment, better coverage on target plants, and less overall herbicide applied.	Acres treated	Acre	\$23.32	\$34.97	\$34.97	\$41.97
314-2	Medium Brush Management	Scenario Description: Medium brush management is used on non-cropland acres (including forestland, pasture, and wildlife areas) where 10% - 39% canopy cover across the treatment area is in undesirable non-herbaceous cover, and the treatment area is less than 18% slope on average. Payment is based on impacted acres only. Treatment may consist of chemical, mechanical, manual, or a combination of methods. Cost represents typical situations for conventional, organic, and transitioning to organic producers. For organic land, chemical applications must be OMRI approved chemicals. After Practice Description: Undesirable non-herbaceous species are controlled with a pass with a brush hog over the treatment area followed by spot chemical treatment. The treatment area is mechanically treated early in the growing season to reduce above ground biomass. The treated plants will readily resprout, and after adequate re-sprouting occurs herbicide will be applied to the new growth. This combined treatment will allow better access for the herbicide application equipment, better coverage on target plants, and less overall herbicide applied.	Acres planned	Acre	\$38.62	\$57.92	\$57.92	\$69.51

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
314-3	High Brush Management	Scenario Description: High brush management is used on non-cropland acres (including forestland, pasture, and wildlife areas) where 40%-60% canopy cover across the treatment area is in undesirable non-herbaceous cover, or the treatment area is on land with 18% - 25% slopes on average regardless of percent cover of undesirable species. Payment is based on impacted acres only. Treatment may consist of chemical, mechanical, manual, or a combination of method of the thodox of t	Acres planned	Acre	\$92.33	\$138.50	\$138.50	\$166.19
314-4	Very High Brush Management	Scenario Description: High brush management is used on non-cropland acres (including forestland, pasture, and wildlife areas) where greater than 60% canopy cover across the treatment area is in undesirable non-herbaceous cover, or the treatment area is on land with greater than 25% slopes on average regardless of percent cover of undesirable species. Payment is based on impacted acres only. Treatment may consist of chemical, mechanical, manual, or a combination of methods. Cost represents typical situations for conventional, organic, and transitioning to organic producers. For organic land, chemical applications must be OMRI approved chemicals. After Practice Description: Undesirable non-herbaceous species are controlled with a combination of manual chain sawing, pass with a brush hog over the treatment area, and spot chemical treatment. The treatment area is mechanically treated early in the growing season to reduce above ground biomass. The treated plants will readily resprout, and after adequate re-sprouting occurs herbicide will be applied to the new growth. This combined treatment will allow better access for the herbicide application equipment, better coverage on target plants, and less overall herbicide applied.	Acres planned	Acre	\$150.94	\$226.41	\$226.41	\$271.69

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
314-6	Glade/Savanna Restoration	Scenario Description: Removing or reducing woody plant canopy and utilizing chemical treatment (except for non-sprouting woody species) to promote herbaceous growth to restore and manage glades/savannas. Facilitating practices may include but not limited to: Early Successional Habitat Management/Development (647), Prescribed Burning (338), Restoration and Management of Rare and Declining Habitats (643), and Conservation Cover (327). After Practice Description: The glade/savanna habitat is restored and flora and fauna that depend on the glade/savanna habitat flourish.	area of opening	Acre	\$160.06	\$240.09	\$240.09	\$288.10

BUILDING ENVELOPE IMPROVEMENT Practice Code 672

Non - Livestock Structural Practice

PRS Unit of Measurement: Number

Definition: Modification or retrofit of the building envelope of an existing agricultural structure.

Purpose: This practice may be applied to reduce energy use by regulating heat transfer.

Applicability: This practice applies to any agricultural facility which is climate controlled at least part of the time with a completed energy analysis that complies with the guidelines for a Type 2 on-farm energy audit per the American Society of Agricultural and Biological Engineers (ASABE) S612. The audit will have at a minimum addressed the major activities of ventilation, air heating and air cooling that exists in the building.

Limitations: Contracts that include Agricultural Energy Management Plans or audits as required for 672 – Building Envelope Improvement shall have energy plans or audits sent to the Area Engineer who will forward a copy to Mark Garrison in the State Office for administrative review before certification of plans or installation of practices outlined in plans or audits. Plans must meet the technical requirements of ASABE S612 - Performing On-farm Energy Audits. The Farm Energy Improvement Practices Implementation and Certification Record worksheet will be completed and signed by the participant prior to practice certification for payment.

Maintenance: Practice will be maintained for a lifespan of 10 years.

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
672-1	Building Envelope - Attic Insulation	Scenario Description: Install a minimum R-7 insulation in an existing attic or ceiling to reduce heat transfer. Increased insulation reduces seasonal heat loss and heat gain which reduces the respective need for heating and cooling equipment to operate. Payment includes materials, equipment and labor to install. After Practice Description: A more effective and efficient building envelope can be created through addition of, or increased, attic insulation. The resource concern is inefficient use of energy in the farm operation which increases dependence on non-renewable energy sources and can be addressed through improved energy efficiency. Any improvements are based on a Type 2 energy audit meeting the requirements of ASABE S612. Associated practices/activities: 122-AgEMP - HQ, 670- Lighting System Improvement, and 374-Farmstead Energy Improvement.	Area of Attic Insulated	Square Foot	\$0.36	\$0.53	\$0.53	\$0.64
672-2	Building Envelope - Wall Insulation	Scenario Description: Enclose both sidewalls and end walls from ceiling to floor in one of two manners: 1) metal exterior, 3.5' fiberglass batts (R-11), vapor barrier, & interior plywood or OSB sheathing, or 2) closed-cell polyurethane foam application (minimum 1' thickness (R-7) of 2.5 lbs./cu. ft or higher density, (3.0 or higher density preferred) with a form of physical protective barrier on lower 2' (may be 6 lbs./cu. ft or higher density 1/8' thick foam, or treated lumber). Payment includes materials, equipment and labor to install. After Practice Description: A more effective and efficient building envelope can be created through addition of, or increased, insulation in a 40' x 400' poultry house. The resource concern is inefficient use of energy in the farm operation which increases dependence on non-renewable energy sources and can be addressed through improved energy efficiency. Any improvements are based on a Type 2 energy audit meeting the requirements of ASABE S612. Associated practices/activities: may include 122-AgEMP - HQ, 670-Lighting System Improvement, and 374-Farmstead Energy Improvement.	Area of Wall Insulated	Square Foot	\$1.00	\$1.50	\$1.50	\$1.80

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
672-3	Building Envelope - Sealant	Scenario Description: Seal the gaps between walls, gables, ceiling, etc. in a poultry house or greenhouse. Payment includes materials, equipment and labor performed by a professional contractor. After Practice Description: A more effective and efficient building envelope can be created through interior sealing of the exterior walls at the footer plate, eaves, ridge cap, and gable ends. The sealant reduces seasonal heat loss and heat gain due to infiltration which reduces the respective need for heating and cooling equipment to operate. The unit basis of payment in this scenario is each house based on 60' x 500' poultry house with an assumed need of sealant to seal 2400 linear feet of gap. The resource concern is inefficient use of energy in the farm operation which increases dependence on non-renewable energy sources and can be addressed through improved energy efficiency. Any improvements are based on a Type 2 energy audit meeting the requirements of ASABE S612. Associated practices/activities: may include 122-AgEMP - HQ, 670-Lighting System Improvement, and 374-Farmstead Energy Improvement.	Perimeter of heated structure	Foot	\$0.75	\$1.13	\$1.13	\$1.35
672-4	Building Envelope - Greenhouse Screens	Scenario Description: Installation of a mechanical energy screen system associated with a greenhouse consisting of a drive motor, support cables, controls, and shade material, which may be woven, knitted, or non-woven strips of aluminum fiber, polyethylene, nylon or other synthetic material. The screen provides a means to better control solar heat gain and heat transfer during night or cold weather c o n d i t i o n s to reduce energy use. Screens and similar devices may also be used to divide internal areas and allow for differentiated heating, ventilation, or cooling system operation to reduce energy use. Payment includes materials and labor to install. After Practice Description: The greenhouse is fitted with a mechanically controlled energy screen installed truss-to-truss or gutter-to-gutter, with side screens as necessary, reducing heat loss in the greenhouse. The resource concern is inefficient use of energy in the farm operation which increases dependence on non-renewable energy sources and can be addressed through improved energy efficiency. Any improvements are based on a Type 2 energy audit meeting the requirements of ASABE S612. Associated practices/activities: may include 122-AgEMP - HQ, 670-Lighting System Improvement, and 374-Farmstead Energy Improvement.	Area of Screen	Square Foot	\$0.99	\$1.49	\$1.49	\$1.79

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
672-5	Building Envelope - Greenhouse Unglazed Wall Insulation	Scenario Description: Installation of insulation in greenhouse to address energy loss. The insulation can be either of the cellulose or bubble type (or equivalent). The increased insulation reduces seasonal heat loss and heat gain which reduces the respective need for heating and cooling equipment to operate. Payment includes materials and labor to install. After Practice Description: The greenhouse is fitted with insulation installed truss-to-truss or gutter-to-gutter and/or non glazed end walls and/or sidewalls, reducing heat loss and gain in the greenhouse. The resource concern is inefficient use of energy in the farm operation which increases dependence on non-renewable energy sources and can be addressed through improved energy efficiency. Any improvements are based on a Type 2 energy audit meeting the requirements of ASABE S612. Associated practices/activities: may include 122-AgEMP - HQ, 670-Lighting System Improvement, and 374-Farmstead Energy Improvement.	Square Feet of insulation	Square Foot	\$0.15	\$0.23	\$0.23	\$0.27
672-6	Building Envelope - Insulated Door Upgrade	Scenario Description: Replace an existing door with an insulated door, such as but not limited to a steel roll up door in a poultry building. Increased insulation reduces seasonal heat loss and heat gain which reduces the respective need for heating and cooling equipment to operate. Payment includes materials and labor to install. After Practice Description: A 20 gauge 12' x 12' rolling service insulated steel door is installed as a replacement for an existing less efficient door on a poultry building. The resource concern is inefficient use of energy in the farm operation which increases dependence on nonrenewable energy sources and can be addressed through improved energy efficiency. Any improvements are based on a Type 2 energy audit meeting the requirements of ASABE S612. Associated practices/activities may include: 122-AgEMP - HQ, 670-Lighting System Improvement, and 374-Farmstead Energy Improvement.	Square foot	Square Foot	\$5.28	\$7.92	\$7.92	\$9.51

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
672-7	Building Envelope - Insulated Curtain Upgrade	Scenario Description: Replacement of an existing non-insulated curtain with a seven layer insulated curtain with an R- value of 3 for a livestock building. The curtain's two outer layers are vinyl and polyethylene and the five inner layers are composed of insulating materials with air trapping fibers and a vapor barrier. Payment includes curtain and labor to install. Payment does not includes mounting accessories because the scenario assumes the curtain is replacing a non-insulated curtain. After Practice Description: A 7 layer insulated curtain is installed as a replacement for an existing less efficient curtain on a livestock building. The resource concern is inefficient use of energy in the farm operation which increases dependence on non-renewable energy sources and can be addressed through improved energy efficiency. Any improvements are based on a Type 2 energy audit meeting the requirements of ASABE S612. Associated practices/activities may include: 122-AgEMP - HQ, 670-Lighting System Improvement, and 374-Farmstead Energy Improvement.	Square Foot	Square Foot	\$1.26	\$1.90	\$1.90	\$2.28
672-8	Building Envelope - Curtain Wall Conversion	Scenario Description: Converting part or all of a curtain wall to solid insulated wall by installation of an insulated metal cover in a livestock building. Payment includes materials and labor for the installation of a weather proof exterior such as, but not limited to, corrugated steel, and insulation such as, but not limited to polyurethane R-7. Payment does not include upgrade to ventilation. After Practice Description: An insulated metal wall is installed as a replacement for an existing less efficient curtain on a livestock building. Conversion is for a building that requires 3040 sq. ft. of wall to replace the curtains. The resource concern is inefficient use of energy in the farm operation which increases dependence on non-renewable energy sources and can be addressed through improved energy efficiency. Any improvements are based on a Type 2 energy audit meeting the requirements of ASABE S612. Associated practices/activities may include: 122-AgEMP - HQ, 670-Lighting System Improvement, and 374-Farmstead Energy Improvement.	Square Foot	Square Foot	\$1.80	\$2.70	\$2.70	\$3.23

CLEARING AND SNAGGING Practice Code 326

Non - Livestock Structural Practice

PRS Unit of Measurement: FEET

Definition: Removal of vegetation along the bank (clearing) and/or selective removal of snags, drifts, or other obstructions (snagging) from natural or improved channels and streams.

Purpose: Reduce risks to agricultural resources or civil infrastructure by removing obstructions that hinder channel flow or sediment transport in order to: Restore flow capacity and direction; Prevent excessive bank erosion by eddies or redirection of flow; Reduce the undesirable formation of bars; and/or; Minimize blockages by debris and ice.

Applicability: Any natural or improved channel where the removal of vegetation, trees, brush, and other obstructions is needed to accomplish the listed purposes.

Limitations:

Maintenance: Practice will be maintained for a lifespan of 5 years.

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
326-1	Clearing and Snagging	Scenario Description: Removal of vegetation, logs, or other material that impedes the proper functioning along a length of stream channel or water course to restore flow capacity; prevent bank erosion by eddies; reduce the formation of sediment bars; and/or minimize blockages by debris. Addresses resource concerns such as water quantity and soil erosion-streambanks. After Practice Description: Vegetation, logs, or other material have been removed to allow unrestricted flow in the channel and appurtenant structures. Material that poses no blockage threat is left in place to enhance aquatic habitat. Channel bed and banks are in equilibrium with the flow.	Length of Channel	Foot	\$7.89	\$11.84	N/A	N/A

COMPOSTING FACILITY Practice Code 317

Livestock Structural Practice

PRS Unit of Measurement: Animal Unit

Definition: A facility to process raw manure or other raw organic by-products into biologically stable organic material.

Purpose: To reduce the pollution potential of organic agricultural wastes to surface and groundwater. The material for composting may include livestock and poultry manure and food processing wastes where food is processed as part of normal farming.

Applicability: Where a composting facility is a component of a planned agricultural waste management system and can be constructed, operated and maintained without polluting air and/or water resources. Where there is a need to improve air quality by reducing the emissions of odorous gases.

Limitations: Dead animal composting will be done under Practice 316 – Animal Mortality Facility. Municipal sludge, solid waste and other non-farm type wastes are not included in this standard.

Maintenance: Practice will be maintained for a lifespan of 15 years.

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
317-1	Concrete Slab Under Wood Bin Dividers	Scenario Description: A composting facility for manure and other agricultural organic by-products designed with a concrete slab under wooden bin dividers. Composter is installed to address water quality concerns and results in a composted product that can be used in multiple ways. Payment includes materials and equipment necessary for pad and bin construction. If a roof is to be included in the installation refer to Practice Standard 367 - Roofs and Covers. Not to be used for animal mortality composting. All animal mortality composting shall be done using Practice Standard 316 - Animal Mortality Facility. After Practice Description: Manure, litter and other agricultural by-products are being controlled, by the collection at the source, and stored properly, at an environmentally suitable location, until such time that they are disposed of or utilized in a proper manner. This scenario is based upon a 40' x 56' concrete slab with 5' high bin dividers, and 5 bins (configured 2 at 20'x28' and 3 at 20'x18.5'). Preparation includes stripping the top 1' of soil and roll compact same back into sub-floor. The bins are constructed on a 5' concrete slab used to store and stabilize manure, litter and other agricultural by-products. Potential Associated Practices: Fence (382), Critical Area Planting (342), Nutrient Management (590), Access Road (560), Structure for water control (587), Diversion (362), Pipeline (516), Subsurface Drain (606), Heavy Use Area Protection (561), Roofs and Covers (367), Roof Runoff Structure (558), Waste Storage Facility (313), Waste Recycling (633), Waste Transfer (634), Underground Outlet (620) and Vegetative Treatment Area (635).	Cubic Foot of Storage	Cubic Foot	\$0.74	\$1.11	\$1.11	\$1.33

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
317-2	Concrete Slab Under Concrete Bin Dividers	Scenario Description: A composting facility for manure and other agricultural organic by-products designed with a concrete slab under concrete bin dividers. Composter is installed to address water quality concerns and results in a composted product that can be used in multiple ways. Payment includes materials and equipment necessary for pad and bin construction. If a roof is to be included in the installation refer to Practice Standard 367 - Roofs and Covers. Not to be used for animal mortality composting. All animal mortality composting shall be done using Practice Standard 316 - Animal Mortality Facility. After Practice Description: Manure, litter and other agricultural by-products are being controlled, by the collection at the source, and stored properly, at an environmentally suitable location, until such time that they are disposed of or utilized in a proper manner. This scenario is based upon a 40' x 56' concrete slab with 5' high bin dividers, and 5 bins (configured 2 at 20'x28' and 3 at 20'x18.5'). Preparation includes stripping the top 1' of soil and roll compact same back into sub-floor. The bins are constructed on a 5' concrete slab used to store and stabilize manure, litter and other agricultural by-products. Note regarding scenario for concrete walls versus wood walls: the more sturdy concrete walls are necessary in situations where a producer is managing the composting with heavy equipment that would easily damage and compromise the integrity of wooden walls. Potential Associated Practices: Fence (382), Critical Area Planting (342), Nutrient Management (590), Access Road (560), Structure for water control (587), Diversion (362), Pipeline (516), Subsurface Drain (606), Heavy Use Area Protection (561), Roofs and Covers (367), Roof Runoff Structure (558), Waste Storage Facility (313), Waste Recycling (633), Waste Transfer (634), Underground Outlet (620) and Vegetative Treatment Area (635).	Cubic Foot of Storage	Cubic Foot	\$1.01	\$1.52	\$1.52	\$1.82

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
317-4	Concrete Pad	Scenario Description: A composting facility for manure and other agricultural organic by-products designed with a concrete pad. Composter is installed to address water quality concerns and results in a composted product that can be used in multiple ways. Payment includes materials and equipment necessary for pad construction. This scenario is applicable when geological, soil, climate conditions or state and local regulations prohibit the use of an earthen surface. If a roof is to be included in the installation refer to Practice Standard 367 - Roofs and Covers. Not to be used for animal mortality composting. All animal mortality composting shall be done using Practice Standard 316 - Animal Mortality Facility. After Practice Description: Manure and other agricultural by-products are being controlled, by the collection at the source, and stored temporarily, at an environmentally suitable location, until such time that they are disposed of or utilized in a proper manner. This scenario consists of removing and compacting back into place the top 1' of soil to create a compacted, impervious earthen floor to act as a working area to compost organic material in a static pile, windrow, that has sufficient carbon based bulking material to allow natural aeration. Piles typically turned at least once to go into another heat cycle prior to final disposal, typically land application. Construct a 75'x226' concrete surface 5' thick on an improved compacted earthen surface. Include sufficient area for processing equipment access. Single piles or windrows to minimize runoff. Site to be located out of drainage areas, off-site water diverted and any runoff to spread out in to a grassed area or vegetated treatment area as per regulations. Site preparation includes topsoil removal, compaction of subsoil, and reinstalling topsoil, compacted. Note regarding scenario for concrete versus just earthen pad: concrete pads are necessary in situations such as, but not limited to, a site with soils that are permeable, karst, frequently acce	Square Foot Floor Area	Square Foot	\$2.06	\$3.09	\$3.09	\$3.71

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
317-5	Pad, 6 inch	Scenario Description: A composting facility for manure and other agricultural organic by-products designed with a 6' compacted gravel pad. Composter is installed to address water quality concerns and results in a composted product that can be used in multiple ways. Payment includes materials and equipment necessary for pad construction. This scenario is applicable when geological, soil, climate conditions or state and local regulations prohibit the use of an earthen surface, but does not require a hard working surface such as concrete. If a roof is to be included in the installation refer to Practice Standard 367 - Roofs and Covers. Not to be used for animal mortality composting. All animal mortality composting shall be done using Practice Standard 316 - Animal Mortality Facility. After Practice Description: Manure and other agricultural by-products are being controlled, by the collection at the source, and stored properly, at an environmentally suitable location, until such time that they are disposed of or utilized in a proper manner. This scenario consists of installing a gravel pad over impervious soil to act as a working area to compost organic material in a static pile, windrow that has sufficient carbon based bulking material to allow natural aeration. Piles typically turned at least once to go into another heat cycle prior to final disposal, typically land application. Construct a 75'x226' area on an improved gravel surface. Sub base material sufficiently compacted or improved. Include sufficient area for processing equipment access. Single piles or windrows to minimize runoff. Site to be located out of drainage areas, off-site water diverted and any runoff to spread out into a grassed area or vegetated treatment area as per regulations. Site preparation includes topsoil removal, compaction of subsoil, and installing 6' of compacted gravel. Potential Associated Practices: Fence (382), Critical Area Planting (342), Nutrient Management (590), Access Road (560), Structure for water control (587), Divers	Square Foot Floor Area	Square Foot	\$0.45	\$0.68	\$0.68	\$0.81

COMPREHENSIVE NUTRIENT MANAGEMENT PLAN (CNMP) - WRITTEN Practice Code 102

PRS Unit of Measurement: Number

Definition: A CNMP is a conservation plan that is unique to animal feeding operation (AFO). It is a grouping of conservation practices and management activities which, when implemented as part of a conservation system, will help to ensure that both production and natural resource protection goals are achieved. A CNMP incorporates practices to utilize animal manure and organic by-products as a beneficial resource. A CNMP addresses natural resource concerns dealing with soil erosion, manure, and organic by-products and their potential impacts on water quality, which may derive from an AFO. A CNMP is developed to assist an AFO owner/operator in meeting all applicable local, tribal, State, and Federal water quality goals or regulations. The four components of a CNMP are Signature Page, Record of Decisions for Farmstead/Production Areas, Record of Decisions for Land Treatment Areas Receiving Manure, and Nutrient Management Plan.

Purpose: To document the AFO owner's and/or operator's plan to manage manure and organic by-products by combining conservation practices and management activities into a conservation system that, when implemented, will achieve the goal of the producer and protect or improve water quality.

Applicability: Where a livestock facility exists in lowa that will benefit from the development and implementation of a Comprehensive Nutrient Management Plan.

+The four required components of a CNMP are Manure and Wastewater Handling and Storage; Land Treatment; Nutrient Management and Recordkeeping. Feed Management and Other Utilization Activities are not required for all contracts.

Limitations: Although this is considered a management practice, it is a one-time payment. All components of the CNMP must be completed before any design work is begun on contracted structures.

For nutrient impaired stream segments or water bodies, additional management activities or conservation practices may be required to meet local, tribal, State, or Federal water quality goals or regulations.

Landowner must obtain any required permits or certifications - Federal, Tribal, State, local, manure applicator, or inspection for this practice. Non-USDA fees such as permit fees are ineligible for payment.

Technical Service Providers (TSP): TSPs must be certified through NRCS in any of the components they contract for. For more detailed information on how to use a TSP go to http://www.ia.nrcs.usda.gov/technical/TSP.html.

Maintenance: Practice will be maintained for a lifespan of 1 year.

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
102-50	Non-Dairy Operation Less Than 300 AU with Land Application	Scenario Description: A Comprehensive Nutrient Management Plan (CNMP) will be developed to address resource concerns on a small non-dairy Animal Feeding Operation (AFO) of less than 300 animal units (AU)-primarily swine, poultry, and beef AFOs. The producer may export (material transferred to another owner with written documentation of the transfer) modest amounts of the manure or organic products from the farm. For operations where manure is both applied to land the AFO owner/operator controls and exported offsite, guidance to determine appropriate CNMP CAP scenario selection shall be provided by NRCS at the state level. The producer has an animal production area, farms cropland and applies most nutrients. The CNMP is a conservation plan that addresses resource concerns on the AFO production area and land application areas. Production area components of the plan must include animal confinement facilities, feeding and lounging lots, animal mortality facilities, and manure containment and storage facilities. Land application components of the plan must include all lands under the control of the AFO owner or operator where waste materials are being applied. Planned practices on the production area and land application areas must result in meeting NRCS quality criteria for water quality and soil erosion. Any applicable air emission and negative air quality impacts occurring as a result of planned CNMP activities, or existing on-farm activities must be mitigated in the CNMP if feasible. The CNMP meets the AFO owner/operator's production objectives. After Practice Description: A certified Technical Services Provider (TSP) has delivered, to the AFO owner/operator, a comprehensive nutrient management plan meeting CNMP CAP criteria (GM - Part 405 - Comprehensive Nutrient Management Plan) and to NRCs with the CNMP Case File data that describes management and conservation practices sheared and planned for adequacy according to applicable NRCS conservation racaice standard technical criteria by a Professional Engineer. Ma	Number	Number	\$6,387.94	\$7,665.53	N/A	N/A

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
102-51	Dairy Operation Less Than 300 AU with Land Application	Scenario Description: A Comprehensive Nutrient Management Plan (CNMP) and CNMP Case File will be developed to address resource concerns on a small Dairy Animal Feeding Operation (AFO) of less than 300 animal units (AU). The producer may export (material transferred to another owner with written documentation of the transfer) modest amounts of the manure or organic products from the farm. For operations where manure is both applied to land the AFO owner/operator controls and exported offsite, guidance to determine appropriate CNMP CAP scenario selection shall be provided by NRCS at the state level. The producer has an animal production area, farms cropland and applies most nutrients. The CNMP is a conservation plan that addresses resource concerns on the AFO production area and land application areas. Production area components of the plan must include animal confinement facilities, feeding and lounging lots, animal mortality facilities, and manure containment and storage facilities. Land application components of the plan must include all lands under the control of the AFO owner or operator where waste materials are being applied. Planned practices on the production area and land application areas must result in meeting NRCS quality criteria for water quality and soil erosion. Any applicable air emission and negative air quality impacts occurring as a result of planned CNMP activities, or existing on- farm activities must be mitigated in the CNMP if feasible. The CNMP meets the AFO owner/operator's production objectives. After Practice Description: A certified Technical Services Provider (TSP) has delivered, to the AFO owner/operator, a comprehensive nutrient management plan meeting CNMP CAP criteria (GM - Part 405 - Comprehensive Nutrient Management Plans) and to NRCS the CNMP with Case File data that describes management and conservation practice solutions to all identified resource concerns on the small-sized dairy AFO production area and land application areas. Collection, transfer, and storage of manure a	Number	Number	\$7,971.47	\$9,565.77	N/A	N/A

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
102-52	Than or Equal to 300 AU and Less Than 700 AU with	Scenario Description: A Comprehensive Nutrient Management Plan (CNMP) will be developed to address resource concerns on a medium non-dairy Animal Feeding Operation (AFO) of greater than or equal to 300 and less than 700 animal units (AU).— primarily swine, poultry, and beef AFOs. The producer may export (material transferred to another owner with written documentation of the transfer) modest amounts of the manure or organic products from the farm. For operations where manure is both applied to land the AFO owner/operator controls and exported offsite, guidance to determine appropriate CNMP CAP scenario selection shall be provided by NRCS at the state level. The producer has an animal production area, farms cropland and applies most nutrients. The CNMP is a conservation plan that addresses resource concerns on the AFO production area and land application areas. Production area components of the plan must include animal confinement facilities, feeding and lounging lots, animal mortality facilities, and manure containment and storage facilities. Land application components of the plan must include all lands under the control of the AFO owner or operator where waste materials are being applied. Planned practices on the production area and land application areas must result in meeting NRCS quality criteria for water quality and soil erosion. Any applicable air emission and negative air quality impacts occurring as a result of planned CNMP activities, or existing on-farm activities must be mitigated in the CNMP if feasible. The CNMP meets the AFO owner/operator's production objectives. After Practice Description: A certified Technical Services Provider (TSP) has delivered, to the AFO owner/operator, a comprehensive nutrient management plan meeting CNMP CAP criteria (GM - Part 405 - Comprehensive Nutrient Management Plans) and to NRCS with the CNMP Case File data that describes management and conservation practice solutions to all identified resource concerns on the non-dairy AFO production area and land application	Number	Number	\$8,228.36	\$9,874.03	N/A	N/A
<u> </u>	1	72					<u> </u>	1

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
102-53	Dairy Operation Greater Than or Equal to 300 AU and Less Than 700 AU with Land Application	Scenario Description: A Comprehensive Nutrient Management Plan (CNMP) will be developed to address resource concerns on a medium Dairy Animal Feeding Operation (AFO) of greater than or equal to 300 and less than 700 animal units (AU). The producer may export (material transferred to another owner with written documentation of the transfer) modest amounts of the manure or organic products from the farm. For operations where manure is both applied to land the AFO owner/operator controls and exported offsite, guidance to determine appropriate CNMP CAP scenario selection shall be provided by NRCS at the state level. The producer has an animal production area, farms cropland and applies most nutrients. The CNMP is a conservation plan that addresses resource concerns on the AFO production area and land application areas. Production area components of the plan must include animal confinement facilities, feeding and lounging lots, animal mortality facilities, and manure containment and storage facilities. Land application components of the plan must include all lands under the control of the AFO owner or operator where waste materials are being applied. Planned practices on the production area and land application areas must result in meeting NRCS quality criteria for water quality and soil erosion. Any applicable air emission and negative air quality impacts occurring as a result of planned CNMP activities, or existing on-farm activities must be mitigated in the CNMP if feasible. The CNMP meets the AFO owner/operator's production objectives. After Practice Description: A certified Technical Services Provider (TSP) has delivered, to the AFO owner/operator, a comprehensive nutrient management plan meeting CNMP CAP criteria (GM - Part 405 - Comprehensive Nutrient Management Plans) and to NRCS the CNMP with Case File data that describes management and conservation practices of values and application areas. Collection, transfer, and storage of manure and wastewater systems, mortality management facilities, as well as any v	Number	Number	\$9,107.89	\$10,929.46	N/A	N/A
		13						

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
102-54	Non-Dairy Operation Greater Than or Equal to 700 AU with Land Application	Scenario Description: A Comprehensive Nutrient Management Plan (CNMP) will be developed to address resource concerns on a large non-dairy Animal Feeding Operation ((AFO) of greater than or equal to 700 animal units (AU)—primarily swine, poultry, and beef AFOs. The producer may export (material transferred to another owner with written documentation of the transfer) modest amounts of the manure or organic products from the farm. For operations where manure is both applied to land the AFO owner/operator controls and exported offsite, guidance to determine appropriate CNMP CAP scenario selection shall be provided by NRCS at the state level. The producer has an animal production area, farms cropland and applies most nutrients. The CNMP is a conservation plan that addresses resource concerns on the AFO production area and land application areas. Production area components of the plan must include animal confinement facilities, feeding and lounging lots, animal mortality facilities, and manure containment and storage facilities. Land application components of the plan must include all lands under the control of the AFO owner or operator where waste materials are being applied. Planned practices on the production area and land application areas must result in meeting NRCS quality criteria for water quality and soil erosion. Any applicable air emission and negative air quality impacts occurring as a result of planned CNMP activities, or existing onfarm activities must be mitigated in the CNMP if feasible. The CNMP meets the AFO owner/operator's production objectives. After Practice Description: A certified Technical Services Provider (TSP) has delivered, to the AFO owner/operator; a comprehensive nutrient management plan meeting CNMP CAP criteria (GM - Part 405 - Comprehensive Nutrient Management Plans) and to NRCS with the CNMP Case File data that describes management and conservation practice solutions to all identified resource concerns on the non-dairy AFO production area and land application areas. Collection, tr	Number	Number	\$9,939.86	\$11,927.83	N/A	N/A

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
102-55	Dairy Operation Greater Than or Equal to 700 AU with Land Application	Scenario Description: A Comprehensive Nutrient Management Plan (CNMP) will be developed to address resource concerns on a large Dairy Animal Feeding Operation (AFO) of greater than or equal to 700 animal units (AU). The producer may export (material transferred to another owner with written documentation of the transfer) modest amounts of the manure or organic products from the farm. For operations where manure is both applied to land the AFO owner/operator controls and exported offsite, guidance to determine appropriate CNMP CAP scenario selection shall be provided by NRCS at the state level. The producer has an animal production area, farms cropland and applies most nutrients. The CNMP is a conservation plan that addresses resource concerns on the AFO production area and land application areas. Production area components of the plan must include animal confinement facilities, feeding and lounging lots, animal mortality facilities, and manure containment and storage facilities. Land application components of the plan must include all lands under the control of the AFO owner or operator where waste materials are being applied. Planned practices on the production area and land application areas must result in meeting NRCS quality criteria for water quality and soil erosion. Any applicable air emission and negative air quality impacts occurring as a result of planned CNMP activities, or existing on- farm activities must be mitigated in the CNMP if feasible. The CNMP meets the AFO owner/operator's production objectives. After Practice Description: A certified Technical Services Provider (TSP) has delivered, to the AFO owner/operator, a comprehensive nutrient management plan meeting CNMP CAP criteria (GM - Part 405 - Comprehensive Nutrient Management Plans) and to NRCS the CNMP with Case File data that describes management and conservation practice solutions to all identified resource concerns on the dairy AFO production area and land application areas. Collection, transfer, and storage of manure and wastewater sy	Number	Number	\$10,127.28	\$12,152.74	N/A	N/A

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
102-56	Livestock Operation Less Than 300 AU without Land Application	Scenario Description: A Comprehensive Nutrient Management Plan (CNMP) will be developed to address resource concerns on a small Animal Feeding Operation (AFO) of less than 300 animal units (AU). The producer exports (material transferred to another owner with written documentation of the transfer) nearly all of the manure or organic products from the farm. For operations where manure is both applied to land the AFO owner/operator controls and exported offsite, guidance to determine appropriate CNMP CAP scenario selection shall be provided by NRCS at the state level. The CNMP is a conservation plan that addresses resource concerns on the AFO production area and land application areas owned or controlled by the AFO owner/operator. In this scenario, the primary focus will be addressing resource concerns present on the production area, including manure/wastewater handling and storage, and documentation of manure generation by the AFO, and its export. Production area components of the plan must include animal confinement facilities, feeding and lounging areas, animal mortality facilities, and manure containment and storage facilities. Planned practices on the production area must result in meeting NRCS quality criteria for water quality impacts occurring as a result of planned CNMP activities, or existing on-farm activities must be mitigated in the CNMP if feasible. The CNMP meets the AFO owner's/operator's production objectives. After Practice Description: A certified Technical Services Provider (TSP) has delivered, to the AFO owner/operator, a comprehensive conservation plan meeting CNMP CAP criteria (GM - Part 405 - Comprehensive Nutrient Management Plans) and to NRCS a the CNMP Case File that describes management and conservation practice solutions to all identified resource concerns on the small sized AFO production area and any applicable land application areas. Collection, transfer, and storage of manure and wastewater systems, mortality management facilities, as well as any rainfall or runoff diversion syste	Number	Number	\$5,758.10	\$6,909.71	N/A	N/A

<u>Table of Contents</u>

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
102-57	Livestock Operation Greater Than 300 AU without Land Application	Scenario Description: A Comprehensive Nutrient Management Plan (CNMP) will be developed to address resource concerns on a medium-large Animal Feeding Operation (AFO) of greater than or equal to 300 animal units (AU). The producer exports (material transferred to another owner with written documentation of the transferred to another owner with written documentation of the transferred power on the manure or organic products from the farm. For operations where manure is both applied to land the AFO owner/operator controls and exported offsite, guidance to determine appropriate CNMP CAP scenario selection shall be provided by NRCS at the state level. The CNMP is a conservation plan that addresses resource concerns on the AFO production area and land application areas owned or controlled by the AFO owner/operator. In this scenario, the primary focus will be addressing resource concerns present on the production area, including manure/wastewater handling and storage, and documentation of manure generation by the AFO, and its export. Production area components of the plan must include animal confinement facilities, feeding and lounging lots, animal mortality facilities, and manure containment and storage facilities. Planned practices on the production area must result in meeting NRCS quality criteria for water quality and soil erosion. Any applicable a i r emission and negative air quality impacts occurring as a result of planned CNMP activities, or existing on-farm activities must be mitigated in the CNMP if feasible. The CNMP meets the AFO owner's/operator's production objectives. After Practice Description: A certified Technical Services Provider (TSP) has delivered, to the AFO owner/operator, a comprehensive conservation pranctice solutions to all identified resource concerns on the small sized AFO production area and any applicable land application areas. Collection, transfer, any applicable land application areas. Collection, transfer, any applicable land application areas and in prover and storage of manure and	Number	Number	\$7,154.21	\$8,585.05	N/A	N/A

CONSERVATION COVER Practice Code 327

Non-Livestock Vegetative Practice

PRS Unit of Measurement: Acre

Definition: Establishing and maintaining perennial vegetative cover on the land.

Purpose: To reduce soil erosion and sedimentation, improve water quality and create or enhance wildlife habitat.

Applicability: All land retired from agricultural production including land entered into retirement programs.

Limitations:

Maintenance: Practice will be maintained for a lifespan of 5 years.

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
327-1	Introduced Grass	Scenario Description: This practice applies on land to be retired from agricultural production and on other lands needing permanent protective cover. This practice typically involves conversion from a row crop cropping system to permanent non-native vegetation (scenario includes non-native grass/legume species). The typical size of the practice is 10 acres. This practice scenario is typically used to reduce soil erosion, reduce soil quality degradation, improve water quality, develop wildlife habitat, and reduce air quality impacts. After Practice Description: Land covered with permanent non-native grass/legume vegetation has reduced soil erosion, reduced water/sediment runoff, and significant dust emissions are eliminated therefore, air quality is improved. Plants sown for conservation cover may provide cover for beneficial insects and wildlife. This scenario does not apply to p I a n t i n g s for forage production or to critical area plantings.	Area planted	Acre	\$313.73	\$470.60	\$470.60	\$564.72
327-2	Native Grass	Scenario Description: This practice applies on land to be retired from agricultural production and on other lands needing permanent protective cover. This practice typically involves conversion from a row crop cropping system to permanent native vegetation (scenario includes native grass). The typical size of the practice is 10 acres. This practice scenario is typically used to reduce soil erosion, reduce soil quality degradation, improve water quality, develop wildlife habitat, and reduce air quality impacts. After Practice Description: Land covered with permanent native grass vegetation has reduced soil erosion, reduced water/sediment runoff, and significant dust emissions are eliminated therefore, air quality is improved. Plants sown for conservation cover may provide cover for beneficial insects and wildlife. This scenario does not apply to plantings for forage production or to critical area plantings.	Area planted	Acre	\$367.96	\$551.95	\$551.95	\$662.34

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
327-3	Organic Introduced Mix	Scenario Description: This practice applies on organically managed land needing permanent protective cover. This practice applies on land to be retired from agricultural production and on other lands needing permanent protective cover. This practice typically involves conversion from a row crop cropping system to permanent non-native vegetation (scenario includes non-native grass/legume species). The typical size of the practice is 10 acres. This practice scenario is typically used to reduce soil erosion, reduce soil quality degradation, improve water quality, develop wildlife habitat, and reduce air quality impacts. After Practice Description: Land covered with permanent non-native grass/legume vegetation has reduced soil erosion, reduced water/sediment runoff, and significant dust emissions are eliminated therefore, air quality is improved. Plants sown for conservation cover may provide cover for beneficial insects and wildlife. This scenario d o e s not apply to plantings for forage production or to critical area plantings.	Area planted	Acre	\$337.10	\$505.65	\$505.65	\$606.78
327-4	Organic Native Mix	Scenario Description: This practice applies on organically managed land needing permanent protective cover. This practice applies on land to be retired from agricultural production and on other lands needing permanent protective cover. This practice typically involves conversion from a row crop cropping system to permanent native vegetation (scenario includes native grass). The typical size of the practice is 10 acres. This practice scenario is typically used to reduce soil erosion, reduce soil quality degradation, improve water quality, develop wildlife habitat, and reduce air quality impacts. *Certified Organic Native Seed is typically NOT available, therefore non-organic seed components were used. After Practice Description: Land covered with permanent native grass vegetation has reduced soil erosion, reduced water/sediment runoff, and significant dust emissions are eliminated therefore, air quality is improved. Plants sown for conservation cover may provide cover for beneficial insects and wildlife. This scenario d o e s not apply to plantings for forage production or to critical area plantings.	Area planted	Acre	\$391.89	\$587.84	\$587.84	\$705.41

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
327-5	Pollinator Habitat	Scenario Description: Permanent vegetation, including mix of native grasses, legume, forbs (mix may also include non-native species), established on any land needing permanent vegetative cover that provides a mix of early, mid, and late season forbs, as well as habitat for pollinators. Typical practice size is variable depending on site, this scenario uses 5 ac as the typical size. In addition to providing pollinator habitat, this practice scenario may also reduce sheet and rill erosion, improve soil quality, improve water quality, and improve air quality. The practice may also provide wildlife habitat. Practice applicable on cropland, odd areas, corners, etc. After Practice Description: Land covered with permanent pollinator habitat including a mix of native grasses, legume, and forbs (mix may also include non-native species). This practice may also have reduced soil erosion, reduced water/sediment runoff, and significant dust emissions are eliminated therefore, air quality is improved. Plants sown for pollinator habitat may also provide cover for beneficial insects and wildlife. This scenario does not apply to critical area plantings.	Area planted	Acre	\$388.12	\$582.18	\$582.18	\$698.62
327-6	Organic Pollinator Habitat	Scenario Description: Permanent vegetation, including mix of native grasses, legume, forbs (mix may also include non-native species), established on organically managed land needing permanent vegetative cover that provides a mix of early, mid, and late season forbs, as well as habitat for pollinators. Typical practice size is variable depending on site, this scenario uses 5 ac as the typical size. In addition to providing pollinator habitat, this practice scenario may also reduce sheet and rill erosion, improve soil quality, improve water quality, and improve air quality. The practice may also provide wildlife habitat. Practice applicable on cropland, odd areas, corners, etc. *Certified Organic Native Seed is typically NOT available, therefore non-organic seed components were used. After Practice Description: Organically managed land covered with permanent pollinator habitat including a mix of native grasses, legume, and forbs (mix may also include non-native species). This practice may also have reduced soil erosion, reduced water/sediment runoff, and significant dust emissions are eliminated therefore, air quality is improved. Plants sown for pollinator habitat may also provide cover for beneficial insects and wildlife. This scenario does not apply to critical area plantings.	Area planted	Acre	\$412.05	\$618.07	\$618.07	\$741.69

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
327-7	Prairie Restoration	Scenario Description: Permanent vegetation, including mix of native grasses, legume, and forbs established on land needing permanent vegetative cover as a restoration to native prairie habitat. Typical practice size is variable depending on site, this scenario uses 10 ac as the typical size. In addition to restoring prairie cover, this practice scenario may also reduce sheet and rill erosion, improve soil quality, improve water quality, and improve air quality. The practice may also provide wildlife habitat. Practice applicable on cropland, odd areas, corners, etc. After Practice Description: Land restored to natural habitat including a mix of native grasses, legume, and forbs. This practice may also have reduced soil erosion, reduced water/sediment runoff, and significant dust emissions are eliminated therefore, air quality is improved. Plants sown for pollinator habitat may also provide cover for beneficial insects and wildlife. This scenario does not apply to critical area plantings.	Area planted	Acre	\$455.02	\$682.53	\$682.53	\$819.04
327-8	Sedge Meadow Plugs	Scenario Description: Permanent herbaceous vegetation, including m i x of native grasses, sedges and other wetland forbs, established on land needing permanent vegetative cover as a restoration to wetland habitat typical to the site. Typical practice size is variable depending on site, this scenario uses 1 ac as the typical size. In addition to restoring sedge meadow cover, this practice scenario may also reduce sheet and rill erosion, improve soil quality, improve water quality, and i m p r o v e air quality. The practice may also provide wildlife habitat. Practice applicable on cropland, riparian areas and other areas where wetland hydrology exist or will be restored. After Practice Description: Land restored to natural wetland habitat including a mix of native grasses, sedges and forbs adapted to living in saturated soils with temporary inundation. This practice may also have reduced soil erosion, reduced water/sediment runoff, and significant dust emissions are eliminated therefore, air quality is improved. Plants sown for pollinator habitat may also provide cover for beneficial insects and wildlife. This scenario does not apply to critical area plantings.	Area planted	Acre	\$2,394.15	\$3,591.23	\$3,591.23	\$4,309.48

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
327-9	Aquatic Emergent	Scenario Description: Aquatic and emergent herbaceous vegetation established in a wetland that is seasonally inundated with water to restore the characteristic wetland plant community to the site. Typical practice size is variable depending on site, this scenario uses 1 ac as the typical size. In addition to restoring aquatic emergent plant community this practice scenario may also improve fish and wildlife habitat, soil quality, improve water quality, and improve air quality. Practice applicable on cropland, riparian areas, lacustrine fringes, depressional areas and other areas where wetland hydrology exist or will be restored. After Practice Description: Land restored to natural wetland habitat including a mix of native aquatic and/or emergent wetland plants adapted to living in saturated and inundated soils with seasonal inundation. Wetland fish and wildlife habitat has been benefited. This practice may also have reduced high nutrient levels in water in the wetland may have reduced other water pollutants. This scenario does not apply to critical area plantings.	Area Planted	Acre	\$1,225.08	\$1,837.62	\$1,837.62	\$2,205.15
327-19	Specialty Pollinator Habitat	Scenario Description: Permanent vegetation, including mix of native grasses, legume, forbs (mix may also include non-native species), established on any land needing permanent vegetative cover that provides a mix of early, mid, and late season forbs, as well as habitat for pollinators. One or more specialty pollinator species are identified, and the seeding mix will meet the need of those particular species. Typical practice size is variable depending on site, this scenario uses 5 ac as the typical size. In addition to providing pollinator habitat, this practice scenario may also reduce sheet and rill erosion, improve soil quality, improve water quality, and improve air quality. The practice may also provide wildlife habitat. Practice applicable on cropland, odd areas, corners, etc. After Practice Description: Land covered with permanent pollinator habitat including a mix of native grasses, legume, forbs (mix may also include non-native species). Specialty pollinator species were identified, and the seeding mix needed to meet the need of those species was used. This practice may also have reduced soil erosion, reduced water/sediment runoff, and significant dust emissions are eliminated therefore, air quality is improved. Plants sown for pollinator habitat may also provide cover for beneficial insects and wildlife. This scenario does not apply to critical area plantings.	Area Planted	Acre	\$550.93	\$826.39	\$826.39	\$991.67

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
327-20	Sedge Meadow Seeding	Scenario Description: Permanent herbaceous vegetation, including mix of native grasses, sedges and other wetland forbs, established on land needing permanent vegetative cover as a restoration to wetland habitat typical to the site. Typical practice size is variable depending on site, this scenario uses 1 ac as the typical size. In addition to restoring sedge meadow cover, this practice scenario may also reduce sheet and rill erosion, improve soil quality, improve water quality, and improve air quality. The practice may also provide wildlife habitat. Practice applicable on cropland, riparian areas and other areas where wetland hydrology exist or will be restored. After Practice Description: Land restored to natural wetland habitat including a mix of native grasses, sedges and forbs adapted to living in saturated soils with temporary inundation. This practice may also have reduced soil erosion, reduced water/sediment runoff, and significant dust emissions are eliminated therefore, air quality is improved. Seeds sown for pollinator habitat may also provide cover for beneficial insects and wildlife. This scenario does not apply to critical area plantings.	Area Planted	Acre	\$753.85	\$1,130.77	\$1,130.77	\$1,356.93

CONSERVATION CROP ROTATION Practice Code 328

Non-Livestock Management Practice

PRS Unit of Measurement: Acre

Definition: Growing crops in a recurring sequence on the same field.

Purpose: To reduce sheet and rill erosion, reduce soil erosion from wind, maintain or improve soil organic matter content, manage the balance of plant nutrients, manage plant pests (weeds, insects, and diseases), provide food for domestic livestock and provide food and cover for wildlife.

Applicability: This practice applies to all land where crops are grown, except pastureland, hay land, or other land used for crops grown occasionally only to facilitate renovation or re-establishment of perennial vegetation. It does not apply to land devoted to orchards, vineyards, or nurseries.

Organic Crop Production incentive is meant to offset some of the costs incurred by conversion to organic farming.

Limitations: The Conservation Crop Rotation practice may be paid annually for up to 3 consecutive years.

Maintenance: Practice will be maintained for a lifespan of 1 year.

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
328-54	Standard Rotation	Scenario Description: In this region this practice may be part of a conservation management system to: 1) Reduce sheet, rill and wind erosion, 2) Maintain or increase soil health and organic matter content, 3) Reduce water quality degradation due to excess nutrients, 4) Improve soil moisture efficiency, 5) Reduce the concentration of salts and other chemicals from saline seeps, 6) Reduce plant pest pressures, 7) Provide feed and forage for domestic livestock, and 8) Provide food and cover habitat for wildlife, including pollinator forage, and nesting. This practice payment is provided to acquire the technical knowledge and skills necessary to effectively implement a conservation crop rotation on a typical 200 cropland farm. No foregone income. Cost represents typical situations for conventional (non-organic) producers. After Situation: A rotation is establish that provides additional high residue and/or perennial crops that reduce sheet, rill and wind erosion, maintain or increase soil health and organic matter content, reduce water quality degradation due to excess nutrients, improve soil moisture efficiency, reduce the concentration of salts and other chemicals from saline seeps, reduce plant pest pressures, provide feed and forage for domestic livestock, or provide food and cover habitat for wildlife, including pollinator forage, and nesting.	Area planted	Acre	\$0.98	\$1.47	\$1.47	\$1.77
328-56	Organic Rotation	Scenario Description: In this region this practice may be part of a conservation management system to: 1) Reduce sheet, rill and wind erosion, 2) Maintain or increase soil health and organic matter content, 3) Reduce water quality degradation due to excess nutrients, 4) Improve soil moisture efficiency, 5) Reduce the concentration of salts and other chemicals from saline seeps, 6) Reduce plant pest pressures, 7) Provide feed and forage for domestic livestock, and 8) Provide food and cover habitat for wildlife, including pollinator forage, and nesting. This practice payment is provided to acquire the technical knowledge and skills necessary to effectively implement a conservation crop rotation on a typical 100 cropland farm. No foregone income. Cost represents typical situations for organic producers. and pest management are the primary concerns. After Situation: The rotation established transitions the rotation from a conventional system to an organic system. The rotation is planned that compliments erosion control, nutrient cycling, soil organic matter, and pest management via crop rotation.	Area planted	Acre	\$7.85	\$11.77	\$11.77	\$14.12

domestic livestock, and 8) Provide food and cover habitat for wildlife, including pollinator forage, and nesting. This practice payment is provided to acquire the technical knowledge and skills necessary to effectively implement a conservation crop rotation on a typical 50 acre specialty crop farm. No foregone income. Cost represents typical situations for conventional (non-organic) producers. After Situation: The rotation established adds higher residue crop(s) to the rotation that 1) Reduce sheet, rill and wind erosion, 2) Maintain or increase soil health and organic matter content, 3) Reduce water quality degradation due to excess nutrients, 4) Improve soil moisture efficiency, 5) Reduce the concentration of salts and other chemicals from saline seeps, 6) Reduce plant pest pressures, 7) Provide feed and forage for domestic livestock, and 8) Provide food and cover habitat for wildlife, including pollinator forage, and nesting.
--

CONSERVATION PLAN SUPPORTING ORGANIC TRANSITION Practice Code 138

PRS Unit of Measurement: Number

Definition: A "Conservation Plan Supporting Organic Transition" is a conservation activity plan documenting decisions by producers/growers who agree to implement a system of conservation practices which assist the producer to transition from conventional farming or ranching systems to an organic production system.

Purpose: The Conservation Plan Supporting Organic Transition" will:

- a. At a minimum address and achieve the planning criteria for the NRCS resource concerns for soil erosion, water quality, and plant condition. Other resource concerns can also be addressed based on the land user objectives.
- b. Develop the linkage between the resource concerns addressed to the National Organic Program requirements for organic farming. This will assist the grower to develop their Organic System Plan (OSP) as defined in the USDA National Organic Program (NOP) Standards (www.ams.usda.gov/nop).
- c. Comply with federal, state, tribal, and local laws, regulations and permit requirements.
- d. Document the producer's objectives and decisions for practice implementation during the transition period.

Note: The plan can help support a producer's efforts to become a certified operation. However, this plan is not a replacement for an Organic System Plan (OSP) as required by the National Organic Program.

Applicability: On lands in Iowa that will benefit from the development and implementation of a Conservation Plan Supporting Organic Transition.

Limitations:

Maintenance: Practice must be maintained for a lifespan of 1 year.

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
138-10	Conservation Plan Supporting Organic Transition CAP	Scenario Description: Agricultural operation where producer will transition from conventional to organic to meet USDA National Organic Program (NOP) requirements. Natural Resource Concern: Soil Erosion, Water Quality, Plant Condition, and other identified natural resource concerns. After Practice Description: After EQIP contract approval, participant has obtained services from a certified TSP to develop the 'Conservation Plan Supporting Organic Transition' conservation activity plan. The CAP criteria requires the plan to meet quality criteria for applicable resource concerns and provides for opportunities to implement a system of conservation practices which assist the producer to transition from conventional farming or ranching to an organic production system. The CAP plan will include conservation practices which address related resource concerns. CAP meets the basic quality criteria for the 138 plan as cited in the NRCS Field Office Technical Guide.	Number	Number	\$2,398.92	\$2,878.71	N/A	N/A
138-11	Conservation Plan Supporting Organic Transition CAP No Local TSP	Scenario Description: Agricultural operation where producer will transition from conventional to organic to meet USDA National Organic Program (NOP) requirements. No qualified TSP within 300 miles. Natural Resource Concern: Soil Erosion, Water Quality, Plant Condition, and other identified natural resource concerns. After Practice Description: After EQIP contract approval, participant has obtained services from a certified TSP to develop the 'Conservation Plan Supporting Organic Transition' conservation activity plan. The CAP criteria requires the plan to meet quality criteria for applicable resource concerns and provides for opportunities to implement a system of conservation practices which assist the producer to transition from conventional farming or ranching to an organic production system. The CAP plan will include conservation practices which address related resource concerns. CAP meets the basic quality criteria for the 138 plan as cited in the NRCS Field Office Technical Guide.	Number	Number	\$3,744.66	\$4,493.59	N/A	N/A

CONSTRUCTED WETLAND Practice Code 656

Non-Livestock Structural Practice

PRS Unit of Measurement: Acre

Definition: An artificial ecosystem with hydrophytic vegetation for water treatment.

Purpose: For treatment of wastewater and contaminated runoff from agricultural processing, livestock, and aquaculture facilities, or for improving the quality of storm water runoff or other water flows lacking specific water quality discharge criteria.

Applicability: • Constructed wetlands for the purpose of wastewater treatment apply where a constructed wetland is a component of an agricultural wastewater management system. Constructed wetlands for the purpose of water quality improvement apply where wetland effluent is not required to meet specific water quality discharge criteria.

This standard should not be used in lieu of NRCS Conservation Practice Standards, Wetland Restoration (657), Wetland Creation 658, or Wetland Enhancement (659), when the main purpose is to restore, create, or enhance, wetland functions other than wastewater treatment or water quality improvement.

Limitations:

Maintenance: Practice must be maintained for a lifespan of 15 years.

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
656-1	Constructed Wetland, Dense Planting	Scenario Description: This practice scenario includes the basic earthwork and native and/or organic wetland vegetation needed to create a constructed wetland to treat contaminated agricultural runoff or effluent from a drainage system high in nutrients. All other components, such as water control structures, dikes or upstream sediment basins, must be paid for under facilitating practices. Soil, water and tissue sampling are required. The purpose of the practice is to address resource concerns related to water quality degradation due to excess nutrients and pathogens. Associated practices: Structure for Water Control (587); Sediment Basin (350); Dike (356); Pond Sealing or Lining, Compacted Clay Treatment (521D); Pond Sealing or Lining, Flexible Membrane (521A); Fence (382); Grade Stabilization Structure (410); Pumping Plant (533); Waste Transfer (634); Critical Area Planting (342); Filter Strip (393). After Practice Description: A 1 acre constructed wetland (measured by the size of the treatment pool suitable for wetland vegetation) will be constructed with an average 18' depth. Only the earthwork and wetland vegetation are considered in this scenario. Vegetation is planted at a spacing of 3 by 3 feet. Any structures or sediment basins will be designed under a separate practice. The constructed wetland is sited near the property boundary, but still takes cropland out of production (1/2 wetland acreage). The constructed wetland treats the effluent by creating conditions at the plant/soil/water interface for biochemical nutrient removal before the effluent is transported to a waste storage facility or discharged off site if permitted by regulation.	Area of Constructed Wetland	Acre	\$6,679.39	\$10,019.08	\$10,019.08	\$12,022.90

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
656-2	Constructed Wetland, Light Planting	Scenario Description: This practice scenario includes the basic earthwork and native and/or organic wetland vegetation needed to create a constructed wetland to treat contaminated agricultural runoff or effluent from a drainage system high in nutrients. All other components, such as water control structures, dikes or upstream sediment basins, must be paid for under facilitating practices. The purpose of the practice is to address resource concerns related to water quality degradation due to excess nutrients and pathogens. Associated practices: Structure for Water Control (587); Sediment Basin (350); Dike (356); Pond Sealing or Lining, Compacted Clay Treatment (521D); Pond Sealing or Lining, Flexible Membrane (521A); Fence (382); Grade Stabilization Structure (410); Pumping Plant (533); Waste Transfer (634); Critical Area Planting (342); Filter Strip (393). After Practice Description: A 1 acre constructed wetland (measured by the size of the treatment pool suitable for wetland vegetation) will be constructed with an average 18' depth. Only the earthwork and wetland vegetation are considered in this scenario. Vegetation is planted at a wide spacing of 4 by 4 feet. Any structures or sediment basins will be designed under a separate practice. The constructed wetland is sited near the property boundary, but still takes cropland out of production (1/2 wetland acreage). The constructed wetland treats the effluent by creating conditions at the plant/soil/water interface for biochemical nutrient removal before the effluent is transported to a waste storage facility or discharged off site if permitted by regulation.	Area of Constructed Wetland	Acre	\$5,003.42	\$7,505.13	\$7,505.13	\$9,006.16

CONTOUR BUFFER STRIPS Practice Code 332

Non-Livestock Vegetative Practice

PRS Unit of Measurement: Acre

Definition: Narrow strips of perennial, herbaceous vegetative cover established across the slope and alternated down the slope with wider cropped strips.

Purpose: This practice may be applied as part of a conservation management system to reduce sheet and rill erosion, reduce transport of sediment and other water-borne contaminants down slope, on-site or off-site and to enhance upland wildlife habitat.

Applicability: On cropland to reduce sheet and rill erosion and sediment yield, and to enhance wildlife habitat. It is most suitable on uniform slopes with slope lengths less than the Critical Slope Length (Critical Slope Length is the length of slope above which contouring loses its effectiveness.) The narrow strips of permanent vegetative cover are not a part of the normal crop rotation.

This standard does not apply to situations where the width of the buffer strips will be equal to or exceed the width of the adjoining crop strips.

Limitations: The practice is more difficult to establish on undulating to rolling topography because of the difficulty of maintaining parallel strip boundaries. Contour Buffer Strips are a permanent vegetative cover not part of the normal crop rotation.

Maintenance: Practice must be maintained for a lifespan of 5 years.

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
332-1	Introduced Grass	Scenario Description: Narrow strips of permanent, herbaceous vegetative cover established around the hill slope and alternated down the slope with wider cropped strips in between that are farmed on the contour. This practice applies to all conventional cropland. Practice includes seedbed prep and planting of introduced species (scenario includes non-native grass/legume species) and foregone income for the area of the buffer strip that is taken out of production. After Practice Description: introduced grasses, legumes and forbs will be established in strips in the field to meet the resource needs and producer objectives. Minimum widths shall be based on NRCS local design criteria specific to the purpose for installing the practice. Introduced species shall be selected that do not function as a host for diseases of a field crop and have physical characteristics necessary to control water erosion to tolerable levels in the cropped area of the field.	number of acres	Acre	\$267.08	\$400.63	\$400.63	\$480.75
332-2	Native Grass	Scenario Description: Narrow strips of permanent, herbaceous vegetative cover established around the hill slope and alternated down the slope with wider cropped strips in between that are farmed on the contour. This practice applies to all conventional cropland. Practice includes seedbed prep and planting of native species (scenario includes native grass/legume/forbs species) and foregone income for the area of the buffer strip that is taken out of production. After Practice Description: Native grasses and legumes/forbs will be established in strips in the field to meet the resource needs and producer objectives. Minimum widths shall be based on NRCS local design criteria specific to the purpose for installing the practice. Native species shall be selected that do not function as a host for diseases of a field crop and have physical characteristics necessary to control water erosion to tolerable levels in the cropped area of the field.	Number of acres	Acre	\$367.96	\$551.95	\$551.95	\$662.34

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
332-3	Introduced Grass, Organic	Scenario Description: Narrow strips of permanent, herbaceous vegetative cover established around the hill slope and alternated down the slope with wider cropped strips in between that are farmed on the contour. This practice applies to all organic cropland. Practice includes seedbed prep and planting of introduced species (scenario includes non-native grass/legume species) and foregone income for the area of the buffer strip that is taken out of production. After Practice Description: introduced grasses, legumes and forbs will be established in strips in the field to meet the resource needs and producer objectives. Minimum widths shall be based on NRCS local design criteria specific to the purpose for installing the practice. Introduced species shall be selected that do not function as a host for diseases of a field crop and have physical characteristics necessary to control water erosion to tolerable levels in the cropped area of the field.	Number of acres	Acre	\$287.77	\$431.65	\$431.65	\$517.98
332-4	Native Grass, Organic	Scenario Description: Narrow strips of permanent, herbaceous vegetative cover established around the hill slope and alternated down the slope with wider cropped strips in between that are farmed on the contour. This practice applies to all organic cropland. Practice includes seedbed prep and planting of native species (scenario includes native grass/legume/forbs species) and foregone income for the area of the buffer strip that is taken out of production. After Practice Description: Native grasses and legumes/forbs will be established in strips in the field to meet the resource needs and producer objectives. Minimum widths shall be based on NRCS local design criteria specific to the purpose for installing the practice. Native species shall be selected that do not function as a host for diseases of a field crop and have physical characteristics necessary to control water erosion to tolerable levels in the cropped area of the field.	Number of Acres	Acre	\$394.91	\$592.36	\$592.36	\$710.84

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
332-5	Pollinator Habitat	Scenario Description: Narrow strips of permanent, herbaceous vegetative cover including mix of grasses, legumes and/or forbs that provides a mix of early, mid, and late season blooming forbs for pollinator habitat. Established around the hill slope and alternated down the slope with wider cropped strips in between that are farmed on the contour. This practice applies to all conventional cropland. Practice includes seedbed prep and planting of pollinator species. The area of the buffer strip is taken out of production. After Practice Description: Native grasses, legumes and forbs providing pollinator habitat will be established in strips in the field to meet the resource needs and producer objectives. Minimum widths shall be based on NRCS local design criteria specific to the purpose for installing the practice. Native species shall be selected that do not function as a host for diseases of a field crop and have physical characteristics necessary to control water erosion to tolerable levels in the cropped area of the field.	number of acres	Acre	\$392.89	\$589.34	\$589.34	\$707.20
332-6	Pollinator Habitat, Organic	Scenario Description: Narrow strips of permanent, herbaceous vegetative cover including mix of grasses, legumes and/or forbs that provides a mix of early, mid, and late season blooming forbs for pollinator habitat. Established around the hill slope and alternated down the slope with wider cropped strips in between that are farmed on the contour. This practice applies to all organic cropland. Practice includes seedbed prep and planting of pollinator species. The area of the buffer strip is taken out of production. After Practice Description: Native grasses, legumes and forbs providing pollinator habitat will be established in strips in the field to meet the resource needs and producer objectives. Minimum widths shall be based on NRCS local design criteria specific to the purpose for installing the practice. Native species shall be selected that do not function as a host for diseases of a field crop and have physical characteristics necessary to control water erosion to tolerable levels in the cropped area of the field.	number of acres	Acre	\$422.85	\$634.28	\$634.28	\$761.13

CONTOUR FARMING Practice Code 330

Non-Livestock Management Practice

PRS Unit of Measurement: Acre

Definition: Farming sloping land in such a way that preparing land, planting, and cultivating are done on the contour. (This includes following established grades of terraces or diversions). This farming method may be used in conjunction with Contour Buffer Strips, Practice 332, which are narrow strips of perennial, herbaceous vegetative cover established across the slope and alternated down the slope with wider cropped strips.

Purpose: To reduce sheet and rill erosion, reduce transport of sediment and other water-borne contaminants down slope, on-site or off-site and to enhance upland wildlife habitat.

Applicability: Applicable on sloping cropland and on recreation and wildlife areas where crops are grown to reduce sheet and rill erosion and sediment production when other cultural or management cropping system practices do not adequately control soil and water loss.

This practice is most suitable on uniform slopes with slope lengths equal to or less than the Critical Slope Length, determined using the RUSLE2 or current erosion prediction model "P" factor procedure.

The effect on erosion reduction for this practice is reduced on fields where slope length exceeds the Critical Slope Length for contouring, unless the slope length is shortened by the installation of other practices such as terraces.

Limitations: This practice is unsuitable for use on irregular sloping topography because of the difficulty of staying within-row grade limits and the number of point rows.

Maintenance: Contour Farming will be maintained for a lifespan of 5 year.

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
330-1	Contour Farming	Scenario Description: This scenario meets the specifications of the NRCS Contour Farming Standard. This scenario applies to fields greater than 5 acres. Payment reflects the extra labor and initial supervision costs in laying out and implementing contour farming. Annual erosion rates for the rotation exceeds tolerance levels. Excessive runoff leads to sedimentation of waterways. After Practice Description: This practice is installed on the entire field. A survey is completed by trained and certified Federal, State, local personnel or consultant to determine and 'stake' contour row arrangement. Permanent row markers are established to ensure that this practice is maintained for the life of this practice. All field operations including: disking, bedding, planting, and cultivation are performed on the contour which is near perpendicular to the field slope. The farm manager is initially on site to ensure that equipment operator is properly following contour methods. Soil erosion rates are reduced by nearly half and may be below tolerance depending on the rotation. Likewise, sedimentation has been significantly reduced.	Acre	Acre	\$3.50	\$5.25	\$5.25	\$6.30

COVER CROP Practice 340

Non-Livestock Vegetative Practice

PRS Unit of Measurement: Acre

Definition: Crops including grasses, legumes, and forbs planted for seasonal cover and other conservation purposes.

Purpose: To reduce erosion from wind and water, increase soil organic matter content, capture and recycle or redistribute nutrients in the soil profile, promote biological nitrogen fixation, increase biodiversity. To suppress diseases, weeds and insects, provide supplemental forage, soil moisture management, reduce particulate emissions into the atmosphere and minimize or reduce soil compaction.

Applicability: On cropland requiring vegetative cover for natural resource protection and/or improvement. Cover Crop is an annually seeded vegetative cover for soil health improvement i.e. soil erosion or soil quality degradation improvements.

Limitations: Conservation Practice 340 Cover Crops is a management practice (One year lifespan) and may be scheduled for up to 3 consecutive years. The Cover Crop practice is to be used on Cropland following row-crop production. As a management practice if scheduled for several years on the same land unit the practice needs to be scheduled in consecutive years. As an example, the applicant can do three consecutive years on the same land unit. Another example could be Year 1 of the contract in field 1, Year 2 in field 2 and year three in field 3 or in field 2 (consecutive with the previous year). In this example you could not go back to field 1 in year 3 since this was not consecutive. Beginning with FY15 for the 2014 Farm Bill, Cover Crops have a Statewide cap of \$24,000/participant for regular EQIP and \$36,000/participant for Historically Underserved Participants. This is not a lifetime cap. For Scenario ID 340-6, the typical size for this scenario is 2 acres therefore the use of this scenario has a cap of \$2,000 for regular EQIP and \$3,000 for EQIP HU.

Exception to the limit for 3 years of payments for management practices in a contract. Conservation Practice 340 Cover Crops may be scheduled for up to 5 separate payments during the term of a single contract if the practice is applied as a component of a complete conservation system to address resource concerns related to Soil Health (such as soil erosion and soil quality degradation) and the following items are also met:

- Field is planned to meet the Soil Quality Criteria, specifically the Soil Conditioning Index (SCI) is positive and the field is at or below Tolerable Soil Loss limits (T).
- The planned conservation system includes 329 Residue and Tillage Management, No-Till for all five years of the contract covering the acres impacted by the planned Cover Crop practice.
- Minimum of 2 or more species in the Cover Crop mix in the last two years of the contract with at least one species being a winter hardy species.
- Expectation of at least 6 inches of growth under normal growing conditions at the time of termination.

For the 5 year payment the Cover Crop cap will be increased to \$40,000 for regular EQIP and \$60,000 for EQIP HU when in a single contract. If an applicant has already reached their cap for Farm Bill 14, they are not eligible for this situation.

Maintenance: Cover Crop will be maintained for a lifespan of 1 year.

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
340-1	Chemical or Mechanical Kill Species	Scenario Description: A single species grass/legume/brassica or mixed grass/legume/brassica cover will be planted as a cover crop immediately after harvest of a row crop (within 30 days), and will be followed by a row crop that will utilize fixed nitrogen and cover crop biomass as a mulch. This scenario assumes that seed will be planted with a no-till drill. Legume seeds must be inoculated with the proper inoculant prior to planting. The cover crop should be allowed to generate as much biomass as possible (reach early to mid-bloom) before it is terminated, without delaying the planting of the following crop. Termination methods include using approved chemical and/or mechanical methods, in order to maximize nitrogen fixation. The legume will promote biological nitrogen fixation and reduce energy use by reducing the need for commercial nitrogen fertilizer in following crops. After Practice Description: Within 30 days after harvest of row crop, fields are planted with a single species grass or legume cover crop, such as annual ryegrass, clover or vetch species. The cover crop is seeded with a no-till drill. No fertilizer is applied with the cover crop. The cover crop provides soil cover by late fall, throughout the winter, and into the early spring. Runoff and erosion are reduced and no rills are visible on the soil surface in the spring. Wind erosion is reduced by standing residues. The cover crop is terminated chemically and/or mechanically prior to spring planting as late as feasible to maximize plant biomass production. Over time, soil health is improved due to the additional biomass, ground cover, soil infiltration, and plant diversity introduced to the cropping system. Cover crop residues left on the surface may maximize weed control by increasing allelopathic and mulching effect. By utilizing the nitrogen that is fixed by the legume cover crop, the amount of energy is reduced by reducing the amount of commercial fertilizer that will be needed for the following crop.	Area planted	Acre	\$41.13	\$61.70	\$61.70	\$74.03

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
340-4	Winter Kill Species	Scenario Description: Typically a small grain or small grain-brassica mix (may also use forage sorghum, legumes, buckwheat, etc.) will be planted as a cover crop immediately after harvest of a row crop (within 30 days), and will be followed by a row crop that will utilize the residue as a mulch. This scenario assumes that seed will be planted with a notill drill. The cover crop species established under this scenario will winter kill, meaning no species termination is required. After Practice Description: Within 30 days after harvest of row crop, fields are planted with a small grain-brassica mix cover crop, typically oats and oilseed radish. The cover crop is seeded with a no-till drill. No a d d i t i o n a I fertilizer is applied with the cover crop. The cover crop provides soil cover by late fall, throughout the winter, and into the early spring. Runoff and erosion are reduced and no rills are visible on the soil surface in the spring. The cover crop is established using winter kill species which should not require termination in the spring. Over time, soil health is improved due to the additional biomass, ground cover, and plant diversity introduced to the cropping system. Wind erosion is reduced by standing resides. Cover crop residues left on the surface may maximize weed control by increasing allelopathic and mulching effect.	Area planted	Acre	\$30.15	\$45.23	\$45.23	\$54.27

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
340-5	Organic Cover Crop	Scenario Description: Typically a small grain or small grain-legume mix (may also use forage sorghum, radishes, turnips, buckwheat, etc.) will be planted as a cover crop immediately after harvest of an organically grown crop, and will be followed by an organically grown crop that will utilize the residue as a mulch. This scenario assumes that seed will be planted with a drill. The cover crop should be allowed to generate as much biomass as possible, without delaying planting of the following crop. The cover crop will be terminated using a mechanical kill method (mowing, rolling, undercutting, etc.), prior to planting the subsequent crop. This scenario REQUIRES use of Certified Organic Seed. After Practice Description: Within 30 days after harvest of organic crop, fields are planted with a small grain-legume mix cover crop, typically rye and clover. The average field size is 25 acres. The cover crop is seeded with a no-till drill. No additional fertilizer is applied with the cover crop. The cover crop provides soil cover by late fall, throughout the winter, and into the early spring. Runoff and erosion are reduced and no rills are visible on the soil surface in the spring. The cover crop is terminated with using a mechanical kill method (mowing, rolling, undercutting, etc.), prior to spring planting as late as feasible to maximize plant biomass production. Over time, soil health is improved due to the additional biomass, ground cover, and plant diversity introduced to the cropping system. Wind erosion is reduced by standing resides. Cover crop residues left on the surface may maximize weed control by increasing allelopathic and mulching effect.	Area planted	Acre	\$87.09	\$130.63	\$130.63	\$156.76

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
340-6	Adaptive Mgt	Scenario Description: The practice scenario is for the implementation of cover crops in small replicated plots to allow the producer to learn how to manage cover crops on their operation. Scenario includes implementing replicated strip trials on a field plot to evaluate, identify and implement a particular cover crop management strategy (e.g., cover crop vs no cover crop, multiple species vs, single specie, evaluate different termination methods or timings, using a legume vs no legume for nitrogen credits). This will be done following the interim guidance for cover crop adaptive management to be issued to all field offices. After Practice Description: Installation of this scenario will result in establishment of cover crop replicated plots to compare to different management strategies for cover crop management following the guidance in Agronomy Technical Note 10 - Adaptive Management for Conservation Practices and the corresponding Guide Sheet for Implementing Adaptive Management Cover Crop (340) practice, issued July 2014. Implementation involves establishing the replicated plots to evaluate one or more cover crop management strategies. The plot will consist of at least 4 replicated plots designed, laid out, managed and evaluated with the assistance of a consultant knowledgeable in cover crop management. Results are used to make cover crop management decisions to address erosion and water quality issues. Yields will be measured and statistically summarized following the procedures in Agronomy Technical Note 10 - Adaptive Management. The yields for each plot will be adjusted to the appropriate moisture content. This would be repeated for 3 years.	Area planted	Acre	\$994.86	\$1,492.29	\$1,492.29	\$1,790.75

CRITICAL AREA PLANTING Practice Code 342

Non-Livestock Vegetative Practice

PRS Unit of Measurement: Acre

Definition: Establishing permanent vegetation on sites that have or are expected to have high erosion rates, and on sites that have physical, chemical, or biological conditions that prevent the establishment of vegetation with normal practices.

Purpose: To stabilize areas with existing or expected high rates of soil erosion by water or wind and restore degraded sites that cannot be stabilized through normal methods.

Applicability: On areas with existing or expected high rates of erosion or on degraded sites that cannot be stabilized by ordinary conservation treatment and/or management. And if left untreated, the site could be severely damaged by erosion or sedimentation or could cause significant off-site damage. Examples of applicable areas are dams, terraces, dikes, mine spoil, levees, cuts, fills, surface-mined areas and denuded or gullied areas where vegetation is difficult to establish by usual planting methods.

Limitations: Critical Area Planting is not to be scheduled with Grassed Waterways as the seeding is accounted for in the Grassed Waterway Scenarios.

Maintenance: Practice will be maintained for a lifespan of 10 year.

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
342-1	Grass or Grass/legume mix- normal tillage	Scenario Description: Establishment of permanent vegetation on a site that is void or nearly void of vegetation due to a natural occurrence or a newly constructed conservation practice. Costs include seedbed preparation with typical tillage implements, grass/legume seed, companion crop, and fertilizer and lime with application. After Practice Description: This typical 1.0 acre critical area is stabilized by applying fertilizer, lime and seed. Soil amendments will be incorporated at an depth of six inches to improve fertility and ensure establishment of permanent vegetative cover. Apply 90 lbs. of nitrogen, 90 lbs. of phosphorus, and 90 lbs. of potassium, along with an application of 2 tons of lime. Prepare a firm, weed free seedbed so that proper germination and stand establishment are ensured. Once the seedbed has been prepared, drill the following mixture for a vegetative cover: Smooth Brome grass (20 lbs/ac), Perennial Ryegrass (10 lbs. /ac), and Red Clover (8 lbs./ac) with a nurse crop of oats at a seeding rate of 32 lbs. per acre.	area seeded	Acre	\$146.88	\$220.32	\$220.32	\$264.38
342-2	-	Scenario Description: Establishment of permanent vegetation on a site that is void or nearly void of vegetation due to a natural or human disturbance. Costs include a dozer for grading and shaping of small gullies, seedbed preparation with typical tillage implements, grass/legume seed, companion crop, and fertilizer and lime with application. After Practice Description: This typical 1.0 acre critical area is stabilized by grading and shaping the small gullies with a dozer (6 hours) and then applying fertilizer, lime and seed. Soil a m e n d m e n t s will be incorporated at a depth of six inches to improve fertility and ensure establishment of permanent vegetative cover. Apply 90 lbs. of nitrogen, 90 lbs. of phosphorus, and 90 lbs. of potassium, along with an application of 2 tons of lime. Prepare a firm, weed free seedbed so that proper germination and stand establishment are ensured. Once the seedbed has been prepared, drill the following mixture for a vegetative cover: Smooth Brome grass (20 lbs. /ac), Perennial Ryegrass (10 lbs./ac), and Red Clover (8 lbs./ac) with a nurse crop of oats at a seeding rate of 32 lbs. per acre.	area seeded	Acre	\$428.46	\$642.69	\$642.69	\$771.23

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
342-3	Organic Grass or Grass/legume mix- normal tillage	Scenario Description: Establishment of permanent vegetation on a site that is void or nearly void of vegetation due to a natural occurrence or a newly constructed conservation practice. Costs include seedbed preparation with typical tillage implements, grass/legume seed, companion crop, and fertilizer and lime with application. Certified organic seed and fertilizer based upon NOP approved fertilizer inputs will be used where available. After Practice Description: This typical 1.0 acre critical area is stabilized by applying fertilizer, lime and seed. Soil amendments will be incorporated at an depth of six inches to improve fertility and ensure establishment of permanent vegetative cover. The plant nutrients will supplied by a blend of organic soil amendments. Apply 90 lbs. of nitrogen, 90 lbs. of phosphorus, and 90 lbs. of potassium, along with an application of 2 tons of lime. Prepare a firm, weed free seedbed so that proper germination and stand establishment are ensured. Once the seedbed has been prepared, drill the following mixture for a vegetative cover: Smooth Brome grass (20 lbs. /ac), Perennial Ryegrass (10 lbs./ac), and Red Clover (8 lbs./ac) with a nurse crop of oats at a seeding rate of 32 lbs. per acre. Organic seed will be used where available.	area seeded	Acre	\$113.28	\$169.93	\$169.93	\$203.91
342-4	Organic Grass or Grass/legume mix- moderate grading	Scenario Description: Establishment of permanent vegetation on a site that is void or nearly void of vegetation due to a natural or human disturbance. Costs include a dozer for grading and shaping of small gullies, seedbed preparation with typical tillage implements, grass/legume seed, companion crop, and fertilizer and lime with application. Certified organic seed and fertilizer based upon NOP approved fertilizer inputs will be used where available. After Practice Description: This typical 1.0 acre critical area is stabilized by grading and shaping the small gullies with a dozer (6 hours) and then applying fertilizer, lime and seed. Soil amendments will be incorporated at an depth of six inches to improve fertility and ensure establishment of permanent vegetative cover. The plant nutrients will supplied by a blend of organic soil amendments. Apply 90 lbs. of nitrogen, 90 lbs. of phosphorus, and 90 lbs. of potassium, along with an application of 2 tons of lime. Prepare a firm, weed free seedbed so that proper germination and stand establishment are ensured. Once the seedbed has been prepared, drill the following mixture for a vegetative cover: Smooth Brome grass (20 lbs./ac), Perennial Ryegrass (10 lbs./ac), and Red Clover (8 lbs./ac) with a nurse crop of oats at a seeding rate of 32 lbs. per acre. Organic seed will be used where available.	area seeded	Acre	\$308.42	\$462.63	\$462.63	\$555.15

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
342-5	Small Area Disturbance	Scenario Description: Establishment of permanent vegetation on a small site that is void or nearly void of vegetation due to a natural occurrence or a newly constructed conservation practice. Costs include seedbed preparation with typical tillage implements, grass seed, fertilizer and lime with application. After Practice Description: This typical 1000 sq. ft. critical area is stabilized by applying fertilizer, lime and seed. Soil amendments will be incorporated at a depth of six inches to improve fertility and ensure establishment of permanent vegetative cover. Apply 90 lbs. of nitrogen, 90 lbs. of phosphorus, and 90 lbs. of potassium, along with an application of 2 tons of lime. Prepare a firm, weed free seedbed so that proper germination and stand establishment are ensured. Once the seedbed has been prepared, broadcast the following mixture for a vegetative cover: Tall Fescue (40 lbs. /ac), Perennial Ryegrass (25 lbs. /ac), and Kentucky Blue (20 lbs./ac).	area seeded	1,000 Square Foot	\$3.15	\$4.73	\$4.73	\$5.68

DENITRIFYING BIOREACTOR Practice Code 605

Livestock Management Practice

PRS Unit of Measurement: Acre

Definition: A structure that uses a carbon source to reduce the concentration of nitrate nitrogen in subsurface agricultural drainage flow via enhanced denitrification.

Purpose: Improve water quality by reducing the nitrate nitrogen content of subsurface agricultural drainage flow.

Applicability: This practice applies to sites where there is a need to reduce nitrate nitrogen concentration in subsurface drainage flow.

Limitations:

Maintenance: Practice must be maintained for a lifespan 10 year.

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
605-5	Denitrifying Bioreactor	Scenario Description: Scenario describes a structure containing a carbon source installed to intercept subsurface drain (tile) flow or ground water, and reduce the concentration of nitrate-nitrogen. Woodchips serve as the carbon source necessary to the denitrification process. This bioreactor has geotextile fabric (or polyethylene - PE) between the wood chips and the surrounding soil plus the following components: woodchip filled pit, two water control structures (to allow management of the flow rate and free water elevation within the bioreactor), and piping to convey water to and from the bioreactor. Woodchips serve as the carbon source necessary to the denitrification process. Associated practices: Subsurface Drain (606), Structure for Water Control (587), Drainage Water Management (554). Resource concern: Water Quality Degradation - Excess nutrients in surface and ground waters. Management and maintenance of the bioreactor (including chip replenishment), as well as monitoring and reporting to demonstrate the performance of the practice are not included in this scenario. After Practice Description: Bioreactor has geotextile fabric (or polyethylene - PE) between the wood chips and the surrounding soil plus the following components: woodchip filled pit, two water control structures (to allow management of the flow rate and free water elevation within the bioreactor), and piping to convey water to and from the bioreactor. The approximate bioreactor excavated pit volume is 333 cubic yards (e.g. 6 feet deep, 15 feet wide and 100 feet long). Woodchips occupy the 6 feet of the pit plus 10% crowned (366 cu. yd.) and will be mounded above ground level to shed precipitation. A geotextile fabric (or PE material) surrounds the chips to prevent migration of soil into the pit. Water control structures should be installed using practice standard (587) Structure for Water Control. Two inline water control structures are in place. Upper WCS connected to the upper 6" dual wall pipe connects the downstream manifold to the lowe	Volume of Pit excavation	Cubic Yard	\$24.85	\$37.27	\$37.27	\$44.72

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
605-6	Denitrifying Bioreactor, No Liner	Scenario Description: Scenario describes a structure containing a carbon source installed to intercept subsurface drain (tile) flow or ground water, and reduce the concentration of nitrate-nitrogen. Woodchips serve as the carbon source necessary to the denitrification process. This bioreactor has the following components: woodchip filled pit, a soil cover, two water control structures (to allow management of the flow rate and free water elevation within the bioreactor), and piping to convey water to and from the bioreactor. Woodchips serve as the carbon source necessary to the denitrification process. Associated practices: Subsurface Drain (606), Structure for Water Control (587), Drainage Water Management (554). Resource concern: Water Quality Degradation - Excess nutrients in surface and ground waters. Management and maintenance of the bioreactor (including chip replenishment), as well as monitoring and reporting to demonstrate the performance of the practice are not included in this scenario. After Practice Description: Bioreactor has the following components: woodchip filled pit, a soil cover, two water control structures (to allow management of the flow rate and free water elevation within the bioreactor), and piping to convey water to and from the bioreactor. The approximate bioreactor excavated pit volume is 333 cubic yards (e.g. 6 feet deep, 15 feet wide and 100 feet long). Woodchips occupy the lower 4 feet of the pit (222 cu. yd.) and a soil blanket over the woodchips is 2.0 ft. and will be mounded above ground level to shed precipitation. A geotextile fabric (or PE material) surrounds the chips to prevent migration of soil into the pit. Water control structures should be installed using practice standard (587) Structure for Water Control. Two inline water control structures are in place. Upper WCS connected to the upper 6' diameter single-wall CPT manifold pipe (15' each, note that 6' HDPE dual wall is the only type available and used in the scenario components) by 6' diameter dual wall pipe (20' each	Volume of Carbon Source	Cubic Yard	\$24.16	\$36.24	\$36.24	\$43.49

DIKE

Practice Code 356

Non-Livestock Structural Practice

PRS Unit of Measurement: Cubic Yards

Definition: A barrier constructed of earth or manufactured materials.

Purpose: To protect people and property from floods and to control water level in connection with crop production; fish and wildlife management; or wetland maintenance, improvement, restoration, or construction.

Applicability: Sites where the control of water level is desired; all sites that are subject to damage by flooding or inundation and where it is desirable to reduce the hazard to people and to reduce damage to land and property. Dikes used to reduce flooding are normally constructed adjacent and/or parallel to a stream, river, wetland or water body and are not constructed across the stream, river or water body. Dikes used to control water levels usually have small interior drainage areas in comparison to the surface area of the regulated water.

Dikes are embankments that can be used to protect people and property from flooding or to control water levels in wetlands.

Limitations: The dike standard does not apply to sites where NRCS conservation practice standards Pond (378), Water and Sediment Control Basin (638), Diversion (362), or Terrace (600) are appropriate.

Maintenance: Practice will be maintained for a lifespan of 20 years.

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
356-1	Dike	Scenario Description: Construction a barrier of either earth or manufactured materials for the purpose of the protection of people or property from floods or to control water levels in connection with crop production; fish and wildlife management; or wetland maintenance, improvement, restoration, or construction. Embankment structure to provide adequate freeboard, allowance for settlement, and foundation and embankment stability. After Practice Description: Water level is controlled by a stable earthen structure installed with compacted fill material. Material haul < 1 mile. Typical earthen dike assumed 1000 lineal feet, Class II (6 ft. in height, 8 ft. top width, 2H: 1V side slopes). Potential hazard to public safety, land or property mitigated; environmental benefit provided. Scenario includes component for stripping and stockpiling base of dike. Associated practices include, but are not limited to: PS327 Conservation Cover, PS656 Constructed Wetland, PS342 Critical Area Planting, PS378 Ponds, PS382 Fence, PS464 Irrigation Land Levelling, PS500 Obstruction Removal, PS528 Prescribed Grazing, PS587 Structure for Water Control, PS620 Underground Outlet, PS645 Upland Wildlife Management, PS658 Wetland Creation, PS659 Wetland Enhancement, PS657 Wetland Restoration, and PS644 Wetland Wildlife Habitat Management.	Cubic Yards of Earthmoving	Cubic Yard	\$2.40	\$3.59	\$3.59	\$4.31

DIVERSION Practice Code 362

Livestock Structural Practice

PRS Unit of Measurement: Feet

Definition: A channel constructed across the slope with a supporting ridge on the lower side.

Purpose: To divert water away from farmsteads, agricultural waste systems, gullies, critical erosion areas or construction areas, or collect and direct runoff or protect terrace systems.

Applicability: As part of a resource management system, this applies to all cropland and other land uses where surface runoff water control and or management are needed. It also applies where soils and topography are such that the diversion can be constructed and a suitable outlet is available or can be provided.

Limitations:

Maintenance: Practice will be maintained for a lifespan of 10 years.

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
362-1	Small, <2 CY/FT	Scenario Description: An earthen channel constructed across long slopes with supporting ridge on lower side, to divert runoff away from farmsteads, agricultural waste systems, gullies, critical erosion areas, construction areas or other sensitive areas. Outlet may be waterway, underground outlet, or other suitable outlet. Scenario is for diversions requiring less than 2 CY of excavation per foot of diversion. Channel may be level or gradient and ridge may be vegetated or farmed. The quantity of excavation and fill is balanced. After Practice Description: Scenario assumes a typical installation of a diversion 1000 feet long installed using a dozer. Diversion is 2.5' tall with 4' wide top width and slopes 3:1. Field system meets 'T' or 'clean' storm water runoff is diverted away from an agricultural waste management system to minimize the volume of runoff that is contaminated by agricultural waste. Associated practices are Critical Area Planting (342), Grassed Waterway (412), Underground Outlet (620), Mulching (484), and Subsurface Drainage (606).	Length of Diversion	Foot	\$1.72	\$2.58	\$2.58	\$3.10
362-2	Medium, 2 - 2.9 CY/FT	Scenario Description: An earthen channel constructed across long slopes with supporting ridge on lower side, to divert runoff away from farmsteads, agricultural waste systems, gullies, critical erosion areas, construction areas or other sensitive areas. Outlet may be waterway, underground outlet, or other suitable outlet. Scenario is for diversions requiring 2 CY to 2.9 CY of excavation per foot of diversion. Channel may be level or gradient and ridge may be vegetated or farmed. The quantity of excavation and fill is balanced. After Practice Description: Scenario assumes a typical installation of a diversion 1000 feet long installed using a dozer. Diversion is 4' tall with 4' wide top width and slopes 3:1. Field system meets 'T' or 'clean' storm water runoff is diverted away from an agricultural waste management system to minimize the volume of runoff that is contaminated by agricultural waste. Associated practices are Critical Area Planting (342), Grassed Waterway (412), Underground Outlet (620), Mulching (484), and Subsurface Drainage (606).	Length of Diversion	Foot	\$3.70	\$5.55	\$5.55	\$6.66

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
362-3	Large, >=3 CY/FT	Scenario Description: An earthen channel constructed across long slopes with supporting ridge on lower side, to divert runoff away from farmsteads, agricultural waste systems, gullies, critical erosion areas, construction areas or other sensitive areas. Outlet may be waterway, underground outlet, or other suitable outlet. Scenario is for diversions requiring greater than or equal to 3 CY of excavation per foot of diversion. Channel may be level or gradient and ridge may be vegetated or farmed. The quantity of excavation and fill is balanced. After Practice Description: Scenario assumes a typical installation of a diversion 1000 feet long installed using a dozer. Diversion is 5' tall w i t h 4' wide top width and slopes 3:1.Field system meets 'T' or 'clean' storm water runoff is diverted away from an agricultural waste management system to minimize the volume of runoff that is contaminated by agricultural waste. Associated practices are Critical Area Planting (342), Grassed Waterway (412), Underground Outlet (620), Mulching (484), and Subsurface Drainage (606).	Length of Diversion	Foot	\$5.02	\$7.53	\$7.53	\$9.03

DRAINAGE WATER MANAGEMENT

Practice Code 554

Non-Livestock Structural Practice

PRS Unit of Measurement: Acre

Definition: The drainage management of a tiled field or surface drained field with installed water control structures; the control of water surface elevations and discharge from surface and subsurface drainage systems.

Purpose: To improve water quality and the soil environment for vegetative growth, reduce the rate of oxidation of organic soils, prevent wind erosion, enable seasonal shallow flooding and prevent discharge of nutrient laden water carried through surface or subsurface drainage.

Applicability: Where the topography is relatively smooth, uniform, and flat to gently sloping; where a water table may be maintained without excessive seepage and without having an adverse impact on adjoining properties and where a field which has had manure applied which has the potential to flow rapidly into a drainage system and discharged unless flow is controlled.

Field water levels (ground and surface) are varied throughout the year to reduce the amount of nutrient laden water into streams and rivers. The unit of measurement, acres, is defined by the area impacted by the water control structure(s). This would be the area associated with an elevation that is approximately 6 inches above the managed water control level.

Limitations: A Drainage Water Management Plan, code 130, is required prior to completing the design for 554 Drainage Water Management.

Maintenance: Practice must be maintained for a lifespan of 1 year.

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
554-1	>10 Acres per Structure	Scenario Description: This scenario describes the management of a drainage water system in a row crop field with subsurface drainage system already installed or planned to be installed with control structures, or a surface water management system with berms or levees around the field and control structures. Drainage conditions consist of gentle slopes with few variations in drainage characteristics and surface slopes. Subsurface drainage pattern consists of fewer secondary main lines. Implementation of DWM results in improved water quality by reducing nutrient losses from the soil through ground or surface water outside of the growing season. Management of the water table results in more ground water available for crops during the growing season while lowering the water table prior to crop planting and crop harvest to avoid causing compaction. After Practice Description: Typical systems consist of a 75 acre field with existing drainage tile lines and 5 installed water control structures. The operator walks the field in order to adjust water control structures (riser boards). While on site the date and adjustment information is recorded/logged. The number of yearly adjustments is based on 6 trips to a field 5 miles from headquarters. The field time to make and record each adjustment is 0.5 hours per structure (including travel time). The typical field will contain 5 water control structures. Scenario includes the cost of participant attending a workshop to gain knowledge about implementing the practice. Resource Concern: Water Quality - Excess Nutrients in surface and ground waters. Insufficient Water - Insufficient Moisture Management. Associated Practices: 329:Residue Management - No Till/Strip Till; 606-Subsurface Drain, 607-Surface Drain, Field Ditch; 608-Surface Drain, Main or Lateral; 587-Structure for Water Control; 590-Nutrient Management.	Acres of Managed Drainage	Acre	\$3.19	\$4.78	\$4.78	\$5.74

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
554-2	>10 acres per Structure with Training	Scenario Description: This scenario describes the management of a drainage water system in a row crop field with subsurface drainage system already installed or planned to be installed with control structures, or a surface water management system with berms or levees around the field and control structures. Drainage conditions consist of gentle slopes with few variations in drainage characteristics and surface slopes. Subsurface drainage pattern consists of fewer secondary main lines. Implementation of DWM results in improved water quality by reducing nutrient losses from the soil through ground or surface water outside of the growing season. Management of the water table results in more ground water available for crops during the growing season while lowering the water table prior to crop planting and crop harvest to avoid causing compaction. After Practice Description: Typical systems consist of a 75 acre field with existing drainage tile lines and 5 installed water control structures. The operator walks the field in order to adjust water control structures (riser boards). While on site the date and adjustment information is recorded/logged. The number of yearly adjustments is based on 6 trips to a field 5 miles from headquarters. The field time to make and record each adjustment is 0.5 hours per structure (including travel time). The typical field will contain 5 water control structures. Scenario includes the cost of participant attending a workshop to gain knowledge about implementing the practice. Resource Concern: Water Quality - Excess Nutrients in surface and ground waters. Insufficient Water - Insufficient Moisture Management. Associated Practices: 329:Residue Management - No Till/Strip Till; 606-Subsurface Drain, 607-Surface Drain, Field Ditch; 608-Surface Drain, Main or Lateral; 587-Structure for Water Control; 590-Nutrient Management.	Acres of Managed Drainage	Acre	\$3.49	\$5.24	\$5.24	\$6.29

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
554-3	<=10 Acres per Structure	Scenario Description: This scenario describes the management of a drainage water system in a row crop field with subsurface drainage system already installed or planned to be installed with control structures, or a surface water management system with berms or levees around the field and control structures. Drainage conditions consist of gentle to moderately slopes with many variations in drainage characteristics and surface slopes. Subsurface drainage pattern consists of many secondary main lines due to changes in drainage patterns. Implementation of DWM results in improved water quality by reducing nutrient losses from the soil through ground or surface water outside of the growing season. Management of the water table results in more ground water available for crops during the growing season while lowering the water table prior to crop planting and crop harvest to avoid causing compaction. After Practice Description: Typical systems consist of a 50 acre field with existing drainage tile lines and 5 installed water control structures. The operator walks the field in order to adjust water control structures (riser boards). While on site the date and adjustment information is recorded/logged. The number of yearly adjustments is based on 6 trips to a field 5 miles from headquarters. The field time to make and record each adjustment is 0.5 hours per structure (including travel time). The typical field will contain 5 water control structures. Resource Concern: Water Quality - Excess Nutrients in surface and ground waters. Insufficient Water - Insufficient Moisture Management. Associated Practices: 329:Residue Management - No Till/Strip Till; 606-Subsurface Drain; 607-Surface Drain, Field Ditch; 608-Surface Drain, Main or Lateral; 587-Structure for Water Control; 590-Nutrient Management.	Acres of Managed Drainage	Acre	\$4.78	\$7.17	\$7.17	\$8.61

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
554-4	<=10 acres per Structure with Training	Scenario Description: This scenario describes the management of a drainage water system in a row crop field with subsurface drainage system already installed or planned to be installed with control structures, or a surface water management system with berms or levees around the field and control structures. Drainage conditions consist of gentle to moderately slopes with many variations in drainage characteristics and surface slopes. Subsurface drainage pattern consists of many secondary main lines due to changes in drainage patterns. Implementation of DWM results in improved water quality by reducing nutrient losses from the soil through ground or surface water outside of the growing season. Management of the water table results in more ground water available for crops during the growing season while lowering the water table prior to crop planting and crop harvest to avoid causing compaction. After Practice Description: Typical systems consist of a 50 acre field with existing drainage tile lines and 5 installed water control structures. The operator walks the field in order to adjust water control structures (riser boards). While on site the date and adjustment information is recorded/logged. The number of yearly adjustments is based on 6 trips to a field 5 miles from headquarters. The field time to make and record each adjustment is 0.5 hours per structure (including travel time). The typical field will contain 5 water control structures. Resource Concern: Water Quality - Excess Nutrients in surface and ground waters. Insufficient Water - Insufficient Moisture Management. Associated Practices: 329:Residue Management - No Till/Strip Till; 606-Subsurface Drain; 607-Surface Drain, Field Ditch; 608-Surface Drain, Main or Lateral; 587-Structure for Water Control; 590-Nutrient Management.	Acres of Managed Drainage	Acre	\$5.24	\$7.86	\$7.86	\$9.43

DRAINAGE WATER MANAGEMENT PLAN - WRITTEN Practice Code 130

Non-Livestock Structural Practice

PRS Unit of Measurement: Number

Definition: The objective of a Drainage Water Management Plan (DWMP) is to manage field water table elevations and the timing of water discharges from subsurface or surface agriculture drainage systems.

Purpose: To improve water quality, improve the soil environment for vegetative growth, reduce the rate of oxidation of organic soils, prevent wind erosion, and enable seasonal shallow flooding or surface watercourse flows for fish and wildlife habitat.

Applicability: Provide a producer a framework for the implementation of DWMP on existing artificially drained land.

Limitations:

Maintenance: Practice must be maintained for a lifespan of 1 year.

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
130-9	DWMP - Tile Map Available	Scenario Description: A Drainage Water Management Plan (DWMP) will be developed on a relatively flat crop field with a patterned drainage system, where a map of the tile system is available. The DWMP will document soil, topographic, and drainage system maps of the site, and identify the number and location of water control structures that are needed to implement drainage water management according to Field Office Technical Guide standards. The DWMP will also provide guidelines for management of the water control structures to achieve desired resource outcomes. After Practice Description: A certified Technical Service Provider (TSP) develops the 'Drainage Water Management' conservation activity plan (CAP). The DWMP documents soil, topographic, and drainage system maps of the site, and identifies the number and location of water control structures that are needed to implement drainage water management according to Field Office Technical Guide standards. The DWMP also provides guidelines for management of the water control structures to achieve desired resource outcomes. The plan is ready for implementation with structural measures and management once the structures are installed. No actual benefits to resource concerns are achieved until the practices in the DWMP are implemented.	Number	Number	\$2,062.65	\$2,475.17	N/A	N/A

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
130-10	DWMP - No Tile Map Available	Scenario Description: A Drainage Water Management Plan (DWMP) will be developed on a relatively flat crop field with a patterned drainage system, where no map of the tile system is available. The DWMP will document soil, topographic, and drainage system maps of the site, and identify the number and location of water control structures that are needed to implement drainage w a t e r management according to Field Office Technical Guide standards. The DWMP will also provide guidelines for management of the water control structures to achieve desired resource outcomes. After Practice Description: A certified Technical Service Provider (TSP) develops the 'Drainage Water Management' conservation activity plan (CAP). The DWMP documents soil, topographic, and drainage system maps of the site, and identifies the number and location of water control structures that are needed to implement drainage water management according to Field Office Technical Guide standards. The DWMP also provides guidelines f o r management of the water control structures to achieve desired resource outcomes. The plan is ready for implementation with structural measures and management once the structures are installed. No actual benefits to resource concerns are achieved until the practices in the DWMP are implemented.	Number	Number	\$2,459.81	\$2,951.77	N/A	N/A

EARLY SUCCESSIONAL HABITAT DEVELOPMENT MANAGEMENT

Practice Code 647

Non-Livestock Vegetative Practice

PRS Unit of Measurement: Acre

Definition: Management for early plant succession to benefit desired wildlife or natural communities.

Purpose: To increase plant community species and structural diversity, provide wildlife habitat for those species that use early successional stage vegetative habitat and provide habitat for declining species.

Applicability: On all lands that are suitable for the kinds of wildlife and plant species that are desired.

Limitations:

Maintenance: Practice must be maintained for a lifespan of 1 year.

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
647-1	Mowing	Scenario Description: This scenario address inadequate habitat for fish and wildlife where setting back succession by mowing incoming woody species will improve habitat for the target species. Mowing can be used to increase structural diversity by creating areas of shorter vegetation preferred by some species or certain life stages of species. This scenario can be used nationwide. The typical setting for this scenario is at the edge of crop fields, in pastures, at the edge of woodlands or brushy areas, and in odd areas such as pivot corners. Where the management of woody plants is require to create or maintain early successional habitat conservation practice 314 brush management should be used. Where chemical control of weeds, including invasives, is required to reduce competition for the desired plant community conservation practice 315 herbaceous weed control should be used. Where the seedbank is inadequate for natural regeneration and seeding is required use conservation practice 327 Conservation Cover. Where the need is to create early successional habitat within or at the edge of woodland or forest use conservation practice 645 Upland Wildlife Habitat Management (edge feathering). After Practice Description: Early successional habitat maintained. Mowing has provided more sun light for forb establishment. The heterogeneity of the habitat structure has been increased.	width and length of treated area	Acre	\$98.29	\$147.44	\$147.44	\$176.92

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
647-2	Disking	Scenario Description: This practice addresses inadequate wildlife habitat for species requiring early successional habitat. This scenario provides early successional habitat by setting back succession and manipulating species composition by disking vegetation and exposing bare ground. The typical setting for this scenario is at the edge of crop fields, in pastures, and in odd areas such as pivot corners. This scenario is applicable nationwide. Where the management of woody plants is require to create or maintain early successional habitat conservation practice 314 brush management should be used. Where chemical control of weeds, including invasive, is required to reduce competition for the desired plant community conservation practice 315 herbaceous weed control should be used. Where the seedbank is inadequate for natural regeneration and seeding is required, use conservation practice 327 Conservation Cover. Where the need is to create early successional habitat within or at the edge of woodland or forest use conservation practice 645 Upland Wildlife Habitat Management (edge feathering). After Practice Description: The application of this scenario improves wildlife habitat for species requiring early successional plant communities by reducing competition and creating bare ground for the establishment of early successional plants. Additionally, brood rearing habitat is improved both by the resultant food resources and the increased openness of the plant community that allows chicks to negotiate the terrain and exploit those food resources.	width and length of treated area	Acre	\$47.79	\$71.68	\$71.68	\$86.02

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
647-3	Mowing and Disking	Scenario Description: This practice addresses inadequate wildlife habitat for species requiring early successional habitat. This scenario provides early successional habitat by setting back succession and manipulating species composition by mowing dense vegetation and then a light disking to expose bare ground. All mowed areas are also disked. The typical setting for this scenario is at the edge of crop fields, in pastures, idle land and in odd areas such as pivot corners. Where the management of woody plants is require to create or maintain early successional habitat conservation practice 314 brush management should be used. Where chemical control of weeds, including invasive, is required to reduce competition for the desired plant community conservation practice 315 herbaceous weed control should be used. Where the seedbank is inadequate for natural regeneration and seeding is required, use conservation practice 327 Conservation Cover. Where the need is to create early successional habitat within or at the edge of woodland or forest use conservation practice 645 Upland Wildlife Habitat Management (edge feathering). After Practice Description: The application of this scenario improves wildlife habitat for species requiring early successional plant communities by reducing competition and creating bare ground for the establishment of early successional plants. Additionally, brood rearing habitat is improved both by the resultant food resources and the increased openness of the plant community that allows chicks to negotiate the terrain and exploit those food resources.	Width and length of treated area	Acre	\$103.77	\$155.66	\$155.66	\$186.79

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
647-4	Mowing and Heavy Disking	Scenario Description: This practice addresses inadequate wildlife habitat for species requiring early successional habitat. This scenario provides early successional habitat by setting back succession and manipulating species composition by mowing dense vegetation and then a heavy disking (multiple passes) to expose bare ground. All mowed areas are also disked. The typical setting for this scenario is at the edge of crop fields, in pastures, idle land and in odd areas such as pivot corners. This scenario is applicable nationwide. Where the management of woody plants is require to create or maintain early successional habitat conservation practice 314 brush management should be used. Where chemical control of weeds, including invasive, is required to reduce competition for the desired plant community conservation practice 315 herbaceous weed control should be used. Where the seedbank is inadequate for natural regeneration and seeding is required 327 Conservation Cover. Where the need is to create early successional habitat within or at the edge of woodland or forest use conservation practice 645 Upland Wildlife Habitat Management (edge feathering). After Practice Description: The application of this scenario improves wildlife habitat for species requiring early successional plant communities by reducing competition and creating bare ground for the establishment of early successional plants. Additionally, brood rearing habitat is improved both by the resultant food resources and the increased openness of the plant community that allows chicks to negotiate the terrain and exploit those food resources.	Width and length of treated area	Acre	\$109.25	\$163.88	\$163.88	\$196.65

EDGE OF FIELD WATER QUALITY MONITORING – DATA COLLECTION & EVALUATION Practice Code 201

Non-Livestock Vegetative Practice

PRS Unit of Measurement: Acre

Definition: Water quality monitoring and evaluation under this conservation activity standard are the actions and activities, using acceptable tools and protocols, by which a producer will measure the effectiveness of conservation practices and systems. Evaluation of conservation practice effectiveness through edge-of-field monitoring will lead to a better understanding of constituent loading and will assist NRCS and participants in adapting or validating the application of conservation measures.

Purpose: Evaluate the effectiveness of a practice or system of practices in reducing concentrations and/or loads of targeted constituents.

- Use evaluation techniques to acquire insight about existing land management and where applicable, institute change to achieve a future desired condition.
- · Collect site specific edge-of-field water quality data to calibrate, validate, and verify predictive models.

Applicability: This conservation activity applies to all land uses where conservation practices are or will be addressing surface and subsurface drainage water quality, and there is a need to determine the effects and performance of applied conservation practices. The pollutant(s) to be measured at the edge-of-field must be tied to a water quality constituent of concern for the associated receiving stream or water body. This ties the resource concern back to the planning process and promotes a systems approach to conservation.

Limitations: This practice will only be eligible in Mississippi River Basin Initiative watersheds, and National Water Quality Initiative watersheds.

Maintenance: Practice must be maintained for a lifespan of 1 year.

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
201-56	Data Collect Surface Year 1- QAPP	Scenario Description: This practice scenario provides for the design and use of an edge-of-field WQ monitoring station(s) for surface runoff for one control and one treatment site with an average of 20 samples per year per station. The scenario requires the creation of a survey to site a monitoring station, preparation of monitoring plan and a quality assurance project plan to detail how data will be collected, handled and analyzed, provides for the data collection, analysis, semiannual report, and annual report. This scenario will normally be used in year 1 of the contract when a monitoring plan and QAPP need to be prepared prior to installation under Edge-of-Field Water Quality Monitoring - System Installation (202). THIS IS PLACED IN A PAIRED SITUATION IF THE CONTROL AND TREATMENT ARE ON DIFFERENT LANDOWNERS FIELDS THEN A JOINT CONTRACT WILL BE NECESSARY. After Practice Description: This practice scenario after installation of the WQ monitoring stations, provides for the data collection, analysis, semiannual report, and annual report for one control and one treatment site. This scenario will normally be used in year 1 of the contract when a monitoring plan and QAPP have been prepared prior to installation under Edge-of-Field Water Quality Monitoring - System Installation (202). The operator will be able to collect field level water quality data of sufficient quality to measure loss of nutrients as listed in 201.	Measuring Sites	Each	N/A	N/A	\$16,479.94	\$19,775.93

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
201-57	Data Collect Surface Year 1 - NO QAPP	Scenario Description: This practice scenario provides for the use of an edge-of-field WQ monitoring station(s) for surface run-off for one control and one treatment site. The scenario requires the collection and analysis of edge-of-field water quality data with an average s a m p I e collection of 20 per year for surface systems. The data will be transferred through semi-annual submittal and annual report which include some preliminary annual analysis. This scenario will normally be used in year 1 of the contract when a monitoring plan and QAPP w i I I be not prepared as this is for an existing monitoring system that has been accepted as meeting both Activity 201 and 202. THIS IS PLACED IN A PAIRED SITUATION IF THE CONTROL AND TREATMENT ARE ON DIFFERENT LANDOWNERS FIELDS THEN A JOINT CONTRACT WILL BE NECESSARY. After Practice Description: This practice scenario after installation of the WQ monitoring stations, provides for the data collection, analysis, semiannual submittal, and annual report for one control and one treatment site. This scenario will normally be used in year 1 of the contract when a monitoring plan and QAPP have been prepared as part of an existing monitoring system installation where the QAPP and monitoring plan meets Activity 201 requirements and no major c h a n g e s are needed to meet Activity 202 requirements. The operator will be able to collect field level water quality data of sufficient quality to measure loss of nutrients as listed in 201.	Measuring Site	Each	N/A	N/A	\$11,451.84	\$13,742.21

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
201-58	Data Collect Surface Year 2+	Scenario Description: This practice scenario provides for the use of an edge-of-field WQ monitoring station(s) for surface run-off for one control and one treatment site. The scenario requires the collection and analysis of edge-of-field water quality data with an average s a m p I e collection of 20 per year for surface systems. The data will be transferred through semi-annual submittal and annual report which include some preliminary annual analysis. This scenario will normally be used in year 2 to next to the last year of monitoring. THIS IS PLACED IN A PAIRED SITUATION IF THE CONTROL AND TREATMENT ARE ON DIFFERENT LANDOWNERS FIELDS THEN A JOINT CONTRACT WILL BE NECESSARY. After Practice Description: This practice scenario after installation of the WQ monitoring stations, provides for the data collection, analysis, semiannual submittal, and annual report for one control and one treatment site. The operator will be able to collect field level water quality data of sufficient quality to measure loss of nutrients as listed in 201.	Measuring Site	Each	N/A	N/A	\$11,451.84	\$13,742.21

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
201-59	Data Collect Surface Last Year	Scenario Description: This practice scenario provides for the use of an edge-of-field WQ monitoring station(s) for surface run-off for one control and one treatment site with an average of 20 samples per year per station. The scenario requires the collection and analysis of edge-of-field water quality data along with a comprehensive report to statistically prove relationship between select conservation practices and water quality. The data will be transferred through semi-annual submittal and annual report and a comprehensive report of practice effectiveness. This scenario will be used in the last year of monitoring. THIS IS PLACED IN A PAIRED SITUATION IF THE CONTROL AND TREATMENT ARE ON DIFFERENT LANDOWNERS FIELDS THEN A JOINT CONTRACT WILL BE NECESSARY. After Practice Description: This practice scenario after installation of the WQ monitoring stations, provides for the data collection, analysis, semiannual submittal, and annual report for one control and one treatment site. The operator will be able to collect field level water quality data of sufficient quality to measure loss of nutrients as listed in 201 to provide a comprehensive report of statistical testing of data collected to complete monitoring period.	Measuring Site	Each	N/A	N/A	\$13,773.16	\$16,527.79

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
201-60	Data Collect Tile Year 1-QAPP	Scenario Description: This practice scenario provides for the design and use of an edge-of-field WQ monitoring station(s) for tile and subsurface drainage run-off for one control and one treatment site with an average of 40 samples per year per station. A subsurface system also requires the addition of a surface sampling system at the same outlet to capture overland flow with 20 samples per year. Without the surface system then not all runoff is captured for calculating a true event mean concentration as per the 201 Standard. The scenario requires the creation of a survey to site a monitoring station, preparation of monitoring plan and a quality assurance project plan to detail how data will be collected, handled and analyzed, provides for the data collection, analysis, semiannual report, and annual report. This scenario will normally be used in year 1 of the contract when a monitoring plan and QAPP need to be prepared prior to installation under Edge-of-Field Water Quality Monitoring - System Installation (202). THIS IS PLACED IN A PAIRED SITUATION IF THE CONTROL AND TREATMENT ARE ON DIFFERENT LANDOWNERS FIELDS THEN A JOINT CONTRACT WILL BE NECESSARY. After Practice Description: This practice scenario after installation of the WQ monitoring stations, provides for the data collection, analysis, semiannual report, and annual report for one control and one treat ment site. This scenario will normally be used in year 1 of the contract when a monitoring plan and QAPP have been prepared prior to installation under Edge-of-Field Water Quality Monitoring - System Installation (202). The operator will be able to collect field level water quality data of sufficient quality to measure loss of nutrients as listed in	Measuring Site	Each	N/A	N/A	\$32,224.46	\$38,669.35

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
201-61		Scenario Description: This practice scenario provides for the design and use of an edge-of-field WQ monitoring station(s) for tile and subsurface drainage run-off for one control and one treatment site with an average of 40 samples per year per station. A subsurface system also requires the addition of a surface sampling system at the same outlet to capture overland flow with 20 samples per year. Without the surface system then not all runoff is captured for calculating a true event mean concentration as per the 201 Standard. The data will be transferred through semi-annual submittal and annual reports, which include some preliminary annual analysis. This scenario will normally be used in year 1 of the contract when a monitoring plan and QAPP will be not prepared as this is for an existing monitoring system be accepted as meeting both Activity 201 and 202. THIS IS PLACED IN A PAIRED SITUATION IF THE CONTROL AND TREATMENT ARE ON DIFFERENT LANDOWNERS FIELDS THEN A JOINT CONTRACT WILL BE NECESSARY. After Practice Description: This practice scenario after installation of the WQ monitoring stations, provides for the data collection, analysis, semiannual submittal, and annual report for one control and one treatment site. This scenario will normally be used in year 1 of the contract when a monitoring plan and QAPP have been prepared as part of an existing monitoring system installation where the QAPP and monitoring plan meets Activity 201 requirements and no major changes are needed to meet Activity 202 requirements. The operator will be able to collect field level water quality data of sufficient quality to measure loss of nutrients as listed in 201.	Measuring Site	Each	N/A	N/A	\$27,196.36	\$32,635.63

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
201-62	Data Collect Tile Year 2+	Scenario Description: This practice scenario provides for the design and use of an edge-of-field WQ monitoring station(s) for tile and subsurface drainage run-off for one control and one treatment site with an average of 40 samples per year per station. A subsurface system also requires the addition of a surface sampling system at the same outlet to capture overland flow with 20 samples per year. Without the surface system then not all runoff is captured for calculating a true event mean concentration as per the 201 Standard. The data will be transferred through semi-annual submittal and annual report, which include some preliminary annual analysis. This scenario will normally be used in year 2 to next to the last year of monitoring. THIS IS PLACED IN A PAIRED SITUATION IF THE CONTROL AND TREATMENT ARE ON DIFFERENT LANDOWNERS FIELDS THEN A JOINT CONTRACT WILL BE NECESSARY. After Practice Description: This practice scenario after installation of the WQ monitoring stations, provides for the data collection, analysis, semiannual report, and annual report for one control and one treatment as ite. The operator will be able to collect field level water quality data of sufficient quality to measure loss of nutrients as listed in 201.	Measuring site	Each	N/A	N/A	\$27,196.36	\$32,635.63

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
201-63	Data Collect Tile Last Year	Scenario Description: This practice scenario provides for the design and use of an edge-of-field WQ monitoring station(s) for tile and subsurface drainage run-off for one control and one treatment site with an average of 40 samples per year per station. A subsurface system also requires the addition of a surface sampling system at the same outlet to capture overland flow with 20 samples per year. Without the surface system then not all runoff is captured for calculating a true event mean concentration as per the 201 Standard. The scenario requires the collection and analysis of edge-of-field water quality data along with a comprehensive report to statistically prove relationship between select conservation practices and water quality. The data will be transferred through semi-annual submittal and annual report and a comprehensive report of practice effectiveness. This scenario will be used in the last year of monitoring. THIS IS PLACED IN A PAIRED SITUATION IF THE CONTROL AND TREATMENT ARE ON DIFFERENT LANDOWNERS FIELDS THEN A JOINT CONTRACT WILL BE NECESSARY. After Practice Description: This practice scenario after installation of the WQ monitoring stations, provides for the data collection, analysis, semiannual submittal, and annual report for one control and one treatment site. The operator will be able to collect field level water quality data of sufficient quality to measure loss of nutrients as listed in 201 to provide a comprehensive report of statistical testing of data collected during to complete monitoring period.	Measuring site	Each	N/A	N/A	\$29,517.68	\$35,421.22

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
201-64	QAPP with two	Scenario Description: This practice scenario provides for the design and use of an edge-of-field WQ monitoring station(s) for surface runoff for one control and two treatment sites with an average of 20 samples per year per station. The scenario requires the creation of a survey to site a monitoring station, preparation of monitoring plan and a quality assurance project plan to detail how data will be collected, handled and analyzed, provides for the data collection, analysis, semiannual report, and annual report. This scenario will normally be used in year 1 of the contract when a monitoring plan and QAPP need to be prepared prior to installation under Edge-of-Field Water Quality Monitoring - System Installation (202). THIS IS PLACED IN A PAIRED SITUATION IF THE CONTROL AND TREATMENT ARE ON DIFFERENT LANDOWNERS FIELDS THEN A JOINT CONTRACT WILL BE NECESSARY. After Practice Description: This practice scenario after installation of the WQ monitoring stations, provides for the data collection, analysis, semiannual report, and annual report for one control and one treatment site. This scenario will normally be used in year 1 of the contract when a monitoring plan and QAPP have been prepared prior to installation under Edge-of-Field Water Quality Monitoring - System Installation (202). The operator will be able to collect field level water quality data of sufficient quality to measure loss of nutrients as listed in 201.	Measuring Sites	Each	N/A	N/A	\$22,591.16	\$27,109.39

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
201-65	Data Collect Surface Year 1 less QAPP (pre- install information) with two treatment sites	Scenario Description: This practice scenario provides for the use of an edge-of-field WQ monitoring station(s) for surface run-off for one control and two treatment sites. The scenario requires the collection and analysis of edge-of-field water quality data with an average s a m p I e collection of 20 per year for each surface system. The data w i I I be transferred through semi-annual submittal and annual report, which include some preliminary annual analysis. This scenario will normally be used in year 1 of the contract when a monitoring plan and QAPP will not be prepared as this is for an existing monitoring system be accepted as meeting both Activity 201 and 202. THIS IS PLACED IN A PAIRED SITUATION IF THE CONTROL AND TREATMENT ARE ON DIFFERENT LANDOWNERS FIELDS THEN A JOINT CONTRACT WILL BE NECESSARY. After Practice Description: This practice scenario after installation of the WQ monitoring stations, provides for the data collection, analysis, semiannual submittal, and annual report for one control and two treatment sites. This scenario will normally be used in year 1 of the contract when a monitoring plan and QAPP have been prepared as part of an existing monitoring system installation where the QAPP and monitoring plan meets Activity 201 requirements and no major changes are needed to meet Activity 202 requirements. The operator will be able to collect field level water quality data of sufficient quality to measure loss of nutrients as listed in 201.	Measuring site	Each	N/A	N/A	\$16,209.67	\$19,451.60

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
201-66	Data Collect Surface Year 2+ with two treatment sites	Scenario Description: This practice scenario provides for the use of an edge-of-field WQ monitoring station(s) for surface run-off for one control and two treatment sites. The scenario requires the collection and analysis of edge-of-field water quality data with an average sample collection of 20 per year for each surface system. The data will be transferred through semi-annual submittal and annual report, which include some preliminary annual analysis. This scenario will normally be used in year 2 to next to the last year of monitoring. THIS IS PLACED IN A PAIRED SITUATION IF THE CONTROL AND TREATMENT ARE ON DIFFERENT LANDOWNERS FIELDS THEN A JOINT CONTRACT WILL BE NECESSARY. After Practice Description: This practice scenario after installation of the WQ monitoring stations, provides for the data collection, analysis, semiannual submittal, and annual report for one control and two treatment sites. The operator will be able to collect field level water quality data of sufficient quality to measure loss of nutrients as listed in 201.	Measuring site	Each	N/A	N/A	\$16,209.67	\$19,451.60

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
201-67	Data Collect Surface Last Year with two treatment sites	Scenario Description: This practice scenario provides for the use of an edge-of-field WQ monitoring station(s) for surface run-off for one control and two treatment sites with an average of 20 samples per year per station. The scenario requires the collection and analysis of edge-of-field water quality data along with a comprehensive report to statistically prove relationship between select conservation practices and water quality. The data will be transferred through semi-annual submittal and annual report and a comprehensive report of practice effectiveness. This scenario will be used in the last year of monitoring. THIS IS PLACED IN A PAIRED SITUATION IF THE CONTROL AND TREATMENT ARE ON DIFFERENT LANDOWNERS FIELDS THEN A JOINT CONTRACT WILL BE NECESSARY. After Practice Description: This practice scenario after installation of the WQ monitoring stations, provides for the data collection, analysis, semiannual submittal, and annual report for one control and two treatment sites. The operator will be able to collect field level water quality data of sufficient quality to measure loss of nutrients as listed in 201 to provide a comprehensive report of statistical testing of data collected during to complete monitoring period.	Measuring site	Each	N/A	N/A	\$19,691.65	\$23,629.98

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
201-67	Data Collect Surface Last Year with two treatment sites	Scenario Description: This practice scenario provides for the use of an edge-of-field WQ monitoring station(s) for surface run-off for one control and two treatment sites with an average of 20 samples per year per station. The scenario requires the collection and analysis of edge-of-field water quality data along with a comprehensive report to statistically prove relationship between select conservation practices and water quality. The data will be transferred through semi-annual submittal and annual report and a comprehensive report of practice effectiveness. This scenario will be used in the last year of monitoring. THIS IS PLACED IN A PAIRED SITUATION IF THE CONTROL AND TREATMENT ARE ON DIFFERENT LANDOWNERS FIELDS THEN A JOINT CONTRACT WILL BE NECESSARY. After Practice Description: This practice scenario after installation of the WQ monitoring stations, provides for the data collection, analysis, semiannual submittal, and annual report for one control and two treatment sites. The operator will be able to collect field level water quality data of sufficient quality to measure loss of nutrients as listed in 201 to provide a comprehensive report of statistical testing of data collected during to complete monitoring period.	Measuring site	Each	N/A	N/A	\$19,691.65	\$23,629.98

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
201-68	Data Collect Tile Year 1 with two treatment sites and QAPP	Scenario Description: This practice scenario provides for the design and use of an edge-of-field WQ monitoring station(s) for tile and subsurface drainage run-off for one control and two treatment sites with an average of 40 samples per year per station. A subsurface system also requires the addition of a surface sampling system at the same outlet to capture overland flow with 20 samples per year. Without the surface system then not all runoff is captured for calculating a true event mean concentration as per the 201 Standard. The scenario requires the creation of a survey to site monitoring stations, preparation of monitoring plan and a quality assurance project plan (QAPP) to detail how data will be collected, handled and analyzed, provides for the data collection, analysis, semiannual report, and annual report. This scenario will normally be used in year 1 of the contract when a monitoring plan and QAPP need to be prepared prior to installation under Edge-of-Field Water Quality Monitoring - System Installation (202). THIS IS PLACED IN A PAIRED SITUATION IF THE CONTROL AND TREATMENT ARE ON DIFFERENT LANDOWNERS FIELDS THEN A JOINT CONTRACT WILL BE NECESSARY. After Practice Description: This practice scenario after installation of the WQ monitoring stations, provides for the data collection, analysis, semiannual report, and annual report for one control and two treatment sites. This scenario will normally be used in year 1 of the contract when a monitoring plan and QAPP have not been prepared prior to installation under Edge-of-Field Water Quality Monitoring - System Installation (202). The operator will be able to collect field level water quality data of sufficient quality to measure loss of nutrients as listed in 201.	Measuring site	Each	N/A	N/A	\$44,594.46	\$53,513.35

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
201-69	Data Collect Tile Year 1 less QAPP (pre-install information) with two treatment sites	Scenario Description: This practice scenario provides for the design and use of an edge-of-field WQ monitoring station(s) for tile and subsurface drainage run-off for one control and two treatment sites with an average of 40 samples per year per station. A subsurface system also requires the addition of a surface sampling system at the same outlet to capture overland flow with 20 samples per year. Without the surface system then not all runoff is captured for calculating a true event mean concentration as per the 201 Standard. The data will be transferred through semi-annual submittal and annual reports, which include some preliminary annual analysis. This scenario will normally be used in year 1 of the contract when a monitoring plan and QAPP will not be prepared as this is for an existing monitoring system be accepted as meeting both Activity 201 and 202. THIS IS PLACED IN A PAIRED SITUATION IF THE CONTROL AND TREATMENT ARE ON DIFFERENT LANDOWNERS FIELDS THEN A JOINT CONTRACT WILL BE NECESSARY. After Practice Description: This practice scenario after installation of the W Q monitoring stations, provides for the data collection, analysis, semiannual submittal, and annual report for one control and two treatment sites. This scenario will normally be used in year 1 of the contract when a monitoring plan and QAPP have been prepared as part of an existing monitoring system installation where the QAPP and monitoring plan meets Activity 201 requirements and no major c h a n g e s are needed to meet Activity 202 requirements. The operator will be able to collect field level water quality data of sufficient quality to measure loss of nutrients as listed in 201.	Measuring site	Each	N/A	N/A	\$38,212.97	\$45,855.56

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
201-70		Scenario Description: This practice scenario provides for the design and use of an edge-of-field WQ monitoring station(s) for tile and subsurface drainage run-off for one control and two treatment sites with an average of 40 samples per year per station. A subsurface system also requires the addition of a surface sampling system at the same outlet to capture overland flow with 20 samples per year. Without the surface system then not all runoff is captured for calculating a true event mean concentration as per the 201 Standard. The data will be transferred through semi-annual submittal and annual report, which include some preliminary annual analysis. This scenario will normally be used in year 2 to next to the last year of monitoring. THIS IS PLACED IN A PAIRED SITUATION IF THE CONTROL AND TREATMENT ARE ON DIFFERENT LANDOWNERS FIELDS THEN A JOINT CONTRACT WILL BE NECESSARY. After Practice Description: This practice scenario after installation of the WQ monitoring stations, provides for the data collection, analysis, semiannual report, and annual report for one control and two treatment sites. The operator will be able to collect field level water quality data of sufficient quality to measure loss of nutrients as listed in 201.	Measuring site	Each	N/A	N/A	\$38,212.97	\$45,855.56

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
201-71	Data Collect Tile Last Year with two treatment sites	Scenario Description: This practice scenario provides for the design and use of an edge-of-field WQ monitoring station(s) for tile and subsurface drainage run-off for one control and two treatment sites with an average of 40 samples per year per station. A subsurface system also requires the addition of a surface sampling system at the same outlet to capture overland flow with 20 samples per year. Without the surface system then not all runoff is captured for calculating a true event mean concentration as per the 201 Standard. The scenario requires the collection and analysis of edge-of-field water quality data along with a comprehensive report to statistically prove relationship between select conservation practices and water quality. The data will be transferred through semi-annual submittal and annual report and a comprehensive report of practice effectiveness. This scenario will be used in the last year of monitoring. THIS IS PLACED IN A PAIRED SITUATION IF THE CONTROL AND TREATMENT ARE ON DIFFERENT LANDOWNERS FIELDS THEN A JOINT CONTRACT WILL BE NECESSARY. After Practice Description: This practice scenario after installation of the WQ monitoring stations, provides for the data collection, analysis, semiannual submittal, and annual report for one control and two treatment sites. The operator will be able to collect field level water quality data of sufficient quality to measure loss of nutrients as listed in 201 to provide a comprehensive report of statistical testing of data collected during to complete monitoring period.	Measuring site	Each	N/A	N/A	\$41,694.95	\$50,033.94

EDGE OF FIELD WATER QUALITY MONITORING – SYSTEM INSTALLATION Practice Code 202

Non-Livestock Vegetative Practice

PRS Unit of Measurement: Acre

Definition: This conservation activity standard addresses the system installation associated with edge-of-field water quality monitoring.

Purpose: Provide criteria for the installation of water quality monitoring system necessary to collect data for:

- Evaluating conservation practice effectiveness
- Field scale model validation
- · On-farm adaptive management

Applicability: This conservation activity applies to all land uses where conservation practices are or will be addressing surface and subsurface drainage water quality, and there is a need to determine the effects and performance of applied conservation practices. The pollutant(s) to be measured at the edge-of-field must be tied to a water quality constituent of concern for the associated receiving stream or water body. This ties the resource concern back to the planning process and promotes a systems approach to conservation.

Limitations: This practice will only be eligible in Mississippi River Basin Initiative watersheds, and National Water Quality Initiative watersheds.

Maintenance: Practice must be maintained for a lifespan of 10 year.

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
202-39	System Installation- Surface Cold Climate	Scenario Description: This edge-of-field water quality monitoring system is applicable to a single control or treatment site that has a field defined with surface runoff that can be captured and sampled at the edge of a field before entering a ditch or receiving water body or water course. The component monitoring equipment are associated with a typical system for northern latitudes where winter time heating is required for sampling. It will allow for installation of automated sampling data collection system with protective housing to reduce potential for vandalism, battery backup for operation during periods when electricity is down or solar panels are not creating an electrical current, a calf hut or other structure with heat is required over the flume to allow sampling under northern latitude winter conditions, and a berm or other directional flow structure to guide the runoff to a sampling flume. After Practice Description: The agricultural operation after installing the monitoring equipment will be receiving feedback in the form of edge-of-field runoff water quality samples. The samples will allow the operator to understand the relationship between rain/irrigation, practice choice, and nutrient inputs effecting nutrient and sediment loss for the field. Thus, providing an opportunity to make adaptive management changes to the agricultural operation to reduce sediment and nutrient loss and/or profitability.	System installed	Each	N/A	N/A	\$15,032.68	\$18,039.22

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
202-41	1 1	Scenario Description: This edge-of-field water quality monitoring system is applicable to a single control or treatment site that has a field defined with tile or other subsurface drainage runoff that can be captured and sampled at the edge of a field before entering a ditch or receiving water body or water course. The component monitoring equipment are associated with a typical system for northern latitudes where winter time heating is required for sampling. It will allow for installation of automated sampling data collection system for a subsurface collection and separate surface automated sample collection system with protective housing to reduce potential for vandalism, battery backup for operation during periods when electricity is down or solar panels are not creating an electrical current, an area velocity sensor for pipe flow and estimation of submerged flow, a calf hut or other structure with heat is required over the flume to allow sampling under northern latitude winter conditions and a berm or other directional flow structure to guide the runoff to a sampling flume. After Practice Description: The agricultural operation after installing the monitoring equipment will be receiving feedback in the form of edge-of-field runoff water quality samples. The samples will allow the operator to understand the relationship between rain/irrigation, practice choice, and nutrient inputs effecting nutrient and sediment loss for the field. Thus, providing an opportunity to make adaptive management changes to the agricultural operation to reduce sediment and nutrient loss and/or profitability.	System installed	Each	N/A	N/A	\$21,690.92	\$26,029.10

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
202-43	,	Scenario Description: This edge-of-field water quality monitoring system is applicable where a conservation practice has a pre- and post- treatment area in the same field drainage with surface or subsurface drainage runoff that can be captured and sampled at the edge of a field before entering a ditch or receiving water body or water course. The component monitoring equipment are associated with a typical system for northern latitudes where winter time heating is required for sampling. It will allow for installation of automated sampling data collection system with protective housing to reduce potential for vandalism, battery backup for operation during periods when electricity is down or solar panels are not creating an electrical current, a calf hut or other structure with heat is required over the flume to allow sampling under northern latitude winter conditions, and a berm or other directional flow structure to guide the runoff to a sampling flume. The actual installation will different on the subsurface flow by allowing a smaller pre-calibrated flume with the addition of a velocity sensor meter as in the tile alternative. After Practice Description: The agricultural operation after installing the monitoring equipment will be receiving feedback in the form of edge-of-field runoff water quality samples. The samples will allow the operator to understand the relationship between rain/irrigation, practice choice, and nutrient inputs effecting nutrient and sediment loss for the field. Thus, providing an opportunity to make adaptive management changes to the agricultural operation to reduce sediment and nutrient loss and/or profitability.	System installed	Each	N/A	N/A	\$24,931.59	\$29,917.90

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
202-44	System Installation- Retrofit 1	Scenario Description: This edge-of-field water quality monitoring system is to retrofit an existing system that is being used in associated with the 799 interim practice or comparable system. The retrofit is applicable to a single control or treatment site that has a field defined with surface or subsurface drainage runoff that can be captured and sampled at the edge of a field before entering a ditch or receiving water body or water course. The data represents the installation of an automated and manual backup rain gauge and back-up/solar power supply be added to existing system. It is actually to represent a cost for any system updates that has component costs of \$2,400 or less as per the component costs in various scenarios. After Practice Description: The agricultural operation after installing the monitoring equipment will be receiving feedback in the form of edge-of-field runoff water quality samples. The samples will allow the operator to understand the relationship between rain/irrigation, practice choice, and nutrient inputs effecting nutrient and sediment loss for the field. Thus, providing an opportunity to make adaptive management changes to the agricultural operation to reduce sediment and nutrient loss and/or profitability.	System installed	Each	N/A	N/A	\$2,544.56	\$3,053.47
202-45	System Installation- Retrofit 2	Scenario Description: This edge-of-field water quality monitoring system is to retrofit an existing system that is being used in associated with the 799 interim practice or comparable system. The retrofit is applicable to a single control or treatment site that has a field defined with surface or subsurface drainage runoff that can be captured and sampled at the edge of a field before entering a ditch or receiving water body or water course. The data represents the installation of an automated and manual backup rain gauge, back-up/solar power supply, communications device, and depth (stage) sensor to be added to existing system. It is actually to represent a cost for any system updates that has component costs greater than \$2,400 but less than or equal to \$7,300 as per the component costs in various scenarios. After Practice Description: The agricultural operation after installing the monitoring equipment will be receiving feedback in the form of edge-of-field runoff water quality samples. The samples will allow the operator to understand the relationship between rain/irrigation, practice choice, and nutrient inputs effecting nutrient and sediment loss for the field. Thus, providing an opportunity to make adaptive management changes to the agricultural operation to reduce sediment and nutrient loss and/or profitability.	System installed	Each	N/A	N/A	\$6,646.62	\$7,975.94

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
202-46	System Installation- Retrofit 3	Scenario Description: This edge-of-field water quality monitoring system is to retrofit an existing system that is being used in associated with the 799 interim practice or comparable system. The retrofit is applicable to a single control or treatment site that has a field defined with surface or subsurface drainage runoff that can be captured and sampled at the edge of a field before entering a ditch or receiving water ourse. The data represents the installation of an automated and manual backup rain gauge, back-up/solar power supply, communications device, pre-calibrated flow control structure, and depth (stage) sensor to be added to existing system. It is actually to represent a cost for any system updates that has component costs greater than \$7,300 but less than or equal to \$10,500 as per the component costs in various scenarios. Anything above \$10,500 will evaluated as a full system replacement as per scenarios for surface or tile (subsurface) drainage. After Practice Description: The agricultural operation after installing the monitoring equipment will be receiving feedback in the form of edge-of-field runoff water quality samples. The samples will allow the operator to understand the relationship between rain/irrigation, practice choice, and nutrient inputs effecting nutrient and sediment loss for the field. Thus, providing an opportunity to make adaptive management changes to the agricultural operation to reduce sediment and nutrient loss and/or profitability.	System installed	Each	N/A	N/A	\$9,500.73	\$11,400.88

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
202-47	System Installation- Retrofit Above and Below 1	Scenario Description: This edge-of-field water quality monitoring system is to retrofit an existing above and below monitoring designed system that is being used in associated with the 799 interim practice or comparable system. The retrofit is applicable to an above and below system that has a field defined with surface or subsurface drainage runoff that can be captured and sampled at the edge of a field before entering a ditch or receiving water body or water course. The data represents the installation of an automated and manual backup rain gauge and two back-up/solar power supply be added to existing paired system. It is actually to represent a cost for any system updates that has component costs of \$3,300 or less as per the component costs in various scenarios. After Practice Description: The agricultural operation after installing the monitoring equipment will be receiving feedback in the form of edge-of-field runoff water quality samples. The samples will allow the operator to understand the relationship between rain/irrigation, practice choice, and nutrient inputs effecting nutrient and sediment loss for the field. Thus, providing an opportunity to make adaptive management changes to the agricultural operation to reduce sediment and nutrient loss and/or profitability.	System installed	Each	N/A	N/A	\$3,685.00	\$4,422.00
202-48	System Installation- Retrofit Above 2	Scenario Description: This edge-of-field water quality monitoring system is to retrofit an existing above and below monitoring designed system that is being used in associated with the 799 interim practice or comparable system. The retrofit is applicable to an above and below system that has a field defined with surface or subsurface drainage runoff that can be captured and sampled at the edge of a field before entering a ditch or receiving water body or water course. The data represents the installation of an automated and manual backup rain gauge, two back-up/solar power supplies, two communications devices, and two depth (stage) sensors to be added to existing paired system. It is actually to represent a cost for any system updates that has component costs greater than \$3,300 but less than or equal to \$13,200 as per the component costs in various scenarios. After Practice Description: The agricultural operation after installing the monitoring equipment will be receiving feedback in the form of edge-offield runoff water quality samples. The samples will allow the operator to understand the relationship between rain/irrigation, practice choice, and nutrient inputs effecting nutrient and sediment loss for the field. Thus, providing an opportunity to make adaptive management changes to the agricultural operation to reduce sediment and nutrient loss and/or profitability.	System installed	Each	N/A	N/A	\$11,748.56	\$14,098.28

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
202-49	System Installation- Retrofit Above 3	Scenario Description: This edge-of-field water quality monitoring system is to retrofit an existing above and below monitoring designed system that is being used in associated with the 799 interim practice or comparable system. The retrofit is applicable to an above and below system that has a field defined with surface or subsurface drainage runoff that can be captured and sampled at the edge of a field before entering a ditch or receiving water body or water course. The data represents the installation of an automated and manual backup rain gauge, two back-up/solar power supplies, two communications devices, two pre-calibrated flumes, and two depth (stage) sensors to be added to existing paired system. It is actually to represent a cost for any system updates that has component costs greater than \$13,500 but less than or equal to \$20,500 as per the component costs in various scenarios. Anything above a \$20,500 will evaluated as a full system replacement as per scenarios for surface or tile (subsurface) drainage. After Practice Description: The agricultural operation after installing the monitoring equipment will be receiving feedback in the form of edge-of-field runoff water quality samples. The samples will allow the operator to understand the relationship between rain/irrigation, practice choice, and nutrient inputs effecting nutrient and sediment loss for the field. Thus, providing an opportunity to make adaptive management changes to the agricultural operation to reduce sediment and nutrient loss and/or profitability.	System installed	Each	N/A	N/A	\$17,059.63	\$20,471.55

EMERGENCY ANIMAL MORTALITY MANAGEMENT Practice Code 368

PRS Unit of Measurement: Number Definition: A means or method for the management of animal carcasses from catastrophic mortality events.
Purpose: This practice may be applied to achieve one or more of the following purposes:
 reduce impacts to surface water and groundwater resources reduce the impact of odors decrease the spread of pathogens
Applicability:
Limitations:
Maintenance: Practice must be maintained for a lifespan of 1 year.
Payment Schedule:

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
368-5	In-House Composting	Scenario Description: This scenario consists the emergency disposal of poultry mortality by composting in a static windrow. The cause of mortality is an event not related to disease. Additional carbon based bulking material is added to facilitate aeration and provide a proper C:N ratio. The windrow is turned at least once to go into another heat cycle prior to land application. Access is infrequent. This option may not be desirable for sites with limited area, karst topography, and not isolated from of public view. The purpose of the practice is to address resource concems related to water quality degradation due to excessive nutrients, organics, and pathogens being transported into surface and groundwater resources. Air quality impacts due to odors will also be addressed. Potential Associated Practices: Pond Sealing or Lining, Bentonite Sealant (521C), Pond Sealing or Lining, Compacted Clay Treatment (521D), Pond Sealing or Lining, Soil Dispersant (521B), Fence (382), Critical Area Planting (342), Nutrient Management (590), Access Road (560), Diversion (362). After Practice Description: Animal mortality is being done in a manner that prevents non-point source pollution of excessive nutrients, organics, and pathogens being transported into surface and groundwater resources. Proper operation results in little to no odors, complete composting, and protection from predators to minimize pathogen survival or spreading. An overall plan covers normal and catastrophic mortality events. The typical scenario number of birds to be disposed of is 20,000, 4 pound birds which can be composted in-house. Composting requires 1.5 pounds of carbon per pound of bird. There is 0.5 pounds of litter per bird already on site. Wood chips (45 pcf) will be used as the additional laborers: 1) stockpiling birds and litter in center of house; 2) construct 2 windrow bases using carbon material; 3) place carcass/litter mix on bases; 4) cover with carbon material; 5) cap windrows with any remaining litter; 6) after first heat cycle remove wind	Number of 1000 lbs. Animal Units	Animal Unit	\$32.24	\$48.36	N/A	N/A

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
368-6	Burial	Scenario Description: This scenario consists of the on-site burial of animal mortalities resulting from catastrophic events not related to disease. An earthen pit is excavated to contain the mortalities, and earth cover is placed over the mortalities to provide protection from predators to minimize pathogen survival or spreading. The purpose of the practice is to address resource concerns related to water quality degradation due to excessive nutrients, organics, and pathogens being transported into surface and groundwater resources. Air quality impacts due to odors will also be addressed. Potential Associated Practices: Pond Sealing or Lining, Bentonite Sealant (521C), Pond Sealing or Lining, Compacted Clay Treatment (521D), Pond Sealing or Lining, Soil Dispersant (521B), Fence (382), Critical Area Planting (342), Nutrient Management (590), Access Road (560), and Diversion (362). After Practice Description: Catastrophic Animal mortalities resulting from causes not related to disease are being disposed in a manner that prevents non-point source pollution of excessive nutrients, organics, and pathogens being transported into surface and groundwater resources. Proper operation results in little to no odors, and protection from predators to minimize pathogen survival or spreading. An overall plan covers the burial of animals as a result of catastrophic mortality events. This typical scenario was developed based on the disposal of 25 head of mature cattle located near the area where the cattle have been found. The scenario includes equipment time and labor to recover and transport carcasses to the burial location. The scenario also includes a burial trench 4' deep plus 3' additional cover over carcasses. Construct a 6' x 60' (surface dimensions) burial site with appropriate cover. Site can handle mortality for 25 mature beef cattle. On site soils can be re-compacted to meet required imperviousness. Include 3' overfill or mounding excavated material to provide for settlement of the burial site and divert or minimize		Animal Unit	\$48.37	\$72.55	N/A	N/A

FARMSTEAD ENERGY IMPROVEMENT Practice Code 374

Non-Livestock Vegetative Practice

PRS Unit of Measurement: Number

Definition: Development and implementation of improvements to improve the energy efficiency of on-farm energy use.

Purpose: This practice may be applied as part of a conservation management system to reduce energy use.

Applicability: The practice applies to non-residential structures and energy using systems where reducing energy use is the identified goal.

Limitations: Contracts that include Agricultural Energy Management Plans or audits as required for 374 – Farmstead Energy Improvement shall have energy plans or audits sent to the Area Engineer who will forward a copy to Mark Garrison in the State Office for administrative review before certification of plans or installation of practices outlined in plans or audits.

Energy Initiative – Conservation Practice 374 Farmstead Energy Improvement with any of the following scenarios; Motors Large, Motors Medium, Motors Small, Motors up to 1 HP and Drying-Grain, where a less efficient motor is being replaced by a more energy efficient motor, the old motor must be decommissioned and scrapped prior to payment.

Financial Assistance for Farmstead Energy Improvement, payment scenario ID 33 Grain Dryer is eligible to treat the existing resource concern, including up to a 25% expansion of the existing extent of the resource concern. EQIP Financial Assistance is only eligible to treat existing resource concerns for all other scenarios. For the following scenario, Grain Dryer, the rated capacity of the dryer will be based on 10 points moisture removal from corn.

The Farm Energy Improvement Practices Implementation and Certification Record worksheet will be completed and signed by the participant prior to practice certification for payment.

Maintenance: Practice must be maintained for a lifespan of 10 years.

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
374-1	Ventilation - Exhaust	Scenario Description: Replacement of an exhaust fan with a more efficient exhaust fan. Payment includes fan, controls, wiring, associated appurtenances and labor to install. After Practice Description: High-efficiency ventilation system which reduces energy use. The new ventilation equipment will provide suitable air quality and reduce overall power requirements (kW) compared to the existing ventilation system as evidenced in an energy audit. Associated practices/activities: may include 122-AgEMP - HQ, 670- Lighting System Improvement, 672- Building Envelope Improvement, and other activities within 374-Farmstead Energy Improvement. The resource concern is inefficient use of energy in the farm operation which increases dependence on non-renewable energy sources and can be addressed through improved energy efficiency. Any improvements are based on a Type 2 energy audit meeting the requirements of ASABE S612.	Each	Each	\$675.76	\$1,013.64	\$1,013.64	\$1,216.37
374-2	Ventilation - Horizontal Air Flow/Stir Fan	Scenario Description: A system of fans are installed where none exist to create a horizontal air circulation pattern, and remove air stratification. The new system promotes efficient heat and moisture distribution. Payment includes fan controls, wiring, associated appurtenances and labor to install. After Practice Description: Highefficiency air circulation system which reduces energy use. In a typical 10,000 square foot greenhouse, 10 HAF fans are needed. The new equipment will provide suitable air quality and reduce overall power requirements (kW) compared to the existing system as evidenced in an energy audit. Associated practices/activities: may include 122-AgEMP - HQ, 670- Lighting System Improvement, 672- Building Envelope Improvement, and other activities within 374-Farmstead Energy Improvement. The resource concern is inefficient use of energy in the farm operation which increases dependence on nonrenewable energy sources and can be addressed through improved energy efficiency. Any improvements are based on a Type 2 energy audit meeting the requirements of ASABE S612.	Each	Each	\$107.32	\$160.98	\$160.98	\$193.18

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
374-3	Ventilation - Cool Cell, Evaporative Cooling System	Scenario Description: A cool cell evaporative cooling system is installed in a livestock barn to reduce total ventilation requirements in hot weather. Scenario is applicable where there is an existing, inefficient cooling system/ventilation system in place that will be replaced by the cool cell. Payment includes all materials and labor to install the evaporative cooling system. After Practice Description: A cool cell evaporative cooling system reduces energy use by allowing lower ventilation rates that will result in net energy savings. The new equipment will provide suitable air quality and reduce overall power requirements (kW) compared to the existing system as evidenced in an energy audit. Associated practices/activities: may include 122-AgEMP - HQ, 670- Lighting System Improvement, 672- Building Envelope Improvement, and other activities within 374-Farmstead Energy Improvement. The resource concern is inefficient use of energy in the farm operation which increases dependence on nonrenewable energy sources and can be addressed through improved energy efficiency. Any improvements are based on a Type 2 energy audit meeting the requirements of ASABE S612.	Square Foot	Square Foot	\$14.05	\$21.08	\$21.08	\$25.29
374-4	Refrigeration - Plate Cooler	Scenario Description: The installation of all stainless steel dual pass plate cooler, type 316 stainless steel. Payment includes plate cooler and labor to install. After Practice Description: High-efficiency milk cooling system which reduces energy use. The new milk cooling equipment will pre-cool the milk and reduce overall power r e q u i r e m e n t s (kW) compared to the existing milk cooling system (where most of the cooling was accomplished in the bulk tank) as evidenced in an energy audit. Associated practices/activities: may include 122-AgEMP - HQ, 670- Lighting System Improvement, 672-Building Envelope Improvement, and other activities within 374-Farmstead Energy Improvement. The resource concern is inefficient use of energy in the farm operation which increases dependence on non-renewable energy sources and can be addressed through improved energy efficiency. Any improvements are based on a Type 2 energy audit meeting the requirements of ASABE S612.	Each	Each	\$2,491.71	\$3,737.57	\$3,737.57	\$4,485.08

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
374-5	Refrigeration - Scroll Compressor	Scenario Description: Install a new comparably sized scroll compressor, associated controls, wiring, and materials to retrofit an existing refrigeration system. A new condenser is not included in this typical scenario. Payment includes compressor, controls, wiring, appurtenances and labor to install. After Practice Description: A more efficient scroll compressor, which will reduce energy use, is evidenced by the energy audit. A comparably sized scroll compressor provides refrigeration capacity at a higher efficiency than a reciprocating compressor. Newer scroll compressor systems typically reduce electricity use by 15 to 25 percent compared to reciprocating compressors. Associated practices/activities: may include 122-AgEMP - HQ, 670- Lighting System Improvement, 672- Building Envelope Improvement, and other activities within 374-Farmstead Energy Improvement. The resource concern is inefficient use of energy in the farm operation which increases dependence on non-renewable energy sources and can be addressed through improved energy efficiency. Any improvements are based on a Type 2 energy audit meeting the requirements of ASABE S612.	Horsepower	Horsepower	\$417.21	\$625.81	\$625.81	\$750.98
374-6	Refrigeration - Compressor Heat Recovery System	Scenario Description: Install a new comparably sized compressor heat recovery unit. The unit includes insulated storage tanks with heat exchangers added to a refrigeration system. The system utilizes the heat extracted from the fluid (e.g. milk) that passes through the hot gas refrigerant line from the refrigeration system's compressors, to preheat water to approximately 110°F before it enters a conventional water heater. Energy savings comes from the reduced heating required in a water heater. Low ambient controls and/or condenser variable speed drives are part of the installation. The actual number of heat recovery units and their location will depend on the operating hours of the compressor and the configuration of the existing system. Payment includes all materials and appurtenances and labor to install. After Practice Description: A more efficient compressor heat recovery system is installed, which will reduce energy use, is evidenced by the energy audit. Associated practices/activities: may include 122-AgEMP - HQ, 670- Lighting System Improvement, 672- Building Envelope Improvement, and other activities within 374-Farmstead Energy Improvement. The resource concern is inefficient use of energy in the farm operation which increases dependence on non-renewable energy sources and can be addressed through improved energy efficiency. Any improvements are based on a Type 2 energy audit meeting the requirements of ASABE S612.	Each	Each	\$1,872.48	\$2,808.73	\$2,808.73	\$3,370.47

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
374-7	Controller - Variable Speed Drive for <=1 HP Motor	Scenario Description: Installation of a variable speed drive (VSD) for a =1 horsepower electric motor typically used in small dairy operations. Payment includes appetences, such as hook-ups, control panels, wiring, control blocks, filters, switches, pads, etc. and labor to install. Payment does not include the cost of the motor. After Practice Description: An on-farm energy audit has determined that energy use can be reduced through use of a VSD to control electric motors. After the VSD is applied, the motor speed can be adjusted to reduce power requirements and better match varied flow or pressure requirements. Associated practices/activities: may include 122-AgEMP - HQ, 670-Lighting System Improvement, 672- Building Envelope Improvement, and other activities within 374-Farmstead Energy Improvement. The resource concern is inefficient use of energy in the farm operation which increases dependence on non-renewable energy sources and can be addressed through improved energy efficiency. Any improvements are based on a Type 2 energy audit meeting the requirements of ASABE S612.	Horsepower	Horsepower	\$316.45	\$474.67	\$474.67	\$569.61
374-8		Scenario Description: Installation of a variable speed drive (VSD) for a >1 to <10 horsepower electric motor. Payment includes appetences, such as hook-ups, control panels, wiring, control blocks, filters, switches, pads, etc. and labor to install. Payment does not include the cost of the motor. After Practice Description: An on-farm energy audit has determined that energy use can be reduced through use of a VSD to control electric motors. After the VSD is applied, the motor speed can be adjusted to reduce power requirements and better match varied flow or pressure requirements. Associated practices/activities: may include 122-AgEMP - HQ, 670- Lighting System Improvement, 672- Building Envelope Improvement, and other activities within 374-Farmstead Energy Improvement. The resource concern is inefficient use of energy in the farm operation which increases dependence on non-renewable energy sources and can be addressed through improved energy efficiency. Any improvements are based on a Type 2 energy audit meeting the requirements of ASABE S612.	Horsepower	Horsepower	\$563.60	\$845.40	\$845.40	\$1,014.48

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
374-9	Controller - Variable Speed Drive for 10 to <50 HP Motor	Scenario Description: Installation of a variable speed drive (VSD) for a >10 to <50 horsepower electric motor typically used in small dairy operations. Payment includes appetences, such as hook-ups, control panels, wiring, control blocks, filters, switches, pads, etc. and labor to install. Payment does not include the cost of the motor. After Practice Description: An on-farm energy audit has determined that energy use can be reduced through use of a VSD to control electric motors. After the VSD is applied, the motor speed can be adjusted to reduce power requirements and better match varied flow or pressure requirements. Associated practices/activities: may include 122-AgEMP - HQ, 670- Lighting System Improvement, 672- Building Envelope Improvement, and other activities within 374-Farmstead Energy Improvement. The resource concern is inefficient use of energy in the farm operation which increases dependence on non-renewable energy sources and can be addressed through improved energy efficiency. Any improvements are based on a Type 2 energy audit meeting the requirements of ASABE S612.	Horsepower	Horsepower	\$348.73	\$523.10	\$523.10	\$627.72
374-10	Controller - Variable Speed Drive for >= 50 HP Motor	Scenario Description: Installation of a variable speed drive (VSD) for a >= 50 horsepower electric motor used to drive a ventilation fan, irrigation pumps, vacuum pump, or similar equipment involved with agricultural production. Payment includes appetences, such as hookups, control panels, wiring, control blocks, filters, switches, pads, etc. and labor to install. After Practice Description: An on-farm energy audit has determined that energy use can be reduced through use of a VSD to control electric motors. After the VSD is applied, the motor speed can be adjusted to reduce power requirements and better match varied flow or pressure requirements. Associated practices/activities: may include 122-AgEMP - HQ, 670- Lighting System Improvement, 672- Building Envelope Improvement, and other activities within 374-Farmstead Energy Improvement. The resource concern is inefficient use of energy in the farm operation which increases dependence on non-renewable energy sources and can be addressed through improved energy efficiency. Any improvements are based on a Type 2 energy audit meeting the requirements of ASABE S612.	Horsepower	Horsepower	\$64.04	\$96.06	\$96.06	\$115.27

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
374-11	Function Automatic	Scenario Description: The typical scenario consists of a single function automatic control system installed on an existing manually controlled agricultural system such as, but not limited to, irrigation systems or agricultural building control systems. Typical components may include any of the following: wiring, sensors, data logger, logic controller, communication link, software, switches, and relay. Payment includes materials and appurtenances and labor to install. After Practice Description: An on-farm energy audit has determined that energy use can be reduced through use of an automatic controller that helps regulates the energy consumption of the existing system. Associated practices/activities may include: 122-AgEMP - HQ, 670-Lighting System Improvement, 672- Building Envelope Improvement, and other activities within 374-Farmstead Energy Improvement. The resource concern is inefficient use of energy in the farm operation which increases dependence on non-renewable energy sources and can be addressed through improved energy efficiency. Any improvements are based on a Type 2 energy audit meeting the requirements of ASABE S612.	Each system	Each	\$736.90	\$1,105.35	\$1,105.35	\$1,326.43
374-12	Controller - Multiple Function Automatic Controller System	Scenario Description: The typical scenario consists of a multiple function automatic control system installed on an existing manually controlled agricultural system such as, but not limited to, agricultural building control systems. Typical components may include any of the following: wiring, sensors, data logger, logic controller, communication link, software, switches, and relay. Payment includes materials and appurtenances and labor to install. After Practice Description: An onfarm energy audit has determined that energy use can be reduced through use of an automatic controller that helps regulates the energy consumption of the existing system. Associated practices/activities may include: 122-AgEMP - HQ, 670- Lighting System Improvement, 672- Building Envelope Improvement, and other activities within 374-Farmstead Energy Improvement. The resource concern is inefficient use of energy in the farm operation which increases dependence on non-renewable energy sources and can be addressed through improved energy efficiency. Any improvements are based on a Type 2 energy audit meeting the requirements of ASABE S612.	Each system	Each	\$2,262.49	\$3,393.74	\$3,393.74	\$4,072.48

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
374-13	Motor - <= 1 HP Electric Motor Upgrade	Scenario Description: Replacement of an existing electric motor with a upgraded electric motor typically used to drive a ventilation fan, irrigation pumps, vacuum pump, or similar equipment involved with agricultural production. The upgraded electric motor will be the same size as the existing less efficient motor it is replacing. This scenario is for motors <=1 horsepower. Payment includes motor, appurtenances and labor to install. After Practice Description: An on-farm energy audit has determined that energy use can be reduced through use of a NEMA premium efficiency motor. Associated practices/activities may include: 122-AgEMP - HQ, 670- Lighting System Improvement, 672-Building Envelope Improvement, and other activities within 374-Farmstead Energy Improvement. The resource concern is inefficient use of energy in the farm operation which increases dependence on non-renewable energy sources and can be addressed through improved energy efficiency. Any improvements are based on a Type 2 energy audit meeting the requirements of ASABE S612.	Horsepower	Horsepower	\$298.52	\$447.78	\$447.78	\$537.34
374-14		Scenario Description: Replacement of an existing electric motor with a upgraded electric motor typically used to drive a ventilation fan, irrigation pumps, vacuum pump, or similar equipment involved with agricultural production. The upgraded electric motor will be the same size as the existing less efficient motor it is replacing. This scenario is for motors ranging from >1 horsepower to <10 horsepower. Payment includes motor, appurtenances and labor to install. After Practice Description: An on-farm energy audit has determined that energy use can be reduced through use of a NEMA premium efficiency motor. Associated practices/activities may include: 122-AgEMP - HQ, 670-Lighting System Improvement, 672- Building Envelope Improvement, and other activities within 374-Farmstead Energy Improvement. The resource concern is inefficient use of energy in the farm operation which increases dependence on non-renewable energy sources and can be addressed through improved energy efficiency. Any improvements are based on a Type 2 energy audit meeting the requirements of ASABE S612.	Horsepower	Horsepower	\$89.39	\$134.09	\$134.09	\$160.91

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
374-15	Motor - 10 - <50 HP Electric Motor Upgrade	Scenario Description: Replacement of an existing electric motor with a upgraded electric motor typically used to drive a ventilation fan, irrigation pumps, vacuum pump, or similar equipment involved with agricultural production. The upgraded electric motor will be the same size as the existing less efficient motor it is replacing. This scenario is for motors ranging from 10 horsepower to <50 horsepower. Payment includes motor, appurtenances and labor to install. After Practice Description: An on-farm energy audit has determined that energy use can be reduced through use of a NEMA premium efficiency motor. Associated practices/activities may include: 122-AgEMP - HQ, 670-Lighting System Improvement, 672- Building Envelope Improvement, and other activities within 374-Farmstead Energy Improvement. The resource concern is inefficient use of energy in the farm operation which increases dependence on non-renewable energy sources and can be addressed through improved energy efficiency. Any improvements are based on a Type 2 energy audit meeting the requirements of ASABE S612.	Horsepower	Horsepower	\$73.28	\$109.92	\$109.92	\$131.91
374-16	Motor - >= 50 HP Electric Motor Upgrade	Scenario Description: Replacement of an existing electric motor with a upgraded electric motor typically used to drive a ventilation fan, irrigation pumps, vacuum pump, or similar equipment involved with agricultural production. The upgraded electric motor will be the same size as the existing less efficient motor it is replacing. This scenario is for motors of 50 horsepower or greater. Payment includes motor, appurtenances and labor to install. After Practice Description: An onfarm energy audit has determined that energy use can be reduced through use of a NEMA premium efficiency motor. Associated practices/activities may include: 122-AgEMP - HQ, 670- Lighting System Improvement, 672- Building Envelope Improvement, and other activities within 374-Farmstead Energy Improvement. The resource concern is inefficient use of energy in the farm operation which increases dependence on non-renewable energy sources and can be addressed through improved energy efficiency. Any improvements are based on a Type 2 energy audit meeting the requirements of ASABE S612.	Horsepower	Horsepower	\$40.79	\$61.18	\$61.18	\$73.42

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
374-17	Motor - Variable Speed Electric (Split Phase)	Scenario Description: Installation of a multi speed electric motor typically used to drive a ventilation fan in a livestock production house. Payment includes motor and labor to install. Control panel is not included. Refer to associated control panel scenarios as needed. After Practice Description: An on-farm energy audit has determined that energy use can be reduced through use of a multi speed electric motor. After the motor is installed, the motor speed can be adjusted to reduce power requirements and better match varied flow or pressure requirements. Associated practices/activities: may include 122-AgEMP - HQ, 670- Lighting System Improvement, 672- Building Envelope Improvement, and other activities within 374-Farmstead Energy Improvement. The resource concern is inefficient use of energy in the farm operation which increases dependence on non-renewable energy sources and can be addressed through improved energy efficiency. Any improvements are based on a Type 2 energy audit meeting the requirements of ASABE S612.	Horsepower	Horsepower	\$114.05	\$171.07	\$171.07	\$205.28

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
374-18	Heating - Radiant Systems	Scenario Description: Replace 'pancake' Brood Heaters in a poultry house with Radiant Tube Heaters, or similar. Replacement will require the materials and labor to remove existing heating system, re-plumb gas lines, cables and winch system to retrofit new radiant tube heaters, and miscellaneous items to complete the installation. Alternate acceptable radiant heating systems can include radiant brooders and quad radiant systems as indicated in the energy audit. Payment includes materials and labor to install the new system. After Practice Description: Energy use is reduced through installation of a more efficient heater. Radiant tube heaters primarily warm objects within a direct line of sight (similar to the sun or an open fire). Air temperature is of relatively little importance for a radiant heating systems to be effective. As a result, radiant systems are typically installed 5' or more above the floor level. This height extends the distribution of the radiant heat over a larger area than is possible with pancake style heaters. A roughly 16' diameter radiant heat zone heats over twice that of a conventional pancake brooder. The typical scenario consists of the replacement of 28 brood heaters with 6 radiant tube heaters. Associated practices/activities may include: 122-AgEMP - HQ, 670-Lighting System Improvement, 672- Building Envelope Improvement, and other activities within 374-Farmstead Energy Improvement. The resource concern is inefficient use of energy in the farm operation which increases dependence on non-renewable energy sources and can be addressed through improved energy efficiency. Any improvements are based on a Type 2 energy audit meeting the requirements of ASABE S612.	Rated Heat Output	1,000 BTU/Hour	\$6.04	\$9.07	\$9.07	\$10.88

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
374-19	Heating - Building	Scenario Description: Replace existing low efficiency heaters with new high efficiency heaters. High-efficiency heating systems include any heating unit with efficiency rating of 80%+ for fuel oil and 90%+ for natural gas and propane. Applications may be air heating/building environment and hydronic (boiler) heating for agricultural operations, including under bench, or root zone heating. An alternative to heater replacement might be the addition of climate control system and electronic temperature controls with +/- 1 degree F differential, to reduce the annual run time. Payment includes heater and labor to install. After Practice Description: Higher efficiency heaters reduce energy consumption, energy costs, and GHG emissions. These replacement systems can be fueled by natural gas, propane, or fuel oil. Associated practices/activities: 122-AgEMP - HQ 670- Lighting System Improvement, 672- Building Envelope Improvement, and other activities within 374-Farmstead Energy Improvement. The resource concern is inefficient use of energy in the farm operation which increases dependence on non-renewable energy sources and can be addressed through improved energy efficiency. Any improvements are based on a Type 2 energy audit meeting the requirements of ASABE S612.	Rated Heat Output	1,000 BTU/Hour	\$6.20	\$9.30	\$9.30	\$11.16
374-20	Heating - Attic Heat Recovery Vents	Scenario Description: Install actuated inlets or automatic latching gravity inlets that draw warmer, drier air from the attic to assist with moisture and heat control when ventilation fans are being operated in poultry houses and swine barns. In certain situations it may be necessary to also upgrade the ventilation system in addition to the vent upgrades. Other systems to transfer heat, as detailed in ASABE S612-compliant energy audit may also be used. Payment includes materials and labor to install. After Practice Description: Attic vents or inlets allow dry warm air from the attic to circulate throughout the building in a 40' x 500' poultry house. By using pre-warmed air from the attic less energy is needed for heating 122-AgEMP - HQ 670- Lighting System Improvement, 672- Building Envelope Improvement, and other activities within 374-Farmstead Energy Improvement. The resource concern is inefficient use of energy in the farm operation which increases dependence on non-renewable energy sources and can be addressed through improved energy efficiency. Any improvements are based on a Type 2 energy audit meeting the requirements of ASABE S612.	Each inlet	Each	\$82.56	\$123.83	\$123.83	\$148.60

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
374-21	Grain Dryer	Scenario Description: A more efficient replacement continuous dryer rated for the present dryer bushel/per hour capacity to treat existing energy concerns. The operation includes a microcomputer-based control system that adjusts the amount of time the crop remains in the dryer in order to achieve a consistent and accurate moisture content in the dried product. Alternate types of replacement dryers which reduce energy use are acceptable as defined by the energy audit. The upgraded grain dryer will be the same size as the existing less efficient grain dryer it is replacing. Payment includes materials and labor needed for the installation. After Practice Description: Energy use is reduced through installation of a more efficient continuous dryer that uses a microcomputer-based controller to reduce over drying and total time of operation. The typical operation requires a rated capacity of 860 bushels per hour. Associated practices/activities may include: 122-AgEMP - HQ, 670- Lighting System Improvement, 672- Building Envelope Improvement, and other activities within 374-Farmstead Energy Improvement. The resource concern is inefficient use of energy in the farm operation which increases dependence on nonrenewable energy sources and can be addressed through improved energy efficiency. Any improvements are based on a Type 2 energy audit meeting the requirements of ASABE S612.	Rated capacity of the dryer	Bushel per Hour	\$47.00	\$70.50	\$70.50	\$84.61

FEED MANAGEMENT Practice Code 592

Livestock Management Practice

PRS Unit of Measurement: Number

Definition: Managing the quantity of available nutrients fed to livestock and poultry for their intended purpose.

Purpose: • Supply the quantity of available nutrients required by livestock and poultry for maintenance, production, performance, and reproduction; while reducing the quantity of nutrients, especially nitrogen and phosphorus, excreted in manure.

• Improve net farm income by feeding nutrients more efficiently.

Applicability: Livestock and poultry operations seeking to enhance nutrient efficiencies. Confined livestock and poultry operations with a whole farm nutrient imbalance, with more nutrients imported to the farm than are exported and/or utilized by cropping programs. Confined livestock and poultry operations that have a significant buildup of nutrients in the soil due to land application of manure. Confined livestock and poultry operations that land apply manure and do not have a land base large enough to allow nutrients to be applied at rates recommended by soil test and utilized by crops in the rotation.

Limitations:

Maintenance: Practice must be maintained for a lifespan of 1 year.

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
592-1	Cow Dairy - Large	Scenario Description: Feed ration management on a dairy operation that does not have access to enough acres to spread all of its manure nutrients at an agronomic rate. The resource concerns are water quality degradation, excessive manure nutrients particularly phosphorus and nitrogen. The goal of the practice is to reduce the amount of nutrients in the raw manure so that it is easier for 'landlocked' farmers to apply the manure at agronomic rates, thereby reducing or eliminating water quality degradation concerns. Associated practices: Nutrient management (590), Prescribed Grazing (528), Forage and Biomass Planting (512), Forage Harvest Management (511) After Practice Description: The scenario assumes the operation milks 500 Holstein cows at average weight of 1,400 pounds, or 700 animal units. A baseline analysis of manure, feed, and milk will be completed to determine the current nutrient inputs and outputs. The Producer will reduce feed protein and phosphorus levels to that of NRC recommendations for a herd of this type and at this stage of production (12% protein and 0.35% phosphorus). Producer will explore alternative feedstuffs and alternative feeding strategies to bring manure nitrogen and phosphorus levels down without hurting production of the animals or profitability of the operation. Alternative feeding strategies can include things like grouping animals per similar age or stage of production, or feeding based on individual rolling average production.	Number of 1000 pound animal units	Animal Unit	\$1.97	\$2.96	\$2.96	\$3.55

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
592-2	Dairy-Small	Scenario Description: Feed ration management on a small dairy operation that does not have access to enough acres to spread all of its manure nutrients at an agronomic rate. The resource concerns are water quality degradation, excessive manure nutrients particularly phosphorus and nitrogen. The goal of the practice is to reduce the amount of nutrients in the raw manure so that it is easier for 'landlocked' farmers to apply the manure at agronomic rates, thereby reducing or eliminating water quality degradation concerns. Associated practices: Nutrient management (590), Prescribed Grazing (528), Forage and Biomass Planting (512), Forage Harvest Management (511) After Practice Description: The scenario assumes the operation milks 50 Jersey and Guernsey cows at average weight of 1,000 pounds, or 50 animal units. A baseline analysis of manure, feed, and milk will be completed to determine the current nutrient inputs and outputs. The Producer will reduce feed protein and phosphorus levels to that of NRC recommendations for a herd of this type and at this stage of production (12% protein and 0.35% phosphorus). The producer will also implement pasturing of his herd part to the time where the animals will obtain some of their diet by grazing pastures as well as explore alternative feedstuffs and alternative feeding strategies to bring manure nitrogen and phosphorus levels down without hurting production of the animals or profitability of the operation.	Number of 1000 pound animal units	Animal Unit	\$16.79	\$25.18	\$25.18	\$30.22

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
592-3	Livestock	Scenario Description: This example is feed ration management on a swine finishing operation that does not have access to enough acres to spread all of the nutrients in the manure, at agronomic rates. The resource concerns are water quality, and excessive manure nutrients, particularly nitrogen and phosphorus. The goal of the practice is to reduce these excess nutrients to a point where they can be fully utilized at agronomic rates on the existing land base, thereby reducing or eliminating water quality degradation concerns. Associated Practices: Nutrient management (590) After Practice Description: This scenario's operation currently houses 2800 finishing hogs with an average weight of 154 pounds, or 430 animal units ((2800 hogs * 154 lbs./hog/1000 lbs./AU154)) = 430 AU). The farm typically grows out 2.5 turns per year. A baseline analysis of manure and feed will be completed to determine the current nutrient inputs and outputs. The producer will reduce feed protein and phosphorus levels to that of NRC recommendations for animals of this type and at this stage of production. Producer will consider alternative feedstuffs, phase feeding, split-sex feeding and other scenarios to achieve the objective. Proper feed management removes excess nutrients from the manure, making the manure easier for the producer to properly manage within his/her land constraints. The improved manure management prevents surface and groundwater degradation from excess nitrogen and phosphorus.	Number of 1000 pound animal units	Animal Unit	\$1.00	\$1.49	\$1.49	\$1.79

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
592-4	Poultry/Layer Operation	Scenario Description: This example is feed ration management on a poultry or layer operation that does not have access to enough acres to spread all of the nutrients in the manure, at agronomic rates. The resource concerns are water quality, and excessive manure nutrients, particularly nitrogen and phosphorus. The goal of the practice is to reduce these excess nutrients to a point where they can be fully utilized at agronomic rates on the existing land base, thereby reducing or eliminating water quality degradation concerns. Associated Practices: Nutrient management (590) After Practice Description: This scenario's operation currently houses 15,000 broilers with an average weight of 5 pounds, or 75 animal units ((15,000 broilers * 5lbs/chicken/1000 lbs. /) = 75 AU). A baseline analysis of manure and feed will be completed to determine the c u r r e n t nutrient inputs and outputs. The producer will reduce feed protein and phosphorus levels to that of NRC recommendations for animals of this type and at this stage of production. Producer will consider alternative feedstuffs, phase feeding, split-sex feeding and other scenarios to achieve the objective. Proper feed management removes excess nutrients from the manure, making the manure easier for the producer to properly manage within his/her land constraints. The improved manure management prevents surface and groundwater degradation from excess nitrogen and phosphorus.	Number of 1000 pound animal units	Animal Unit	\$12.12	\$18.17	\$18.17	\$21.81

FEED MANAGEMENT PLAN Practice Code 108

Livestock Management Practice

PRS Unit of Measurement: Number

Definition: The CAP 108 is a Feed Management Plan that is a farm specific plan developed for a client to address manipulation and control of the quantity and quality of available nutrients, feedstuffs, and/or additives fed to livestock and poultry.

Purpose: To document the landowner's and/or operator's plan to manage feedstuffs and/or additives by combining conservation practices and management activities into a conservation system that, when implemented, will achieve the goal of the producer and treat identified resource concerns.

Applicability: Livestock and poultry operations seeking to enhance feed efficiencies.

Limitations:

Maintenance: Practice must be maintained for a lifespan of 1 year.

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
108-37	Feed Management Plan	Scenario Description: The owner/operator of an Animal Feeding Operation (AFO) has not received a written Feed Management Plan (FeedMP) that addresses all resource concerns present on the facility. Various levels of management and conservation implementation has occurred in the operation. Little documentation of the methods of feed management used and practices installed exists, and the producer is not likely to develop a complete forage inventory or nutrient analysis. The producer may or may not have a conservation plan or a nutrient management plan. Nutrient management related resource concerns on the operation remain to be addressed through the development of a complete FeedMP including management and conservation practices for proper quantity and quality of available nutrients, feedstuffs, and/or additives fed to livestock or poultry that may be present on the operation. Present operation and feed methodology poses risk of feeding excessive amounts of nutrients in animal manure which result in negative impacts to water quality and odor resource concerns. Negative water and air quality impacts as well as farmstead safety and security issues may remain on the AFO, and inadequate recordkeeping nutrient, inspection and monitoring of the existing operation may need further improvement. After Practice Description: After EQIP contract approval, participant has obtained services from a certified TSP for development of the "Feed Management" (FM) conservation activity plan (CAP). The CAP criteria requires the plan to meet quality criteria for applicable natural resource concerns and provides for opportunities to identify and implement conservation practices related to management of feed, forages, or delivery of supplements to maximize efficient feeding operations and livestock growth. The CAP plan may serve as the basis for implementation of the primary conservation practice 592-Feed Management. If applicable, the FM CAP may also be developed to complement Comprehensive Nutrient Management Plans (CNMP) or to help meet	Number	Each	\$1,861.46	\$2,233.75	N/A	N/A

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
108-38		Scenario Description: A Feed Management Plan (FeedMP) will be developed that addresses all feeding related resource concerns present on a Dairy Animal Feeding Operation (AFO) by animal group, not to exceed eight groups. The producer may have multiple groups of animals fed and housed by production and/or life stage, including young stock, lactating animals (early, middle, late lactation), and dry non-lactating mature animals. Various levels of feeding management techniques, record keeping of feed rations, forage inventories and quality, and equipment may exist in the operation. Forages and grains may be either grown on the farm or purchased. Development of a FeedMP will assist in implementing current and future nutrient management plans to address nutrient related resource concerns on the operation. The development of a complete FeedMP will include forage testing and recommended management and conservation practices resulting in proper quantity and quality of available nutrients, feedstuffs, and/or additives fed to livestock groups included in the FeedMP. Planned changes in operation and feed methodology outlined in a FeedMP must address risk of excess amounts of nutrients in animal manure which result in negative impacts to water quality and air resource concerns. The FeedMP meets the AFO owner/operator's production objectives. After Practice Description: After EQIP contract approval, participant has obtained services from a certified TSP for development of the "Feed Management"D(FM) conservation activity plan (CAP). The CAP criteria requires the plan to meet quality criteria for applicable natural resource concerns and provides for opportunities to identify and implement conservation practices related to management of feed, forages, or delivery of supplements to maximize efficient feeding operations and livestock growth. The CAP plan may serve as the basis for implementation of the primary conservation practice 592-Feed Management. If applicable, the FM CAP may also be developed to complement Comprehensive Nut	Number of Groups	Each	\$236.16	\$283.39	N/A	N/A

FENCE Practice Code 382

Livestock Structural Practice

PRS Unit of Measurement: Feet

Definition: A constructed barrier to contain, exclude or control livestock, wildlife, or people.

Purpose: To exclude livestock or big game from areas that should be protected from grazing, confine livestock or domesticated wildlife on an area, subdivide grazing land to permit use of grazing systems, protect new seedings and plantings from grazing and to regulate access to areas by people.

Applicability: On any area requiring control or exclusion of livestock and/or wildlife control is needed or where access to people is to be regulated. Natural barriers may be used instead of constructed fences if they give adequate protection and serve the intended purpose.

Limitations: Fence (382) or Access Control (472) is ineligible if the primary purpose is to separate ownership or exclude livestock from transportation networks or residential, commercial, or industrial areas, or to exclude deer, hogs, or other wild animals from cropland.

- -- Exception: Boundary fence (property line fence) or perimeter fence is eligible for the section the participant has control of—
- -- On expired or expiring Conservation Reserve Program (CRP) land to establish a grazing operation; however, the practice may not be installed until the CRP contract has expired.
- -- On land to protect, restore, develop, or enhance habitat for wildlife or to exclude livestock from an environmentally sensitive area, such as a riparian area or wetland.

Maintenance: Practice will be maintained for a lifespan of 20 years.

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
382-1	Permanent Wildlife Exclusion	Scenario Description: Installation of a taller than normal permanent fence to prevent conflicts between humans, livestock, and wildlife species. Such a fence would exclude wildlife from areas used by livestock. After Practice Description: Installation of fence reduces resource concerns associated with livestock and wildlife interaction and prevents conflicts involving threatened, endangered or sensitive species. Fence includes posts, wire, fasteners, gates, etc. Associated Practices: Prescribed Grazing, Pipeline, Water Well, Spring Development, Heavy Use Area, Pumping Plant, Watering Facility, Forage and Biomass Planting, Critical Area Planting, Access Control	Length of Fence	Foot	\$3.59	\$5.38	\$5.38	\$6.46
382-2	Permanent Barbed Wire Multi Strand	Scenario Description: Scenario is for the establishment of permanent multi strand barbed wire fence for livestock. After Practice Description: Installation of fence will allow for implementation of grazing management that allows for an adequate rest and recovery period, protection of sensitive area, improved water quality, reduction of noxious and invasive weeds. Fence includes posts, wire, fasteners, gates, brace posts, etc Fence will be installed with wildlife friendly considerations. Associated Practices: Prescribed Grazing, Pipeline, Water Well, Spring Development, Heavy Use Area, Pumping Plant, Watering Facility, Forage and Biomass Planting, Critical Area Planting, Access Control	Length of Fence	Foot	\$1.04	\$1.56	\$1.56	\$1.87
382-3	Permanent High Tensile Electric 2-3 Strand	Scenario Description: Scenario is for the installation of a permanent high tensile electric fence of either 2 or 3 strands. Fence will allow for implementation of a grazing management that allows for an adequate rest and recovery period, protection of sensitive area, improved water quality, reduction of noxious and invasive weeds. Constructed using fencing materials rather than a pre-manufactured gate. After Practice Description: Installation of fence will allow for implementation of a rotational grazing plan that allows for an adequate rest and recovery period, protection of sensitive area, improved water quality, reduction of noxious and invasive weeds. This scenario consists of installing a permanent high tensile electric fence with 2-3 wires with wooden post of 50' centers, battens between the posts, single H brace assembles, energizer, and all appurtenances. Associated Practices: Prescribed Grazing, Pipeline, Water Well, Spring Development, Heavy Use Area,	Length of Fence	Foot	\$0.76	\$1.13	\$1.13	\$1.36

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
382-4	Permanent High Tensile Electric Single Strand	Scenario Description: Scenario is for the installation of a permanent high tensile electric single strand fence. Installation of fence will allow for implementation of a grazing management that allows for an adequate rest and recovery period, protection of sensitive area, improved water quality, reduction of noxious and invasive weeds. After Practice Description: Installation of fence will allow for implementation of grazing management that allows for an adequate rest and recovery period, protection of sensitive area, improved water quality, reduction of noxious and invasive weeds. Fence includes posts, wire, fasteners, gates, fence charger, etc. Fence will be in stalled with wildlife friendly considerations. Associated Practices: Prescribed Grazing, Pipeline, Water Well, Spring Development, Heavy Use Area, Pumping Plant, Watering Facility, Forage and Biomass Planting, Critical Area Planting, Access Control	Length of Fence	Foot	\$0.52	\$0.77	\$0.77	\$0.93
382-5	Permanent High Tensile, Minimum 4 Strand, Posts 12 to 18ft Centers	Scenario Description: Establishment of permanent electric or non-electric high tensile fence for livestock. Fence is designed using minimum of 4 strands with posts on 12 to 18 foot centers. Uses single or double H bracing depending on site conditions. After Practice Description: This scenario consists of installing a permanent high tensile fence with a minimum of 4 wires with wooden posts on 12 to 18 foot centers, with single or double H brace assembles, as called for by site conditions, and all appurtenances. Cost represents typical situations for conventional, organic, and transitioning to organic producers. Associated Practices: Prescribed Grazing, Pipeline, Water Well, Spring Development, Heavy Use Area, Pumping Plant, Watering Facility, Forage and Biomass Planting, Critical Area Planting, Access Control.	Length of fence	Foot	\$1.15	\$1.72	\$1.72	\$2.07
382-6	_	Scenario Description: Establishment of permanent electric or non-electric high tensile fence for livestock. Fence is designed using minimum of 4 strands with posts on >18 foot centers using single or double H bracing depending on site conditions. Also used for small ruminant High Tensile electrified woven wire fence product. After Practice Description: Typical size for this scenario is 1320 feet. This scenario consists of installing a permanent high tensile fence with a minimum of 4 wires with wooden posts on >18 foot centers and battens between the posts, single or double H brace assembles, depending on site conditions, and all appurtenances. Cost represents typical situations for conventional, organic, and transitioning to organic producers. Associated Practices: Prescribed Grazing, Pipeline, Water Well, Spring Development, Heavy Use Area, Pumping Plant, Watering Facility, Forage and Biomass Planting, Critical Area.	Length of Fence	Foot	\$0.92	\$1.38	\$1.38	\$1.66

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
382-7	Permanent Woven Wire	Scenario Description: Establishment of woven wire fence for I i v e s t o c k . After Practice Description: Typical size for this scenario is 1320 feet. This scenario consists of installing a permanent woven wire fence with wooden posts of 20' centers and single H brace a s s e m b I i e s . Also includes one strand barbed top wire, and all appurtenances. Cost represents typical situations for conventional, organic, and transitioning to organic producers. Associated Practices: Prescribed Grazing, Pipeline, Water Well, Spring Development, Heavy Use Area, Pumping Plant, Watering Facility, Forage and Biomass Planting, Critical	Length of Fence	Foot	\$1.27	\$1.90	\$1.90	\$2.29
382-8	Temporary Portable Fence	Scenario Description: Establishment of temporary or portable fence for livestock to facilitate a more intensive grazing system such as stockpiling or strip grazing. After Practice Description: Consists of installing a single strand polywire/polytape fence with step in /fiberglass posts on 50' centers, solar energizer, and all appurtenances. Cost represents typical situations for conventional, organic, and transitioning to organic producers. Associated Practices: Prescribed Grazing, Pipeline, Water Well, Spring Development, Heavy Use Area, Pumping Plant, Watering Facility, Forage and Biomass Planting, Critical Area Planting, Access Control	Length of Fence	Foot	\$0.24	\$0.36	\$0.36	\$0.43
382-9	Safety	Scenario Description: A barrier (fence) implemented on an NRCS constructed waste storage system according to engineering design to exclude human access. Permanently installed fence built to (1) keep humans away from waste ponds & lagoons, or (2) to protect sensitive areas (riparian areas, wetlands, springs, etc.) from heavy livestock pressure. Heavy grade fence materials and close post spacing required. After Practice Description: Humans and livestock are excluded from the waste storage pond for safety purposes by installing a fence around a waste holding pond. The fence would typically be 100 wide x 175 long with one gate and installed by a fencing contractor. Woven wire fence with one strand of barb wire on top with a gate. Improved livestock control and access to water or other sensitive areas will promote safety for livestock/humans improve health, vigor of sensitive species, limiting soil erosion, and condition.	Length of Fence	Foot	\$2.64	\$3.96	\$3.96	\$4.75

	ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
38	2-10	Temporary Portable for Small	Scenario Description: Establishment of temporary or portable fence for small livestock to facilitate a more intensive grazing system such as stockpiling or strip grazing. After Practice Description: Consists of installing a prefabricated fence that has 10 horizontal twines; is 42 in. tall installed, and has plastic vertical struts every 12', solar energizer, and all appurtenances. Cost represents typical situations for conventional, organic, and transitioning to organic producers. Associated Practices: Prescribed Grazing, Pipeline, Water Well, Spring Development, Heavy Use Area, Pumping Plant, Watering Facility, Forage and Biomass Planting, Critical Area Planting, Access Control	Length of fence	Foot	\$0.72	\$1.08	\$1.08	\$1.29

FIELD BORDER Practice Code 386

Non-Livestock Vegetative Practice

PRS Unit of Measurement: Feet

Definition: A strip of permanent vegetation established at the edge or around the perimeter of an agricultural field.

Purpose: To eliminate gully erosion and to provide a turn strip to eliminate end rows along field edges when contouring is used.

Applicability: Around the perimeter of agricultural fields and/or where its use can support or connect other buffer practices within and between fields. This practice may also apply to other land uses where agronomic crops including forages are grown.

Limitations:

Maintenance: Practice must be maintained for a lifespan of 10 years.

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
386-1	Introduced Grass	Scenario Description: A strip of permanent vegetation established at the edge or around the perimeter of a field. This practice may also apply to recreation land or other land uses where agronomic crops including forages are grown. Practice includes seedbed prep and planting of introduced species (scenario includes non-native grass/legume species) and the area of the field border is taken out of production. After Practice Description: This practice when applied around a field will support and connect other buffer practices within and between fields. Introduced grasses and legumes will be established around the field edges to the extent needed to meet the resource needs and producer objectives. Minimum field border widths shall be based on NRCS local design criteria specific to the purpose for installing the practice. Introduced species of grasses, legumes, forbs or shrubs shall be selected that are adapted to site, will not function as a host for diseases of a field crop and have physical characteristics necessary to control wind and water erosion to tolerable levels on the field border area.	Number of acres	Acre	\$267.08	\$400.63	\$400.63	\$480.75
386-2	Native Grass	Scenario Description: A strip of permanent vegetation established at the edge or around the perimeter of a field. This practice may also apply to recreation land or other land uses where agronomic crops including forages are grown. Practice includes seedbed prep and planting of native species (scenario includes native grass/legume/forbs species) and the area of the field border is taken out of production. After Practice Description: This practice when applied around a field will support and connect other buffer practices within and between fields. Native grasses, legumes and forbs will be established around the field edges to the extent needed to meet the resource needs and producer objectives. Minimum field border widths shall be based on NRCS local design criteria specific to the purpose for installing the practice. Native species shall be selected that do not function as a host for diseases of a field crop and have physical characteristics necessary to control wind and water erosion to tolerable levels on the field border area.	number of acres	Acre	\$367.96	\$551.95	\$551.95	\$662.34

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
386-3	Organic Introduced Grass	Scenario Description: A strip of permanent vegetation established at the edge or around the perimeter of a field. This practice may also apply to recreation land or other land uses where agronomic crops including forages are grown. Practice includes seedbed prep and planting of introduced species (scenario includes non-native grass/legume species) and the area of the field border is taken out of production. After Practice Description: This practice when applied around a field will support and connect other buffer practices within and between fields. Introduced grasses and legumes will be established around the field edges to the extent needed to meet the resource needs and producer objectives. Minimum field border widths shall be based on NRCS local design criteria specific to the purpose for installing the practice. Introduced species of grasses, legumes, forbs or shrubs shall be selected that are adapted to site, will not function as a host for diseases of a field crop and have physical characteristics necessary to control wind and water erosion to tolerable levels on the field border area.	Number of acres	Acre	\$287.77	\$431.65	\$431.65	\$517.98
386-4	Organic Native Grass	Scenario Description: A strip of permanent vegetation established at the edge or around the perimeter of a field. This practice may also apply to recreation land or other land uses where agronomic crops including forages are grown. Practice includes seedbed prep and planting of native species (scenario includes native grass/legume/forbs species) and the area of the field border is taken out of production. After Practice Description: This practice when applied around a field will support and connect other buffer practices within and between fields. Native grasses, legumes and forbs will be established around the field edges to the extent needed to meet the resource needs and producer objectives. Minimum field border widths shall be based on NRCS local design criteria specific to the purpose for installing the practice. Native species shall be selected that do not function as a host for diseases of a field crop and have physical characteristics necessary to control wind and water erosion to tolerable levels on the field border area.	number of acres	Acre	\$391.89	\$587.84	\$587.84	\$705.41

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
386-5	Pollinator Habitat	Scenario Description: A strip of permanent vegetation established at the edge or around the perimeter of a field including mix of grasses, legumes and/or forbs that provides a mix of early, mid, and late s e a s o n blooming forbs for pollinator habitat. This practice may also apply to recreation land or other land uses where agronomic crops including forages are grown. Practice includes seedbed prep and planting of pollinator friendly herbaceous species. The area of the field border is taken out of production. After Practice Description: This practice when applied around a field will support and connect other buffer practices within and between fields. Pollinator herbaceous plantings will provide species which flower throughout the growing season. This provides a source of nectar for adult pollinators and a diversity of herbaceous material for immature pollinator life stages and for nesting. Minimum field border widths shall be based on NRCS local design criteria specific to the purpose for installing the practice. Species selected shall meet the pollinator habitat requirements of the state and be adapted to site; not function as a host for diseases of a field crop and; have physical characteristics necessary to control wind and water erosion to tolerable levels on the field border area.	Number of acres	Acre	\$388.12	\$582.18	\$582.18	\$698.62
386-6	Organic Pollinator Habitat	Scenario Description: A strip of permanent vegetation established at the edge or around the perimeter of a field including mix of grasses, legumes and/or forbs that provides a mix of early, mid, and late s e a s o n blooming forbs for pollinator habitat. This practice may also apply to recreation land or other land uses where agronomic crops including forages are grown. Practice includes seedbed prep and planting of pollinator friendly herbaceous species. The area of the field border is taken out of production. After Practice Description: This practice when applied around a field will support and connect other buffer practices within and between fields. Pollinator herbaceous plantings will provide species which flower throughout the growing season. This provides a source of nectar for adult pollinators and a diversity of herbaceous material for immature pollinator life stages and for nesting. Minimum field border widths shall be based on NRCS local design criteria specific to the purpose for installing the practice. Species selected shall meet the pollinator habitat requirements of the state and be adapted to site; not function as a host for diseases of a field crop and; have physical characteristics necessary to control wind and water erosion to tolerable levels on the field border area.	Number of acres	Acre	\$412.05	\$618.07	\$618.07	\$741.69

FILTER STRIP Practice Code 393

Non-Livestock Structural Practice

PRS Unit of Measurement: Acre

Definition: A strip or area of vegetation for removing sediment, organic matter, and other pollutants from runoff and wastewater.

Purpose: To remove sediment and other pollutants from runoff or wastewater by filtration, deposition, infiltration, absorption, adsorption, decomposition, and volatilization, thereby reducing pollution and protecting the environment.

Applicability: This practice applies (1) on cropland at the lower edge of fields or on fields adjacent to streams, ponds, and lakes, or above conservation practices such as terraces or diversions, (2) in areas requiring filter strips as part of a waste management system to treat polluted runoff wastewater, and (3) on forestland where filter strips are needed as part of a forestry operation to reduce delivery of sediment into waterways.

Limitations:

Maintenance: Practice must be maintained for a lifespan of 10 years.

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
393-1	Native species, No Fertility Required	Scenario Description: A strip or area of native herbaceous vegetation situated between cropland, grazing land or disturbed land and sensitive areas. Current soil test indicates that fertility is not necessary for vegetation establishment. Practice includes seedbed prep, planting of native species and foregone income for land removed from production. After Practice Description: The planned filter strip will be established and maintained per the practice plan that will meet the criteria for the planned purpose(s). The vegetation will consist of native species. The filter strip will have adequate width to filter the planned pollutants. The practice includes seedbed preparation, seeding, and operation and maintenance to maintain the vegetation and the function of the filter strip. Species selected shall be able to withstand partial burial by sediment and tolerant of herbicides used on contribution area while protecting environmentally-sensitive areas. The area of the filter strip is taken out of production.	number of acres	Acre	\$304.76	\$457.14	\$457.14	\$548.57
393-3		Scenario Description: A strip or area of native herbaceous vegetation situated between cropland, grazing land or disturbed land and sensitive areas. Current soil test indicates that fertility is not necessary for vegetation establishment. Practice includes seedbed prep, planting of native species and forgone income for land removed from production. After Practice Description: The planned filter strip will be established and maintained per the practice plan that will meet the criteria for the planned purpose(s). The vegetation will consist of native species. The filter strip will have adequate width to filter the planned pollutants. The practice includes seedbed preparation, seeding, and operation and maintenance to maintain the vegetation and the function of the filter strip. Species selected shall be able to withstand partial burial by sediment and tolerant of herbicides used on contribution area while protecting environmentally-sensitive areas. The area of the filter strip is taken out of production.	number of acres	Acre	\$316.87	\$475.30	\$475.30	\$570.36

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
393-5	Introduced species, No Fertility Required	Scenario Description: A strip or area of Introduced herbaceous vegetation situated between cropland, grazing land or disturbed land and sensitive areas. Current soil test indicates that fertility is not necessary for vegetation establishment. Practice includes seedbed prep planting of introduced species and foregone income for land removed from production. After Practice Description: The planned filter strip will be established and maintained per the practice plan that will meet the criteria for the planned purpose(s). The vegetation will consist of introduced species. The filter strip will have adequate width to filter the planned pollutants. The practice includes seedbed preparation, seeding, and operation and maintenance to maintain the vegetation and the function of the filter strip. Species selected shall be able to withstand partial burial by sediment and tolerant of herbicides used on contribution area while protecting environmentally-sensitive areas. The area of the filter strip is taken out of production.	Number of acres	Acre	\$271.32	\$406.98	\$406.98	\$488.38
393-6	Introduced species, with Fertility:	Scenario Description: A strip or area of herbaceous vegetation, introduced species, situated between cropland, grazing land or disturbed land and sensitive areas. Practice includes seedbed prep, planting of introduced species, fertility and foregone income for land removed from production. After Practice Description: The planned filter strip will be established and maintained per the practice plan that will meet the criteria for the planned purpose(s). The vegetation will consist of introduced species. The filter strip will have adequate width to filter the planned pollutants. The practice includes seedbed preparation, seeding, fertility, and operation and maintenance to maintain the vegetation and the function of the filter strip. Species selected shall be able to withstand partial burial by sediment and tolerant of herbicides used on contribution area while protecting environmentally-sensitive areas. The area of the filter strip is taken out of production.	Number of acres	Acre	\$312.12	\$468.18	\$468.18	\$561.81

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
393-7	Introduced species, No Fertility Required - Organic	Scenario Description: A strip or area of Introduced herbaceous vegetation situated between cropland, grazing land or disturbed land and sensitive areas. Current soil test indicates that fertility is not necessary for vegetation establishment. Practice includes seedbed prep, planting of introduced species and foregone income for land removed from production. After Practice Description: The planned filter strip will be established and maintained per the practice plan that will meet the criteria for the planned purpose(s). The vegetation will consist of introduced species. The filter strip will have adequate width to filter the planned pollutants. The practice includes seedbed preparation, seeding, and operation and maintenance to maintain the vegetation and the function of the filter strip. Species selected shall be able to withstand partial burial by sediment and tolerant of herbicides used on contribution area while protecting environmentally-sensitive areas. The area of the filter strip is taken out of production.	Number of acres	Acre	\$310.74	\$466.10	\$466.10	\$559.32
393-8	Introduced Species, with Fertility - Organic	Scenario Description: A strip or area of herbaceous vegetation, introduced species, situated between cropland, grazing land or disturbed land and sensitive areas. Practice includes seedbed prep, land shaping and planting of approved species. Planting of introduced species, fertility and foregone income for land removed from production. After Practice Description: The planned filter strip will be established and maintained per the practice plan that will meet the criteria for the planned purpose(s). The vegetation will consist of introduced species. The filter strip will have adequate width to filter the planned pollutants. The practice includes seedbed preparation, seeding, fertility, and operation and maintenance to maintain the vegetation and the function of the filter strip. Species selected shall be able to withstand partial burial by sediment and tolerant of herbicides used on contribution area while protecting environmentally-sensitive areas. The area of the filter strip is taken out of production.	Number of acres	Acre	\$338.35	\$507.52	\$507.52	\$609.03

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
393-9	Filter Strip, Muck Soils	Scenario Description: A strip or area of native herbaceous vegetation situated between cropland, grazing land or disturbed land and sensitive areas. Practice includes seedbed prep and planting of native species. After Practice Description: The planned filter strip will be established and maintained per the practice plan that will meet the criteria for the planned purpose(s). The vegetation will consist of native species. The filter strip will have adequate width to filter the planned pollutants. The practice includes seedbed preparation, seeding, and operation and maintenance to maintain the vegetation and the function of the filter strip. Species selected shall be able to withstand partial burial by sediment and tolerant of herbicides used on contribution area while protecting environmentally-sensitive areas.	Number of Acres	Acre	\$303.92	\$455.88	\$455.88	\$547.06

FIREBREAK Practice Code 394

Non-Livestock Vegetative Practice

PRS Unit of Measurement: Feet

Definition: A permanent or temporary strip of bare or vegetated land planned to retard fire.

Purpose: • Reduce the spread of wildfire.

• Contain prescribed burns.

Applicability: This practice applies on all land uses where protection from wildfire is needed or prescribed burning is applied.

Limitations:

Maintenance: Practice must be maintained for a lifespan of 5 years.

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
394-1	Constructed - Light Equipment	Scenario Description: Installation of a bare-ground firebreak of a minimum width of 15' around a 20 acre field/farm using farm equipment (2 passes). Generally water control devices such as water bars are not needed due either to the lack of steep terrain or the temporary nature of the firebreak. Resource concerns include Wildfire hazard from excessive biomass accumulation, Undesirable plant productivity and health, Inadequate plant structure and composition, and Habitat degradation. After Practice Description: The property is adequately protected from wildfire or can be safely prescribe burned.	Length of firebreak	Foot	\$0.03	\$0.04	\$0.04	\$0.05
394-2	Constructed - Medium equipment, flat- medium slopes	Scenario Description: Use of medium equipment such as small d o z e r s to blade, disk, plow, etc. bare-soil firebreaks on slopes less than 15%. Generally, water control devices such as water bars are limited to 10 or less per 1,000 feet when properly planned and installed using the same equipment. Resource concerns include Wildfire hazards from excessive biomass accumulation, Undesirable plant productivity and health, Inadequate plant structure and composition, and Habitat degradation. After Practice Description: The property is adequately protected from wildfire or can be safely prescribe burned and the potential for excessive erosion from the firebreak is negligible.	Length of firebreak	Foot	\$0.17	\$0.25	\$0.25	\$0.30
394-3	Constructed - Medium equipment, steep slopes	Scenario Description: Use of equipment such as small dozers to b I a d e bare-soil firebreaks on slopes greater than 15%. Water control devices such as water bars placed at approximately 15 to 25 per 1,000 ft. section of firebreak, are necessary to control erosion. These will be installed with the same equipment. Resource concerns include Wildfire hazard from excessive biomass accumulation, Undesirable plant productivity and health, Inadequate plant structure and composition, Habitat degradation, Soil erosion, and Excessive sediment in surface waters. After Practice Description: The property is adequately protected from wildfire or can be safely prescribe burned and the potential for excessive erosion from the firebreak is minimized.	Length of firebreak	Foot	\$0.85	\$1.28	\$1.28	\$1.53

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
394-4	Vegetated permanent firebreak	Scenario Description: Establishing a 20 foot wide strip of permanent vegetation that will serve as a green firebreak. Scenario includes clearing the site, preparing the seedbed, seeding (typically cool season grasses and/or legumes), and applying needed soil amendments. Clearing will be achieved using chemical and/or mechanical means. Seedbed preparation and vegetation establishment will be accomplished with farm equipment. Soil amendments will be applied according to local FOTG guidance. This scenario does not include follow-up maintenance operations such as weed control. mowing, etc. Resource concerns include Wildfire hazard from excessive biomass accumulation, Soil erosion, and Excessive sediment in surface waters. After Practice Description: The property is adequately protected from wildfire or can be safely prescribe burned. Wildlife habitat will also be enhanced and the potential for erosion from the firebreak is minimized.	Length of firebreak	Foot	\$0.08	\$0.12	\$0.12	\$0.15
394-5	Constructed - Wide, bladed or disked firebreak	Scenario Description: Installing a bare-ground firebreak with a width of 30' or more on gently to strongly sloping slopes with equipment such a s a dozer with a heavy disk. Using smaller equipment, erosion control devices such as water bars will be installed at approximately 15 to 25 per 1,000 feet of firebreak length. Devices will have stable outlets. Resource concerns include Wildfire hazard from excessive biomass accumulation, Undesirable plant productivity and health, Inadequate plant structure and composition, Habitat degradation, Soil erosion, and Excessive sediment in surface waters. After Practice Description: The property is adequately protected from wildfire or can be safely prescribe burned and the potential for excessive erosion from the firebreak is minimized.	Length of firebreak	Foot	\$1.25	\$1.88	\$1.88	\$2.26
394-6	Constructed - Hand line	Scenario Description: Installation of a bare-ground firebreak of a minimum width of 5' around a 20 acre woodland burn unit with the dominant fuel being hardwood leaf litter. Generally water control devices such as water bars are not needed due either to the lack of steep terrain or the temporary nature of the firebreak. The firebreak will be installed with hand tools such as broom rakes and/or leaf blowers. Resource concerns include Wildfire hazard from excessive biomass accumulation, Undesirable plant productivity and health, Inadequate plant structure and composition, and Habitat degradation. After Practice Description: The property can be safely prescribe burned and the potential for excessive erosion from the firebreak is minimized.	Length of firebreak	Foot	\$0.06	\$0.08	\$0.08	\$0.10

FISH AND WILDLIFE HABITAT PLAN - WRITTEN

Practice Code 142

Livestock Vegetative Practice

PRS Unit of Measurement: Acre

Definition: A fish and wildlife habitat plan is a site specific plan developed for a client who is ready to plan and implement decisions with consideration for fish and wildlife habitat and other biological resources.

A Fish and Wildlife Habitat Plan will:

- A. Meets Natural Resource Conservation Service (NRCS) quality criteria for fish and wildlife habitat and other identified resource concerns;
- B. Complies with federal, state, tribal and local laws, regulations and permit requirements;
- C. Addresses the client's objectives.

Purpose: A fish and wildlife activity conservation plan will address NRCS quality criteria for fish and wildlife and soil erosion, water quality, or other identified resource concerns. The plan will comply with Federal, State, Tribal, and local laws, regulations, and permit requirements. The plan will satisfy the participant's objectives in regard to fish and wildlife resources.

Applicability: On lands where a Fish and Wildlife Habitat Plan is needed and feasible.

Limitations:

Maintenance: Practice must be maintained for a lifespan of 1 year.

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
142-6	Habitat	Scenario Description: Various on-farm land uses. Natural Resource Concern: Fish and Wildlife, and other applicable resource concerns on an agricultural operation. After Practice Description: After EQIP contract approval, participant has obtained services from a certified TSP for develop of the 'Fish and Wildlife Management' conservation activity plan. The CAP criteria requires the plan to meet quality criteria for the primary fish/wildlife habitat resource concern and other applicable resource concerns and provides for opportunities to improve, restore, or enhance habitat that supports native and/or managed species. The CAP plan may include recommendations for associated conservation practices which address other related resource concerns. CAP meets the basic quality criteria for the 142 plan as cited in the NRCS Field Office Technical Guide.	Number	Number	\$2,510.43	\$3,012.51	N/A	N/A

FORAGE AND BIOMASS PLANTING Practice Code 512

Livestock Vegetative Practice

PRS Unit of Measurement: Acre

Definition: Establishing native or introduced forage species.

Purpose: To establish adapted and compatible species, varieties, or cultivars for forage production, improve or maintain livestock nutrition and/or health, balance forage supply and demand during periods of low forage production, reduce soil erosion and improve water quality, increase carbon sequestration, and produce feedstock for biofuel or energy production

Applicability: On lands where forage production is needed and feasible.

Limitations: Hay planting is only eligible for EQIP financial assistance when increasing the acres of hay in the rotation.

Maintenance: Practice must be maintained for a lifespan of 5 years.

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
512-1	Interseeding Legumes and/or forbs	Scenario Description: Interseed legumes and/or forbs into an existing grass stand for the purpose of increasing plant diversity, soil quality and fertility, and plant health and enhancing the quality of forage. Scenario is appropriate for conventional production. Payment includes seed, seeding and fertility for interseeding establishment. After Practice Description: A more diverse grass stand provides improved forage quality and availability, and improved soil condition. Payment scenario is based on red and ladino clover interseeded into a 20 acre cool season grass stand. Inputs are based on medium to low existing fertility.	Acres of Forage and Biomass Planting	Acre	\$99.15	\$148.73	\$148.73	\$178.48
512-2	Interseed Legumes and/or forbs Organic	Scenario Description: Interseed legumes and/or forbs into an existing grass stand for the purpose of increasing plant diversity, soil quality and fertility, and plant health and enhancing the quality of forage. Scenario is appropriate for organic production. Payment includes seed, seeding and fertility for interseeding establishment. After Practice Description: A more diverse grass stand provides improved forage quality and availability, and improved soil condition. Payment scenario is based on red and ladino clover interseeded into a 20 acre cool season grass stand. Inputs are based on medium to low existing fertility.	Acres of Forage and Biomass Planting	Acre	\$92.82	\$139.23	\$139.23	\$167.07
512-3	Introduced Grass Establishment or Renovation	Scenario Description: Establishing a new stand or renovating a poor stand to introduced grass, or grass with legumes and/or forbs to improve or maintain livestock/wildlife nutrition and health, extend the length of the grazing season, and provide soil cover to reduce erosion. Scenario is appropriate for conventional production. Payment includes site preparation, seed, seeding fertilizer, lime, and foregone income for loss of production during establishment/renovation After Practice Description: Establish introduced grass and legume mix stand to improve livestock nutrition through improved forage quality and availability, and improved soil condition. Payment scenario is based on converting an existing poor condition sod to introduced grass/legume/forb mix using mechanical or chemical activities.	Acres of Forage and Biomass Planting	Acre	\$126.83	\$190.24	\$190.24	\$228.29

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
512-4	Introduced Grass Establishment or Renovation Organic	Scenario Description: Establishing a new stand or renovating a poor stand to introduced grass, or grass with legumes and/or forbs to improve or maintain livestock/wildlife nutrition and health, extend the length of the grazing season, and provide soil cover to reduce erosion. Scenario is appropriate for organic production. Payment includes site preparation, seed, seeding fertilizer, lime, and foregone income for loss of production during establishment/renovation After Practice Description: Establish introduced grass and legume mix stand to improve livestock nutrition through improved forage quality and availability, and improved soil condition. Payment scenario is based on converting an existing poor condition sod to introduced grass/legume/forb mix using mechanical or chemical activities.	Acres of Forage and Biomass Planting	Acre	\$131.33	\$197.00	\$197.00	\$236.40
512-5	Native Grass Establishment or Renovation - no fertility	Scenario Description: Establishing a new stand or renovating a poor stand to native grass, or grass with legumes and/or forbs to improve or maintain livestock/wildlife nutrition and health, extend the length of the grazing season, and provide soil cover to reduce erosion. Scenario is appropriate for conventional production on sites where fertility for establishment is adequate or it is determined that lime is all that is needed to enhance available nutrients. Payment includes site preparation, seed, seeding, lime, and foregone income for loss of production during establishment/renovation After Practice Description: Establish native grass and legume and/or forbs mix stand to improve livestock nutrition through improved forage quality and availability, and improved soil condition. Payment scenario is based on converting an existing poor condition sod to native grass/legume/forb mix using mechanical or chemical activities.	Acres of Forage and Biomass Planting	Acre	\$202.14	\$303.20	\$303.20	\$363.84

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
512-6	Native Grass Establishment or Renovation - no fertility Organic	Scenario Description: Establishing a new stand or renovating a poor stand to native grass, or grass with legumes and/or forbs to improve or maintain livestock/wildlife nutrition and health, extend the length of the grazing season, and provide soil cover to reduce erosion. Scenario is appropriate for organic production on sites where fertility for establishment is adequate or it is determined that lime is all that is needed to enhance available nutrients. Payment includes site preparation, seed, seeding, lime and foregone income for loss of production during establishment/renovation After Practice Description: Establish native grass and legume and/or forbs mix stand to improve livestock nutrition through improved forage quality and availability, and improved soil condition. Payment scenario is based on converting an existing poor condition sod to native grass/legume/forb mix using mechanical or chemical activities.	Acres of Forage and Biomass Planting	Acre	\$208.55	\$312.83	\$312.83	\$375.39
512-9	Pasture Renovation Utilizing Interim Seeding	Scenario Description: Renovation of an existing pasture where an interim stand is established for one year prior to the perennial stand being established. Scenario is appropriate for renovating an existing stand of endophyte infected fescue using the spray - smother - spray technique (spray existing grass before heading in early spring, plant a smother crop, spray smother crop in the fall, plant new grass stand into the stubble). Scenario is also appropriate for situations where any interim species is established and then the perennial is seeded after. Payment includes chemical operations, interim crop establishment and termination, and seeding of new renovated grass stand, including fertilizer and lime needed for a successful establishment. After Practice Description: Stand is renovated without the loss of production. Annual grass planted as a smother crop would be grazed, extending the grazing season. Stand is renovated to a non-endophyte introduced grass/legume stand using the spray-smother-spray technique.	Acres of Forage and Biomass Planting	Acre	\$175.36	\$263.04	\$263.04	\$315.65

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
512-10	Introduced Perennial & Native Grass Mix, foregone income	Scenario Description: Establish or reseed adapted introduced grasses and at least one native species to improve or maintain livestock/wildlife nutrition and health, extend the length of the grazing season, and provide soil cover to reduce erosion. Used for either conventional or notill seeding of grasses for pasture, hay land, and wildlife openings. Native grass species which have a significantly greater cost than introduced species comprise one third of the grass mixture. This practice may be utilized for organic or regular production. This scenario assumes seed, equipment and labor for seed bed prep, tillage, s e e d i n g . The land being seeded was previously cropland with a typical rotation of corn and soybeans. After Practice Description: Suitable species are established to improve forage quality and quantity and reduce soil erosion on cropland, hay land, pasture, and/or biomass production.	Acres of Forage and Biomass Planting	Acre	\$264.08	\$396.12	\$396.12	\$475.35

FORAGE HARVEST MANAGEMENT Practice 511

Non-Livestock Management Practice

PRS Unit of Measurement: Acre

Definition: Forage harvest management is the timely cutting and removal of forages from the field such as hay, green-chop, or ensilage.

Purpose: To optimize the economic yield of forages at the desired quality and quantity, promote vigorous plant re-growth, maintain stand life for the desired time period, maintain desired species composition of the stand, use forage biomass as a nutrient uptake tool, control insects, diseases, and weeds and maintain and/or improve wildlife habitat.

Applicability: To all land uses where machine harvested forage crops are grown. The conversion of intensive row cropping to hay land (must be new acres converted, not part of the normal rotation). Typical situations include seeding mixed grasses and legumes on highly erodible land subject to excessive erosion rates.

This practice also applies to the harvesting of perennial native forages, such as switch grass, for alternative uses, such as biomass production.

Limitations:

Maintenance: Practice must be maintained for a lifespan of 1 year.

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
511-1	Improved Forage Quality	Scenario Description: Improved cultural practices and recordkeeping result in better forage quality and better livestock performance. After Practice Description: Forage cutting heights are raised to leave at least 3-4' stubble height for cool season grasses and 6' - 8' (use a boot on the mower) for warm season grasses. Increased residual forage results in much faster plant regrowth. Forage quality tests are submitted to an accredited lab for analysis. Records of forage quality components, cutting heights, moisture content, and harvest schedule are regularly kept to track increased forage quality and improved livestock performance.	Improved Relative Feed Value	Acre	\$2.20	\$3.30	\$3.30	\$3.96
511-3	· ·	Scenario Description: In perennial forage crops, delaying the harvest of the first cutting to promote the reproduction of ground nesting birds. The delayed harvest results in a decrease in overall forage quality (33% reduction assumed), making the forage crop less palatable and lower in relative feed value. The selected fields should be large enough to promote ground nesting birds. After young have fledged the field will be harvested for dry forages. After Practice Description: Perennial crops are harvested with a delayed mowing; forage quality is compromised, however, the survival of ground nesting birds is promoted.	Increased grassland bird populations.	Acre	\$2.60	\$3.90	\$3.90	\$4.68

FOREST MANAGEMENT PLAN - WRITTEN Practice Code 106

PRS Unit of Measurement: Number

Definition: A forest management plan is a site specific plan developed for a client, which addresses one or more resource concerns on land where forestry-related conservation activities or practices will be planned and applied. These criteria were developed to implement Section 1240 (A) of the Food, Conservation and Energy Act of 2008, which allows for the development of forest management plans as one of the purposes of the Environmental Quality Incentives Program (EQIP). The forest management plan will:

- A. Meet Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS) quality criteria for the identified resource concern(s).
- B. Comply with federal, state, tribal, and local laws, regulations, and permit requirements.
- C. Meet the client's objectives.

Purpose: Conservation plan to include the planned practice(s), the amounts to be applied, the schedule for implementation, and the appropriate site specific specifications and/or job sheet for each practice.

Applicability: On lands in lowa that will benefit from the development and implementation of a Forest Management Plan.

Limitations:

Maintenance: Practice must be maintained for a lifespan of 1 year.

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
106-38	FMP Less Than or Equal to 20 acres	Scenario Description: Non Industrial Private Forest Land typically unmanaged or limited management activities. Typical site is approximately 1 to 20 acres in size and consists of existing unevenaged mixed species stands of harvestable trees. Natural Resource Concern: Fish and Wildlife; Soil Erosion; Soil Condition; Water Quality; Plant Condition; on Forest Land. After Practice Description: After EQIP contract approval, participant has obtained services from a certified TSP for development of the 'Forest Management Plan' Conservation Activity Plan (CAP). The CAP criteria requires the plan to identify approved Field Office Technical Guide conservation practices where needed to address identified resource concerns. The Forest Management CAP is not considered a Forest Harvest Plan, but should complement the needs for harvest if desired by the land user. Additional CAP plan criteria is detailed in the Field Office Technical Guide.	Number	Number	\$1,065.90	\$1,279.08	N/A	N/A
106-39	FMP 21 to 100 acres	Scenario Description: Non Industrial Private Forest Land typically unmanaged or limited management activities. Typical site is approximately 21 to 100 acres in size and consists of existing unevenaged mixed species stands of harvestable trees. Natural Resource Concern: Fish and Wildlife; Soil Erosion; Soil Condition; Water Quality; Plant Condition; on Forest Land. After Practice Description: After EQIP contract approval, participant has obtained services from a certified TSP for development of the 'Forest Management Plan' Conservation Activity Plan (CAP). The CAP criteria requires the plan to identify approved Field Office Technical Guide conservation practices where needed to address identified resource concerns. The Forest Management CAP is not considered a Forest Harvest Plan, but should complement the needs for harvest if desired by the land user. Additional CAP plan criteria is detailed in the Field Office Technical Guide.	Number	Number	\$1,346.40	\$1,615.68	N/A	N/A

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
106-40	FMP 101 to 250 acres	Scenario Description: Non Industrial Private Forest Land typically unmanaged or limited management activities. Typical site is approximately 101 to 250 acres in size and consists of existing uneven-aged mixed species stands of harvestable trees. Natural Resource Concern: Fish and Wildlife; Soil Erosion; Soil Condition; Water Quality; Plant Condition; on Forest Land. After Practice Description: After EQIP contract approval, participant has obtained services from a certified TSP for development of the 'Forest Management Plan' Conservation Activity Plan (CAP). The CAP criteria requires the plan to identify approved Field Office Technical Guide conservation practices where needed to address identified resource concerns. The Forest Management CAP is not considered a Forest Harvest Plan, but should complement the needs for harvest if desired by the land user. Additional CAP plan criteria is detailed in the Field Office Technical Guide.	Number	Number	\$2,412.30	\$2,894.76	N/A	N/A
106-41	FMP Greater Than 1000 acres	Scenario Description: Non Industrial Private Forest Land typically unmanaged or limited management activities. Typical site is approximately 1001 acres or greater in size and consists of existing uneven-aged mixed species stands of harvestable trees. Natural Resource Concern: Fish and Wildlife; Soil Erosion; Soil Condition; Water Quality; Plant Condition; on Forest Land. After Practice Description: After EQIP contract approval, participant has obtained services from a certified TSP for development of the 'Forest Management Plan' Conservation Activity Plan (CAP). The CAP criteria requires the plan to identify approved Field Office Technical Guide conservation practices where needed to address identified resource concerns. The Forest Management CAP is not considered a Forest Harvest Plan, but should complement the needs for harvest if desired by the land user. Additional CAP plan criteria is detailed in the Field Office Technical Guide.	Number	Number	\$5,049.00	\$6,058.80	N/A	N/A

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
106-42	FMP 251 to 500 acres	Scenario Description: Non Industrial Private Forest Land typically unmanaged or limited management activities. Typical site is approximately 251 to 500 acres in size and consists of existing uneven-aged mixed species stands of harvestable trees. Natural Resource Concern: Fish and Wildlife; Soil Erosion; Soil Condition; Water Quality; Plant Condition; on Forest Land. After Practice Description: After EQIP contract approval, participant has obtained services from a certified TSP for development of the 'Forest Management Plan' Conservation Activity Plan (CAP). The CAP criteria requires the plan to identify approved Field Office Technical Guide conservation practices where needed to address identified resource concerns. The Forest Management CAP is not considered a Forest Harvest Plan, but should complement the needs for harvest if desired by the land user. Additional CAP plan criteria is detailed in the Field Office Technical Guide.	Number	Number	\$3,478.20	\$4,173.84	N/A	N/A
106-43	FMP 501 to 1000 acres	Scenario Description: Non Industrial Private Forest Land typically unmanaged or limited management activities. Typical site is approximately 501 to 1000 acres in size and consists of existing uneven-aged mixed species stands of harvestable trees. Natural Resource Concern: Fish and Wildlife; Soil Erosion; Soil Condition; Water Quality; Plant Condition; on Forest Land. After Practice Description: After EQIP contract approval, participant has obtained services from a certified TSP for development of the 'Forest Management Plan' Conservation Activity Plan (CAP). The CAP criteria requires the plan to identify approved Field Office Technical Guide conservation practices where needed to address identified resource concerns. The Forest Management CAP is not considered a Forest Harvest Plan, but should complement the needs for harvest if desired by the land user. Additional CAP plan criteria is detailed in the Field Office Technical Guide.	Number	Number	\$4,039.20	\$4,847.04	N/A	N/A

FOREST STAND IMPROVEMENT Practice Code 666

Non-Livestock Vegetative Practice

PRS Unit of Measurement: Acre

Definition: To manipulate species composition and stocking by cutting or killing selected trees and understory vegetation.

Purpose: To improve or sustain timber production; to improve understory aesthetics, wildlife habitat, or recreation; to harvest forest products or facilitate forest stand regeneration.

Applicability: On forest land where competing vegetation hinders development and stocking of preferred species.

Limitations: A Woodland Stewardship Plan written by the District Forester is required documentation. A copy must be kept in the contract file.

Maintenance: Practice must be maintained for a lifespan of 10 years.

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
666-1	Forest Stand Improvement, Light	Scenario Description: All materials and labor required to manipulate species composition, stand structure and stocking on forestland. Light forest stand improvement will: Reduce basal area by 20 - 29 square feet per acre (or) Cut and/or kill 100 - 199 trees per acre (or) Release 10 - 20 crop trees per acre and/or kill any vines growing on crop trees by an approved method such as 'cut stump' with herbicide to prevent resprouting. Use a current and approved Forest Management Plan for estimated basal area to be removed, number of trees needing to be c u t and/or killed, crop trees needing to be released, and/or vines needing killed. After Practice Description: After adjusting the stocking to an acceptable level, stand growth, condition, and overall quality is improved. In addition, wildlife habitat is improved with the resulting increase of sunlight reaching the forest floor.	Area Treated	Acre	\$55.41	\$83.11	\$83.11	\$99.73
666-2	Forest Stand Improvement, Medium	Scenario Description: All materials and labor required to manipulate species composition, stand structure and stocking on forestland. Medium forest stand improvement will: Reduce basal area by 30-40 square feet per acre (or) Cut and/or kill 200 - 400 trees per acre (or) Release 21 - 40 crop trees per acre and kill any vines growing on crop trees by an approved method such as 'cut stump' with herbicide to prevent resprouting. Use a current and approved Forest Management Plan for estimated basal area to be removed, number of trees needing to be cut and/or killed, crop trees needing to be released, and/or vines needing killed. After Practice Description: After adjusting the stocking to an acceptable level, stand growth, condition, and overall quality is improved. In addition, wildlife habitat is improved with the resulting increase of sunlight reaching the forest floor.	Area Treated	Acre	\$67.57	\$101.36	\$101.36	\$121.63
666-3	Forest Stand Improvement, Heavy	Scenario Description: All materials and labor required to manipulate species composition, stand structure and stocking on forestland. Heavy forest stand improvement will: Reduce basal area by 41 or more square feet per acre (or) Cut and/or kill over 400 trees per acre (or) Release 41 or more crop trees per acre and kill any vines growing on crop trees by an approved method such as 'cut stump' with herbicide to prevent resprouting. Use a current and approved Forest Management Plan for estimated basal area to be removed, number of trees needing to be cut and/or killed, crop trees needing to be r e I e a s e d, and/or vines needing killed. After Practice Description: After adjusting the stocking to an acceptable level, stand growth, condition, and overall quality is improved. In addition, wildlife habitat is improved with the resulting increase of sunlight reaching the forest floor.	Area Treated	Acre	\$84.99	\$127.49	\$127.49	\$152.99

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
666-4		Scenario Description: Creating 2 one acre patches in over-mature and/or degraded stands using hand tools such as chainsaws. Resource concerns include: Undesirable plant productivity and health, Inadequate structure and composition, and habitat degradation. After Practice Description: A new, young stand of desirable species is established In addition, early successional wildlife habitat as well as forest type diversity are created.	Area treated	Acre	\$104.02	\$156.03	\$156.03	\$187.23
666-5	Open Woodland Restoration	Scenario Description: Removing or reducing tree canopy and utilizing chemical treatment (except for non-sprouting woody species) to promote herbaceous growth or early woody succession to benefit wildlife habitat. Designed for open woodland restoration where Basal Area removal is >40 square feet per acre, or >400 stems per acre. This practice is intended to restore and manage the ecological site for associated wildlife species that benefit from habitats such as open woodlands by felling the majority of the undesirable trees to allow installation of facilitating practices. Facilitating practices may include but not limited to: Early Successional Habitat Management/Development (647), Prescribed Burning (338), Restoration and Management of Rare and Declining Habitats (643), and Conservation Cover (327). After Practice Description: The ecological site is restored and flora and fauna that depend on open woodland habitat flourish.	area of opening	Acre	\$135.95	\$203.92	\$203.92	\$244.71

GRADE STABILIZATION STRUCTURE Practice Code 410

Non-Livestock Structural Practice

PRS Unit of Measurement: Feet or Cubic Yard

Definition: A structure used to control the channel grade in natural or constructed watercourses.

Purpose: To stabilize the grade and control erosion in natural and artificial channels, reduce gully erosion, and/or improve water quality.

Applicability: In areas where the concentration and flow velocity of water requires a structure to stabilize the grade in channels or to control gully erosion. Special attention shall be given to maintaining or improving habitat for fish and wildlife where applicable.

Limitations: All costs are represented in the payment schedule rate. Seeding, if needed, may be contracted by using Critical Area Planting – Practice Code 342.

Maintenance: Practice must be maintained for a lifespan of 15 years.

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
410-1	Embankment 4in- 6in Pipe	Scenario Description: An earthen embankment dam with a principal spillway pipe (PVC or Steel) of 6 inches or less with antiseep collars. To stabilized the grade and control erosion in natural or artificial channels, to prevent the formation or advancing of gullies, and to enhance environmental quality and reduce pollution hazards. Applied in areas where the concentration and flow velocity of water require structures to stabilize the grade in channels or to control gully erosion. Cost estimate is based upon a typical amount of earth fill of 5,000 cubic yards (including core trench backfill), and 100 feet of pipe 6' PVC pipe with a canopy inlet. A small, non-lined plunge pool protects the outlet channel. Disturbed areas and earth fill surfaces are protected w i t h permanent vegetative cover. Addresses resource concerns such as soil erosion-concentrated flow erosion and water quality degradation. After Practice Description: Area is stabilized. The advancement and/or formation of gullies is stopped, soil from gullies no longer leaves the farm, useable farm area is increased, sedimentation and other pollution hazards are decreased, and water quality downstream is protected. Any needed re-vegetation of disturbed areas use Critical Area Planting (342). Other associated practices such as: Fence (382), Grassed Waterway (412), will use the corresponding Standard(s) as appropriate.	Cubic Yards of Earth fill	Cubic Yard	\$2.13	\$3.19	\$3.19	\$3.83

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
410-2	Embankment 8in- 12in Pipe	Scenario Description: An earthen embankment dam with a principal spillway pipe (PVC or Steel) of 8' to 12' with antiseep collars. To stabilized the grade and control erosion in natural or artificial channels, to prevent the formation or advancing of gullies, and to enhance environmental quality and reduce pollution hazards. Applied in areas where the concentration and flow velocity of water require structures to stabilize the grade in channels or to control gully erosion. Cost estimate is based upon a typical amount of earth fill of 8000 cubic yards (including core trench backfill), and 100 feet of pipe 10' PVC pipe with a canopy inlet. A small, non-lined plunge pool protects the outlet channel. Disturbed areas and earth fill surfaces are protected with permanent vegetative cover. Addresses resource concerns such as soil erosion-concentrated flow erosion and water quality degradation. After Practice Description: Area is stabilized. The advancement and/or formation of gullies is stopped, soil from gullies no longer leaves the farm, useable farm area is increased, sedimentation and other pollution hazards are decreased, and water quality downstream is protected. Any needed re-vegetation of disturbed areas use Critical Area Planting (342). Other associated practices such as: Fence (382), Grassed Waterway (412) will use the corresponding Standard(s) as appropriate.	Cubic Yards of Earth fill	Cubic Yard	\$2.22	\$3.33	\$3.33	\$4.00

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
410-3	Embankment >12in	Scenario Description: An earthen embankment dam with a principle spillway pipe greater than12 inches with anti-seep collars or sand diaphragm. Installed to stabilize the grade and control erosion in natural or artificial channels, to prevent the formation or advancing of gullies, and to enhance environmental quality and reduce pollution hazards. Applied in areas where the concentration and flow velocity of water require structures to stabilize the grade in channels or to control gully erosion. Cost estimate is based upon a typical amount of earth fill of 11,000 cubic yards (including core trench backfill), 120 feet of 18' Steel pipe with a canopy inlet, and 16 cubic yard sand diaphragm with outlet. Disturbed areas and earth fill surfaces are protected with permanent vegetative cover. Addresses resource concerns such as soil erosion-concentrated flow erosion and water quality degradation. After Practice Description: Area is stabilized. The advancement and/or formation of gullies is stopped, soil from gullies no longer leaves the farm, useable farm area is increased, sedimentation and other pollution hazards are decreased, and water quality downstream is protected. Any needed re-vegetation of disturbed areas use Critical Area Planting (342). Other associated practices such as: Fence (382), Grassed Waterway (412) will use the corresponding Standard(s) as appropriate.	Cubic Yards of Earth fill	Cubic Yard	\$2.32	\$3.48	\$3.48	\$4.17

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
410-4	Embankment Tile Conduit	Scenario Description: An earthen embankment dam with a 6' HDPE corrugated plastic tubing principal spillway conduit. To stabilized the grade and control erosion in natural or artificial channels, to prevent the formation or advancing of gullies, and to enhance environmental quality and reduce pollution hazards. Applied in areas where the concentration and flow velocity of water require structures to stabilize the grade in channels or to control gully erosion. Cost estimate is based upon a typical amount of earth fill of 3100 cubic yards (including core trench backfill), and 80 feet of 6' CPT with a plastic inlet. A small, non-lined plunge pool protects the outlet channel. Disturbed areas and earth fill surfaces are protected with permanent vegetative cover. Addresses resource concerns such as soil erosion-concentrated flow erosion and water quality degradation. After Practice Description: Area is stabilized. The advancement and/or formation of gullies is stopped, soil from gullies no longer leaves the farm, useable farm area is increased, sedimentation and other pollution hazards are decreased, and water quality downstream is protected. Any needed revegetation of disturbed areas use Critical Area Planting (342). Other associated practices such as: Fence (382), Grassed Waterway (412), Water and Sediment Control Basin (638) will use the corresponding Standard(s) as appropriate.	Cubic Yards of Earth fill	Cubic Yard	\$1.62	\$2.42	\$2.42	\$2.91

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
410-6	Pipe Drop, Smooth Steel or CMP	Scenario Description: A full flow pipe drop (i.e.: riser and barrel) grade stabilization structure designed and constructed with a sand diaphragm. This is typically an earthen dry dam structure with no permanent storage (water or sediment), however some structures may have some permanent pool / storage but do not have 35 years of sediment life. Payment rate is based upon the riser weir length (Diameter x 3.14) in feet times the length of the pipe barrel in (feet). Installed to stabilize the grade and control erosion in natural or artificial channels, to prevent the formation or advancing of gullies, and to enhance environmental quality and reduce pollution hazards. Applied in areas where the concentration and flow velocity of water require structures to stabilize the grade in channels or to control gully erosion. Cost estimate is based upon a smooth steel or corrugated metal pipe drop structure with a 36', 12' tall riser and a 100' long 24' barrel (Riser Weir length x Barrel Length = 3ft x 3.14 x 100ft = 942). Disturbed areas and earth fill surfaces are protected with permanent vegetative cover. Addresses resource concerns such as soil erosion-concentrated flow erosion and water quality degradation. After Practice Description: Area is stabilized. The advancement and/or formation of gullies is stopped, soil from gullies no longer leaves the farm, useable farm area is increased, sedimentation and other pollution hazards are decreased, and water quality downstream is protected. Any needed re-vegetation of disturbed areas use Critical Area Planting (342). Other associated practices such as; Pond (378), Dam (402), Fence (382), Channel Bed Stabilization (584), Dike (356), Grassed Waterway (412), Structure for Water Control (587), and Irrigation Canal or Lateral (320) will use the corresponding Standard(s) as appropriate.	Riser Weir Length x Barrel Length	Square Foot	\$6.41	\$9.61	\$9.61	\$11.53

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
410-7	Full Flow Straight Pipe	Scenario Description: A full flow straight pipe grade stabilization structure. This is typically a earthen dry dam structure with no permanent storage (water or sediment), however some structures may have some permanent pool / storage but do not have 35 years of sediment life. Payment rate is based upon the diameter of the pipe in inches times the length of the pipe in feet. Installed to stabilize the grade and control erosion in natural or artificial channels, to prevent the formation or advancing of gullies, and to enhance environmental quality and reduce pollution hazards. Applied in areas where the concentration and flow velocity of water require structures to stabilize the grade in channels or to control gully erosion. Cost estimate is based upon a PVC or corrugated metal pipe, 12' in diameter, 60' long. (diameter x pipe length = 12in x 60ft = 720). Disturbed areas and earth fill surfaces are protected with permanent vegetative cover. Addresses resource concerns such as soil erosion-concentrated flow erosion and water quality degradation. After Practice Description: Area is stabilized. The advancement and/or formation of gullies is stopped, soil from gullies no longer leaves the farm, useable farm area is increased, sedimentation and other pollution hazards are decreased, and water quality downstream is protected. Any needed revegetation of disturbed areas use Critical Area Planting (342). Other associated practices such as; Pond (378), Dam (402), Fence (382), Channel Bed Stabilization (584), Dike (356), Grassed Waterway (412), Structure for Water Control (587), and Irrigation Canal or Lateral (320) will use the corresponding Standard(s) as appropriate.	pipe diameter x pipe length	Diameter Inch Foot	\$2.77	\$4.15	\$4.15	\$4.98

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
410-8	Open Flow Drop Spillway	Scenario Description: A Straight or semicircular drop structure composed of metal or reinforced concrete used to stabilized the grade and control erosion in natural or artificial channels, to prevent the formation or advancing of gullies, and to enhance environmental quality and reduce pollution hazards. Applied in areas where the concentration and flow velocity of water require structures to stabilize the grade in channels or to control gully erosion. Cost estimate is based upon a semicircular steel toe wall structure with a drop of 3ft and weir length of 30ft (90 square feet). The unit of payment measurement is defined as weir length times drop in 'feet'. The drop (feet) is defined as the structure inlet crest elevation minus the control outlet elevation (i.e.: outlet apron elevation). Disturbed areas and earth fill surfaces are protected with permanent vegetative cover. Addresses resource concerns such as soil erosion-concentrated flow erosion and water quality degradation. After Practice Description: Area is stabilized. The advancement and/or formation of gullies is stopped, soil from gullies no longer leaves the farm, useable farm area is increased, sedimentation and other pollution hazards are decreased, and water quality downstream is protected. Any needed revegetation of disturbed areas use Critical Area Planting (342). Other associated practices such as; Pond (378), Dam (402), Fence (382), Channel Bed Stabilization (584), Dike (356), Grassed Waterway (412), Structure for Water Control (587), Subsurface Drain (606), and Underground Outlet (620) will use the corresponding Standard(s) as appropriate.	Feet of Weir length times Drop Height	Square Foot	\$67.77	\$101.65	\$101.65	\$121.99

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
410-9	Rock Rip Rap Chute	Scenario Description: A full flow chute structure with rip rap, geotextile fabric, and earth fill/earthmoving. To stabilized the grade and control erosion in natural or artificial channels, to prevent the formation or advancing of gullies, and to enhance environmental quality and reduce pollution hazards. Applied in areas where the concentration and flow velocity of water require structures to stabilize the grade in channels or to control gully erosion. Cost estimate is based upon typical chute designed to handle 90 cfs (20' BW, 5:1 Chute Slope, 5' Drop, 18' rock thickness). Amount of rock required is 86 CY (129 tons). Disturbed areas and earth fill surfaces are protected with permanent vegetative cover. Cost data is applicable to organic and convention agricultural production systems. After Practice Description: Area is stabilized. The advancement and/or formation of gullies is stopped, soil from gullies no longer leaves the farm, useable farm area is increased, sedimentation and other pollution hazards are decreased, and water quality downstream is protected. Any needed re-vegetation of disturbed areas use Critical Area Planting (342). Other associated practices such as; Pond (378), Dam (402), Fence (382), Channel Bed Stabilization (584), Dike (356), Grassed Waterway (412), Structure for Water Control (587), Subsurface Drain (606), and Underground Outlet (620) will use the corresponding Standard(s) as appropriate.	Cubic Yards of rip rap installed	Cubic Yard	\$31.77	\$47.66	\$47.66	\$57.19

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
410-10	Grouted Rock Rip Rap Chute	Scenario Description: A full flow chute structure with grouted rip rap, geotextile fabric, and earth fill/earthmoving. To stabilized the grade and control erosion in natural or artificial channels, to prevent the formation or advancing of gullies, and to enhance environmental quality and reduce pollution hazards. Applied in areas where the concentration and flow velocity of water require structures to stabilize the grade in channels or to control gully erosion. Cost estimate is based upon typical amount of rock of 46 cubic yards of grouted rip rap. Typical Chute has 10' BW, 6' Drop, with 3' of Grout, 70 CFS capacity. Disturbed areas and earth fill surfaces are protected with permanent vegetative cover. Cost data is applicable to organic and convention agricultural production systems. After Practice Description: Area is stabilized. The advancement and/or formation of gullies is stopped, soil from gullies no longer leaves the farm, useable farm area is increased, sedimentation and other pollution hazards are decreased, and water quality downstream is protected. Any needed re-vegetation of disturbed areas use Critical Area Planting (342). Other associated practices such as; Pond (378), Dam (402), Fence (382), Channel Bed Stabilization (584), Dike (356), Grassed Waterway (412), Structure for Water Control (587), Subsurface Drain (606), and Underground Outlet (620) will use the corresponding Standard(s) as appropriate.	Cubic Yards of rip rap installed	Cubic Yard	\$46.18	\$69.26	\$69.26	\$83.12

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
410-11	Gabion Chute	Scenario Description: A full flow chute structure with rock filled gabion baskets, geotextile fabric, and earth fill/earthmoving. To stabilized the grade and control erosion in natural or artificial channels, to prevent the formation or advancing of gullies, and to enhance environmental quality and reduce pollution hazards. Applied in areas where the concentration and flow velocity of water require structures to stabilize the grade in channels or to control gully erosion. Cost estimate is based upon typical chute with 12' BW, 4:1 chute slope, 6' drop to handle design flow of 100 cfs. 25 CY of gabion baskets. Disturbed areas and earth fill surfaces are protected with permanent vegetative cover. Cost data is applicable to organic and convention agricultural production systems. After Practice Description: Area is stabilized. The advancement and/or formation of gullies is stopped, soil from gullies no longer leaves the farm, useable farm area is increased, sedimentation and other pollution hazards are decreased, and water quality downstream is protected. Any needed re-vegetation of disturbed areas use Critical Area Planting (342). Other associated practices such as; Pond (378), Dam (402), Fence (382), Channel Bed Stabilization (584), Dike (356), Grassed Waterway (412), Structure for Water Control (587), Subsurface Drain (606), and Underground Outlet (620) will use the corresponding Standard(s) as appropriate.	Cubic Yards of gabion baskets	Cubic Yard	\$142.94	\$214.41	\$214.41	\$257.30

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
410-12	Geotextile Reinforced Vegetated Outlet	Scenario Description: A full flow chute structure with geotextile fabric, erosion control blanket, riprap outlet and earth fill/earthmoving. To stabilized the grade and control erosion in natural or artificial channels, to prevent the formation or advancing of gullies, and to enhance environmental quality and reduce pollution hazards. Applied in areas where the concentration and flow velocity of water require structures to stabilize the grade in channels or to control gully erosion. Cost estimate is based upon typical chute designed to handle 50 cfs (16' BW, 6:1 Chute Slope, 6' Drop). Amount of geotextile required is 1050 SF. Disturbed areas and earth fill surfaces are protected with p e r m a n e n t vegetative cover. Cost data is applicable to organic and convention agricultural production systems. After Practice D e s c r i p t i o n: Area is stabilized. The advancement and/or formation of gullies is stopped, soil from gullies no longer leaves the farm, useable farm area is increased, sedimentation and other pollution hazards are decreased, and water quality downstream is protected. Any needed re- vegetation of disturbed areas use Critical Area Planting (342). Other associated practices such as; Pond (378), Dam (402), Fence (382), Channel Bed Stabilization (584), Dike (356), Grassed Waterway (412), Structure for Water Control (587), Subsurface Drain (606), and Underground Outlet (620) will use the corresponding Standard(s) as appropriate.		Square Foot	\$1.28	\$1.93	\$1.93	\$2.31

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
410-13	Open Flow Drop Spillway-High overfall or sheet pile	Scenario Description: A straight drop structure used to stabilize the grade and control erosion in natural or artificial channels, to prevent the formation or advancing of gullies, and to enhance environmental quality and reduce pollution hazards. Applied in areas where the concentration and flow velocity of water require structures to stabilize the grade in channels or to control gully erosion. Cost estimate is based upon a sheet pile structure with a weir length of 35', Weir notch height of 2' and drop of 4' with a total capacity of 335 cfs. The unit of payment measurement is defined as weir length times drop in 'feet'. The drop (feet) is defined as the structure inlet crest elevation minus the control outlet elevation (i.e.: outlet apron elevation). Disturbed areas and earth fill surfaces are protected with permanent vegetative cover. Addresses resource concerns such as soil erosion-concentrated flow erosion and water quality degradation. After Practice Description: Area is stabilized. The advancement and/or formation of gullies is stopped, soil from gullies no longer leaves the farm, useable farm area is increased, sedimentation and other pollution hazards are decreased, and water quality downstream is protected. Any needed re-vegetation of disturbed areas use Critical Area Planting (342). Other associated practices such as; Pond (378), Dam (402), Fence (382), Channel Bed Stabilization (584), Dike (356), Grassed Waterway (412), Structure for Water Control (587), Subsurface Drain (606), and Underground Outlet (620) will use the corresponding Standard(s) as appropriate.	Feet of Weir length times Drop Height	Square Foot	\$118.08	\$177.11	\$177.11	\$212.54

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
410-15	Concrete Drop Structure	Scenario Description: A Straight or Box Drop structure composed of reinforced concrete used to stabilize the grade and control erosion in natural or artificial channels, to prevent the formation or advancing of gullies, and to enhance environmental quality and reduce pollution hazards. Applied in areas where the concentration and flow velocity of water require structures to stabilize the grade in channels or to control gully erosion. Cost estimate is based upon a concrete box drop structure with a drop of 4ft and weir length of 16 ft. The unit of payment measurement is cubic yards of concrete placed. Disturbed areas and earth fill surfaces are protected with permanent vegetative cover. Addresses resource concerns such as soil erosion-concentrated flow erosion and water quality degradation. After Practice Description: Area is stabilized. The advancement and/or formation of gullies is stopped, soil from gullies no longer leaves the farm, useable farm area is increased, sedimentation and other pollution hazards are decreased, and water quality downstream is protected. Any needed revegetation of disturbed areas use Critical Area Planting (342). Other associated practices such as; Pond (378), Dam (402), Fence (382), Channel Bed Stabilization (584), Dike (356), Grassed Waterway (412), Structure for Water Control (587), Subsurface Drain (606), and Underground Outlet (620) will use the corresponding Standard(s) as appropriate.	Cubic Yard of Concrete	Cubic Yard	\$377.84	\$566.76	\$566.76	\$680.11

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
410-16	Concrete Block Chute	Scenario Description: A full flow chute structure with concrete blocks, geotextile fabric, and earth fill/earthmoving. To stabilized the grade and control erosion in natural or artificial channels, to prevent the formation or advancing of gullies, and to enhance environmental quality and reduce pollution hazards. Applied in areas where the concentration and flow velocity of water require structures to stabilize the grade in channels or to control gully erosion. Cost estimate is based upon typical chute designed to handle 65 cfs (10' BW, 5' Drop). 518 Concrete blocks required. Disturbed areas and earth fill surfaces are protected with permanent vegetative cover. Cost data is applicable to organic and convention agricultural production systems. After Practice Description: Area is stabilized. The advancement and/or formation of gullies is stopped, soil from gullies no longer leaves the farm, useable farm area is increased, sedimentation and other pollution hazards are decreased, and water quality downstream is protected. Any needed re-vegetation of disturbed areas use Critical Area Planting (342). Other associated practices such as; Pond (378), Dam (402), Fence (382), Channel Bed Stabilization (584), Dike (356), Grassed Waterway (412), Structure for Water Control (587), Subsurface Drain (606), and Underground Outlet (620) will use the corresponding Standard(s) as appropriate.	Square feet of concrete block lined area	Square Foot	\$4.97	\$7.46	\$7.46	\$8.95

GRASSED WATERWAY Practice Code 412

Non-Livestock Structural Practice

PRS Unit of Measurement: Acre

Definition: A natural or constructed channel that is shaped or graded to required dimensions and established in suitable vegetation for the stable conveyance of runoff.

Purpose: To convey runoff from terraces, diversions, or other water concentrations without causing erosion or flooding and to improve water quality.

Applicability: Sites where added capacity, vegetative protection, or both are required to control erosion resulting from concentrated runoff and where such control can be achieved by using this practice alone or combined with other conservation practices. This practice is not applicable where its construction would destroy important woody wildlife cover or wetlands and the present watercourse is not seriously eroding.

Limitations: Payment Scenario includes the cost for seeding and fertilizer, but not subsurface drain. Subsurface Drain – 606 if needed, must be added separately to the contract. When a Grassed Waterway Summer Construction Scenario is included in the contract, construction must occur between June 15 and October 15 of the scheduled year. The Payment Scenario for summer construction is only available on land in row crop and requires a temporary cover or cover crop. No crop is allowed to be harvested. Haying or grazing of the cover crop after October 15th is allowed. No payments can be made for any items associated with the summer construction initiative until all associated practices are installed.

Maintenance: Practice must be maintained for a lifespan of 10 years.

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
412-1	<35 foot top width	Scenario Description: Typical practice is 1 acre, 30' top width, 8:1 side slopes, 1.25' depth, and 55% excavation. A grass waterway that is a shaped or graded channel and is established with suitable vegetation to carry surface water at a non-erosive velocity to a stable outlet. This practice addresses Concentrated Flow Erosion (Classic Gully & Ephemeral Erosion) and Excessive Sediment in surface waters. Waterway area measured from top of bank to top of bank. Seeding area is 20% greater than waterway area to account for disturbed areas. Costs include excavation and associated work to construct the overall shape and grade of the waterway, and seeding and fertilizer to establish suitable vegetation. After Practice Description: Installed grassed waterway is 1 acre, 30' top width, 8:1 side slopes, 1.25' depth. The practice is installed using a dozer. Waterway area is fertilized and seeded for establishment of waterway vegetation. If erosion control blankets or mulching for seedbed establishment/protection are n e e d e d, use conservation practice Mulching (484). Drainage tile, if needed, will be installed using Structure for Water Control (587). If inlet Structures are needed with the drainage tile, then those will be installed using Underground Outlet (620).	Acre of Waterway	Acre	\$1,376.82	\$2,065.23	\$2,065.23	\$2,478.28

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
412-2	35-55 foot top width	Scenario Description: Typical practice is 1 acre, 45' top width, 10:1 side slopes, 1.5' depth, 50% excavation. A grass waterway that is a shaped or graded channel and is established with suitable vegetation to carry surface water at a non-erosive velocity to a stable outlet. This practice addresses Concentrated Flow Erosion (Classic Gully & Ephemeral Erosion) and Excessive Sediment in surface waters. Waterway area measured from top of bank to top of bank. Seeding area is 20% greater than waterway area to account for disturbed areas. Costs include excavation and associated work to construct the overall shape and grade of the waterway, and seeding and fertilizer to establish suitable vegetation. After Practice Description: Installed grassed waterway is 1 acre, 45' top width, 10:1 side slopes, 1.5' depth. The practice is installed using a dozer. Waterway area is fertilized and seeded for establishment of waterway vegetation. If erosion control blankets or mulching for seedbed establishment/protection are n e e d e d , use conservation practice Mulching (484). Drainage tile, if needed, will be installed according to Subsurface Drain (606). Outlets, if needed will be installed using Structure for Water Control (587). If inlet Structures are needed with the drainage tile, then those will be installed using Underground Outlet (620).	Acre of Waterway	Acre	\$1,467.91	\$2,201.87	\$2,201.87	\$2,642.24
412-3	>55 foot top width	Scenario Description: Typical practice is 1 acre, 60' top width, 10:1 side slopes, 2.0' depth, 50% excavation. A grass waterway that is a shaped or graded channel and is established with suitable vegetation to carry surface water at a non-erosive velocity to a stable outlet. This practice addresses Concentrated Flow Erosion (Classic Gully & Ephemeral Erosion) and Excessive Sediment in surface waters. Waterway area measured from top of bank to top of bank. Seeding area is 20% greater than waterway area to account for disturbed areas. Costs include excavation and associated work to construct the overall shape and grade of the waterway, and seeding and fertilizer to establish suitable vegetation. After Practice Description: Installed grassed waterway is 1 acre, 60' top width, 10:1 side slopes, 2.0' depth. The practice is installed using a dozer. Waterway area is fertilized and seeded for establishment of waterway vegetation. If erosion control blankets or mulching for seedbed establishment/protection are n e e d e d, use conservation practice Mulching (484). Drainage tile, if needed, will be installed according to Subsurface Drain (606). Outlets, if needed will be installed using Structure for Water Control (587). If inlet Structures are needed with the drainage tile, then those will be installed using Underground Outlet (620).	Acre of Waterway	Acre	\$1,821.72	\$2,732.57	\$2,732.57	\$3,279.09

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
412-4	<35 foot top width with checks	Scenario Description: Typical practice is 1 acre, 30' top width, 8:1 side slopes, 1.5' depth, half excavation. A grass waterway that is a shaped or graded channel and is established with suitable vegetation to carry surface water at a non-erosive velocity to a stable outlet. Stone checks are installed every 100 feet along the length of the waterway perpendicular to water flow and are 2/3 the waterway top width to reduce maintenance and provide temporary protection until vegetation is established. This practice addresses Concentrated Flow Erosion (Classic Gully & Ephemeral Erosion) and Excessive Sediment in surface waters. Waterway area measured from top of bank to top of bank. Seeding area is 20% greater than waterway area to account for disturbed areas. Costs include excavation and associated work to construct the overall shape and grade of the waterway, and seeding and fertilizer to establish suitable vegetation. After Practice Description: Installed grassed waterway is 1 acre, 30' top width, 8:1 side slopes, 1.5' depth. Checks are installed every 100 feet along the length of the waterway. The practice is installed using a dozer. Stone checks are installed with small backhoe and labor. Waterway area is fertilized and seeded for establishment of waterway vegetation. If erosion control blankets or mulching for seedbed establishment/protection are needed, use conservation practice Mulching (484). Drainage tile, if needed will be installed according to Subsurface Drain (606). Outlets, if needed will be installed using Structure for Water Control (587). If inlet Structures are needed with the drainage tile, then those will be installed using Underground Outlet (620).	Acre of Waterway	Acre	\$1,856.06	\$2,784.08	\$2,784.08	\$3,340.90

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
412-5	35-55 foot top width with checks	Scenario Description: Typical practice is 1 acre, 45' top width, 10:1 side slopes, 1.5' depth, half excavation. A grass waterway that is a shaped or graded channel and is established with suitable vegetation to carry surface water at a non-erosive velocity to a stable outlet. Stone checks are installed every 100 feet along the length of the waterway perpendicular to water flow and are 2/3 the waterway top width to reduce maintenance and provide temporary protection until vegetation is established. This practice addresses Concentrated Flow Erosion (Classic Gully & Ephemeral Erosion) and Excessive Sediment in surface waters. Waterway area measured from top of bank to top of bank. Seeding area is 20% greater than waterway area to account for disturbed areas. Costs include excavation and associated work to construct the overall shape and grade of the waterway, and seeding and fertilizer to establish suitable vegetation. After Practice Description: Installed grassed waterway is 1 acre, 45' top width, 10:1 side slopes, 1.5' depth. Checks are installed every 100 feet along the length of the waterway. The practice is installed using a dozer. Stone checks are installed with small backhoe and labor. Waterway area is fertilized and seeded for establishment of waterway vegetation. If erosion control blankets or mulching for seedbed establishment/protection are needed, use conservation practice Mulching (484). Drainage tile, if needed will be installed according to Subsurface Drain (606). Outlets, if needed will be installed using Structure for Water Control (587). If inlet Structures are needed with the drainage tile, then those will be installed using Underground Outlet (620).	Acre of Waterway	Acre	\$2,009.33	\$3,013.99	\$3,013.99	\$3,616.79

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
412-6	>55 foot top width with checks	Scenario Description: Typical practice is 1 acre, 60' top width, 10:1 side slopes, 2.0' depth, and half excavation. A grass waterway that is a shaped or graded channel and is established with suitable vegetation to carry surface water at a non-erosive velocity to a stable outlet. Stone checks are installed every 100 feet along the length of the waterway perpendicular to water flow and are 2/3 the waterway top width to reduce maintenance and provide temporary protection until vegetation is established. This practice addresses Concentrated Flow Erosion (Classic Gully & Ephemeral Erosion) and Excessive Sediment in surface waters. Waterway area measured from top of bank to top of bank. Seeding area is 20% greater than waterway area to account for disturbed areas. Costs include excavation and associated work to construct the overall shape and grade of the waterway, and seeding and fertilizer to establish suitable vegetation. After Practice Description: Installed grassed waterway is 1 acre, 60' top width, 10:1 side slopes, 2.0' depth. Checks are installed every 100 feet along the length of the waterway. The practice is installed using a dozer. Stone checks are installed with small backhoe and labor. Waterway area is fertilized and seeded for establishment of waterway vegetation. If erosion control blankets or mulching for seedbed establishment/protection are needed, use conservation practice Mulching (484). Drainage tile, if needed will be installed according to Subsurface Drain (606). Outlets, if needed will be installed using Structure for Water Control (587). If inlet Structures are needed with the drainage tile, then those will be installed using Underground Outlet (620).	Acre of Waterway	Acre	\$2,332.70	\$3,499.05	\$3,499.05	\$4,198.86

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
412-7	<35 foot top width, crop season construction	Scenario Description: Typical practice is 1 acre, 30' top width, 8:1 side slopes, 1.25' depth, 55% excavation. A grass waterway that is a shaped or graded channel and is established with suitable vegetation to carry surface water at a non-erosive velocity to a stable outlet. This practice addresses Concentrated Flow Erosion (Classic Gully & Ephemeral Erosion) and Excessive Sediment in surface waters. Waterway area measured from top of bank to top of bank. Seeding area is 20% greater than waterway area to account for disturbed areas. Costs include excavation and associated work to construct the overall shape and grade of the waterway, and seeding and fertilizer to establish suitable vegetation. Foregone income reflects entire construction area to account for crop loss while constructing during the growing season. After Practice Description: Installed grassed waterway is 1 acre, 30' top width, 8:1 side slopes, 1.25' depth. The practice is installed using a dozer. Waterway area is fertilized and seeded for establishment of waterway vegetation. If erosion control blankets or mulching for seedbed establishment/protection are n e e d e d, use conservation practice Mulching (484). Drainage tile, if needed, will be installed according to Subsurface Drain (606). Outlets, if needed will be installed using Structure for Water Control (587). If inlet Structures are needed with the drainage tile, then those will be installed using Underground Outlet (620).	Acre of Waterway	Acre	\$2,281.38	\$2,969.79	\$2,969.79	\$3,382.84

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
412-8	<35 foot top width with checks, crop season construction	Scenario Description: Typical practice is 1 acre, 30' top width, 8:1 side slopes, 1.5' depth, and half excavation. A grass waterway that is a shaped or graded channel and is established with suitable vegetation to carry surface water at a non-erosive velocity to a stable outlet. Stone checks are installed every 100 feet along the length of the waterway perpendicular to water flow and are 2/3 the waterway top width to reduce maintenance and provide temporary protection until vegetation is established. This practice addresses Concentrated Flow Erosion (Classic Gully & Ephemeral Erosion) and Excessive Sediment in surface waters. Waterway area measured from top of bank to top of bank. Seeding area is 20% greater than waterway area to account for disturbed areas. Costs include excavation and associated work to construct the overall shape and grade of the waterway, and seeding and fertilizer to establish suitable vegetation. Foregone income r e f l e c t s entire construction area to account for crop loss while constructing during the growing season. After Practice Description: Installed grassed waterway is 1 acre, 30' top width, 8:1 side slopes, 1.5' depth. Checks are installed every 100 feet along the length of the waterway. The practice is installed using a dozer. Stone checks are installed with small backhoe and labor. Waterway area is fertilized and seeded for establishment of waterway vegetation. If erosion control blankets or mulching for seedbed establishment/protection are n e e d e d, use conservation practice Mulching (484). Drainage tile, if needed, will be installed according to Subsurface Drain (606). Outlets, if needed will be installed using Structure for Water Control (587). If inlet Structures are needed with the drainage tile, then those will be installed using Underground Outlet (620).	Acre of Waterway	Acre	\$2,760.61	\$3,688.64	\$3,688.64	\$4,245.46

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
412-9	35-55 foot top width, crop season construction	Scenario Description: Typical practice is 1 acre, 45' top width, 10:1 side slopes, 1.5' depth, 50% excavation. A grass waterway that is a shaped or graded channel and is established with suitable vegetation to carry surface water at a non-erosive velocity to a stable outlet. This practice addresses Concentrated Flow Erosion (Classic Gully & Ephemeral Erosion) and Excessive Sediment in surface waters. Waterway area measured from top of bank to top of bank. Seeding area is 20% greater than waterway area to account for disturbed areas. Costs include excavation and associated work to construct the overall shape and grade of the waterway, and seeding and fertilizer to establish suitable vegetation. Foregone income reflects entire construction area to account for crop loss while constructing during the growing season. After Practice Description: Installed grassed waterway is 1 acre, 45' top width, 10:1 side slopes, 1.5' depth. The practice is installed using a dozer. Waterway area is fertilized and seeded for establishment of waterway vegetation. If erosion control blankets or mulching for seedbed establishment/protection are n e e d e d , use conservation practice Mulching (484). Drainage tile, if needed, will be installed according to Subsurface Drain (606). Outlets, if needed will be installed using Structure for Water Control (587). If inlet Structures are needed with the drainage tile, then those will be installed using Underground Outlet (620).	Acre of Waterway	Acre	\$2,372.47	\$3,106.43	\$3,106.43	\$3,546.80

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
412-10	35-55 foot top width with checks, crop season construction	Scenario Description: Typical practice is 1 acre, 45' top width, 10:1 side slopes, 1.5' depth, and half excavation. A grass waterway that is a shaped or graded channel and is established with suitable vegetation to carry surface water at a non-erosive velocity to a stable outlet. Stone checks are installed every 100 feet along the length of the waterway perpendicular to water flow and are 2/3 the waterway top width to reduce maintenance and provide temporary protection until vegetation is established. This practice addresses Concentrated Flow Erosion (Classic Gully & Ephemeral Erosion) and Excessive Sediment in surface waters. Waterway area measured from top of bank to top of bank. Seeding area is 20% greater than waterway area to account for disturbed areas. Costs include excavation and associated work to construct the overall shape and grade of the waterway, and seeding and fertilizer to establish suitable vegetation. Foregone income reflects entire construction area to account for crop loss while constructing during the growing season. After Practice Description: Installed grassed waterway is 1 acre, 45' top width, 10:1 side slopes, 1.5' depth. Checks are installed every 100 feet along the length of the waterway. The practice is installed using a dozer. Stone checks are installed with small backhoe and labor. Waterway area is fertilized and seeded for establishment of waterway vegetation. If erosion control blankets or mulching for seedbed establishment/protection are needed, use conservation practice Mulching (484). Drainage tile, if needed will be installed using Structure for Water Control (587). If inlet Structures are needed with the drainage tile, then those will be installed using Underground Outlet (620).	Acre of Waterway	Acre	\$2,913.88	\$3,918.55	\$3,918.55	\$4,521.34

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
412-11	>55 foot top width, crop season construction	Scenario Description: Typical practice is 1 acre, 60' top width, 10:1 side slopes, 2.0' depth, 50% excavation. A grass waterway that is a shaped or graded channel and is established with suitable vegetation to carry surface water at a non-erosive velocity to a stable outlet. This practice addresses Concentrated Flow Erosion (Classic Gully & Ephemeral Erosion) and Excessive Sediment in surface waters. Waterway area measured from top of bank to top of bank. Seeding area is 20% greater than waterway area to account for disturbed areas. Costs include excavation and associated work to construct the overall shape and grade of the waterway, and seeding and fertilizer to establish suitable vegetation. After Practice Description: Installed grassed waterway is 1 acre, 60' top width, 10:1 side slopes, 2.0' depth. The practice is installed using a dozer. Waterway area is fertilized and seeded for establishment of waterway vegetation. If erosion control blankets or mulching for seedbed establishment/protection are n e e d e d, use conservation practice Mulching (484). Drainage tile, if needed, will be installed according to Subsurface Drain (606). Outlets, if needed will be installed using Structure for Water Control (587). If inlet Structures are needed with the drainage tile, then those will be installed using Underground Outlet (620).	Acre of Waterway	Acre	\$2,726.27	\$3,637.13	\$3,637.13	\$4,183.64

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
412-12	>55 foot top width with checks, crop season construction	Scenario Description: Typical practice is 1 acre, 60' top width, 10:1 side slopes, 2.0' depth, half excavation. A grass waterway that is a shaped or graded channel and is established with suitable vegetation to carry surface water at a non-erosive velocity to a stable outlet. Stone checks are installed every 100 feet along the length of the waterway perpendicular to water flow and are 2/3 the waterway top width to reduce maintenance and provide temporary protection until vegetation is established. This practice addresses Concentrated Flow Erosion (Classic Gully & Ephemeral Erosion) and Excessive Sediment in surface waters. Waterway area measured from top of bank to top of bank. Seeding area is 20% greater than waterway area to account for disturbed areas. Costs include excavation and associated work to construct the overall shape and grade of the waterway, and seeding and fertilizer to establish suitable vegetation. After Practice Description: Installed grassed waterway is 1 acre, 60' top width, 10:1 side slopes, 2.0' depth. Checks are installed every 100 feet along the length of the waterway. The practice is installed using a dozer. Stone checks are installed with small backhoe and labor. Waterway area is fertilized and seeded for establishment of waterway vegetation. If erosion control blankets or mulching for seedbed establishment/protection are needed, use conservation practice Mulching (484). Drainage tile, if needed, will be installed according to Subsurface Drain (606). Outlets, if needed will be installed using Structure for Water Control (587). If inlet Structures are needed with the drainage tile, then those will be installed using Underground Outlet (620).	Acre of Waterway	Acre	\$3,237.26	\$4,403.61	\$4,403.61	\$5,103.42

GRAZING MANAGEMENT PLAN - WRITTEN Practice Code 110

PRS Unit of Measurement: Number

Definition: A grazing management plan is a site specific conservation plan developed for a client, which addresses one or more resource concerns on land where grazing related activities or practices will be planned and applied.

The Grazing Management Plan will:

- A. Meet NRCS quality criteria for soil erosion control, water quality, fish and wildlife, rangeland/pasture/grazed woodland health and productivity, and other identified resource concerns.
- B. Will be developed following the principle provided in Chapter 11 of the National Range and Pasture Handbook.
- C. Comply with federal, state, tribal, and local laws, regulations, and permit requirements.
- D. Meet the client's objectives.

Purpose: Conservation plan to address the resource needs for the "Grazing Management Plan". The record of decisions shall include the planned practice, schedule for implementation, and site specific specifications to apply the conservation practice. The site specific specifications can be on an NRCS Job Sheet available for the conservation practice or in a narrative form for the non-engineering type practices. Planned engineering type practices shall include the conservation practice, schedule of implementation, and identified on the plan map.

Applicability: On lands in lowa that will benefit from the development and implementation of a Grazing Management Plan.

Limitations:

Maintenance: Practice must be maintained for a lifespan of 1 year.

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
110-28	Grazing Management Plan Less Than 100 Acres	Scenario Description: Small agricultural operation with less than 100 acres grazed land. Natural Resource Concern: Soil erosion, water quality, fish and wildlife, plant condition, and all other appropriate resource concerns. After Practice Description: After EQIP contract approval, participant has obtained services from a certified TSP for develop of the "Grazing Management" conservation activity plan. The CAP criteria requires the plan to meet quality criteria for applicable resource concerns and provides for opportunities to implement essential conservation practices: Brush Management, Fencing, Firebreak, Forage Harvest Management, Grazing Land Mechanical Treatment, Herbaceous Weed Control, Nutrient Management, Forage and Biomass Planting, Prescribed Grazing, Range Planting, Access Control, and Watering Facilities. As addressed in the CAP criteria, the plan may include recommendations for associated conservation practices which address other related resource concerns. CAP m e e t s the basic quality criteria for the 110 plan as cited in the NRCS Field Office Technical Guide.	Number	Number	\$932.93	\$1,119.52	N/A	N/A
110-29	Grazing Management Plan 100 to Less Than 1500 Acres	Scenario Description: Small agricultural operation with less than 1500 acres grazed land. Natural Resource Concern: Soil erosion, water quality, fish and wildlife, plant condition, and all other appropriate resource concerns. After Practice Description: After EQIP contract approval, participant has obtained services from a certified TSP for develop of the "Grazing Management" conservation activity plan. The CAP criteria requires the plan to meet quality criteria for applicable resource concerns and provides for opportunities to implement essential conservation practices: Brush Management, Fencing, Firebreak, Forage Harvest Management, Grazing Land Mechanical Treatment, Herbaceous Weed Control, Nutrient Management, Forage and Biomass Planting, Prescribed Grazing, Range Planting, Access Control, and Watering Facilities. As addressed in the CAP criteria, the plan may include recommendations for associated conservation practices which address other related resource concerns. CAP meets the basic quality criteria for the 110 plan as cited in the NRCS Field Office Technical Guide.	Number	Number	\$2,448.94	\$2,938.73	N/A	N/A

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
110-30	Grazing Management Plan 1500 - 5000 Acres	Scenario Description: Small agricultural operation with 1500 to 5000 acres grazed land. Natural Resource Concern: Soil erosion, water quality, fish and wildlife, plant condition, and all other appropriate resource concerns. After Practice Description: After EQIP contract approval, participant has obtained services from a certified TSP for develop of the "Grazing Management" conservation activity plan. The CAP criteria requires the plan to meet quality criteria for applicable resource concerns and provides for opportunities to implement essential conservation practices: Brush Management, Fencing, Firebreak, Forage Harvest Management, Grazing Land Mechanical Treatment, Herbaceous Weed Control, Nutrient Management, Forage and Biomass Planting, Prescribed Grazing, Range Planting, Access Control, and Watering Facilities. As addressed in the CAP criteria, the plan may include recommendations for associated conservation practices which address other related resource concerns. CAP m e e t s the basic quality criteria for the 110 plan as cited in the NRCS Field Office Technical Guide.	Number	Number	\$4,081.57	\$4,897.88	N/A	N/A
110-31	Grazing Management Plan Greater Than 5000 Acres	Scenario Description: Small agricultural operation with more than 5000 acres grazed land. Natural Resource Concern: Soil erosion, water quality, fish and wildlife, plant condition, and all other appropriate resource concerns. After Practice Description: After EQIP contract approval, participant has obtained services from a certified TSP for develop of the "Grazing Management" conservation activity plan. The CAP criteria requires the plan to meet quality criteria for applicable resource concerns and provides for opportunities to implement essential conservation practices: Brush Management, Fencing, Firebreak, Forage Harvest Management, Grazing Land Mechanical Treatment, Herbaceous Weed Control, Nutrient Management, Forage and Biomass Planting, Prescribed Grazing, Range Planting, Access Control, and Watering Facilities. As addressed in the CAP criteria, the plan may include recommendations for associated conservation practices which address other related resource concerns. CAP meets the basic quality criteria for the 110 plan as cited in the NRCS Field Office Technical Guide.	Number	Number	\$5,247.73	\$6,297.27	N/A	N/A

HEAVY USE AREA PROTECTION Practice Code 561

Livestock Structural Practice

PRS Unit of Measurement: Acres

Definition: The stabilization of areas frequently and intensively used by people, animals or vehicles by establishing vegetative cover, by surfacing with suitable materials, and/or by installing needed structures.

Purpose: To reduce soil erosion; to improve livestock health, air quality, aesthetics and water quality and quantity.

Applicability: This practice applies frequently and intensively used agricultural areas requiring treatment to address one or more resource concerns.

Limitations: A Winter Feeding Station needs to be a part of a Planned Grazing System. A CNMP is required for Winter Feeding Station if the planned Animal Units will be 120 or more to address the use of the manure produced at the Winter Feeding Station.

Maintenance: Practice must be maintained for a lifespan of 10 years.

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
561-1	Concrete HUA	Scenario Description: Installation of a concrete heavy use pad to provide a stable, non-eroding surface for areas frequently used by livestock, people or vehicles. After Practice Description: The stabilization of areas frequently and intensively used by livestock by installing a concrete surface to reduce soil erosion, improve water quality, air quality, and livestock health. Typical size is 3,900 square feet. The base consists of 4' of gravel. The concrete is a reinforced slab on grade with a thickness of 5'. Payment incorporates site preparation through grading and shaping, concrete pad and gravel. Cost data is applicable to organic and conventional agricultural production systems.	Area of reinforced concrete	Square Foot	\$2.06	\$3.10	\$3.10	\$3.72
561-2	Geocell and Gravel HUA	Scenario Description: Installation of a geocell and gravel heavy use pad to provide a stable, non-eroding surface for areas frequently used by livestock, people or vehicles. After Practice Description: The stabilization of an area frequently and intensively used by people, animals or vehicles by installing a gravel surface with geocells to reduce soil erosion and improve livestock health. Typical size is 3900 square feet. 4' of gravel is placed into a 4' geocell 'matting material' and surfaced with a 3' layer of fines. Payment incorporates site preparation through grading and shaping, gravel (7' depth total with gravel and fines) and geoweb 'matting material'. An additional 8 hours of general labor is added to put the geocells in place. Cost data is applicable to organic and conventional agricultural production s y s t e m s .	Area of rock- gravel GeoCell GeoTex	Square Foot	\$1.73	\$2.60	\$2.60	\$3.12

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
561-3	Fly Ash on Geotextile	Scenario Description: The stabilization of areas around facilities that are frequently and intensively used by people, animals or vehicles by surfacing with Fly Ash on a geotextile fabric foundation to provide a stable, non-eroding surface. Installation includes all materials, equipment, and labor to install this practice. The stabilized area will address the resource concerns of soil erosion and water quality degradation. After Practice Description: The stabilized area is surfaced with approximately 630 square feet of Fly Ash on approximately 70 square yards of geotextile fabric foundation material for surfacing areas around facilities that are frequently and intensively used by people, animals or vehicles and will address soil erosion and water quality degradation. All needed roads must use Access Road (560). Any needed treatment of stream crossings must use Stream Crossing (578). Any needed vegetation of disturbed areas must use Critical Area Planting (342). Provisions to collect, store, utilize, and or treat contaminated runoff must use Sediment Basin (350), Waste Storage Facility (313), or Waste Treatment (629) as appropriate. To reduce the potential for air quality problems from particulate matter associated with heavy use areas, consider the use of Windbreak/Shelterbelt Establishment (380) or Herbaceous Wind Barriers (603).	Area of Fly Ash	Square Foot	\$0.97	\$1.46	\$1.46	\$1.75
561-4	Bituminous Concrete Pavement	Scenario Description: The stabilization of areas around facilities that are frequently and intensively used by people, animals or vehicles by surfacing with bituminous concrete pavement on aggregate gravel foundation to provide a stable, non-eroding surface. Installation includes all materials, equipment, and labor to install this practice. The stabilized area will address the resource concerns of soil erosion and water quality degradation. After Practice Description: The stabilized area is surfaced with approximately 630 square feet of bituminous concrete pavement on 8 cubic yards of aggregate gravel material for surfacing areas around facilities that are frequently and intensively used by people, animals or vehicles and will address soil erosion and water quality degradation. All needed roads must use Access Road (560). Any needed treatment of stream crossings must use Stream Crossing (578). Any needed vegetation of disturbed areas must use Critical Area Planting (342). Provisions to collect, store, utilize, and or treat contaminated runoff must use Sediment Basin (350), Waste Storage Facility (313), or Waste Treatment (629) as appropriate. To reduce the potential for air quality problems from particulate matter associated with heavy use areas, consider the use of Windbreak/Shelterbelt Establishment (380) or Herbaceous Wind Barriers (603).	Area of Bituminous Pavement	Square Foot	\$1.40	\$2.11	\$2.11	\$2.53

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
561-5	Winter Feeding station with gravel	Scenario Description: Installation of a concrete (slab on grade over gravel) pad with reinforced concrete curbing, surrounded by gravel on three sides, to provide a stable, non-eroding surface, and allow for collection of manure, for areas frequently used by livestock. After Practice Description: The stabilization of areas frequently and intensively used by pastured livestock during winter feeding. A concrete (slab on grade over gravel) pad with reinforced concrete curbing, surrounded by gravel on three sides, to provide a stable, non-eroding surface, and allow for collection of manure, will be installed to reduce soil erosion, improve water quality, air quality, and livestock health. Typical total size is 4,324 square feet. There is a 2,624 square feet of reinforced slab on grade concrete, which is 5' thick. This concrete is placed over a 3' base of gravel. The 32'x 58' feeding area has formed concrete roll curbs to allow for capturing of animal waste. The 24' x 32' stacking area has 4' reinforced concrete walls to store captured animal waste. Approximately 1,700 square feet of gravel 8' thick placed over light geotextile fabric surrounds three sides of the concrete pad. Payment incorporates site preparation through grading and shaping, concrete pad and curbing and gravel. Cost data is applicable to organic and conventional agricultural production systems.	Area of concrete and gravel	Square Foot	\$2.63	\$3.94	\$3.94	\$4.73
561-6	Winter Feeding Station	Scenario Description: Installation of a concrete (slab on grade over gravel) pad with reinforced concrete curbing to provide a stable, noneroding surface, and allow for collection of manure, for areas frequently used by livestock. After Practice Description: The stabilization of areas frequently and intensively used by pastured livestock during winter feeding. A concrete (slab on grade over gravel) pad with reinforced concrete curbing to provide a stable, noneroding surface, and allow for collection of manure, will be installed to reduce soil erosion, improve water quality, air quality, and livestock health. Typical total size is 2,624 square feet. There is a 2,624 square feet of reinforced slab on grade concrete, which is 5' thick. This concrete is placed over a 3' base of gravel. The 32'x 58' feeding area has formed concrete roll curbs to allow for capturing of animal waste. The 24' x 32' stacking area has 4' reinforced concrete walls to store captured animal waste. Payment incorporates site preparation through grading and shaping, concrete pad and curbing. Cost data is applicable to organic and conventional agricultural production systems.	Area of Concrete	Square Foot	\$4.04	\$6.05	\$6.05	\$7.26

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
561-7	Gravel with Geotextile, Thick	Scenario Description: Installation of a gravel heavy use pad to provide a stable, non-eroding surface for areas frequently used by livestock, people or vehicles. After Practice Description: The stabilization of areas frequently and intensively used by livestock by installing a gravel surface to reduce soil erosion, improve water quality, air quality, and livestock health. Typical size is 3,900 square feet. Gravel, 8' deep, is placed over light geotextile fabric and surfaced with a 3' layer of fines. Payment incorporates site preparation through grading and shaping, gravel and layer of fines and light geotextile fabric. Cost data is applicable to organic and conventional agricultural production s y s t e m s.	Area of gravel	Square Foot	\$0.71	\$1.07	\$1.07	\$1.28
561-8	Gravel without Geotextile, Thick	Scenario Description: Installation of a gravel heavy use pad to provide a stable, non-eroding surface for areas frequently used by livestock, people or vehicles. After Practice Description: The stabilization of areas frequently and intensively used by livestock by installing a gravel surface to reduce soil erosion, improve water quality, air quality, and livestock health. Typical size is 3,900 square feet. Gravel, 8' deep, is surfaced with a 3' layer of fines. Payment incorporates site preparation through grading and shaping, gravel and layer of fines. Cost data is applicable to organic and conventional agricultural production systems.	Area of gravel	Square Foot	\$0.58	\$0.88	\$0.88	\$1.05
561-9	Gravel with Geotextile, Regular Thickness	Scenario Description: Installation of a gravel heavy use pad to provide a stable, non-eroding surface for areas frequently used by livestock, people or vehicles. After Practice Description: The stabilization of areas frequently and intensively used by livestock by installing a gravel surface to reduce soil erosion, improve water quality, air quality, and livestock health. Typical size is 3,900 square feet. Gravel, 5' deep, is placed over light geotextile fabric and surfaced with a 2' layer of fines. Payment incorporates site preparation through grading and shaping, gravel and layer of fines and light geotextile fabric. Cost data is applicable to organic and conventional agricultural production s y s t e m s.	Area of gravel	Square Foot	\$0.56	\$0.83	\$0.83	\$1.00
561-10	Gravel without Geotextile, Regular Thickness	Scenario Description: Installation of a gravel heavy use pad to provide a stable, non-eroding surface for areas frequently used by livestock, people or vehicles. After Practice Description: The stabilization of areas frequently and intensively used by livestock by installing a gravel surface to reduce soil erosion, improve water quality, air quality, and livestock health. Typical size is 3,900 square feet. Gravel, 5' deep, is surfaced with a 2' layer of fines. Payment incorporates site preparation through grading and shaping, gravel and layer of fines. Cost data is applicable to organic and conventional agricultural production systems.	Area of gravel	Square Foot	\$0.43	\$0.64	\$0.64	\$0.77

HEDGEROW PLANTING Practice Code 422

Non-Livestock Vegetative Practice

PRS Unit of Measurement: FT

Definition: Establishing a living fence of shrubs or trees in, across, or around a field.

Purpose: • Delineate field boundaries.

- Serve as fences.
- Provide wildlife food and cover.
- Provide screens or improve the landscape.

Applicability: In, across, or around fields.

Limitations:

Maintenance: Practice must be maintained for a lifespan of 15 years.

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
422-1	•	Scenario Description: Three rows of bare-root trees, shrubs or a combination of trees and shrubs are planted for wildlife habitat (corridor), pollinator habitat, reduction of particulate matter, chemical drift, or odor movement, and boundary delineation and contour guidelines. This practice is typically applied on cropland. Trees and/or shrubs will be planted into previously established bunch grasses that produce erect stems greater than 3' in height and will persist over winter. This herbaceous component will be established according to the guidelines in 327 Conservation Cover. Payment includes m a t e r i a l s , labor and equipment needed to machine plant the stock and foregone income for land removed from crop production where hedgerow is installed. Site preparation is not included and must be implemented through associated practice 490 Tree/Shrub Site Preparation. Additional associated practices may include: 315 Herbaceous Weed Control, 660 Tree/Shrub Pruning, 484 Mulching After Practice Description: Inadequate habitat for fish and wildlife is addressed for needs identified in the resource assessment. Habitat patches are connected by dense hedgerow vegetation. Food resources in crop fields are made available by their proximity to hedgerow cover. Planting may include fruit and mast bearing species, improving food supply, depending on needs being addressed.	length of hedgerow	Foot	\$1.75	\$2.62	\$2.62	\$3.14

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
422-2	1 row hedgerow, container trees planting stock	Scenario Description: One row of container trees planted for wildlife habitat (corridor), pollinator habitat, reduction of particulate matter, chemical drift, or odor movement, and boundary delineation and contour guidelines. This practice is typically applied on cropland. Trees and/or shrubs will be planted into previously established bunch grasses that produce erect stems greater than 3' in height and will persist over winter. This herbaceous component will be established according to the guidelines in 327 Conservation Cover. Payment includes materials, labor and equipment needed to hand plant the stock and foregone income for land removed from crop production where hedgerow is installed. Site preparation is not included and must be implemented through associated practice 490 Tree/Shrub Site Preparation. Additional associated practices may include: 315 Herbaceous Weed Control, 660 Tree/Shrub Pruning, 484 Mulching After Practice Description: Inadequate habitat for fish and wildlife is addressed for needs identified in the resource assessment. Habitat patches are connected by dense hedgerow vegetation. Food resources in crop fields are made available by their proximity to hedgerow cover. Planting may include fruit and mast bearing species, improving food supply, depending on needs being addressed.	length of hedgerow	Foot	\$0.53	\$0.79	\$0.79	\$0.95
422-3	1 row hedgerow, container shrubs planting stock	Scenario Description: One row of container shrubs planted for wildlife habitat (corridor), pollinator habitat, reduction of particulate matter, chemical drift, or odor movement, and boundary delineation and contour guidelines. This practice is typically applied on cropland. Trees and/or shrubs will be planted into previously established bunch grasses that produce erect stems greater than 3' in height and will persist over winter. This herbaceous component will be established according to the guidelines in 327 Conservation Cover. Payment includes materials, labor and equipment needed to hand plant the stock and foregone income for land removed from crop production where hedgerow is installed. Site preparation is not included and must be implemented through associated practice 490 Tree/Shrub Site Preparation. Additional associated practices may include: 315 Herbaceous Weed Control, 660 Tree/Shrub Pruning, 484 Mulching After Practice Description: Inadequate habitat for fish and wildlife is addressed for needs identified in the resource assessment. Habitat patches are connected by dense hedgerow vegetation. Food resources in crop fields are made available by their proximity to hedgerow cover. Planting may include fruit and mast bearing species, improving food supply, depending on needs being addressed.	length of hedgerow	Foot	\$0.87	\$1.31	\$1.31	\$1.57

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
422-4	3 row hedgerow, bare-root seedling planting stock	Scenario Description: Three rows of bare-root trees, shrubs or a combination of trees and shrubs are planted for wildlife habitat (corridor), pollinator habitat, reduction of particulate matter, chemical drift, or odor movement, and boundary delineation and contour guidelines. This practice is typically applied on cropland. Trees and/or shrubs will be planted into previously established bunch grasses that produce erect stems greater than 3' in height and will persist over winter. This herbaceous component will be established according to the guidelines in 327 Conservation Cover. Payment includes m a t e r i a l s , labor and equipment needed to machine plant the stock and foregone income for land removed from crop production where hedgerow is installed. Site preparation is not included and must be implemented through associated practice 490 Tree/Shrub Site Preparation. Additional associated practices may include: 315 Herbaceous Weed Control, 660 Tree/Shrub Pruning, 484 Mulching After Practice Description: Inadequate habitat for fish and wildlife is addressed for needs identified in the resource assessment. Habitat patches are connected by dense hedgerow vegetation. Food resources in crop fields are made available by their proximity to hedgerow cover. Planting may include fruit and mast bearing species, improving food supply, depending on needs being addressed.	length of hedgerow	Foot	\$0.70	\$1.05	\$1.05	\$1.26

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
422-5	1 row hedgerow, bare-root tree seedling planting stock	Scenario Description: One row of bare-root trees planted for wildlife habitat (corridor), pollinator habitat, reduction of particulate matter, chemical drift, or odor movement, and boundary delineation and contour guidelines. This practice is typically applied on cropland. Trees and/or shrubs will be planted into previously established bunch grasses that produce erect stems greater than 3' in height and will persist over winter. This herbaceous component will be established according to the guidelines in 327 Conservation Cover. Payment includes materials, labor and equipment needed to machine plant the stock and foregone income for land removed from crop production where hedgerow is installed. Site preparation is not included and must be implemented through associated practice 490 Tree/Shrub Site Preparation. Additional associated practices may include: 315 Herbaceous Weed Control, 660 Tree/Shrub Pruning, 484 Mulching After Practice Description: Inadequate habitat for fish and wildlife is addressed for needs identified in the resource assessment. Habitat patches are connected by dense hedgerow vegetation. Food resources in crop fields are made available by their proximity to hedgerow cover. Planting may include fruit and mast bearing species, improving food supply, depending on needs being addressed.	length of hedgerow	Foot	\$0.17	\$0.26	\$0.26	\$0.31
422-6	1 row hedgerow, bare-root shrub seedling planting stock	Scenario Description: One row of bare-root shrubs planted for wildlife habitat (corridor), pollinator habitat, reduction of particulate matter, chemical drift, or odor movement, and boundary delineation and contour guidelines. This practice is typically applied on cropland. Trees and/or shrubs will be planted into previously established bunch grasses that produce erect stems greater than 3' in height and will persist over winter. This herbaceous component will be established according to the guidelines in 327 Conservation Cover. Payment includes materials, labor and equipment needed to machine plant the stock and foregone income for land removed from crop production where hedgerow is installed. Site preparation is not included and must be implemented through associated practice 490 Tree/Shrub Site Preparation. Additional associated practices may include: 315 Herbaceous Weed Control, 660 Tree/Shrub Pruning, 484 Mulching After Practice Description: Inadequate habitat for fish and wildlife is addressed for needs identified in the resource assessment. Habitat patches are connected by dense hedgerow vegetation. Food resources in crop fields are made available by their proximity to hedgerow cover. Planting may include fruit and mast bearing species, improving food supply, depending on needs being addressed.	length of hedgerow	Foot	\$0.24	\$0.36	\$0.36	\$0.43

HERBACEOUS WEED CONTROL Practice Code 315

Non-Livestock Vegetative Practice

PRS Unit of Measurement: Acre

Definition: The removal or control of herbaceous weeds including invasive, noxious and prohibited plants.

Purpose: To enhance accessibility, quantity, and quality of forage and/or browse, restore or release native or create desired plant communities and wildlife habitats consistent with the ecological site, protect soils and control erosion, and reduce fine-fuels fire hazard and improve air quality.

Applicability: On all lands except active cropland where removal, reduction, or manipulation of herbaceous vegetation is desired. This practice does not apply to removal of herbaceous vegetation by prescribed fire (use Prescribed Burning - 338) or removal of herbaceous vegetation to facilitate a land use change (use Land Clearing - 460).

Limitations: Payment is based on the treated acres.

Maintenance: Practice must be maintained for a lifespan of 5 years.

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
315-1	Light Spot Treatment	Scenario Description: Light spot treatment herbaceous weed control is used on non-cropland acres (including forestland, pasture, and idle areas) where less than 10% canopy coverage across the treatment area is in undesirable herbaceous cover, or a specific area spot treatment is needed such as creating open ground under a wildlife habitat structure. Payment is based on impacted acres only. The practice entails the treatment of weeds using small equipment (such a s an ATV with sprayer) to apply chemicals, or using hand tools (such as axes, shovels, hoes, nippers) to remove or cut off herbaceous plants at or below the root collar. Cost represents typical situations for conventional, organic, and transitioning to organic producers. For organic land, chemical applications must be OMRI approved chemicals. After Practice Description: Herbaceous weeds are removed to achieve the desirable plant community based on species composition, structure, density, and canopy cover or height. Ecological site condition is progressing in an upward trend, hydrology and plant health and vigor is returning to near normal levels, and wildlife habitat is improved.	Acres Treated	Acre	\$14.58	\$21.88	\$21.88	\$26.25
315-2	Medium Spot Treatments	Scenario Description: Medium spot treatment herbaceous species management is used on non-cropland acres (including forestland, pasture, and idle areas) where greater than 10% canopy coverage across the treatment area is in undesirable herbaceous cover, and spot treatment is preferred over blanket treatment to maintain the persistence of desirable broadleaf and legumes within the treatment area. Payment is based on impacted acres only. The practice entails the treatment of weeds using small equipment (such as an ATV with sprayer) to apply chemicals, or using applicable mechanical methods such as hand tools (such as axes, shovels, hoes, nippers) to remove or cut off herbaceous plants at or below the root collar, and/or spot mowing. Cost represents typical situations for conventional, organic, and transitioning to organic producers. For organic land, chemical applications must be OMRI approved chemicals. After Practice Description: Herbaceous weeds are removed to achieve the desirable plant community based on species composition, structure, density, and canopy cover or height. Ecological site condition is progressing in an upward trend, hydrology and plant health and vigor is returning to near normal levels, and wildlife habitat is improved.	Acres Treated	Acre	\$42.18	\$63.26	\$63.26	\$75.92

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
315-3	Blanket Treatment One Pass	Scenario Description: Blanket treatment one pass herbaceous weed control is used on non-cropland acres (including forestland, pasture, and idle areas) where a blanket treatment approach is acceptable and the non-desirable weeds can be controlled with one treatment. Payment is based on impacted acres only. The practice entails the treatment of weeds using a blanket chemical application or mechan ical brush hog operation. Cost represents typical situations for conventional, organic, and transitioning to organic producers. For organic land, chemical applications must be OMRI approved chemicals. After Practice Description: Herbaceous weeds are removed to achieve the desirable plant community based on species composition, structure, density, and canopy cover or height. Ecological site condition is progressing in an upward trend, hydrology and plant health and vigor is returning to near normal levels, and wildlife habitat is improved.	Acres Treated	Acre	\$31.24	\$46.86	\$46.86	\$56.23
315-4	Blanket Treatment Multi Pass	Scenario Description: Blanket treatment multi pass herbaceous weed control is used on non-cropland acres (including forestland, pasture, and idle areas) where a blanket treatment approach is acceptable and multiple passes or approaches are needed to control the non-desirable weeds. Payment is based on impacted acres only. The practice entails the treatment of weeds using multiple blanket chemical applications or multiple mechanical brush hog operations, or a combination of chemical and mechanical. Cost represents typical situations for conventional, organic, and transitioning to organic producers. For organic land, chemical applications must be OMRI approved chemicals. After Practice Description: Herbaceous weeds are removed to achieve the desirable plant community based on species composition, structure, density, and canopy cover or height. Ecological site condition is progressing in an upward trend, hydrology and plant health and vigor is returning to near normal levels, and wildlife habitat is improved.	Acres Treated	Acre	\$67.66	\$101.49	\$101.49	\$121.79

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
315-5	Tree & Shrub Post- planting Weed Control	Scenario Description: Treatment takes place in areas where newly planted trees and/or shrubs are experiencing encroachment by grass and weed competition. Chemical treatment is needed to ensure the successful establishment of desirable woody species through the application of appropriate herbicides via directional spray to reduce residual effects on planted trees and/or shrubs. Mowing between rows during the growing season is needed to control residual weed growth. Areas to be treated tend to be small and isolated, resulting in high mobilization costs. Due to desirable species mixed with undesirable, caution is needed during treatment. After Practice Description: Desirable vegetation is released from competing vegetation. All undesirable vegetation is removed within 2 feet of desired plants.	Acres treated	Acre	\$67.14	\$100.71	\$100.71	\$120.85
315-6	Aquatic Areas Weed Control	Scenario Description: Control of aquatic weed infestations, such as phragmites, reeds canary grass, or cattails, in wetland areas using multiple chemical applications. Due to moist soil conditions, herbicide is applied with an ATV and spot sprayer to avoid excessive disturbance to the site. Cost represents typical situations for conventional, organic, and transitioning to organic producers. Payment is based on impacted acres only. After Practice Description: Herbaceous weeds are removed to achieve the desirable plant community based on species composition, structure, density, and canopy cover or height. Ecological site condition is progressing in an upward trend, hydrology and plant health and vigor is returning to near normal levels, and wildlife habitat is improved.	Acres Treated	Acre	\$146.08	\$219.12	\$219.12	\$262.94

HIGH TUNNEL SYSTEM Practice Code 325

Non-Livestock Structural Practice

PRS Unit of Measurement: SQ. FT.

Definition: An enclosed polyethylene, polycarbonate, plastic, or fabric covered structure that is used to cover and protect crops from sun, wind, excessive rainfall, or cold, to extend the growing season in an environmentally safe manner.

Purpose: Improve plant health and vigor.

Applicability: This practice applies to existing cultivated cropland where extension of the growing season is needed due to climate conditions and crops can be grown in the natural soil profile.

Limitations: The following are not eligible for financial assistance but are allowed at the participant's expense: electricity, heating, or ventilation (other than lifting the sides). When the sides are lifted for ventilation, a mesh screen may be used to keep insects out. The participant must purchase a manufacturer's kit and must follow the manufacturer's specifications. Practice is eligible for use with annual and perennial crops. Structure must be at least 6 feet tall and no more than 30 feet wide. The participant is allowed to leave the tunnel cover in place through the winter. It is the participant's responsibility to replace or repair the cover if it is damaged. Plants must be grown in natural ground. Raised beds with structural sides are NOT permitted. Mounding natural soil without structural sides is allowed. If surface water drainage issues causing erosion are present or created, practices must be in sit alled to treat this resource concern. Practice is only eligible on existing cropland. The Seasonal High Tunnel practice is capped (lifetime) at \$8,220/Participant and \$9,870/Historically Underserved Participant.

Maintenance: Practice must be maintained for a lifespan of 5 years.

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
325-19	Seasonal High Tunnel	Scenario Description: A manufactured frame of tubular steel covered with 4-year 6mil plastic. Costs are based on purchase of manufactured kit and landowner installing the structure. Structure must be installed to manufacturer's specifications. After Practice Description: A gothic style seasonal high tunnel (30 x 72 ft.) has been installed and the growing season has been extended for 1-4 months on average. The gothic style is encouraged to hedge against possible failure under s n o w loads. Plant health and vigor is improved and there is decreased energy use by producing food locally.	Area of Tunnel	Square Foot	\$2.56	\$3.85	\$3.85	\$4.62

INTEGRATED PEST MANAGEMENT Practice 595

Non-Livestock Management Practice

PRS Unit of Measurement: Acre

Definition: The management of pests on farmland.

Purpose: To enhance the quantity and quality of commodity crops by minimizing the negative impacts of pests on soil, water, air, plant and animal resources.

Applicability: Where agricultural commodities or livestock are produced.

Limitations: Pest management is capped (lifetime) at \$10,000/Participant and \$15,000/Historically Underserved Participant.

Maintenance: Practice must be maintained for a lifespan of 1 year.

Payment Schedule: The rate is provided for developing and implementing the components of a 595 Pest Management Plan which could include scouting for insect pests, diseases, and weeds; record keeping, training, installation of weather monitoring, equipment calibration training and spray card coverage pattern assessment -- This Payment is available for up to 3 consecutive years the activities are applied.

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
595-1	Basic IPM Field 1RC	Scenario Description: A basic IPM plan with LGU-approved pest monitoring techniques and pest thresholds (where available) is applied in Large Scale Field/Forage Crops to address one identified resource concern (e.g. Water Quality - Impacts to Human Drinking Water) with either risk prevention (e.g. planned pesticides have no risk to the identified resource concern) or risk mitigation (e.g. planned pesticides have appropriate mitigation planned from Agronomy Technical Note 5 for "Intermediate", "High" or "Extra High" WIN-PST Final Hazard Ratings). After Practice Description: After implementing the 595 practice, a basic IPM system has been implemented with Land Grant University approved pest monitoring techniques and pest thresholds (where available) to help meet the minimum criteria for one identified resource concern (e.g. Water Quality - Impacts to Human Drinking Water) with either risk prevention (e.g. planned pesticides have no risk to the identified resource concern) or risk mitigation (e.g. planned pesticides have appropriate mitigation planned from Agronomy Technical Note 5 for "Intermediate", "High" or "Extra High" WIN-PST Final Hazard Ratings).	Acres of Implementation	Acre	\$6.02	\$9.03	\$9.03	\$10.84
595-2	Basic IPM Field >1RC	Scenario Description: A basic IPM plan with LGU-approved pest monitoring techniques and pest thresholds (where available) is applied in Large Scale Field/Forage Crops to address multiple identified resource concerns (e.g. Water Quality – Impacts to Human Drinking Water and Pollinator Impacts) with either risk prevention (e.g. planned pesticides have no risks to the identified resource concerns) or risk mitigation (e.g. planned pesticides have appropriate mitigation planned from Agronomy Technical Note 5 for "Intermediate", "High" or "Extra High" WIN-PST Final Hazard Ratings). After Practice Description: After implementing the 595 practice, a basic IPM system has been implemented with Land Grant University approved pest monitoring techniques and pest thresholds (where available) to help meet the minimum criteria for two or more identified resource concerns (e.g. Water Quality - Impacts to Human Drinking Water and Impacts on Pollinators) with either risk prevention (e.g. planned pesticides have no risk to the identified resource concerns) or risk mitigation (e.g. planned pesticides have appropriate mitigation planned from Agronomy Technical Note 5 for "Intermediate", "High" or "Extra High" WIN-PST Final Hazard Ratings).	Acres of Implementation	Acre	\$9.03	\$13.55	\$13.55	\$16.25

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
595-3	Advanced IPM Field All RCs	Scenario Description: A comprehensive IPM plan with LGU-approved pest prevention, avoidance and monitoring techniques and pest thresholds (where available) is applied in Large Scale Field/Forage Crops to address all identified resource concerns with either risk prevention (e.g. planned pesticides have no risk to the identified resource concerns) or risk mitigation (e.g. planned pesticides have appropriate mitigation planned from Agronomy Technical Note 5 for "Intermediate", "High" or "Extra High" WIN-PST Final Hazard Ratings). After Practice Description: After implementing the 595 practice, a comprehensive IPM plan with Land Grant University approved pest prevention, avoidance and monitoring techniques and pest thresholds (where available) is applied to help meet the minimum criteria for all identified resource concerns with either risk prevention (e.g. planned pesticides have no risk to the identified resource concerns) or risk mitigation (e.g. planned pesticides have appropriate mitigation planned from Agronomy Technical Note 5 for "Intermediate", "High" or "Extra High" WIN-PST Final Hazard Ratings).	Acres of Implementation	Acre	\$17.26	\$25.90	\$25.90	\$31.08
595-4	Basic IPM Fruit/Veg 1RC	Scenario Description: A basic IPM plan with LGU-approved pest monitoring techniques and pest thresholds (where available) is applied in Large Scale Small Fruit/Vegetable Crops to address one identified resource concern (e.g. Water Quality - Impacts to Human Drinking Water) with either risk prevention (e.g. planned pesticides no risk to the identified resource concern) or risk mitigation (e.g. planned pesticides have appropriate mitigation planned from Agronomy Technical Note 5 for "Intermediate", "High" or "Extra High" WIN-PST Final Hazard Ratings). After Practice Description: After implementing the 595 practice, a basic IPM system has been implemented with Land Grant University approved pest monitoring techniques and pest thresholds (where available) to help meet the minimum criteria for at least one identified resource concern (e.g. Water Quality - Impacts to Human Drinking Water) with either risk prevention (e.g. planned pesticides have no risk to the identified resource concern) or risk mitigation (e.g. planned pesticides have appropriate mitigation planned from Agronomy Technical Note 5 for "Intermediate", "High" or "Extra High" WIN-PST Final Hazard Ratings).	Acres of Implementation	Acre	\$40.02	\$60.03	\$60.03	\$72.04

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
595-5	Basic IPM Fruit/Veg >1RC	Scenario Description: A basic IPM plan with LGU-approved pest monitoring techniques and pest thresholds (where available) is applied in Large Scale Small Fruit/Vegetable Crops to address multiple identified resource concerns (e.g. Water Quality - Impacts to Human Drinking Water and Pollinator Impacts) with either risk prevention (e.g. planned pesticides have no risk to identified resource concerns) or risk mitigation (e.g. planned pesticides have appropriate mitigation planned from Agronomy Technical Note 5 for "Intermediate", "High" or "Extra High" WIN-PST Final Hazard Ratings). After Practice Description: After implementing the 595 practice, a basic IPM system has been implemented with Land Grant University approved pest monitoring techniques and pest thresholds (where available) to help meet the minimum criteria for two or more identified resource concerns (e.g. Water Quality - Impacts to Human Drinking Water and Impacts on Pollinators) with either risk prevention (e.g. planned pesticides have no risk to the identified resource concerns) or risk mitigation (e.g. planned pesticides have appropriate mitigation planned from Agronomy Technical Note 5 for "Intermediate", "High" or "Extra High" WIN-PST Final Hazard Ratings).	Acres of Implementation	Acre	\$88.18	\$132.27	\$132.27	\$158.73
595-6	Advanced IPM Fruit/Veg All RCs	Scenario Description: A comprehensive IPM plan with LGU-approved pest prevention, avoidance and monitoring techniques and pest thresholds (where available) is applied in Large Scale Small Fruit/Vegetable Crops to address all identified resource concerns with either risk prevention (e.g. planned pesticides have no risk to the identified resource concerns) or risk mitigation (e.g. planned pesticides have appropriate mitigation planned from Technical Note 5 for "Intermediate", "High" or "Extra High" WIN-PST Final Hazard Ratings). After Practice Description: After implementing the 595 practice, a comprehensive IPM plan with Land Grant University approved pest prevention, avoidance and monitoring techniques and pest thresholds (where available) is applied to help meet the minimum criteria for all identified resource concerns with either risk prevention (e.g. planned pesticides have no risk to the identified resource concerns) or risk mitigation (e.g. planned pesticides have appropriate mitigation planned from Agronomy Technical Note 5 for "Intermediate", "High" or "Extra High" WIN-PST Final Hazard Ratings).	Acres of Implementation	Acre	\$121.83	\$182.74	\$182.74	\$219.29

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
595-7	Basic IPM Orchard 1RC	Scenario Description: A basic IPM plan with LGU-approved pest monitoring techniques and pest thresholds (where available) is applied in Large Scale Orchard/Specialty Crops to address one identified resource concern (e.g. Water Quality - Impacts to Human Drinking Water) with either risk prevention (e.g. planned pesticides have no risk to the identified resource concern) or risk mitigation (e.g. planned pesticides have appropriate mitigation planned from Agronomy Technical Note 5 for "Intermediate", "High" or "Extra High" WIN-PST Final Hazard Ratings). After Practice Description: After implementing the 595 practice, a basic IPM system has been implemented with Land Grant University approved pest monitoring techniques and pest thresholds (where available) to help meet the minimum criteria for at least one identified resource concern (e.g. Water Quality - Impacts to Human Drinking Water) with either risk prevention (e.g. planned pesticides have no risk to the identified resource concern) or risk mitigation (e.g. planned pesticides have appropriate mitigation planned from Agronomy Technical Note 5 for "Intermediate", "High" or "Extra High" WIN-PST Final Hazard Ratings).	Acres of Implementation	Acre	\$98.63	\$147.95	\$147.95	\$177.53
595-8	Basic IPM Orchard >1RC	Scenario Description: A basic IPM plan with LGU-approved pest monitoring techniques and pest thresholds (where available) is applied in Large Scale Orchard/Specialty Crops to address multiple identified resource concerns (e.g. Water Quality - Impacts to Human Drinking Water and Pollinator Impacts) with either risk prevention (e.g. planned pesticides have no risks to identified resource concerns) or risk mitigation (e.g. planned pesticides have appropriate mitigation planned from Agronomy Technical Note 5 for "Intermediate", "High" or "Extra High" WIN-PST Final Hazard Ratings). After Practice Description: After implementing the 595 practice, a basic IPM system has been implemented with Land Grant University approved pest monitoring techniques and pest thresholds (where available) to help meet the minimum criteria for two or more identified resource concerns (e.g. Water Quality - Impacts to Human Drinking Water and Impacts on Pollinators) with either risk prevention (e.g. planned pesticides have no risk to the identified resource concerns) or risk mitigation (e.g. planned pesticides have appropriate mitigation planned from Agronomy Technical Note 5 for "Intermediate", "High" or "Extra High" WIN-PST Final Hazard Ratings).	Acres of Implementation	Acre	\$115.45	\$173.18	\$173.18	\$207.81

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
595-9	Advanced IPM Orchard All RCs	Scenario Description: A comprehensive IPM plan with LGU-approved pest prevention, avoidance and monitoring techniques and pest thresholds (where available) is applied in Large Scale Orchard/Specialty Crops to address all identified resource concerns with either risk prevention (e.g. planned pesticides have no risk to identified resource concerns) or risk mitigation (e.g. planned pesticides have appropriate mitigation planned from Agronomy Technical Note 5 for "Intermediate", "High" or "Extra High" WIN-PST Final Hazard Ratings). After Practice Description: After implementing the 595 practice, a comprehensive IPM plan with Land Grant University approved pest prevention, avoidance and monitoring techniques and pest thresholds (where available) is applied to help meet the minimum criteria for all identified resource concerns with either risk prevention (e.g. planned pesticides have no risk to the identified resource concerns) or risk mitigation (e.g. planned pesticides have appropriate mitigation planned from Agronomy Technical Note 5 for "Intermediate", "High" or "Extra High" WIN-PST Final Hazard Ratings).	Acres of Implementation	Acre	\$134.58	\$201.87	\$201.87	\$242.24

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
595-10	IPM S-Farm 1RC	Scenario Description: A basic IPM plan with LGU-approved pest monitoring techniques and pest thresholds (where available) is applied in Small Farm/Diversified Systems (e.g. CSA, organic, etc.) to address one identified resource concern (e.g. Water Quality - Impacts to Human Drinking Water) with either risk prevention (e.g. planned pesticides have no risk to the identified resource concern) or risk mitigation (e.g. planned pesticides have appropriate mitigation planned from Agronomy Technical Note 5 for "Intermediate", "High" or "Extra High" WIN-PST Final Hazard Ratings). This scenario attempts to capture the higher cost/acre of planning and implementing IPM techniques on smaller acreages with very diverse cropping systems. This scenario describes implementation of 595 on an operation generally less than 100 acres and accounts for the economy of scale on a smaller operation with the unit of "each." After Practice Description: After implementing the 595 practice, a basic IPM system has been implemented with Land Grant University approved pest monitoring techniques and pest thresholds (where available) to help meet the minimum criteria for at least one identified resource concern resource concern (e.g. Water Quality - Impacts to Human Drinking Water) with either risk prevention (e.g. planned pesticides have no risk to the identified resource concern) or risk mitigation (e.g. planned pesticides have appropriate mitigation planned from Agronomy Technical Note 5 for "Intermediate", "High" or "Extra High" WIN-PST Final Hazard Ratings).	Total Farm	Each	\$336.45	\$504.67	\$504.67	\$605.61

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
595-11	IPM S-Farm >1RC	Scenario Description: A basic IPM plan with LGU-approved pest monitoring techniques and pest thresholds (where available) is applied in Small Farm/ Diversified Systems (e.g. CSA, organic, etc.) to address multiple identified resource concerns (e.g. Water Quality - Impacts to Human Drinking Water and Pollinator Impacts) with either risk prevention (e.g. planned pesticides have no risk to the identified resource concerns) or risk mitigation (e.g. planned pesticides have appropriate mitigation planned from Agronomy Technical Note 5 for "Intermediate", "High" or "Extra High" WIN-PST Final Hazard Ratings). This scenario attempts to capture the higher cost/acre of planning and implementing IPM techniques on smaller acreages with very diverse cropping systems. This scenario describes implementation of 595 on an operation generally less than 100 acres and accounts for the economy of scale on a smaller operation with the unit of "each." After Practice Description: After implementing the 595 practice, a basic IPM system has been implemented with Land Grant University approved pest monitoring techniques and pest thresholds (where available) to help meet the minimum criteria for two or more identified resource concerns (e.g. Water Quality - Impacts to Human Drinking Water and Impacts on Pollinators) with either risk prevention (e.g. planned pesticides have no risk to the identified resource concerns) or risk mitigation (e.g. planned pesticides have appropriate mitigation planned from Agronomy Technical Note 5 for "Intermediate", "High" or "Extra High" WIN-PST Final Hazard Ratings).	Total Farm	Each	\$568.43	\$852.65	\$852.65	\$1,023.17

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
595-12		Scenario Description: A comprehensive IPM plan with LGU-approved pest prevention, avoidance and monitoring techniques and pest thresholds (where available) is applied in Small Farm/Diversified Systems (e.g. CSA, Organic, etc.) to address all identified resource concerns with either risk prevention (e.g. planned pesticides have no risk to the identified resource concerns) or risk mitigation (e.g. planned pesticides have appropriate mitigation planned from Agronomy Technical Note 5 for "Intermediate", "High" or "Extra High" WIN-PST Final Hazard Ratings. This scenario attempts to capture the higher cost/acre of planning and implementing IPM techniques on smaller acreages with very diverse cropping systems. This scenario describes implementation of 595 on an operation generally less than 100 acres and accounts for the economy of scale on a smaller operation with the unit of "each." After Practice Description: After implementing the 595 practice, a comprehensive IPM plan with Land Grant University approved pest prevention, avoidance and monitoring techniques and pest thresholds (where available) is applied to help meet the minimum criteria for all identified resource concerns with either risk prevention (e.g. planned pesticides have no risk to the identified resource concerns) or risk mitigation (e.g. planned pesticides have appropriate mitigation planned from Agronomy Technical Note 5 for "Intermediate", "High" or "Extra High" WIN-PST Final Hazard Ratings).	Total Farm	Each	\$1,345.80	\$2,018.69	\$2,018.69	\$2,422.43

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scen ari	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
595-13	Risk Prevention IPM All RCs	Scenario Description: A comprehensive IPM plan based primarily on LGU-approved pest prevention and avoidance techniques is applied to prevent negative impacts on all identified resource concerns. LGU-approved pest monitoring techniques and pest thresholds may also be included, but suppression techniques cannot pose any hazards to identified resource concerns. This type of system is very difficult to achieve, but may be most commonly achieved in Organic Systems that already rely heavily on prevention and avoidance techniques. After Practice Description: After implementing the 595 practice, a comprehensive IPM plan based primarily on Land Grant University approved pest prevention and avoidance techniques is applied to prevent negative impacts on all identified resource concerns. Land Grant University approved pest monitoring techniques and pest thresholds may also be included, but suppression techniques cannot pose any hazards to identified resource concerns.	Acres of Implementation	Acre	\$76.14	\$114.21	\$114.21	\$137.06

INTEGRATED PEST MANAGEMENT PLAN - WRITTEN Practice Code 114

PRS Unit of Measurement: Number

Definition: Integrated Pest Management (IPM) is an ecosystem-based strategy that is a sustainable approach to manage pests using a combination of techniques such as chemical tools biological control, habitat manipulation, and modification of cultural practices and use of resistant varieties. Methods of chemical applications are selected in a manner that minimizes risks to human health, beneficial and non-target organisms, and the environment. The Integrated Pest Management activity plan:

- Meets NRCS quality criteria for soil erosion, water quality, air quality, and plant quality;
- · Complies with federal, state, tribal, and local laws, regulations and permit requirements;
- · Addresses operator's objectives.

Producers choose to implement an Integrated Pest Management Plan for reasons that include, but are not limited to:

- · Managing pests effectively and economically;
- Minimizing the risk associated with pest suppression;
- · Producing quality commodities;

Purpose: Plan conservation practices and IPM technique to mitigate potential environmental risk not to degrade the soil, water, air, and plant quality as related to suppression tactics being applied to manage the pest.

Integrated Pest Management guidance for individual crops that indicate activities to be undertaken throughout the year based on the crop production cycle.

Applicability: On lands in lowa that will benefit from the development and implementation of an Integrated Pest Management Plan.

Limitations:

Maintenance: Practice must be maintained for a lifespan of 1 year.

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
114-14	IPM Management CAP Small- Specialty Less Than 50 Acres	Scenario Description: Various on-farm land uses where pests are managed on smaller operations, including organic and specialty crop operations where more complicated pest management evaluations and solutions may be necessary. Current pest control activities cause environmental concerns with water quality and/or erosion. Natural Resource Concern: Water quality and all other appropriate resource concerns. After Practice Description: After EQIP contract approval, participant has obtained services from a certified TSP for development of the 'Integrated Pest Management' conservation activity plan. The CAP criteria requires the plan to meet quality criteria for applicable resource concerns and provides for opportunities to utilize the follow in g strategies: Prevention, Avoidance, Monitoring, and Suppression, which will be implemented through use of Integrated Pest Management and may use one or more conservation practices and/or risk reduction strategies. The CAP plan may include recommendations for associated conservation practices which address other related resource concerns. CAP meets the basic quality criteria for the 114 plan as cited in the NRCS Field Office Technical Guide.	Number	Number	\$1,450.83	\$1,740.99	N/A	N/A
114-15	IPM Management CAP Medium 51 - 250 Acres	Scenario Description: Various on-farm land uses where pests are managed on a moderately-sized farm where IPM is to be applied. Current pest control activities cause environmental concerns with w at er quality and/or erosion. Natural Resource Concern: Water quality and all other appropriate resource concerns. After Practice Description: After EQIP contract approval, participant has obtained services from a certified TSP for development of the 'Integrated Pest Management' conservation activity plan. The CAP criteria requires the plan to meet quality criteria for applicable resource concerns and provides for opportunities to utilize the following strategies: Prevention, Avoidance, Monitoring, and Suppression, which will be implemented through use of 'Integrated Pest Management and may use one or more conservation practices and/or risk reduction strategies. The CAP plan may include recommendations for associated conservation practices which address other related resource concerns. CAP meets the basic quality criteria for the 114 plan as cited in the NRCS Field Office Technical Guide.	Number	Number	\$1,857.06	\$2,228.47	N/A	N/A

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
114-16	IPM Management CAP Large - Greater Than 250 Acres	Scenario Description: Various on-farm land uses where pests are managed on a larger farm where IPM strategies are to be applied. Current pest control activities cause environmental concerns with w a t e r quality and/or erosion. Natural Resource Concern: Water quality and all other appropriate resource concerns. After Practice Description: After EQIP contract approval, participant has obtained services from a certified TSP for development of the 'Integrated Pest Management' conservation activity plan. The CAP criteria requires the plan to meet quality criteria for applicable resource concerns and provides for opportunities to utilize the following strategies: Prevention, Avoidance, Monitoring, and Suppression, which will be implemented through use of 'Integrated Pest Management and may use one or more conservation practices and/or risk reduction strategies. The CAP plan may include recommendations for associated conservation practices which address other related resource concerns. CAP meets the basic quality criteria for the 114 plan as cited in the NRCS Field Office Technical Guide.	Number	Number	\$2,901.65	\$3,481.99	N/A	N/A

IRRIGATION LAND LEVELING Practice Code 464

Non-Livestock Structural Practice

PRS Unit of Measurement: Acre

Definition: Reshaping the surface of land to be irrigated, to planned lines and grades.

Purpose: To facilitate the efficient use of water on irrigated land.

Applicability: This standard applies to the leveling of land irrigated by surface or subsurface irrigation systems. The leveling is based on a detailed engineering survey, design, and layout. This standard does not apply to Precision Land Forming (462) or Land Smoothing (466).

Limitations: In order for land to be eligible for an irrigation-related practice, that land must have been irrigated in two out of the last five years. This means that irrigation must have been part of managing the cropping system to meet the needs of the plant and to maintain the yields of an irrigated crop. To e n s u r e the practice meets the program purpose, the irrigation practice must be addressing an identified resource concern, such as Soil Erosion – Irrigation Induced or Water Quantity – Inefficient Water Use. To document irrigation history, use the "Irrigation History Documentation.docx".

Maintenance: Practice must be maintained for a lifespan of 15 years.

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
464-1	Irrigation Land Leveling	Scenario Description: Reshaping of the surface of land to be irrigated to planned grades to permit uniform and efficient application of irrigation water to the leveled land. The field is leveled such that it is uniform and drains to a specifically targeted part of the field. Resource Concern: Excess/Insufficient - Inefficient Use of Irrigation Water After Practice Description: Cropland will be reshaped to provide uniform distribution of irrigation water in order to promote irrigation efficiencies. Associated Conservation Practices: 449 - Irrigation Water Management	Acre	Acre	\$135.32	\$202.99	\$202.99	\$243.58

IRRIGATION SYSTEM, MICROIRRIGATION Practice Code 441

Non-Livestock Structural Practice

PRS Unit of Measurement: Acre

Definition: An irrigation system for frequent application of small quantities of water on or below the soil surface: as drops, tiny streams or miniature spray through emitters or applicators placed along a water delivery line.

Purpose: This practice may be applied as part of a conservation management system to achieve one or more of the following purposes:

- Efficiently and uniformly apply irrigation water and maintain soil moisture for plant growth.
- Prevent contamination of ground and surface water by efficiently and uniformly applying chemicals.
- · Establish desired vegetation.
- Reduce energy use.

Applicability: On sites where soils and topography are suitable for irrigation of proposed crops and an adequate supply of suitable quality water is available for the intended purpose(s).

Micro irrigation is suited to vineyards, orchards, field crops, windbreaks, gardens, greenhouse crops, and residential and commercial landscape systems.

Micro irrigation is also suited to steep slopes where other methods would cause excessive erosion, and areas where other application devices interfere with cultural operations.

Micro irrigation is suited for use in providing irrigation water in limited amounts to establish desired vegetation such as windbreaks, living snow fences, riparian forest buffers, and wildlife plantings.

This practice standard applies to systems with design discharge less than 60 gal/hr. at each individual lateral discharge point.

NRCS Conservation Practice Standard, Irrigation System, Sprinkler (442), applies to systems with design discharge of 60 gal/hr. or greater at each individual lateral discharge point.

Limitations: In order for land to be eligible for an irrigation-related practice, that land must have been irrigated in two out of the last five years. This means that irrigation must have been part of managing the cropping system to meet the needs of the plant and to maintain the yields of an irrigated crop. To e n s u r e the practice meets the program purpose, the irrigation practice must be addressing an identified resource concern, such as Soil Erosion – Irrigation Induced or Water Quantity – Inefficient Water Use. To document irrigation history, use the "Irrigation History Documentation.docx".

Maintenance: Practice must be maintained for a lifespan of 15 years.

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
441-1	Trees and Shrubs Micro irrigation System	Scenario Description: An irrigation system for trees and shrubs such as in establishing a windbreak. Water delivery to the plants by surface lines and/or subsurface applicators. Spacing of the plants will vary, w/ delivery lines spaced 15'. Area in question is being converted from other means of less efficient irrigation. Payment includes on-ground mainline and drip tape, fittings, and appurtenances. Pump & supply line is not included in this payment and may be offered through associated practices 533 Pumping plant and 430 Irrigation Pipeline, or existing pump & supply lines will be used. Cost represents typical situations for conventional, organic, and transitioning to organic producers. After Practice Description: A surface placed micro irrigation system is utilized to provide highly efficient irrigation to the trees. Typical system is for 5 rows of trees each 600 ft. in length for a total irrigated area of 36,000 sq. ft. (600' x 60' - 5 rows with 15' between rows). Water applications are reduced and runoff eliminated. Offsite water quality is improved, and on site water use is reduced. Resource Concerns: Insufficient Water - Inefficient use of irrigation water, Degraded Plant Condition - Undesirable plant productivity and health, Water Quality Degradation - Excessive sediment in surface waters, and Inefficient Energy Use - Equipment and facilities. Associated Practices: 533-Pumping Plant, 449- Irrigation Water Management, 430 - Irrigation Pipeline	Square Foot	Square Foot	\$0.02	\$0.03	\$0.03	\$0.03

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
441-2	Specialty Crop Micro irrigation System	Scenario Description: An irrigation system for vegetables or other specialty crops typically of small acreage (2 acre). Water delivery to the plants by surface lines and/or subsurface applicators. Spacing of the plants will vary, w/ lateral lines spaced 24'. Area in question is being converted from other means of less efficient irrigation. Payment includes on-ground mainline and drip tape, fittings, and appurtenances. Surface placed drip tape will not meet the 441 practice life and will normally need replacement every year. After first installation, drip tape will be replaced as operation and maintenance as required for proper operation of the system. Pump & supply line is not included in this payment and may be offered through associated practices 533 Pumping plant and 430 Irrigation Pipeline, or existing pump & supply lines will be used. Cost represents typical situations for conventional, organic, and transitioning to organic producers. After Practice Description: A surface placed micro irrigation system is utilized to provide highly efficient irrigation to a field. Water applications are reduced and runoff eliminated. Offsite water quality is improved, and on site water use is reduced. Resource Concerns: Insufficient Water - Inefficient use of irrigation water, Degraded Plant Condition - Undesirable plant productivity and health, Water Quality Degradation - Excessive sediment in surface waters, and Inefficient Energy Use - Equipment and facilities. Associated Practices: 533-Pumping Plant, 449- Irrigation Water Management, 430 - Irrigation Pipeline	Acres in System	Acre	\$971.07	\$1,456.60	\$1,456.60	\$1,747.93

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
441-3	Potted Plant or Nursery Micro irrigation System	Scenario Description: A complete drip irrigation system for potted nursery crops, irrigating a 60' x 200' pad. Water delivery to the plants by surface lines and double spray-pattern stakes. Delivery line spacing is 4' w/ double pots spaced along each delivery line at 3' intervals. Irrigation is for 2010 pots. Area in question is being converted from existing system of overhead irrigation. Cost r e p r e s e n t s typical situations for conventional, organic, and transitioning to organic producers. Payment includes on-ground mainline and drip tape, fittings, and appurtenances. Pump & supply line is not included in this payment and may be offered through associated practices 533 Pumping plant and 430 Irrigation Pipeline, or existing pump & supply lines will be used. Cost represents typical situations for conventional, organic, and transitioning to organic producers. After Practice Description: A surface placed micro irrigation system is utilized to provide highly efficient irrigation to a field. Water applications are reduced and runoff eliminated. Offsite water quality is improved, and on site water use is reduced. Resource Concerns: Insufficient Water - Inefficient use of irrigation water, Degraded Plant Condition - Undesirable plant productivity and health, Water Quality Degradation - Excessive sediment in surface waters, and Inefficient Energy Use - Equipment and facilities. Associated Practices: 533-Pumping Plant, 449- Irrigation Water Management, 430 - Irrigation Pipeline	Sq. Ft	Square Foot	\$0.14	\$0.21	\$0.21	\$0.25

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
441-4	Seasonal High Tunnel Micro irrigation System	Scenario Description: An irrigation system for vegetables or other specialty crops, irrigating inside of a high-tunnel poly-house typically 2,178 sq. ft. in size. Water delivery to the plants by surface lines and/or subsurface applicators. Spacing of the plants will vary, w/ delivery lines spaced 60°. Area in question is being converted from other means of less efficient irrigation. Payment includes on-ground mainline and drip tape, fittings, and appurtenances. Pump & supply line is not included in this payment and may be offered through associated practices 533 Pumping plant and 430 Irrigation Pipeline, or existing pump & supply lines will be used. Cost represents typical situations for conventional, organic, and transitioning to organic producers. After Practice Description: A micro irrigation system is utilized to provide highly efficient irrigation to crops grown in a high tunnel. Water applications are reduced and runoff eliminated. Offsite water quality is improved, and on site water use is reduced. Resource Concerns: Insufficient Water - Inefficient use of irrigation water, Degraded Plant Condition - Undesirable plant productivity and health, Water Quality Degradation - Excessive sediment in surface waters, and Inefficient Energy Use - Equipment and facilities. Associated Practices: 533-Pumping Plant, 449- Irrigation Water Management, 430 - Irrigation Pipeline	Each High Tunnel	Each	\$169.81	\$254.72	\$254.72	\$305.66

IRRIGATION SYSTEM, SPRINKLER Practice Code 442

Non-Livestock Structural Practice

PRS Unit of Measurement: Acre

Definition: An irrigation system in which all necessary equipment and facilities are installed for efficiently applying water by means of nozzles operated under pressure.

Purpose: To efficiently and uniformly apply irrigation water in order to maintain adequate soil water for the desired level of plant growth and production without causing excessive water loss, erosion, or water quality impairment; to reduce particulate matter emissions for improved air quality; to control or modify climate and to apply chemicals, nutrients, and/or waste water;

Applicability: The sprinkler method of water application is suited to most crops, irrigable lands, and climatic conditions where irrigated agriculture is feasible. Areas must be suitable for irrigation or sprinkler water application and have an adequate supply of suitable quality water available for the intended purpose(s). This standard applies to the planning and design of the overall water application through sprinkle discharge systems.

Limitations: This practice pertains to the planning and functional design of all sprinkler components except for special structures, such as permanently installed main and lateral pipelines or pumping plants. Other components shall meet appropriate NRCS conservation practice standards. This practice does not include mini- or micro-sprinkler systems. In order for land to be eligible for an irrigation-related practice, that land must have been irrigated in two out of the last five years. This means that irrigation must have been part of managing the cropping system to meet the needs of the plant and to maintain the y i e I d s of an irrigated crop. To ensure the practice meets the program purpose, the irrigation practice must be addressing an identified resource concern, such as Soil Erosion – Irrigation Induced or Water Quantity – Inefficient Water Use. To document irrigation history, use the "Irrigation History Documentation.docx".

Maintenance: Practice must be maintained for a lifespan of 15 years.

Payment Schedule: If an existing center pivot system is being retrofitted for low pressure and the participant wants to replace the existing pivot with a new pivot the scenario and payment rate for retrofitting is to be used. The payment rate for portable systems is based on the number of acres the portable system can irrigate at 1 time. When center pivot systems overlap the overlap acres are not eligible for financial assistance.

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
442-1	Center Pivot or Linear Move System	Scenario Description: A surface irrigated field is converted to a center pivot sprinkler irrigation system or a linear move irrigation system to improve efficiency and uniformity of applied irrigation water to maintain adequate soil water for the desired level of plant growth and water quality impairment. After Practice Description: The existing surface irrigation system is converted to a low pressure center pivot. Corners are converted to non-irrigated cropland. The pivot is 1300 feet in length with pressure regulators and low pressure sprinklers on drops. The new irrigation system has a coefficient of uniformity above 85%. Irrigation water is efficiently and uniformly applied to maintain a d e q u a t e soil water for the desired level of plant growth. Deep percolation and field runoff is eliminated and there are no excess nutrients, salts or pathogens delivered to the receiving waters. Irrigation induced runoff is eliminated. Resource concerns include: Soil Erosion (Concentrated flow erosion e.g. irrigation induced), Insufficient Water (Inefficient use of irrigation water), Water Quality Degradation (Excess nutrients in surface and ground waters, Excessive salts in surface and ground waters, Excess pathogens and chemicals from manure, bio-solids or compost applications). Associated Practices: Irrigation Pipeline (430), Pumping Plant (533), Irrigation Water Management (449)	Length of Center Pivot Lateral	Acre	\$307.72	\$461.58	\$461.58	\$553.89

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
442-2	Sprinkler Conversion to Low Pressure	Scenario Description: Center Pivot and Linear Move sprinkler systems are used in large crop fields with fairly regular field borders and flat topography. The scenario involves changing nozzles on center pivot or lateral move irrigation systems to low-pressure systems to improve efficiency of water use and reduce energy use. This scenario is intended for cropland areas where the objective is water conservation. Scenario includes end booms re-nozzled with low-pressure nozzles After Practice Description: A Center Pivot or Linear Move sprinkler system with a span of 1300 linear feet is re-nozzled with low-pressure nozzles. The irrigation water is applied efficiently and uniformly to maintain adequate soil moisture for optimum plant growth. Runoff and deep percolation are eliminated, and the surface and ground water is no longer degraded. The irrigation induced soil erosion caused by runoff is also eliminated. The lower pressure requirements of the sprinklers reduces the energy used by the pump. Resource concerns include: Soil Erosion (Concentrated flow erosion e.g. irrigation induced), Insufficient Water (Inefficient use of irrigation water), Water Quality Degradation (Excess nutrients in surface and ground waters, Excessive salts in surface and ground waters, Excess pathogens and chemicals from manure, bio-solids or compost applications), Inefficient Energy Use (Equipment and facilities e.g. pumping) Associated Practices: Irrigation Pipeline (430), Pumping Plant (533), Irrigation Water Management (449)	Length of Lateral Retrofitted	Foot	\$6.79	\$10.19	\$10.19	\$12.22

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
442-3	Wheel Line System	Scenario Description: A 1,280 foot wheel line (also called side roll, wheel move, or lateral-roll) with 7 foot diameter wheels and five inch diameter supply pipeline. A wheel line consists of the mover, lateral pipe, wheels, sprinklers, couplers, and connectors to the mainline supply. After Practice Description: A 1,280 foot wheel line with 7 foot diameter wheels and five inch diameter supply pipeline. Sprinklers are spaced along the wheel line at 40-foot intervals and risers are spaced at 60-foot increments along the mainline. The wheel line irrigates 40 acres of cropland. The wheel line improves distribution uniformity. Irrigation application efficiency improves to 75%. Water application rates meet the consumptive use of the crop and matches soil intake rates in order to prevent irrigation induced erosion, runoff, and deep percolation. Resource concerns include: Soil Erosion (Concentrated flow erosion e.g. irrigation induced), Insufficient Water (Inefficient use of irrigation water), Water Quality Degradation (Excess nutrients in surface and ground waters, Excessive salts in surface and ground waters, Excess pathogens and chemicals from manure, bio-solids or compost applications), Inefficient Energy Use (Equipment and facilities e.g. pumping) Associated Practices: Irrigation Pipeline (430), Pumping Plant (533), Irrigation Water Management (449)	Length of Wheel Line Lateral	Foot	\$8.49	\$12.73	\$12.73	\$15.27

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
442-3	Wheel Line System	Scenario Description: A 1,280 foot wheel line (also called side roll, wheel move, or lateral-roll) with 7 foot diameter wheels and five inch diameter supply pipeline. A wheel line consists of the mover, lateral pipe, wheels, sprinklers, couplers, and connectors to the mainline supply. After Practice Description: A 1,280 foot wheel line with 7 foot diameter wheels and five inch diameter supply pipeline. Sprinklers are spaced along the wheel line at 40-foot intervals and risers are spaced at 60-foot increments along the mainline. The wheel line irrigates 40 acres of cropland. The wheel line improves distribution uniformity. Irrigation application efficiency improves to 75%. Water application rates meet the consumptive use of the crop and matches soil intake rates in order to prevent irrigation induced erosion, runoff, and deep percolation. Resource concerns include: Soil Erosion (Concentrated flow erosion e.g. irrigation induced), Insufficient Water (Inefficient use of irrigation water), Water Quality Degradation (Excess nutrients in surface and ground waters, Excessive salts in surface and ground waters, Excess pathogens and chemicals from manure, bio-solids or compost applications), Inefficient Energy Use (Equipment and facilities e.g. pumping) Associated Practices: Irrigation Pipeline (430), Pumping Plant (533), Irrigation Water Management (449)	Length of Wheel Line Lateral	Foot	\$8.49	\$12.73	\$12.73	\$15.27
442-4	Solid Set System	Scenario Description: Installation of a solid set irrigation system. Payment includes pipe, sprinklers, connections, appurtenances, and installation. After Practice Description: The system is installed on 10 acres or less. The installed solid set system has 3-4 inch pipe sizes and sprinklers set 30 –50 ft. apart. Improved distribution uniformity and irrigation efficiency will result. Resource concerns include: Soil Erosion (Concentrated flow erosion e.g. irrigation induced), Insufficient Water (Inefficient use of irrigation water), Water Quality Degradation (Excess nutrients in surface and ground waters, Excessive salts in surface and ground waters, Excess pathogens and chemicals from manure, bio-solids or compost applications) Associated Practices: Irrigation Pipeline (430), Pumping Plant (533), Irrigation Water Management (449)	Area of Irrigation System	Acre	\$2,399.31	\$3,598.96	\$3,598.96	\$4,318.75

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
442-5	Traveling Gun System, < 2in Hose	Scenario Description: A portable small gun system used to apply irrigation water on small fields. A small traveling gun irrigation system is installed to apply water uniformly and at an acceptable application rate operated under pressure to effectively irrigate less than 5 acres. The irrigation system is installed with all necessary appurtenances. After Practice Description: A small traveling gun irrigation system is installed to irrigate 5 acres based on the determined spacing needs. Irrigation is applied efficiently and uniformly to maintain adequate soil water for plant growth without causing excessive water loss, erosion, or water quality degradation Resource concerns: Soil Erosion (Concentrated flow erosion, e.g. overflowing waste storage) and Water Quality Degradation (Excess nutrients in surface and ground waters, Excessive salts in surface and ground waters, Excess pathogens and chemicals from liquid manure) Associated Practices: Irrigation Pipeline (430), Pumping Plant (533), Irrigation Water Management (449), Conservation Crop Rotation (328), Cover Crop (340), Nutrient Management (590), Waste Utilization (633), Manure Transfer (634)	Number of Traveling Gun Systems	Each	\$6,519.13	\$9,778.69	\$9,778.69	\$11,734.43

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
442-6	Traveling Gun System, 2in to 3in Hose	Scenario Description: A portable big gun system used to apply waste water from animal feeding operations. This traveling big gun unit includes a sprinkler, towable cart, 1000' or more of PE hard hose, a self-propelled reel that moves the sprinkler toward the reel during operation. The reel attaches to a mainline with appropriately designed towpath width. The scenario describes an irrigation system that is typical to confined animal feeding operations. After Practice Description: The big gun applies animal manure in an appropriate quantity and location that eliminates both runoff of the manure and deep percolation of excess nutrients, salts, and pathogens. The big gun system is typically located on 50 acres or less of hay/pasture land, or 100 acres or less of cropland. The system includes a large irrigation gun with 1 in. to 1-1/2 orifice mounted onto a movable cart. 1000 ft. or more flexible 3 in. PE pipe is attached to the cart on one end and a large reel on the other end. The reel serves as storage are for the pipe as the cart moves back to the reel. The reel is turned by a small engine which gradually pulls the flexible pipe and cart back to the reel/base. Resource concerns: Soil Erosion (Concentrated flow erosion, e.g. overflowing waste storage) and Water Quality Degradation (Excess nutrients in surface and ground waters, Excessive salts in surface and ground waters, Excess pathogens and chemicals from liquid manure) Associated Practices: Irrigation Pipeline (430), Pumping Plant (533), Irrigation Water Management (449), Conservation Crop Rotation (328), Cover Crop (340), Nutrient Management (590), Waste Utilization (633), Manure Transfer (634)	Number of Traveling Gun Systems	Each	\$11,712.96	\$17,569.44	\$17,569.44	\$21,083.32

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
442-7	Traveling Gun System, > 3in Hose	Scenario Description: A portable big gun system used to apply waste water from animal feeding operations. This traveling big gun unit includes a sprinkler, towable cart, 1200' or more of PE hard hose, a self-propelled reel that moves the sprinkler toward the reel during operation. The reel attaches to a mainline with appropriately designed towpath width. The scenario describes an irrigation system that is typical to confined animal feeding operations. After Practice Description: The big gun applies animal manure in an appropriate quantity and location that eliminates both runoff of the manure and deep percolation of excess nutrients, salts, and pathogens. The big gun system is typically located on 50 acres or less of hay/pasture land, or 100 acres or less of cropland. The system includes a large irrigation of excess of cropland. The system includes a large irrigation gun with 1 in. to 1½ in. orifice mounted onto a movable cart. 1200 ft. or more flexible 4 in. PE pipe is attached to the cart on one end and a large reel on the other end. The reel serves as storage are for the pipe as the cart moves back to the reel. The reel is turned by a small engine which gradually pulls the flexible pipe and cart back to the reel/base. Resource concerns: Soil Erosion (Concentrated flow erosion, e.g. overflowing waste storage) and Water Quality Degradation (Excess nutrients in surface and ground waters, Excessive salts in surface and ground waters, Excess pathogens and chemicals from liquid manure) Associated Practices: Irrigation Pipeline (430), Pumping Plant (533), Irrigation Water Management (449), Conservation Crop Rotation (328), Cover Crop (340), Nutrient Management (590), Waste Utilization (633), Manure Transfer (634)	Number of Traveling Gun Systems	Each	\$23,174.90	\$34,762.34	\$34,762.34	\$41,714.81

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
442-8	Pod System	Scenario Description: A portable irrigation system consisting of Polyethylene (PE) pipe and pods that have attached sprinklers. This scenario addresses installation of all pod style irrigation sprinkler systems. After Practice Description: A 10 acre irrigated pasture with a medium pressure irrigation system consisting of sprinkler pods along a PE line is installed. The pods and PE line are placed in different sections of the pasture by dragging both with a four wheeler. The PE line is 660 feet in length and has 14 pods evenly spaced along its length. The improved distribution uniformity and irrigation efficiency reduces the inefficient use of water on irrigated land, reducing irrigation water applied and energy use. Water application rates meet the pasture vegetation consumptive use requirements. Runoff and deep percolation as a result of irrigation are eliminated, and the receiving waters are no longer degraded. Resource concerns include: Soil Erosion (Concentrated flow erosion e.g. irrigation induced), Insufficient Water (Inefficient use of irrigation water), Water Quality Degradation (Excess nutrients in surface and ground waters, Excessive salts in surface and ground waters, Excess pathogens and chemicals from manure, bio-solids or compost applications) Associated Practices: Irrigation Pipeline (430), Pumping Plant (533), Irrigation Water Management (449)	Number of Sprinkler Pods	Each	\$126.27	\$189.40	\$189.40	\$227.28

IRRIGATION PIPELINE Practice Code 430

Non-Livestock Structural Practice

PRS Unit of Measurement: Feet

Definition: A pipeline and appurtenances installed in an irrigation system.

Purpose: To prevent erosion or loss of water quality or damage to the land, to make possible proper management of irrigation water, and to reduce water conveyance losses.

Applicability: To underground thermoplastic pipelines ranging from ½ inch to 27 inches in diameter that are closed to the atmosphere and that are subject to internal pressures of 80 lb./in2 or greater.

Pipelines can be planned and located to serve as an integral part of an irrigation water distribution or conveyance system designed to facilitate the conservation use and management of the soil and water resources on a farm or group of farms.

Water supplies, water quality, and rates of irrigation delivery for the area served by the pipelines shall be sufficient to make irrigation practical for the crops to be grown and the irrigation water application method to be used.

Limitations: In order for land to be eligible for an irrigation-related practice, that land must have been irrigated in two out of the last five years. This means that irrigation must have been part of managing the cropping system to meet the needs of the plant and to maintain the yields of an irrigated crop. To e n s u r e the practice meets the program purpose, the irrigation practice must be addressing an identified resource concern, such as Soil Erosion – Irrigation Induced or Water Quantity – Inefficient Water Use. To document irrigation history, use the "Irrigation History Documentation.docx".

Maintenance: Practice must be maintained for a lifespan of 20 years.

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
430-1	Micro Irrigation Pipeline	Scenario Description: Below ground installation of plastic pipeline installed underground between the location of the water pump and the area of irrigation to serve a micro irrigation system. Cost represents typical situations for conventional, organic, and transitioning to organic producers. Appurtenances include: couplings, fittings, air vents, pressure relief valves, thrust blocks, risers, and inline valves, and are included as 10% of pipe material. Cost of appurtenances does not include flow meters or backflow preventers. Typical installation applies to soils with no special bedding requirements. Resource Concerns: Inefficient Use of Irrigation Water; Inefficient Energy Use. After Practice Description: Pipeline installed to convey and/or distribute water to irrigation systems or reservoirs, minimizing non-beneficial water use, reducing soil erosion, and/or reducing energy use. Associated Practices: 436 - Irrigation Reservoir; 441 - Irrigation System, Micro irrigation; 442 - Irrigation System, Sprinkler; 443 - Irrigation System, Surface & Subsurface; 447 - Irrigation System, Tail water Recovery; 533 - Pumping Plant; 634 - Waste Transfer.	Ft of pipe	Foot	\$1.48	\$2.21	\$2.21	\$2.66

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
430-2	in Diameter >50	Scenario Description: Below ground installation of plastic pipeline installed underground between the location of the water pump and the area of irrigation to serve an irrigation system. Cost represents typical situations for conventional, organic, and transitioning to organic producers. Appurtenances include: couplings, fittings, air vents, pressure relief valves, thrust blocks, risers, and inline valves, and are included as 10% of pipe material. Cost of appurtenances does not include flow meters or backflow preventers. Typical installation applies to soils with no special bedding requirements. Resource Concerns: Inefficient Use of Irrigation Water; Inefficient Energy Use. After Practice Description: Pipeline installed to convey and/or distribute water to irrigation systems or reservoirs, minimizing non-beneficial water use, reducing soil erosion, and/or reducing energy use. Associated Practices: 436 - Irrigation Reservoir; 441 - Irrigation System, Micro irrigation; 442 - Irrigation Reservoir; 441 - Irrigation System, Sprinkler; 443 - Irrigation System, Surface & Subsurface; 447 - Irrigation System, Tail water Recovery; 533 - Pumping Plant; 634 - Waste Transfer.	Ft of pipe	Foot	\$6.68	\$10.03	\$10.03	\$12.03

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
430-3	Pipe System <= 8 in Diameter, <= 50 ft. Installation	Scenario Description: Below ground installation of plastic pipeline installed underground between the location of the water pump and the area of irrigation to serve an irrigation system. Cost represents typical situations for conventional, organic, and transitioning to organic producers. Appurtenances include: couplings, fittings, air vents, pressure relief valves, thrust blocks, risers, and inline valves, and are included as 10% of pipe material. Cost of appurtenances does not include flow meters or backflow preventers. Typical installation applies to soils with no special bedding requirements. Resource Concerns: Inefficient Use of Irrigation Water; Inefficient Energy Use. After Practice Description: Pipeline installed to convey and/or distribute water to irrigation systems or reservoirs, minimizing non-beneficial water use, reducing soil erosion, and/or reducing energy use. Associated Practices: 436 - Irrigation Reservoir; 441 - Irrigation System, Micro irrigation; 442 - Irrigation System, Sprinkler; 443 - Irrigation System, Surface & Subsurface; 447 - Irrigation System, Tail water Recovery; 533 - Pumping Plant; 634 - Waste Transfer.	Ft of pipe	Foot	\$10.74	\$16.12	\$16.12	\$19.34

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
430-4	Pipe System 10-12 in Diameter, >50 ft. Installation	Scenario Description: Below ground installation of plastic pipeline installed underground between the location of the water pump and the area of irrigation to serve an irrigation system. Cost represents typical situations for conventional, organic, and transitioning to organic producers. Appurtenances include: couplings, fittings, air vents, pressure relief valves, thrust blocks, risers, and inline valves, and are included as %10 of pipe material. Cost of appurtenances does not include flow meters or backflow preventers. Typical installation applies to soils with no special bedding requirements. Resource Concerns: Inefficient Use of Irrigation Water; Inefficient Energy Use. After Practice Description: Pipeline installed to convey and/or distribute water to irrigation systems or reservoirs, minimizing nonbeneficial water use, reducing soil erosion, and/or reducing energy use. Associated Practices: 436 - Irrigation Reservoir; 441 - Irrigation System, Micro irrigation; 442 - Irrigation System, Sprinkler; 443 - Irrigation System, Surface & Subsurface; 447 - Irrigation System, Tail water Recovery; 533 - Pumping Plant; 634 - Waste Transfer.	Ft of pipe	Foot	\$8.82	\$13.22	\$13.22	\$15.87

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
430-5	Pipe System 10-12 in Diameter, <= 50ft Installation	Scenario Description: Below ground installation of plastic pipeline installed underground between the location of the water pump and the area of irrigation to serve an irrigation system. Cost represents typical situations for conventional, organic, and transitioning to organic producers. Appurtenances include: couplings, fittings, air vents, pressure relief valves, thrust blocks, risers, and inline valves, and are included as 10% of pipe material. Cost of appurtenances does not include flow meters or backflow preventers. Typical installation applies to soils with no special bedding requirements. Resource Concerns: Inefficient Use of Irrigation Water; Inefficient Energy Use. After Practice Description: Pipeline installed to convey and/or distribute water to irrigation systems or reservoirs, minimizing non-beneficial water use, reducing soil erosion, and/or reducing energy use. Associated Practices: 436 - Irrigation Reservoir; 441 - Irrigation System, Micro irrigation; 442 - Irrigation System, Sprinkler; 443 - Irrigation System, Surface & Subsurface; 447 - Irrigation System, Tail water Recovery; 533 - Pumping Plant; 634 - Waste Transfer.	Ft of pipe	Foot	\$13.22	\$19.83	\$19.83	\$23.80

IRRIGATION RESERVOIR Practice Code 436

Non-Livestock Structural Practice

PRS Unit of Measurement: Acre - Feet

Definition: An irrigation water storage structure made by constructing a dam, embankment, pit, or tank.

Purpose: This practice may be applied as part of a resource management system to achieve one or more of the following purposes:

- Store water to provide a reliable irrigation water supply or regulate available irrigation flows.
- Improve Water Use Efficiency on irrigated land.
- · Provide storage for tail water recovery and reuse.
- Provide irrigation runoff retention time to increase breakdown of chemical contaminants.
- Reduce energy use.
- · Develop renewable energy systems (i.e., hydropower).

Applicability: This practice applies to irrigation water storage structures that meet one or more of the following criteria:

- The existing available water supply is insufficient to meet irrigation requirements during all or part of the irrigation season.
- Water is available for storage from surface runoff, stream flow, irrigation canals, or a subsurface source.
- A suitable site is available for construction of a storage reservoir.

This practice applies to planning and functional design of storage capacity, and inflow/outflow capacity requirements for irrigation storage reservoirs. Storage reservoirs shall be planned and located to serve as an integral part of an irrigation system. This practice applies to reservoirs created by embankment structures or excavated pits to store diverted surface water, groundwater, or irrigation system tail water for later use, or reuse. The practice also applies to reservoirs created by embankment structures or excavated pits and tanks constructed of concrete, steel, or other suitable materials used to collect and regulate available irrigation water supplies to accomplish the intended purpose.

Limitations: In order for land to be eligible for an irrigation-related practice, that land must have been irrigated in two out of the last five years. This means that irrigation must have been part of managing the cropping system to meet the needs of the plant and to maintain the yields of an irrigated crop. To e n s u r e the practice meets the program purpose, the irrigation practice must be addressing an identified resource concern, such as Soil Erosion – Irrigation Induced or Water Quantity – Inefficient Water Use. To document irrigation history, use the "Irrigation History Documentation.docx".

Maintenance: Practice must be maintained for a lifespan of 20 years.

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
436-1	Underground Tank	Scenario Description: A 1,500 gallon, HDPE plastic tank, is installed below ground to store water from a reliable source for irrigation of a small area. Resource Concern: Insufficient Water - Inefficient use of irrigation water. Associated Practices: 430 - Irrigation Pipeline; 441 - Irrigation System, Micro irrigation; 442 - Irrigation System, Sprinkler; 533 - Pumping Plant; 447 - Irrigation System, Tail water Recovery, 558 - Roof Runoff Structure After Practice Description: A 1500 gallon HDPE tank (dimensions: 111'L X 98'W X 48'H) installed 2 ft. below ground as a means to store water collected from building/surface runoff and/or irrigation tail water recovery. Scenario describes excavation of pit, placement of tank and backfilling of material over tank including spreading of spoil.	Volume of Storage Tank	Gallon	\$0.79	\$1.19	\$1.19	\$1.43

IRRIGATION WATER MANAGEMENT Practice Code 449

Non-Livestock Structural Practice

PRS Unit of Measurement: Acre

Definition: The process of determining and controlling the volume, frequency, and application rate of irrigation water in a planned, efficient manner.

Purpose: Manage soil moisture to promote desired crop response, optimize use of available water supplies, minimize irrigation induced soil erosion, decrease non-point source pollution of surface and groundwater resources, manage salts in the crop root zone, manage air, soil, or plant micro-climate, proper and safe chemigation or fertigation, and improve air quality by managing soil moisture to reduce particulate matter movement.

Applicability: This practice is applicable to all irrigated lands. An irrigation system adapted for site conditions (soil, slope, crop grown, climate, water quantity and quality, air quality, etc.) must be available and capable of efficiently applying water to meet the intended purpose(s).

Limitations: In order for land to be eligible for an irrigation-related practice, that land must have been irrigated in two out of the last five years. This means that irrigation must have been part of managing the cropping system to meet the needs of the plant and to maintain the yields of an irrigated crop. To e n s u r e the practice meets the program purpose, the irrigation practice must be addressing an identified resource concern, such as Soil Erosion – Irrigation Induced or Water Quantity – Inefficient Water Use. To document irrigation history, use the "Irrigation History Documentation.docx".

Maintenance: Practice must be maintained for a lifespan of 1 year.

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
449-1	IWM for row crops	Scenario Description: Implementation of a water management plan for producers using a checkbook method (crop grown, soil moisture conditions prior to irrigation, dates of irrigation start and stop, depths of irrigation applied, duration of irrigations, and amount of rainfall). Payment applies to irrigation water management on a row crop operation. Resource Concerns: Insufficient Water Supply-Inefficient use of irrigation water; Degraded Plant Condition-Undesirable plant productivity and health, and Inefficient Energy Use-Equipment and facilities. After Practice Description: Irrigations are scheduled based on measured crop water requirements. Records are used to evaluate results of past irrigation events and influence future irrigations. The irrigator keeps records of soil moisture, crop water use, rainfall amounts and irrigation timing and amounts. At the end of the irrigation season all the data has been reviewed and evaluated. Improvements planned for the next season have been determined. Associated Practices: 441-Irrigation System Micro irrigation, 442-Irrigation System Sprinkler, 443-Irrigation System Surface and Subsurface.	Irrigated Area Managed	Acre	\$5.72	\$8.57	\$8.57	\$10.29
449-2	IWM for micro irrigation systems and specialty crops	Scenario Description: Implementation of a water management plan for producers using a checkbook method (crop grown, soil moisture conditions prior to irrigation, dates of irrigation start and stop, depths of irrigation applied, duration of irrigations, and amount of rainfall). Payment applies to irrigation water management on a specialty crop operation, or an operation utilizing micro irrigation. Resource Concerns: Insufficient Water Supply-Inefficient use of irrigation water; Degraded Plant Condition-Undesirable plant productivity and health, and Inefficient Energy Use-Equipment and facilities. After Practice Description: Irrigations are scheduled based on measured crop water requirements. Records are used to evaluate results of past irrigation events and influence future irrigations. The irrigator keeps records of soil moisture, crop water use, rainfall amounts and irrigation timing and amounts. At the end of the irrigation season all the data has been reviewed and evaluated. Improvements planned for the next season have been determined. Associated Practices: 441-Irrigation System Micro irrigation, 442- Irrigation System Sprinkler, 443-Irrigation System Surface and Subsurface.	Irrigated Area Managed	Acre	\$28.95	\$43.42	\$43.42	\$52.10

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
449-3		Scenario Description: Implementation of a water management plan for producers using a checkbook method (crop grown, soil moisture conditions prior to irrigation, dates of irrigation start and stop, depths of irrigation applied, duration of irrigations, and amount of rainfall). Payment applies to irrigation water management in Seasonal High Tunnels. Resource Concerns: Insufficient Water Supply-Inefficient use of irrigation water; Degraded Plant Condition-Undesirable plant productivity and health, and Inefficient Energy Use-Equipment and facilities. After Practice Description: Irrigations are scheduled based on measured crop water requirements. Records are used to evaluate results of past irrigation events and influence future irrigations. The irrigator keeps records of soil moisture, crop water use, and irrigation timing and amounts. At the end of the irrigation season all the data has been reviewed and evaluated. Improvements planned for the next season have been determined. The typical irrigated area is approximately 2,000 sq. ft. under a Seasonal High Tunnel. Associated Practices: 441-Irrigation System Micro irrigation, 442-Irrigation System Sprinkler, 443-Irrigation System Surface and Subsurface.	Number of High Tunnels	Each	\$217.09	\$325.63	\$325.63	\$390.76

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
449-4	Soil Moisture Sensors - 1st Year	Scenario Description: This practice includes the installation of soil moisture sensors such as tensiometers, gyp blocks, capacitance sensors etc., that are installed and read to determine point in time soil moisture by depth; and the labor of using the equipment for the first year. The installation includes the purchase of soil moisture meters and sensors, installation equipment, and labor to install and utilize sensors and readings in making IWM decisions during first year. Typical Scenario involves installation of resistance sensor blocks in a 80 acre field of irrigated cropland. Producer periodically monitors soil moisture sensors during the growing season. Meters used to read sensors may be portable. Resource Concerns: Insufficient Water - Inefficient use of irrigation water, and Degraded Plant Condition - Undesirable plant productivity and health, and Inefficient Energy Use - Equipment and facilities. After Practice Description: Producer has installed four Sensors at each monitoring site to a depth of four feet with one sensor representing each foot of depth. Producer uses periodic soil moisture measurements to schedule irrigation resulting in improved irrigation water management and reduced energy use. Associated Practices: 441-Irrigation System Micro irrigation, 442-Irrigation System Sprinkler, 443-Irrigation System Surface and Subsurface.	Number of Measuring Sites	Each	\$619.68	\$929.52	\$929.52	\$1,115.42

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
449-5	Soil Moisture Sensors with Data Recorder-1st Year	Scenario Description: This practice includes the installation of electrical soil moisture sensors such as capacitance or resistance sensors that are monitored to determine soil moisture. The installation includes the purchase of soil moisture sensors, installation equipment (probe or auger), and a data logger to log continuous soil moisture data that can be downloaded to a personal computer and associated graphing software. Scenario also includes the labor associated with using the equipment for the first year. Typical Scenario involves installation of resistance sensor blocks in a 120 acre field of sprinkler irrigated cropland. Producer periodically monitors soil moisture sensors during the growing season. Resource Concerns: Insufficient Water - Inefficient use of irrigation water, and Degraded Plant Condition - Undesirable plant productivity and health, and Inefficient Energy Use - Equipment and facilities. After Practice Description: Producer has installed four sensors at each monitoring site to a depth of four feet with one sensor representing each foot of depth. Producer periodically downloads continuously recorded soil moisture measurements that are used to schedule irrigation more effectively resulting in improved irrigation water management and reduced energy use. Associated Practices: 441-Irrigation System Micro irrigation, 442-Irrigation System Sprinkler, 443-Irrigation System Surface and Subsurface, 587-Structure for water Control, 328-Conservation Crop Rotation, and 590-Nutrient Management.	Number of Measuring Sites	Each	\$846.55	\$1,269.83	\$1,269.83	\$1,523.79

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
449-15	Advanced IWM	Scenario Description: A high intensity irrigation water management system for producers using a checkbook method with advanced methods of determining irrigation water applied, and estimating crop evapotranspiration, monitoring field soil moisture, or monitoring crop temperature stress. Typical methods include flow measurement, daily record keeping, and use of real-time evapotranspiration estimates (such as those provided dedicated weather stations) and/or soil moisture sensors with automated data logging to monitor field soil moisture content and/or crop temperature. For this scenario, soil moisture is determined by automated soil moisture monitoring stations equipped with telemetry data. Telemetry data is automatically sent to a computer with irrigation software. Irrigator also receives real time data via mobile phone applications. Some data such as total water applied may be entered into computer software manually. Resource Concerns: Insufficient Water Supply-Inefficient use of irrigation water; Degraded Plant Condition-Undesirable plant productivity and health, and Inefficient Energy Use-Equipment and facilities. Associated Practices: 441-Irrigation System, Micro irrigations; 442-Irrigation System, Sprinkler; 443-Irrigation System, Surface and Subsurface. After Practice Description: Irrigations are scheduled based on measured crop water requirements. Records are used to evaluate results of past irrigation events and influence future irrigations. The irrigator keeps records of soil moisture, crop water use, rainfall amounts and irrigation timing and amounts. At the end of the irrigation season all the data has been reviewed and evaluated. Improvements planned for the next season have been determined.	Irrigated Area Managed	Acre	\$8.92	\$13.38	\$13.38	\$16.06

IRRIGATION WATER MANAGEMENT PLAN - WRITTEN Practice Code 118

Non-Livestock Structural Practice

PRS Unit of Measurement: Number

Definition: The objective of Irrigation Water Management (IWM) is to control the volume, frequency, and rate of water for efficient irrigation

Purpose: Promote desired crop response, optimize the use of available water supplies, improve water quality, by reducing irrigation sources of surface and ground water contamination, minimize irrigation induced soil erosion, improve soil environment for vegetative growth, manage salts in the root zone, improve air quality, by reducing movement of particulate matter, provide appropriate and safe fertigation and chemigation, and reduce energy consumption.

The objective of an Irrigation Water Management Plan (IWMP) is to provide the producer a guide for the proper management and application of irrigation water resources. The potential benefits of IWM can be effectively determined by interviewing the producer to identify fields, soils, crops, climate, and available water supply; measuring the volumes of water withdrawn or applied; determining irrigation system uniformity, selecting a method to schedule irrigations, and then combining these components to produce an IWMP for the farm.

Applicability: This practice is applicable to all irrigated lands. An irrigation system adapted for site conditions (soil, slope, crop grown, climate, water quantity and quality, air quality, etc.) must be available and capable of efficiently applying water to meet the intended purpose(s).

Limitations:

Maintenance: Practice must be maintained for a lifespan of 1 year.

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
118-6	Irrigation Water Management Conservation Activity Plan CAP	Scenario Description: Agricultural operations supported with existing irrigation systems. Natural Resource Concern: Water quantity and all other appropriate resource concerns. After Practice Description: After EQIP contract approval, participant has obtained services from a certified TSP for development of the 'Irrigation Water Management' conservation activity plan to control the volume, frequency, and rate of water for efficient irrigation and to address other resource concerns. The CAP criteria requires the plan to meet quality criteria for applicable resource concerns. The CAP plan may include recommendations for associated conservation practices which address other related resource concerns. CAP meets the basic quality criteria for the 118 plan as cited in the NRCS Field Office Technical Guide.	Number	Number	\$2,464.00	\$2,956.80	N/A	N/A

LAND CLEARING Practice Code 460

Non-Livestock Structural Practice

PRS Unit of Measurement: Acre

Definition: Removing trees, stumps, and other vegetation from wooded areas to achieve a conservation objective.

Purpose: Facilitate needed land use adjustments and improvements to an existing site in the interest of natural resource conservation.

Applicability: This practice applies to wooded areas for the removal of trees, stumps, brush, and other vegetation in order to implement a conservation objective.

Limitations:

Maintenance: Practice must be maintained for a lifespan of 10 year.

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
460-1	Non-Heavy Equipment	Scenario Description: Site preparation of a field with a labor crew, chainsaws, chippers or similar equipment removing trees and shrubs to achieve a conservation objective. Typical scenario is approximately 1 acre of trees and shrubs to be cleared. The resource concern is determined by the conservation objective met with the final practice applied to the field. After Practice Description: Labor crew uses chainsaws, chippers, or similar equipment to clear trees and prepare the field for a conservation objective, includes on-site disposal as necessary. Associated practices, like plantings, other structures, or irrigation/drainage water management practices, would be contracted separately as needed.	Area Cleared	Acre	\$346.79	\$520.19	\$520.19	\$624.23
460-2	Heavy Equipment	Scenario Description: Site preparation of a field with dozer or equivalent heavy equipment to achieve a conservation objective. Typical scenario is approximately 10 acres of trees and shrubs to be cleared. The resource concern is determined by the conservation objective met with the final practice applied to the field. After Practice Description: Crew uses 200 HP dozer to clear trees and prepare field for conservation objective, includes on-site debris disposal as necessary. Associated practices, like plantings, other structures, or irrigation/drainage water management practices, would be contracted separately as needed.	Area Cleared	Acre	\$506.99	\$760.48	\$760.48	\$912.58

LIGHTING SYSTEM IMPROVEMENT Practice Code 670

Non-Livestock Structural Practice

PRS Unit of Measurement: Number

Definition: Complete replacement or retrofitting of one or more components of an existing agricultural lighting system.

Purpose: This practice may be applied to reduce energy use.

Applicability: This practice applies to any agricultural facility with an existing lighting system and a completed lighting assessment that complies with the guidelines for a Type 2 on-farm energy audit for the major activity of lighting per ANSI/ASABE S612.

Limitations: Contracts that include Agricultural Energy Management Plans or audits as required for 670 – Lighting System Improvement shall have energy plans or audits sent to the Area Engineer who will forward a copy to Mark Garrison in the State Office for administrative review before certification of plans or installation of practices outlined in plans or audits. Plans must meet the technical requirements of ASABE S612 - Performing On-farm Energy Audits

The Farm Energy Improvement Practices Implementation and Certification Record worksheet will be completed and signed by the participant prior to practice certification for payment.

Maintenance: Practice must be maintained for a lifespan of 10 year.

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
670-1	Lighting - CFL	Scenario Description: Installation of dimmable CFLs to replace incandescent lamps on a one-for-one basis. Light fixtures do not have to be replaced. CFL requirements: minimum 8 Watt, 4100 Kelvin, dimmable, grow-out bulb; industrial grade; suitably protected from dirt accumulation. In high humidity environments or areas subject to wash down, gasketted or weatherproof housings are required to prevent corrosion and premature failure. Payment includes lightbulbs and I a b o r to install. After Practice Description: More efficient lighting is provided by Compact Fluorescent Lamps (CFLs) in order to reduce energy use as evidenced by the energy audit. The resource concern is inefficient use of energy in the farm operation which increases dependence on non-renewable energy sources and can be addressed through improved energy efficiency. Any improvements are based on a Type 2 energy audit meeting the requirements of ASABE S612. Associated practices/activities: 122-AgEMP - HQ, 672 Building Envelope Improvement, and 374-Farmstead Energy Improvement.	Each lamp replaced	Each	\$9.18	\$13.77	\$13.77	\$16.52
670-2	Lighting - LED	Scenario Description: Installation of dimmable LEDs to replace incandescent lamps on a one-for-one basis. Light fixtures do not have to be replaced. LED requirements: minimum 6 Watt, 3700 Kelvin, dimmable, grow-out bulb; industrial grade; suitably protected from dirt accumulation. In high humidity environments or areas subject to wash down, gasketted or weatherproof housings are required to prevent corrosion and premature failure. Payment includes lightbulb and labor to install. After Practice Description: More efficient lighting is provided by Light-Emitting Diode (LED) lamps in order to reduce energy use as evidenced by the energy audit. The resource concern is inefficient use of energy in the farm operation which increases dependence on nonrenewable energy sources and can be addressed through improved energy efficiency. Any improvements are based on a Type 2 energy audit meeting the requirements of ASABE S612. Associated practices/activities: 122-AgEMP - HQ, 672 Building Envelope Improvement, and 374-Farmstead Energy Improvement.	Each lamp replaced	Each	\$11.72	\$17.58	\$17.58	\$21.10

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
670-3	Lighting - Linear Fluorescent	Scenario Description: Installation of a lighting system consisting of a four-foot, three-lamp fixture with a single electronic ballast. The high-efficiency lighting system uses high-efficiency T8 or T5 fluorescent lamps. Associated materials for installation of replacement fixtures are included. Appropriate disposal of existing lamps, ballasts and other materials is required. Payment includes lamps, ballast, fixtures and labor to install. After Practice Description: High-efficiency lighting system which reduces energy use. The new lighting equipment will provide suitable light levels and reduce overall power requirements (kW) compared to the existing lighting system as evidenced by the energy audit. The resource concern is inefficient use of energy in the farm operation which increases dependence on non-renewable energy sources and can be addressed through improved energy efficiency. Any improvements are based on a Type 2 energy audit meeting the requirements of ASABE S612. Associated practices/activities: may include 122-AgEMP - HQ, 672 Building Envelope Improvement, and 374-Farmstead Energy Improvement.	Each fixture replaced	Each	\$172.29	\$258.43	\$258.43	\$310.12
670-4	Lighting - Outdoor/High Bay	Scenario Description: Installation of a lighting system consisting of an outdoor/high bay light such as, but not limited to, pulse-start metal halide (PSMH) lamp with a matched ballast. Associated materials for installation of replacement fixtures are included. Appropriate disposal of existing lamps, ballasts and other materials is required. Payment includes lamp and labor to install. After Practice Description: Highefficiency lighting system which reduces energy use. The new lighting equipment will provide suitable light levels and reduce overall power requirements (kW) compared to the existing lighting system as evidenced by the energy audit. The resource concern is inefficient use of energy in the farm operation which increases dependence on nonrenewable energy sources and can be addressed through improved energy efficiency. Any improvements are based on a Type 2 energy audit meeting the requirements of ASABE S612. Associated practices/activities: may include 122-AgEMP - HQ, 672 Building Envelope Improvement, and 374-Farmstead Energy Improvement.	Each fixture replaced	Each	\$130.84	\$196.25	\$196.25	\$235.50

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
670-5	Function Automatic	Scenario Description: The typical scenario consists of a single function automatic control system for lighting installed on an existing manually controlled lighting system. Typical components may include any of the following: wiring, sensors, data logger, logic controller, communication link, software, switches, and relay. Payment includes materials and appurtenances and labor to install. After Practice Description: An on-farm energy audit has determined that energy use can be reduced through use of an automatic controller that helps regulates the energy consumption of the existing system. The resource concern is inefficient use of energy in the farm operation which increases dependence on non-renewable energy sources and can be addressed through improved energy efficiency. Any improvements are based on a Type 2 energy audit meeting the requirements of ASABE S612. Associated practices/activities may include: 122-AgEMP - HQ, 670-Lighting System Improvement, 672- Building Envelope Improvement, and other activities within 374-Farmstead Energy Improvement.	Each system	Each	\$736.90	\$1,105.35	\$1,105.35	\$1,326.43
670-6	Controller - Multiple Function Automatic Controller System	Scenario Description: The typical scenario consists of a multiple function automatic control system for lighting installed on an existing manually controlled lighting system. Typical components may include any of the following: wiring, sensors, data logger, logic controller, communication link, software, switches, and relay. Payment includes materials and appurtenances and labor to install. After Practice Description: An on-farm energy audit has determined that energy use can be reduced through use of an automatic controller that helps regulates the energy consumption of the existing system. The resource concern is inefficient use of energy in the farm operation which increases dependence on non-renewable energy sources and can be addressed through improved energy efficiency. Any improvements are based on a Type 2 energy audit meeting the requirements of ASABE S612. Associated practices/activities may include: 122-AgEMP - HQ, 670-Lighting System Improvement, 672- Building Envelope Improvement, and other activities within 374-Farmstead Energy Improvement.	Each system	Each	\$2,262.49	\$3,393.74	\$3,393.74	\$4,072.48

LINED WATERWAY OR OUTLET Practice Code 468

Non-Livestock Structural Practice

PRS Unit of Measurement: Feet

Definition: A waterway or outlet having an erosion-resistant lining of concrete, stone, synthetic turf reinforcement fabrics, or other permanent material.

Purpose: This practice may be applied as part of a resource management system to support one or more of the following purposes:

- Provide for safe conveyance of runoff from conservation structures or other water concentrations without causing erosion or flooding
- · Stabilize existing and prevent future gully erosion
- · Protect and improve water quality

Applicability: This practice applies if the following or similar conditions exist:

- 1. Concentrated runoff, steep grades, wetness, prolonged base flow, seepage, or piping is such that a lining is needed to control erosion
- 2. Use by people or animals precludes vegetation as suitable cover.
- 3. Limited space is available for design width, which requires higher velocities and lining.
- 4. Soils are highly erosive or other soil or climatic conditions preclude using vegetation only.

Limitations:

Maintenance: Practice must be maintained for a lifespan of 15 year.

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
468-1	Turf Reinforced Matting	Scenario Description: Install 300 ' long by 15' wide by 1.5' deep trapezoidal or parabolic shaped waterway lined with Turf Reinforced Matting (TRM). 1/2 the channel is excavated. Excess excavation is spoiled in the immediate area. TRM is installed over 100% of the width of the waterway to prevent scour and aid in waterway establishment. Cost include excavation, spoiling of excess material, and furnishing and installing TRM. Lined waterway width is measured from top of bank to top of bank. After Practice Description: TRM lined waterway is 300' long by 15' wide by 1.5' deep. The practice is installed using a hydraulic excavator. TRM is installed by laborers. Associated practices are Subsurface Drain (606), Underground Outlet (620), Structure for Water Control (587), and Critical Area Seeding (342).	Square Foot of Waterway	Square Foot	\$0.39	\$0.59	\$0.59	\$0.71
468-2	Rock Lined - 12in	Scenario Description: Install 300 ' long by 15' wide by 1' deep trapezoidal or parabolic shaped waterway lined with riprap. Half (1/2) the channel is excavated, before excavation for riprap. Excess excavation is spoiled in the immediate area. Riprap is installed over 100% of the width of the waterway to prevent scour. Cost include excavation, spoiling of excess material, geotextile underlayment and installing 9' Rock Riprap. Lined waterway width is measured from top of bank to top of bank. After Practice Description: Rock lined waterway is 300 ' long by 15' wide by 1' deep. Waterway is excavated and rock is placed using a hydraulic excavator. Geotextile underlayment is installed by laborers. Associated practices are Subsurface Drain (606), Underground Outlet (620), Structure for Water Control (587), and Critical Area Seeding (342).	Square Foot of Waterway	Square Foot	\$1.55	\$2.33	\$2.33	\$2.80
468-3	Rock Lined - 24in	Scenario Description: Install 300 ' long by 15' wide by 2' deep trapezoidal or parabolic shaped waterway lined with riprap. Half (1/2) the channel is excavated, before excavation for riprap. Excess excavation is spoiled in the immediate area. Riprap is installed over 100% of the width of the waterway to prevent scour. Cost include excavation, spoiling of excess material, geotextile underlayment and installing 18' Rock Riprap. Lined waterway width is measured from top of bank to top of bank. After Practice Description: Rock lined waterway is 300 ' long by 15' wide by 2' deep. Waterway is excavated and rock is placed using a hydraulic excavator. Geotextile underlayment is installed by laborers. Associated practices are Subsurface Drain (606), Underground Outlet (620), Structure for Water Control (587), and Critical Area Seeding (342).	Square Foot of Waterway	Square Foot	\$3.45	\$5.18	\$5.18	\$6.21

LIVESTOCK PIPELINE Practice Code 516

Non-Livestock Structural Practice

PRS Unit of Measurement: Feet

Definition: Pipeline having an inside diameter of 8 inches or less.

Purpose: To convey water from a source of supply to points of use for livestock.

Applicability: Where it is desirable or necessary to convey water in a closed conduit from one point to another.

Limitations:

Maintenance: Practice must be maintained for a lifespan of 20 years.

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
516-1	Above Ground Pipeline	Scenario Description: An above ground plastic pipeline is installed to convey water from a source of supply to points of use for livestock in a prescribed grazing system or wildlife for temporary watering locations. After Practice Description: An above ground plastic pipeline is installed to convey water from a water source to point of use for temporary watering. Payment incorporates pipe and quick connect coupler and fittings. The pipeline is installed as a facilitating practice for supplying water in a managed grazing system, to reduce soil erosion, improve water quality, improve health and vigor of key forage plant species and improve or maintain animal health. The pipeline is to be protected from UV radiation damage, as well as damage from vehicles, animals, people, and fire. The landowner is responsible for repair or replacement of the pipeline as necessary under O&M during the specified life span of the practice. Cost data is applicable to o r g a n i c and conventional agricultural production systems. Associated practices include Fencing (382), Prescribed Grazing (528), Trails and Walkways (575), Access Control (472), Pumping Plant (533), Water Well (642), Heavy Use Area (561) and Watering Facility (614).	Foot	Foot	\$0.53	\$0.79	\$0.79	\$0.95
516-2	Buried Pipeline, < 2in Plastic	Scenario Description: Installation of a plastic pipeline, less than 2' diameter, to convey water from a source of supply to points of use for livestock in a prescribed grazing system or for wildlife. Installation is by trenching, or by backhoe across a stream or other locations where installation of the pipeline by trenching is not feasible. After Practice Description: A 1½ inch diameter, Schedule 40 PVC plastic pipeline for stock watering, 4165 ft. long is installed for supplying water in a managed grazing system, to reduce soil erosion, improve water quality, improve health and vigor of key forage plant species and improve or maintain animal health. Payment includes couplers and fittings. Cost represents typical situations for conventional, organic, a n d transitioning to organic producers. Associated practices include Fencing (382), Prescribed Grazing (528), Trails and Walkways (575), Access Control (472), Pumping Plant (533), Water Well (642), Heavy Use Area (561) and Watering Facility (614).	Foot	Foot	\$1.24	\$1.86	\$1.86	\$2.24

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
516-3	Buried Pipeline, 2in - 3in Plastic	Scenario Description: Installation of a 2' - 3' diameter plastic pipeline to convey water from a source of supply to points of use for livestock in a prescribed grazing system or for wildlife. Installation is by trenching, or by backhoe across a stream or other locations where installation of the pipeline by trenching is not feasible. After Practice Description: A 2½ inch diameter, Schedule 40 PVC plastic pipeline for stock watering, 3300 ft. long is installed for supplying water in a managed grazing system, to reduce soil erosion, improve water quality, improve health and vigor of key forage plant species and improve or maintain animal health. Payment includes couplers and fittings. Cost represents typical situations for conventional, organic, a n d transitioning to organic producers. Associated practices include Fencing (382), Prescribed Grazing (528), Trails and Walkways (575), Access Control (472), Pumping Plant (533), Water Well (642), Heavy Use Area (561) and Watering Facility (614).	Foot	Foot	\$1.75	\$2.63	\$2.63	\$3.16
516-4	Buried Pipeline, >3in	Scenario Description: Installation of a large diameter plastic pipeline to convey livestock water from a spring development to a watering facility to service a prescribed grazing system. After Practice Description: A delivery pipe (typically 4' diameter, Schedule 40 PVC Plastic) from a spring development to a watering facility, or from water source to watering facility for gravity flow systems. The pipeline is installed as a facilitating practice for supplying water in a managed grazing system, to reduce soil erosion, improve water quality, improve health and vigor of key forage plant species and improve or maintain animal health. Payment incorporates couplers and fittings. Cost represents typical situations for conventional, organic, and transitioning to organic producers. Associated practices include Fencing (382), Prescribed Grazing (528), Trails and Walkways (575), Access Control (472), Pumping Plant (533), Water Well (642), Heavy Use Area (561) and Watering Facility (614).	Foot	Foot	\$3.12	\$4.69	\$4.69	\$5.62

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
516-5	Bedded Pipeline	Scenario Description: Installation of a gravel-bedded plastic pipeline in locations or conditions where the gravel bedding is necessary component of pipeline installation due to shallow bedrock, excessively rocky or otherwise unfavorable soil conditions so that the pipeline is evenly supported and protected from damage throughout the length of the trench. The purpose of the pipeline installation is to convey water from a water supply source to points of use for livestock in a p r e s c r i b e d grazing system or wildlife. After Practice Description: 1600 feet of Schedule 40 PVC plastic pipeline (800' of 1 1/2' diameter, and 800' of 2 1/2' diameter) is installed in gravel bedding in pastureland as part of a livestock water delivery system. The pipeline is installed as a facilitating practice for supplying water in a managed grazing system, to reduce soil erosion, improve water quality, improve health and vigor of key forage plant species and improve or maintain animal health. Cost represents typical situations for conventional, organic, and transitioning to organic producers. Associated practices include Fencing (382), Prescribed Grazing (528), Trails and Walkways (575), Access Control (472), Pumping Plant (533), Water Well (642), Heavy Use Area (561) and Watering Facility (614).	Foot	Foot	\$2.06	\$3.10	\$3.10	\$3.71
516-6	Cased Pipeline with Boring	Scenario Description: Installation of a 2'- 3' plastic pipeline within an outer casing, bored under a road or other obstruction to convey water from a source of supply to points of use for livestock in a prescribed grazing system or wildlife. After Practice Description: The typical installation consists of installing 60 feet of a 2.5 inch, Schedule 40 PVC plastic pipe with a 4 inch outer casing under a roadbed. Pipeline boring includes all pipe under roadbed and labor and equipment involved during installation of pipe. The pipeline is installed as a facilitating practice for supplying water in a managed grazing system, to reduce soil erosion, improve water quality, improve health and vigor of key forage plant species and improve or maintain animal health. Payment incorporates couplers and fittings. Cost represents typical situations for conventional, organic, and transitioning to organic producers. Associated practices include Fencing (382), Prescribed Grazing (528), Trails and Walkways (575), Access Control (472), Pumping Plant (533), Water Well (642), Heavy Use Area (561) and Watering Facility (614).	Foot	Foot	\$51.45	\$77.18	\$77.18	\$92.62

MULCHING Practice Code 484

Non-Livestock Vegetative Practice

PRS Unit of Measurement: Acres

Definition: Applying plant residues, by-products or other suitable materials produced off site, to the land surface.

Purpose: This practice may be used to: Conserve soil moisture, moderate soil temperature, provide erosion control, suppress weed growth, establish vegetative cover, improve soil condition and increase soil fertility.

Applicability: This practice applies to all lands where mulches are needed. This practice may be used alone or in combination with other practices.

Limitations:

Maintenance: Practice must be maintained for a lifespan of 1 year.

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
484-1	Natural Material, Vegetation Establishment	Scenario Description: Application of straw mulch or other state approved natural material to reduce erosion and facilitate the establishment of vegetative cover. Mulch provides a minimum of 70% ground coverage on a disturbed site around a newly constructed structural practice and is generally used with critical area planting. After Practice Description: Straw mulch has been applied to areas needing mulch. Erosion and sedimentation is reduced, water and soil quality is protected, and vegetative cover is established. Associated Practice: 342 Critical Area Planting	Area Covered by Mulch	Acre	\$156.57	\$234.85	\$234.85	\$281.82
484-2	Erosion Control Blanket, Vegetation Establishment	Scenario Description: Installation of erosion control blanket on critical areas with steep slopes, grassed waterways or diversions. Blanket is typically made of coconut coir, wood fiber, or straw and is typically covered on both sides with polypropylene netting. Used to help control erosion and establish vegetative cover on a disturbed site around a newly constructed structural practices and is generally used with critical area planting. After Practice Description: The erosion control blanket is placed on concentrated flow areas and secured with ground stables. Soil erosion is minimized and vegetative cover is established. Associated Practice: 342 Critical Area Planting	Area Covered by Mulch	Acre	\$3,735.66	\$5,603.48	\$5,603.48	\$6,724.18
484-3	Erosion Control Blanket for Endangered Species, Vegetation Establishment	Scenario Description: Installation of erosion control blanket on critical areas with steep slopes, grassed waterways or diversions. Blanket is typically made of straw fiber and is typically covered on both sides with biodegradable netting (Leno woven on top net). Used to help control erosion and establish vegetative cover on a disturbed site around a newly constructed structural practices, while preventing entanglement or entrapment of an endangered snake species. Installation of an ECB with this type of netting is more labor intensive than traditional blankets. This practice is typically used with critical area planting. After Practice Description: The erosion control blanket is placed on concentrated flow areas and secured with ground stables. Soil erosion is minimized and vegetative cover is established.	Area Covered by Mulch	Acre	\$4,516.05	\$6,774.07	\$6,774.07	\$8,128.89

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
484-4	Natural Material, Soil Moisture Management	Scenario Description: Application of straw mulch or other state approved natural material (such as wood chips, compost, or hay) to conserve soil moisture, reduce erosion, moderate soil temperature and improve soil health. Typically used to provide partial coverage (either in-row or between rows) with tree/shrub plantings, irrigated orchards or vineyards, or annual and perennial specialty crops. Mulches applied around growing plants shall have 100 % ground cover. Thickness of the mulch shall be adequate to prevent evaporation. Payment based on total acres mulched, assuming 3-5 ft. swatch and 10-12 ft. row s p a c i n g . After Practice Description: Straw or other natural mulch is applied in rows by hand or by mechanized means. Soil moisture is conserved, energy use associated with irrigation is decreased, and soil health is improved.	Area Covered by Mulch	Acre	\$204.56	\$306.84	\$306.84	\$368.20
484-5	Synthetic Material, Soil Moisture Management	Scenario Description: Installation of geotextile, biodegradable plastic, polyethylene plastic, or other state approved synthetic mulch to conserve soil moisture, reduce erosion, and moderate soil temperature. Typically used in-row with tree/shrub plantings, irrigated orchards or vineyards, or annual and perennial specialty crops. Payment based on actual area covered by mulching material. After Practice Description: Synthetic mulch is applied in rows with a mulch layer or by other mechanized means. Soil moisture is conserved and energy use associated with irrigation is decreased.	Area Covered by Mulch	Acre	\$826.91	\$1,240.37	\$1,240.37	\$1,488.44
484-6	Tree and Shrub, Individual Treatment, Soil Moisture Management	Scenario Description: Weed barrier fabric or other suitable natural or synthetic mulch is installed with a new tree and shrub planting where planting material are not planted in rows, thus requiring each tree or shrub to be treated individually. Typically used to conserve soil moisture, reduce erosion, and moderate soil temperature. Rate is per tree/shrub and assumes 1 square yard of weed barrier fabric and 5 staples/tree. Typical scenario is an installation of 100 native trees and shrubs to enhance wildlife habitat. After Practice Description: Weed barrier fabric squares are installed with 5 sod staples each, around individual trees and shrubs. Soil moisture is conserved and energy use associated with irrigation is decreased improving growth and survival of trees/shrubs.	Number of Trees Mulched	Each	\$1.17	\$1.75	\$1.75	\$2.10

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
484-7	Natural Material, Soil Moisture Management, Seasonal High Tunnel	Scenario Description: Application of straw mulch or other state approved natural material (such as wood chips, compost, or hay) to conserve soil moisture, moderate soil temperature and improve soil health within a Season High Tunnel. Typically used to provide 100% coverage (in-row and between rows) to suppress weeds competing with annual and perennial crops crown in the high tunnel. Mulches applied around growing plants shall have 100% ground cover. Thickness of the mulch shall be adequate to prevent evaporation. After Practice Description: Straw or other natural mulch is applied in tightly spaced rows by hand. Soil moisture is conserved, energy use associated with irrigation is decreased, and soil health is improved.	Each Seasonal High Tunnel	Each	\$18.46	\$27.70	\$27.70	\$33.24
484-8	Synthetic Material, Soil Moisture Management, Seasonal High Tunnel	Scenario Description: Installation of geotextile, biodegradable plastic, polyethylene plastic, or other state approved synthetic mulch to conserve soil moisture, and moderate soil temperature within a Seasonal High Tunnel. Typically used in row with annual and perennial crops crown in the high tunnel. After Practice Description: Synthetic mulch is applied in rows by hand. Soil moisture is conserved and energy use associated with irrigation is decreased.	Each Seasonal High Tunnel	Each	\$37.30	\$55.96	\$55.96	\$67.15

NUTRIENT MANAGEMENT Practice Code 590

Non-Livestock Management Practice

PRS Unit of Measurement: Acre

Definition: Managing the amount (rate), source, placement (method of application), and timing of plant nutrients and soil amendments.

Purpose: • To budget, supply, and conserve nutrients for plant production.

- To minimize agricultural nonpoint source pollution of surface and groundwater resources.
- To properly utilize manure, municipal and industrial bio solids, and other organic by-products as plant nutrient sources.
- To protect air quality by reducing odors, nitrogen emissions (ammonia, oxides of nitrogen), and the formation of atmospheric particulates.
- To maintain or improve the physical, chemical, and biological condition of soil.

Applicability: This practice applies to all lands where plant nutrients and soil amendments are applied.

Enhanced Level Options: For enhance levels apply 2 from the list below:

- 1. Apply N in-season including a split application according to ISU PM1714 "Nitrogen Fertilizer Recommendations for Corn in Iowa". Optionally use the Late Spring Nitrate Test to evaluate soil N or ISU PM-2026 "Sensing Nitrogen Stress in Corn" and document how the side-dress rate decision was made.
- 2. Utilize legumes other than soybeans in rotation.
- 3. Utilize fall stalk tests to evaluate Nitrogen management (source, rate, timing, and placement) and document how this information will affect future N management. (Required for adaptive management scenario)
- 4. Apply manure at P based rates when PI is low or very low.
- 5 a. Variable rate manure or commercial fertilizer within field based on soil tests.

OR

- b. Use of Site Specific Nutrient Applications using GPS/satellites and variable rate (accutract) nutrient applications.
- 6. Apply N after July 15 on pasture or no N on Pasture.
- 7. When applying >60# N on pasture that has <30% legume, use split application.
- 8. Utilize a slow release N such as a polymer coated urea (ex. ESN)
- 9. Utilize nitrapyrin with fall applied anhydrous ammonia.
- 10. Inject manure with low disturbance, minimum of 30 inch spacing without covering disks.

Limitations: All categories with enhancements require the following 1) If associated with irrigated land must also apply 449 Irrigation Water Management, 2) If associated with drained land must also apply 554 Drainage Water Management if feasible.

Nutrient Management is capped (lifetime) at \$16,000/Participant and \$24,000/Historically Underserved Participant.

Maintenance: Practice must be maintained for a lifespan of 1 year.

Payment Schedule: This Payment is available for the first 3 consecutive years that the activities are applied.

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
590-1	Specialty Crop NM	Scenario Description: Small farm/diversified systems include CSA's (community supported agriculture), truck crop farms, market gardens, orchards, etc., where numerous variable crops are grown on small acreages. This scenario attempts to capture the higher cost/acre of nutrient management planning and implementation on smaller production areas (usually between 0.25-10 acres) with multiple crops, often times with multiple harvests per year that require intense and diversified nutrient management. The planned NM system for this organic or conventional production system will meet current 590 Nutrient Management criteria. Payment for implementation of this scenario is to defray the costs of soil testing, manure and/or compost analysis, and consultant services that provide nutrient management recommendations, associated nutrient budgets, and recordkeeping. Records demonstrating implementation of the 4 R's of NM will be required. After Practice Description: Plan Development: • A nutrient management system will be developed to meet the 590 nutrient management standard and national organic program regulations where applicable. • A nutrient management budget will be developed annually for each "crop block" or each crop rotation pertaining to a block of ground based on soil test analysis and land grant university recommendations or crop removal rates. Testing/Nutrient Data Collection: • Application rates of all nutrients are based upon soil test analyses either LGU recommendations, crop removal rates, or industry standard. Producer Activities/Equipment Needed: • Application of nutrients will be completed at the proper rate, timing, and methods, and sources. Applications will be completed in a manner that minimizes nutrient runoff and leaching or buildup of excess nutrient concentrations. • Records will be maintained annually of the current soil test, analyses, and amount of application, forms and rates of nutrients for each crop block.	0	Each	\$912.87	\$1,369.30	\$1,369.30	\$1,643.16

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
590-2	Basic NM	Scenario Description: This scenario describes the implementation of a basic nutrient management system on cropland or hay land (nonorganic and organic) where there is no manure application. The planned NM system will meet the current 590 standard. Implementation will result in the proper rate, source, method of placement, and timing of nutrients. Payment for implementation is to defray the costs of soil testing, analysis, consultant services that provide nutrient recommendations based on Land Grant University (LGU) recommendations or crop removal rates and an associated nutrient budget, and recordkeeping. Records demonstrating implementation of the 4 R's of the NM criteria will be required. After Practice Description: Plan Development: • A nutrient management system will be developed to meet the NRCS 590 standard. • The development and implementation of a nutrient management plan (NMP) will benefit plant productivity and reduce off-site degradation. • A nutrient management budget will be developed for each field(s) based on soil test analysis and land grant university recommendations or crop removal rates. Testing/Nutrient Data Collection: • On planning units typically 40 acres or larger, soil testing is completed according to LGU recommendations. The use of pre-plant soil tests will assist with the proper development of the annual nutrient budget. • The use of post-harvest soil tests (results interpreted by crop consultant) will help establish the adequacy of the plan in meeting crop needs while minimizing P application rate and residual N, thus reducing the potential for off-site impacts. Producer Activities/Equipment Needed: • Records are maintained annually documenting current soil test, analysis, amount of application, forms and rates of nutrients for each field, including post-harvest analysis. • Applications will be completed in a manner that minimizes nutrient runoff and leaching or buildup of excess nutrient concentrations.	0	Acre	\$8.90	\$13.35	\$13.35	\$16.02

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
590-3	Basic NM with Manure	Scenario Description: This scenario describes the implementation of a basic nutrient management system on cropland or hay land (nonorganic and organic) where there is manure or compost application in addition to commercial fertilizer applications. The planned NM system will meet the current 590 standard. Implementation will result in the proper rate, source, method of placement, and timing of nutrients while minimizing off-site degradation or the excessive built up of N and P. Payment for implementation is to defray the costs of soil testing, manure testing, analysis, proper implementation, consultant services that provide nutrient recommendations based on Land Grant University (LGU) recommendations or crop removal rates and an associated nutrient budget, and recordkeeping. Risk assessments including PI (phosphorus index) and NI (nitrogen index) will be completed with applications of manure completed based on risk results. Records demonstrating implementation of the 4 R's of the NM plan will be required along with copies of risk assessments. After Practice Description: Plan Development: • A nutrient management system that includes manure as a source will be developed to meet the NRCS 590 standard. • The development and implementation of a nutrient management plan (NMP) will benefit plant productivity and reduce off-site degradation. • A nutrient management plan will be developed for each field(s) based on soil tests and manure test analysis along with land grant university recommendations or crop removal rates. Testing/Nutrient Data Collection: • Soil testing is completed according to LGU recommendations. • The use of pre-plant soil tests will assist with the proper development of the annual nutrient budget. Applications of manure are based on risk assessments (PI - phosphorus index). • The use of pre-side- dress soil nitrogen test (PSNT) or a Pre-Top Dress Tissue test (PTDTT) prior to the rapid biomass growth of the plant will assist the producer in evaluating the mineralization of Nitrogen from manures/cover	0	Acre	\$13.68	\$20.52	\$20.52	\$24.62

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
590-4	Enhanced NM	Scenario Description: This scenario describes a conventional cropping system where either no nutrient management or only a basic level of nutrient management is being practiced. An enhanced nutrient management system includes activities such as split applications, multiple nutrient concentration tests (other than only soil tests) and methods that more concisely enable scheduling of appropriate fertilizer applications. Nutrients are transported to surface waters through runoff or wind erosion in quantities that degrade water quality and limit use of intended purposes. After Practice Description: Plan Development: • The development and implementation of a Nutrient Management Plan (NMP) that meets and exceeds the NRCS 590 standard will benefit plant productivity and reduce off-site movement of nutrients. • The NMP will stress the use of the four R's (Right Source of Nutrients, Right Time of Application). • These include practices such as use of split applications, slow release nutrients, proper timing of application, more appropriate formulations, banding, etc. • A nutrient budget is developed for each field or section of field annually. • Further minimization of risk is accomplished by identifying the variability across the field(s) by using soil survey maps or other simple techniques to establish zones, along with zonal soil testing. Testing/Nutrient data collection: • The use of pre-plant soil tests will assist with the development of the annual nutrient budget in accordance with Land Grant University fertilizer guides. • Soil testing is completed according to Land Grant University (LGU) recommendations. • Use of a post-harvest soil test (interpreted by a crop consultant) will help establish the adequacy of the plan in meeting crop needs while minimizing P application rate and residual N, thus reducing the potential for off-site impacts. • Analysis are completed using a GPS guided variable rate fertilizer applicator. • Application rates of nutrients via fertilizers, and/or manures applied in a manner that mini	0	Acre	\$20.60	\$30.91	\$30.91	\$37.09

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
590-5	Enhanced NM with Manure	Scenario Description: This scenario describes a conventional cropping system where either no nutrient management or only a basic nutrient management is being practiced. Manure is applied in addition to commercial fertilizer. An enhanced nutrient management system includes split applications and multiple nutrient concentration tests (other than only soil tests) and methods that more concisely enable scheduling of appropriate fertilizer applications. Nutrients are transported to surface waters through runoff or wind erosion in quantities that degrade water quality and limit use of intended purposes. After Practice Description: Plan Development: • The development and implementation of a Nutrient Management Plan (NMP) that meets and exceeds the NRCS 590 standard will benefit plant productivity and reduce off-site movement of nutrients. • The NMP will stress the use of the four R's (Right Source of Nutrients, Right Time of Application, Right Rate, and Right Method of Application). • These include practices such as use of split applications, slow release nutrients, proper timing of application, more appropriate formulations, banding, etc. • A nutrient budget is developed for each field or section of field annually. Testing/Nutrient data collection: • Soil testing is completed according to LGU recommendations. • Analysis are completed at least once every three years for N-P-K (soil tests). • The use of pre-plant soil tests will assist with the development of the annual nutrient budget in accordance with Land Grant University fertilizer guides. • Use of a post-harvest soil test and manure tests (interpreted by a crop consultant) will help establish the adequacy of the plan in meeting crop needs while minimizing P application rate and residual N, thus reducing the potential for off-site impacts. • Further minimization of risk is accomplished by identifying the variability across the field(s) by using soil survey maps or other simple techniques to establish zones, along with zonal soil testing. Producer Activities/Equipm	0	Acre	\$26.96	\$40.44	\$40.44	\$48.53

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
590-6	Enhanced NM with Tissue Testing	Scenario Description: This scenario describes the implementation of an advanced precision nutrient management system on cropland. The planned NM system will meet the current 590 standard. Payment for implementation is to defray the costs of soil testing, analysis, consultant services, skilled labor and specialized nutrient application that provide nutrient proper recommendations based on Land Grant University (LGU) recommendations or crop removal rates and an associated nutrient budget, recordkeeping, and monitoring on a precision level that includes split applications, Normalized Differential Vegetation Index (NDVI) sensing, and aerial imaging. Records are kept demonstrating implementation of the 4 R's of the NM plan. This scenario goes beyond the enhanced system by using technologies t h a t improve efficiency and effectiveness of nutrient management by utilizing specialized precision techniques ensure that the right rate, proper timing, and proper placement of nutrients minimize non-point source pollution and provide proper amounts of nutrients to the crop where it is needed and not applying where it is not needed. After Practice Description: Plan Development: An application rate (prescription) is developed for each zone based on representative soil analysis and a zone nutrient budget: An untrient budget is developed for each field annually. Yield monitoring maps will be collected and utilized (where technology allows) to develop the following year nutrient applications. Testing/Nutrient Data Collection: Soil testing is completed in a fashion that provides a representative assessment of nutrient concentrations in each field or planning unit including zone directed sampling, real time Normalized Differential Vegetation Index (NDVI) sensing, Electrical Conductivity (EC) Index type sampling, or via high definition aerial photography that allows for the identification of numerous variations (zones) in a planning unit. * Zone maps are created and a nutrient budget. * Nutrients are applied based on realistic yield	0	Acre	\$35.21	\$52.81	\$52.81	\$63.38

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
590-7	Adaptive NM	Scenario Description: The practice scenario is for the implementation of nutrient management on a small plot where Nitrogen use efficiency is evaluated. Scenario includes implementing replicated strip trials on a field plot to evaluate, identify and implement various nutrient use efficiency improvement methods for timing, rate, method of application, or source of nutrients. After Practice Description: Installation of this scenario will result in adapting the four R's of nutrient management (right source, right rate, right timing and right placement) by following the procedures outlined in Agronomy Technical Note 7 - Adaptive Nutrient Management Process. Replicated plots will be established to evaluate one or more of the 4 R's. Plots with a minimum of 4 replications each of two treatments will be designed, laid out, managed and evaluated with the assistance of an individual certified in nutrient management planning and implementation. Yields and other appropriate data will be measured and statistically summarized following the procedures in Agronomy Technical Note 7 - Adaptive Nutrient Management Process. Results will be used to adapt the nutrient management plan for subsequent years to address nutrient use efficiency and water quality planning criteria with the reasoning clearly explained.	0	Each	\$1,833.31	\$2,749.96	\$2,749.96	\$3,299.95

NUTRIENT MANAGEMENT PLAN - WRITTEN Practice Code 104

PRS Unit of Measurement: Number

Definition: Nutrient management plans are documents of record of how nutrients will be managed for plant production and to address the environmental concerns with the offsite movement of nutrients. These plans are prepared in collaboration with producer and/or landowner and are designed to help the producer with implementation and maintenance activities associated with the plan.

A Nutrient Management conservation activity plan must:

- a. Meet NRCS quality criteria for soil erosion (sheet, rill, wind, and ephemeral/concentrate flow erosion), water quality and quantity, and other identified resource concerns;
- b. Be developed in accordance with technical requirements of the NRCS Field Office Technical Guide (FOTG) and policy requirements of General Manual, Title 190, Part 402, Nutrient Management; and guidance contained in the National Agronomy Manual, Subpart 503C.
- c. Comply with federal, state, tribal, and local laws, regulations and permit requirements; and
- d. Satisfy the operator's objectives.

Purpose: The Nutrient Management Plan shall address the resource concerns identified and the conservation practices needed to comprise a conservation system. Document the planned conservation practices, the site specific specifications for the practice, the amount to be applied, and schedule of application.

Applicability: On lands in Iowa that will benefit from the development and implementation of a Nutrient Management Plan.

Limitations:

Maintenance: Practice must be maintained for a lifespan of 1 year.

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
104-32	Nutrient Management Plan Than or Equal to 100 Acres (Not part of a CNMP)	Scenario Description: Various on-farm land uses where natural or artificial amendments are applied. Natural Resource Concern: Water Quality, Soil Erosion, Water Quantity, and other associated resource concerns. After Practice Description: After EQIP contract approval, participant has obtained services from a certified TSP for develop of the 'Nutrient Management' conservation activity plan consistent with the criteria in CAP 104 and 590 Nutrient Management. The CAP criteria requires the plan to meet quality criteria for the primary Water Quality resource concern and other applicable resource concerns and provides for opportunities to manage nutrients for plant production and address offsite movement of nutrients. The CAP plan may include recommendations for associated conservation practices which address other related resource concerns. CAP meets the basic q u a l i t y criteria for the 104 plan as cited in the NRCS Field Office Technical Guide and CPS 590 Nutrient Management.	Number	Number	\$1,740.99	\$2,089.19	N/A	N/A
104-33	Nutrient Management Plan 101-300 Acres (Not part of a CNMP)	Scenario Description: Various on-farm land uses where organic or inorganic amendments are applied. Natural Resource Concern: Water Quality, Soil Erosion, Water Quantity, and other associated resource concerns. After Practice Description: After EQIP contract approval, participant has obtained services from a certified TSP for develop of the 'Nutrient Management' conservation activity plan. The CAP criteria requires the plan to meet Nutrient Management criteria for the primary Water Quality resource concern and other applicable resource concerns and provides for opportunities to manage nutrients for plant production and address offsite movement of nutrients. The CAP plan may include recommendations for associated conservation practices which address other related resource concerns. CAP meets the basic criteria for the 104 plan as cited in the NRCS Field Office Technical Guide.	Number	Number	\$2,321.32	\$2,785.59	N/A	N/A

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
104-34	Nutrient Management Plan Greater Than 300 Acres (Not part of a CNMP)	Scenario Description: Various on-farm land uses where organic or inorganic amendments are applied. Natural Resource Concern: Water Quality, Soil Erosion, Water Quantity, and other associated resource concerns. After Practice Description: After EQIP contract approval, participant has obtained services from a certified TSP for development of the 'Nutrient Management' conservation activity plan. The CAP criteria requires the plan to meet quality criteria for the primary Water Quality resource concern and other applicable resource concerns and provides for opportunities to manage nutrients for plant production and address offsite movement of nutrients. The CAP plan may include recommendations for associated conservation practices which address other related resource concerns. CAP meets the basic criteria for the 104 plan as cited in the NRCS Field Office Technical Guide.	Number	Number	\$2,901.65	\$3,481.99	N/A	N/A
104-35	Less Than or	Scenario Description: Various on-farm land uses where natural or artificial nutrient amendments are applied. Natural Resource Concern: Water Quality, Soil Erosion, Water Quantity, and other associated resource concerns. After Practice Description: After EQIP contract approval, participant has obtained services from a certified TSP for develop of the 'Nutrient Management' conservation activity plan. The CAP criteria requires the plan to meet nutrient criteria for the primary Water Quality resource concern in 590 and other applicable resource concerns and provides for opportunities to manage nutrients for plant production and address offsite movement of nutrients. The CAP plan may include recommendations for associated conservation practices which address other related resource concerns. CAP meets the basic criteria for the 104 plan as cited in the NRCS Field Office Technical Guide.	Number	Number	\$2,901.65	\$3,481.99	N/A	N/A

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
104-36	Nutrient Management Plan 101-300 Acres (Element of a CNMP)	Scenario Description: Various on-farm land uses where organic or inorganic amendments are applied. Natural Resource Concern: Water Quality, Soil Erosion, Water Quantity, and other associated resource concerns. After Practice Description: After EQIP contract approval, participant has obtained services from a certified TSP for develop of the 'Nutrient Management' conservation activity plan. The CAP criteria requires the plan to meet 590 criteria for the primary Water Quality resource concern and other applicable resource concerns and provides for opportunities to manage nutrients for plant production and address offsite movement of nutrients. The CAP plan may include recommendations for associated conservation practices which address other related resource concerns. CAP meets the basic quality criteria for the 104 plan as cited in the NRCS Field Office Technical Guide.	Number	Number	\$4,062.32	\$4,874.78	N/A	N/A
104-37	Greater Than 300 Acres (Element of	Scenario Description: Various on-farm land uses where organic or inorganic amendments are applied. Natural Resource Concern: Water Quality, Soil Erosion, Water Quantity, and other associated resource concerns. After Practice Description: After EQIP contract approval, participant has obtained services from a certified TSP for develop of the 'Nutrient Management' conservation activity plan. The CAP criteria requires the plan to meet 590 criteria for the primary Water Quality resource concern and other applicable resource concerns and provides for opportunities to manage nutrients for plant production and address offsite movement of nutrients. The CAP plan may include recommendations for associated conservation practices which address other related resource concerns. CAP meets the basic criteria for the 104 plan as cited in the NRCS Field Office Technical Guide.	Number	Number	\$4,932.81	\$5,919.38	N/A	N/A

OBSTRUCTION REMOVAL Practice Code 500

Livestock Structural Practice

PRS Unit of Measurement: Acre

Definition: Removal and disposal of buildings, structures, other works of improvement, vegetation, debris or other materials.

Purpose: To safely remove and dispose of unwanted obstructions in order to apply conservation practices or facilitate the planned land use.

Applicability: On any land where existing obstructions interfere with planned land use development, public safety or infrastructure. This standard is not intended for the removal of obstructions from aquatic environments.

Limitations: This practice may be used to provide financial assistance for the removal of feedlot fences and feedlot concrete as part of closing a feedlot associated with the installation of a new (313) Waste Storage Structure or (367) Roofs and Covers.

Maintenance: Practice must be maintained for a lifespan of 10 year.

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
500-1	Removal and Disposal of Concrete Slab	Scenario Description: Remove and disposal of concrete slabs by saw cutting, demolition, excavation or other means required for removal. Dispose of concrete slabs so that it does not impede subsequent work or cause onsite or offsite damage. Dispose of all concrete slabs by removal to an approved location, or reuse location. Typical disposal is burial on site. Remove and dispose all concrete slabs in order to apply conservation practices or facilitate the planned land use. Concrete slab removal will address the resource concerns of the prevention or hindrance to the installation of conservation practices or present a hazard to their use and enjoyment. After Practice Description: The typical area will be a 1000 square feet of impaired land. The removal of concrete slabs will be performed by demolition, excavation or other means required for removal with the use of heavy equipment and hand labor. Dispose of all concrete slabs from the obstruction removal so that it does not impede subsequent work or cause onsite or offsite damage. Revegetate or otherwise protect from erosion disturbed areas as soon as possible. Refer to NRCS Conservation Practice Standard 342, Critical Area Planting for seedbed preparation, seeding, fertilizing, and mulching requirements. The practice is to improve site conditions in order to apply conservation practices or facilitate better use of the landscape.	Land Area	Square Foot	\$0.39	\$0.58	\$0.58	\$0.70

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
500-2	Removal and Disposal of Fence, Feedlot	Scenario Description: Remove and disposal of all existing fences around a livestock feeding/waste facility by demolition, excavation or other means required for removal. Dispose of all fence materials from the site so that it does not impede subsequent work or cause onsite or offsite damage. Dispose of all materials by removal to an approved landfill, wood chipping and land distribution, or recycling center, burial at an approved location or burning. If burning is used, implement appropriate smoke management to protect public health and safety. Fence removal will address the resource concerns of the prevention or hindrance to the installation of conservation practices or present a hazard to their use and enjoyment. After Practice Description: The typical feedlot fence will be 800 in linear feet. The removal of the fence will be performed with the use of equipment and hand labor. Dispose of all debris from the fence removal so that it does not impede subsequent work or cause onsite or offsite damage. Revegetate or otherwise protect from erosion disturbed areas as soon as possible. Refer to NRCS Conservation Practice Standard 342, Critical Area Planting for seedbed preparation, seeding, fertilizing, and mulching requirements. The practice is to improve site conditions in order to apply conservation practices or facilitate better use of the landscape such as Upland Wildlife Habitat Management (645).	Length of Fence	Foot	\$1.49	\$2.24	\$2.24	\$2.69

OPEN CHANNEL Practice Code 582

Non - Livestock Structural Practice

PRS Unit of Measurement: Feet

Definition: Constructing or improving a channel either natural or artificial in which water flows with a free surface.

Purpose: To provide discharge capacity required for flood prevention, drainage, other authorized water management purposes, or any combination of these purposes.

Applicability: This standard applies to construction of new channels or modifications of existing channels. It applies where stability requirements can be met, where the impact of the proposed construction on water quality, fish and wildlife habitat, forest resources and quality of the landscape is evaluated, and the techniques and measures necessary to overcome the undesirable effects are made part of any planned work. It is also necessary to provide an outlet for discharge by gravity flow or pumping and where excavation or other channel work does not cause significant erosion, flooding, or sedimentation. This standard does not apply to short reaches of streams that should be treated by using Streambank and Shoreline Protection (580) or Channel Bed

Limitations:

Maintenance: Practice must be maintained for a lifespan of 15 year.

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
582-1	Two stage ditch	Scenario Description: This scenario is the improvement of a channel in which water flows with a free surface. The practice is used for the restoration of a natural or artificial channel to improve nutrient (phosphorus and nitrate) reduction and ecological function by creating a floodplain bench. Installation conditions are normal which means the location is easily accessible from a main road, soils are without large rock or difficult clay to excavate, and/or other aspects are average compared to excavation work in the area. After Practice Description: An earthen floodplain bench is excavated above low channel flow to create floodplain flow area and to stabilize the bottom and side slopes. Nutrients are reduced in the water through bench saturation. Erosion is no longer a resource concern. Typical construction dimensions are similar to Fig. 10-9 in Stream Restoration Design handbook with 10 ft. wide benches excavated on either side of 6 ft. deep ditch. Total excavation = 5' x 10' X 2 sides = 100 cubic feet per foot. Cool season grasses are established on the bench and slope areas using 342 Critical Area Planting. Need for mulching (straw or erosion control blanket) would be accomplished through 484-Mulching as necessary. Associated practices: 356-Dike, 393-Filter Strip, 484-Mulching 587-Structure For Water Control, 533-Pumping Plant, 580 Streambank and Shoreline Protection, 584 Channel Stabilization, 578 Stream Crossing.	length of channel	Foot	\$5.37	\$8.05	\$8.05	\$9.66

POLLINATOR HABITAT PLAN - WRITTEN Practice Code 146

PRS Unit of Measurement: Number

Definition: A pollinator habitat enhancement plan is a site-specific conservation plan developed for a client that addresses the improvement, restoration, enhancement, expansion of flower-rich habitat that supports native and/or managed pollinators.

The pollinator habitat enhancement plan will:

- A. Meet NRCS quality criteria for soil erosion control, water quality, soil quality, plant condition, fish and wildlife, rangeland/pasture/grazed woodland health and productivity, and other identified resource concerns.
- B. Comply with federal, state, tribal, and local laws, regulations, and permit requirements.
- C. Meet the client's objectives.
- 2. Pollinator Habitat Enhancement Plan Technical Criteria

Purpose: Conservation plan to address the resource needs for the "Pollinator Habitat Enhancement Plan". The record of decisions shall include the planned practice, schedule for implementation, and site specific specifications to apply the conservation practice. The site specific specifications can be on an NRCS Job Sheet available for the conservation practice or in a narrative form for the non-engineering type practices. Planned engineering type practices shall include the conservation practice, schedule of implementation, and identified on the plan map.

Applicability: On lands in lowa that will benefit from the development and implementation of a Pollinator Habitat Enhancement Plan.

Limitations:

Maintenance: Practice must be maintained for a lifespan of 1 year.

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
146-10	Pollinator Habitat Enhancement Plan CAP	Scenario Description: Various on-farm land uses. Natural Resource Concern: Fish and Wildlife, Plant Condition, Soil Erosion, Water Quality on an agricultural operation. After Practice Description: After EQIP contract approval, participant has obtained services from a certified TSP for develop of the 'Pollinator Habitat Enhancement' conservation activity plan. The CAP criteria requires the plan to meet quality criteria for applicable resource concerns and provides for opportunities to improve, restore, or enhance flower-rich habitat that supports native and/or managed pollinator species. The CAP plan may include recommendations for associated conservation practices which address other related resource concerns. CAP meets the basic quality criteria for the 146 plan as cited in the NRCS Field Office Technical Guide.	Number	Number	\$2,510.43	\$3,012.51	N/A	N/A
146-11	Pollinator Habitat Enhancement Plan CAP - No Local TSP	Scenario Description: Various on-farm land uses, No qualified TSP within 300 miles. Natural Resource Concern: Fish and Wildlife, Plant Condition, Soil Erosion, Water Quality on an agricultural operation. After Practice Description: After EQIP contract approval, participant has obtained services from a certified TSP for develop of the "Pollinator Inator Habitat Enhancement' conservation activity plan. The CAP criteria requires the plan to meet quality criteria for applicable resource concerns and provides for opportunities to improve, restore, or enhance flower-rich habitat that supports native and/or managed pollinator species. The CAP plan may include recommendations for associated conservation practices which address other related resource concerns. CAP meets the basic quality criteria for the 146 plan as cited in the NRCS Field Office Technical Guide.	Number	Number	\$3,646.10	\$4,375.31	N/A	N/A

POND

Practice Code 378

Livestock Structural Practice

PRS Unit of Measurement: Number

Definition: A water impoundment made by constructing a dam, an embankment or by excavating a pit or dugout. In this standard, ponds constructed by the first method are referred to as embankment ponds, and those constructed by the second method are referred to as excavated ponds. Ponds constructed by both the excavation and the embankment methods are classified as embankment ponds if the depth of water impounded against the embankment at the auxiliary spillway elevation is 3 feet or more.

Purpose: To provide water for livestock.

Applicability: Site conditions shall be such that runoff from the design storm (see Table 2 in the Pond Standard) can be safely passed through (1) a natural or constructed vegetated earth spillway, (2) a combination of a principal spillway and an auxiliary spillway, or (3) a principal spillway.

Limitations: Ponds are eligible for EQIP Financial Assistance when used as a water supply for grazing system improvements. Seeding, if needed, may be contracted by using Critical Area Planting – Practice Code 342.

Maintenance: Practice will be maintained for a lifespan of 20 years.

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
378-1	Embankment, Tile Conduit	Scenario Description: A low-hazard water impoundment structure on agricultural land to maintain or improve water quality and to provide water for livestock, fish and wildlife, recreation, fire control, developing renewable energy systems and other related uses. An earthen embankment will be constructed with a principle spillway conduit and earthen auxiliary spillway, as designed. The resource concerns addressed include inadequate livestock water, excessive suspended sediment and turbidity in surface water, damage from sediment deposition, and reduced capacity of conveyances by sediment deposition. After Practice Description: The typical low hazard pond is constructed by excavating the pool area, constructing the auxiliary spillway, preparing the foundation as designed, and using 3100 cubic yards to create an embankment. The product of the storage times the effective height of the dam is less than 3,000. The effective height of the dam is 35 feet or less. The principle spillway is 6' corrugated plastic tubing. The earthen auxiliary spillway will be constructed as designed. Vegetation will be completed under critical area planting (342). Other associated practices include 382, 516, 521A, 533, 614, 587, 396.	Embankment Volume	Cubic Yard	\$1.62	\$2.42	\$2.42	\$2.91
378-2	Embankment, 4in- 6in Pipe	Scenario Description: A low-hazard water impoundment structure on agricultural land to maintain or improve water quality and to provide water for livestock, fish and wildlife, recreation, fire control, developing renewable energy systems and other related uses. An earthen embankment will be constructed with a principle spillway conduit and earthen auxiliary spillway, as designed. The resource concerns addressed include inadequate livestock water, excessive suspended sediment and turbidity in surface water, damage from sediment deposition, and reduced capacity of conveyances by sediment deposition. After Practice Description: The typical low hazard pond is constructed by excavating the pool area, constructing the auxiliary spillway, preparing the foundation as designed, and using 5000 cubic yards to create an embankment. The product of the storage times the effective height of the dam is less than 3,000. The effective height of the dam is 35 feet or less. The principle spillway is installed using an approved conduit material. The earthen auxiliary spillway will be constructed as designed. Vegetation will be completed under critical area planting (342). Other associated practices include 382, 516, 521A, 533, 614, 587, 396.	Embankment Volume	Cubic Yard	\$2.13	\$3.20	\$3.20	\$3.84

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
378-3	Embankment, 8in- 12in Pipe	Scenario Description: A low-hazard water impoundment structure on agricultural land to maintain or improve water quality and to provide water for livestock, fish and wildlife, recreation, fire control, developing renewable energy systems and other related uses. An earthen embankment will be constructed with a principle spillway conduit and earthen auxiliary spillway, as designed. The resource concerns addressed include inadequate livestock water, excessive suspended sediment and turbidity in surface water, damage from sediment deposition, and reduced capacity of conveyances by sediment deposition. After Practice Description: The typical low hazard pond is constructed by excavating the pool area, constructing the auxiliary spillway, preparing the foundation as designed, and using 5000 cubic yards to create an embankment. The product of the storage times the effective height of the dam is less than 3,000. The effective height of the dam is 35 feet or less. The principle spillway is installed using an approved conduit material. The earthen auxiliary spillway will be constructed as designed. Vegetation will be completed under critical area planting (342). Other associated practices include 382, 516, 521A, 533, 614, 587, 396.	Embankment Volume	Cubic Yard	\$2.22	\$3.33	\$3.33	\$4.00
378-4	Embankment, >12in Pipe	Scenario Description: A low-hazard water impoundment structure on agricultural land to maintain or improve water quality and to provide water for livestock, fish and wildlife, recreation, fire control, developing renewable energy systems and other related uses. An earthen embankment will be constructed with a principle spillway conduit and earthen auxiliary spillway, as designed. The resource concerns addressed include inadequate livestock water, excessive suspended sediment and turbidity in surface water, damage from sediment deposition, and reduced capacity of conveyances by sediment deposition. After Practice Description: The typical low hazard pond is constructed by excavating the pool area, constructing the auxiliary spillway, preparing the foundation as designed, and using 11,000 cubic yards to create an embankment. The product of the storage times the effective height of the dam is less than 3,000. The effective height of the dam is 35 feet or less. The principle spillway is installed using an approved conduit material. The earthen auxiliary spillway will be constructed as designed. Vegetation will be completed under critical area planting (342). Other associated practices include 382, 516, 521A, 533, 614, 587, 396.	Embankment Volume	Cubic Yard	\$2.32	\$3.49	\$3.49	\$4.18

POND SEALING OR LINING, FLEXIBLE MEMBRANE Practice Code 521A

Livestock Structural Practice

PRS Unit of Measurement: Acre

Definition: A manufactured hydraulic barrier consisting of a functionally continuous layer of synthetic or partially synthetic, flexible material.

Purpose: To restrict, impede, and control seepage from ponds or waste impoundment structures for water conservation and environmental protection.

Applicability: On ponds and water storage structures that require treatment to keep seepage rates within acceptable limits. On earthen waste storage lagoons and other waste impoundment structures that require treatment to control seepage of contaminants from the storage structure.

Limitations:

Maintenance: Practice must be maintained for a lifespan of 20 years.

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
521A-1	- Uncovered without liner	Scenario Description: Installation of a flexible geosynthetic membrane liner, uncovered, to reduce seepage from ponds or waste storage impoundment structures. Practice implementation includes a geotextile or soil cushion to protect the liner from subgrade damage. Associated practices include PS378 Pond, PS313 Waste Storage Facility. After Practice Description: Water conservation and environmental protection provided by limiting seepage losses from ponds or waste storage impoundments.	Surface area of Liner Material (including anchorage)	Square Yard	\$5.18	\$7.77	N/A	N/A
521A-2	- Uncovered with	Scenario Description: Installation of a flexible geosynthetic membrane liner, uncovered, to reduce seepage from ponds or waste storage impoundment structures. Practice implementation includes a geotextile or soil cushion to protect the liner from subgrade damage, and liner drainage or venting. Associated practices include PS378 Pond, PS313 Waste Storage Facility. After Practice Description: Water conservation and environmental protection provided by limiting seepage losses from ponds or waste storage impoundments.	Surface area of Liner Material (including anchorage)	Square Yard	\$6.74	\$10.12	N/A	N/A
521A-3	- Covered without	Scenario Description: Installation of a flexible geosynthetic membrane liner to reduce seepage from ponds or waste storage impoundment structures. Practice implementation includes 1 foot of soil cover for liner protection, and a geotextile or soil cushion to protect liner from subgrade damage. Associated practices include PS378 Pond, PS313 Waste Storage Facility. After Practice Description: Water conservation and environmental protection provided by limiting seepage losses from ponds or waste storage impoundments.	Surface area of Liner Material (including anchorage)	Square Yard	\$5.86	\$8.79	N/A	N/A
521A-4	Flexible Membrane - Covered with liner drainage or venting	Scenario Description: Installation of a flexible geosynthetic membrane liner to reduce seepage from ponds or waste storage impoundment structures. Practice implementation includes 1 foot of soil cover for liner protection, a geotextile or soil cushion to protect liner from subgrade damage, and liner drainage or venting. Associated practices include PS378 Pond, PS313 Waste Storage Facility. After Practice Description: Water conservation and environmental protection provided by limiting seepage losses from ponds or waste storage impoundments.	Surface area of Liner Material (including anchorage)	Square Yard	\$7.42	\$11.14	N/A	N/A

POND SEALING OR LINING, SOIL DISPERSANT Practice 521B

Livestock Structural Practice

PRS Unit of Measurement: Acre

Definition: A liner for a pond or waste impoundment consisting of a compacted soil-dispersant mixture.

Purpose: To reduce seepage losses from ponds or waste impoundments for water conservation and environmental protection.

Applicability: where soils are suitable for treatment with dispersants and ponds or waste impoundments require treatment to reduce seepage rates and to impede the migration of contaminants to within acceptable limits.

Limitations:

Maintenance: Practice must be maintained for a lifespan of 20 years.

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
521B-1	Soil Dispersant - Uncovered	Scenario Description: Construction of a compacted soil liner, treated with a soil dispersant, to reduce seepage from ponds or waste storage impoundment structures. Practice implementation includes incorporation of the dispersant with the soil liner under proper moisture conditions and compaction to the designed liner thickness. Practice implementation may require filter compatibility with the subgrade (graded filter or geotextile). Associated practice PS378, PS313. After Practice Description: Water conservation and environmental protection provided by limiting seepage losses from ponds or waste storage impoundments.	Volume of Liner Material	Cubic Yard	\$3.40	\$5.10	N/A	N/A
521B-2	Soil Dispersant - Covered	Scenario Description: Construction of a compacted soil liner, treated with a soil dispersant, to reduce seepage from ponds or waste storage impoundment structures. Practice implementation includes incorporation of the dispersant with the soil liner under proper moisture conditions, compaction to the designed liner thickness, and placement of soil cover over the treated liner. Practice implementation may require filter compatibility with the subgrade (graded filter or geotextile). Associated practice PS378, PS313. After Practice Description: Water conservation and environmental protection provided by limiting seepage losses from ponds or waste storage impoundments.	Volume of Liner Material including Soil Cover over Liner		\$5.44	\$8.16	N/A	N/A

POND SEALING OR LINING, BENTONITE SEALANT Practice 521C

Livestock Structural Practice

PRS Unit of Measurement: Acre

Definition: A liner for a pond or waste impoundment consisting of a compacted soil-bentonite mixture.

Purpose: To reduce seepage losses from ponds or waste impoundments for water conservation and environmental protection.

Applicability: Where soils are suitable for treatment with bentonite and ponds or waste impoundments require treatment to reduce seepage rates and to impede the migration of contaminants to within acceptable limits.

Limitations:

Maintenance: Practice must be maintained for a lifespan of 15 years.

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
521C-1	Bentonite Treatment - Uncovered	Scenario Description: Construction of a compacted soil liner, treated with bentonite, to reduce seepage from ponds or waste storage impoundment structures. Practice implementation includes incorporation of the bentonite with the soil under proper moisture conditions, compaction to the designed liner thickness. Practice implementation may require filter compatibility with the subgrade (graded filter or geotextile). Associated practice PS378, PS313. After Practice Description: Water conservation and environmental protection provided by limiting seepage losses from ponds or waste storage impoundments.	Volume of Liner Material	Cubic Yard	\$38.69	\$58.03	N/A	N/A
521C-2	Bentonite Treatment - Covered	Scenario Description: Construction of a compacted soil liner, treated with bentonite, to reduce seepage from ponds or waste storage impoundment structures. Practice implementation includes incorporation of the bentonite with the soil under proper moisture conditions, compaction to the designed liner thickness, and placement of soil cover over the treated liner. Practice implementation may require filter compatibility with the subgrade (graded filter or geotextile). Associated practice PS378, PS313. After Practice Description: Water conservation and environmental protection provided by limiting seepage losses from ponds or waste storage impoundments.	Volume of Liner Material (includes 1 foot of soil cover over liner)	Cubic Yard	\$40.73	\$61.09	N/A	N/A

POND SEALING OR LINING, COMPACTED CLAY TREATMENT Practice 521D

Livestock Structural Practice

PRS Unit of Measurement: Acre

Definition: A liner for a pond or waste impoundment consisting of a compacted clay.

Purpose: To reduce seepage losses from ponds or waste impoundments for water conservation and environmental protection.

Applicability: Where soils are suitable for treatment with bentonite and ponds or waste impoundments require treatment to reduce seepage rates and to impede the migration of contaminants to within acceptable limits.

Limitations:

Maintenance: Practice must be maintained for a lifespan of 15 years.

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
521D-1	Compacted Earth Liner with Soil Cover	Scenario Description: Construction of a compacted soil liner, treated with compacted clay, to reduce seepage from ponds or waste storage impoundment structures. Practice implementation includes compaction of the soil liner under proper moisture conditions to the designed liner thickness, and soil cover to protect the finished liner. Scenario includes a 12' compacted clay liner with 6' of soil cover covering an area 1 acre in size. Material haul < 1 mile. Associated practices include PS378, PS313, & other waste water impoundments. After Practice Description: Water conservation and environmental protection provided by limiting seepage losses from ponds or waste storage impoundments.	Volume of Liner Material including soil cover over liner	Cubic Yard	\$4.76	\$7.15	N/A	N/A
521D-2	Compacted Earth Liner	Scenario Description: Construction of a compacted soil liner, treated with compacted clay, to reduce seepage from ponds or waste storage impoundment structures. Practice implementation includes compaction of the soil liner under proper moisture conditions to the designed liner thickness, and soil cover to protect the finished liner. Scenario includes a 12" compacted clay liner covering an area 1 acre in size. Material haul < 1 mile. Associated practices include PS378, PS313, & other waste water impoundments. After Practice Description: Water conservation and environmental protection provided by limiting seepage losses from ponds or waste storage impoundments.	Volume of Liner Material	Cubic Yard	\$3.45	\$5.18	\$0.00	\$0.00

PRESCRIBED BURNING Practice Code 338

Non-Livestock Vegetative Practice

PRS Unit of Measurement: Acre

Definition: The application of controlled fire to a predetermined area.

Purpose: To control undesirable vegetation, prepare sites for planting or seeding; to control plant disease, to reduce wildfire hazards and improve wildlife habitat, to remove slash and debris and enhance seed and seedling production.

Applicability: On forestland, native pasture, pastureland, wildlife areas, hay land, and other land as appropriate.

Limitations:

Maintenance: Practice must be maintained for a lifespan of 1 year.

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
338-1	Grassland, > 10 acres	Scenario Description: Applying a prescribed burn according to designed burn plan and NRCS Prescribed Burning (338) standard and specifications in order to control undesirable species, improve wildlife habitat, improve plant productivity and/or quality, facilitate grazing distribution and maintain ecological processes. This scenario is based on a burn area consisting of herbaceous and/or low volatile woody f u e I. Constructed firebreak cost is not included in cost of burn. Refer to Firebreak (394) standard and cost scenarios. After Practice Description: Desirable plant composition is restored, plant vigor improved and invasive species reduced. Forage production and quality for livestock and /or wildlife is improved.	Acres planned	Acre	\$14.80	\$22.19	\$22.19	\$26.63
338-2	Grassland, Small acreage (<=10 acres)	Scenario Description: Applying a prescribed burn according to designed burn plan and NRCS Prescribed Burning (338) standard and specifications in order to control undesirable species, improve wildlife habitat, improve plant productivity and/or quality, facilitate grazing distribution and maintain ecological processes. This scenario is based on a burn area consisting of herbaceous and/or low volatile woody fuel. Constructed firebreak cost is not included in cost of burn. Refer to Firebreak (394) standard and cost scenarios. After Practice Description: Desirable plant composition is restored, plant vigor improved and invasive species reduced. Forage production and quality for livestock and /or wildlife is improved.	Acres planned	Acre	\$17.93	\$26.90	\$26.90	\$32.28
338-3	Woodland, >10 acres	Scenario Description: Applying a prescribed burn according to designed burn plan and NRCS Prescribed Burning (338) standard and specifications. A woodland burn can consume debris or leaf litter under controlled conditions that otherwise could burn uncontrollably and devastatingly. Prior to burning, unit may need to be treated to reduce slash height and quantities. Burn should be cool enough to not cause mortality to residual stand but still reduce litter and debris and promote desired plant community. Constructed firebreak cost is not included in cost of burn. Refer to Firebreak (394) standard and cost scenarios. After Practice Description: Litter, debris and slash are consumed, small seedlings may be killed during active burning. Residual larger trees have little to no scorching. Post treatment fire danger is significantly reduced and desired plant community is promoted/restored.	Acres planned	Acre	\$39.04	\$58.56	\$58.56	\$70.27

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
338-4	Woodland, Small acreage (<=10 acres)	Scenario Description: Applying a prescribed burn according to designed burn plan and NRCS Prescribed Burning (338) standard and specifications. A woodland burn can consume debris or leaf litter under controlled conditions that otherwise could burn uncontrollably and devastatingly. Prior to burning, unit may need to be treated to reduce slash height and quantities. Burn should be cool enough to not cause mortality to residual stand but still reduce litter and debris and promote desired plant community. Constructed firebreak cost is not included in cost of burn. Refer to Firebreak (394) standard and cost scenarios. After Practice Description: Litter, debris and slash are consumed, small seedlings may be killed during active burning. Residual larger trees have little to no scorching. Post treatment fire danger is significantly reduced and desired plant community is promoted/restored.	Acres planned	Acre	\$56.03	\$84.05	\$84.05	\$100.86

PRESCRIBED BURNING MANAGEMENT PLAN Practice Code 112

Non-Livestock Vegetative Practice

PRS Unit of Measurement: No.

Definition: The CAP 112 is a Prescribed Burning Plan that is a site specific plan developed with a client which addresses one or more resource concerns on land through the use of fire.

Purpose: To document the landowner's and/or operator's plan to utilize fire to achieve the goal resource concern treatment with the use of fire.

Applicability: On forestland, native pasture, pastureland, wildlife areas, hay land, and other land as appropriate.

Limitations:

Maintenance: Practice must be maintained for a lifespan of 1 year.

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
112-38	Prescribed Burning Plan Less Than or Equal to 20 Acres	Scenario Description: Non Industrial Private Forest Land, Pasture or Range Land typically less than or equal to 20 acres in size and is dominated by fire tolerant species that are competing with undesirable vegetation and accumulating fuel load. Natural Resource Concern: Fish and Wildlife; Soil Erosion; Soil Condition; Water Quality; Plant Condition. After Practice Description: After EQIP contract approval, participant has obtained services from a certified Technical Service Provider (TSP) for development of the "Prescribed Burning Planned Conservation Activity Plan (CAP). The CAP criteria require the plan to identify approved Field Office Technical Guide conservation practices where needed to address identified resource concerns. The Prescribed Burning Plan CAP is not considered a Forest Management Plan, a Reforestation Plan, a Forest Harvest Plan, or a Prescribed Grazing Plan, but should complement the needs of those plans if they exist and if desired by the decision maker. The CAP plan will fully describe all aspects of the prescribed burn including, but not limited to objectives of the burn (i.e site preparation, wildlife habitat, etc.), site conditions (i.e fuel load, fuel type, etc.), implementation strategies (i.e method of ignition, number of persons required, equipment needs, etc.), tolerable weather parameters (i.e wind direction, relative humidity, mixing height, etc.) and identification of Smoke Sensitive Areas. Additional CAP plan criteria are detailed in the Field Office Technical Guide and potentially state developed technical criteria.	Number	Number	\$280.50	\$336.60	N/A	N/A

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
112-39	Prescribed Burning Plan 21-100 Acres	Scenario Description: Non Industrial Private Forest Land, Pasture or Range Land typically 21 to 100 acres in size and is dominated by fire tolerant species that are competing with undesirable vegetation and accumulating fuel load. Natural Resource Concern: Fish and Wildlife; Soil Erosion; Soil Condition; Water Quality; Plant Condition. After Practice Description: After EQIP contract approval, participant has obtained services from a certified Technical Service Provider (TSP) for development of the "Prescribed Burning Plan Conservation Activity Plan (CAP). The CAP criteria require the plan to identify approved Field Office Technical Guide conservation practices where needed to address identified resource concerns. The Prescribed Burning Plan CAP is not considered a Forest Management Plan, a Reforestation Plan, a Forest Harvest Plan, or a Prescribed Grazing Plan, but should complement the needs of those plans if they exist and if desired by the decision maker. The CAP plan will fully describe all aspects of the prescribed burn including, but not limited to objectives of the burn (i.e site preparation, wildlife habitat, etc.), site conditions (i.efuel load, f u e I type, etc.), implementation strategies (i.e method of ignition, number of persons required, equipment needs, etc.), tolerable weather parameters (i.e wind direction, relative humidity, mixing height, etc.) and identification of Smoke Sensitive Areas. Additional CAP plan criteria are detailed in the Field Office Technical Guide and potentially state developed technical criteria.	Number	Number	\$448.80	\$538.56	N/A	N/A

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
112-40	Prescribed Burning Plan 101-250 Acres	Scenario Description: Non Industrial Private Forest Land, Pasture or Range Land typically 101 to 250 acres in size and is dominated by fire tolerant species that are competing with undesirable vegetation and accumulating fuel load. Natural Resource Concern: Fish and Wildlife; Soil Erosion; Soil Condition; Water Quality; Plant Condition. After Practice Description: After EQIP contract approval, participant has obtained services from a certified Technical Service Provider (TSP) for development of the "Prescribed Burning Plan" Conservation Activity Plan (CAP). The CAP criteria require the plan to identify approved Field Office Technical Guide conservation practices where needed to address identified resource concerns. The Prescribed Burning Plan CAP is not considered a Forest Management Plan, a Reforestation Plan, a Forest Harvest Plan, or a Prescribed Grazing Plan, but should complement the needs of those plans if they exist and if desired by the decision maker. The CAP plan will fully describe all aspects of the prescribed burn including, but not limited to objectives of the burn (i.e site preparation, wildlife habitat, etc.), site conditions (i.e fuel load, fuel type, etc.), implementation strategies (i.e method of ignition, number of persons required, equipment needs, etc.), tolerable weather parameters (i.e wind direction, relative humidity, mixing height, etc.) and identification of Smoke Sensitive Areas. Additional CAP plan criteria are detailed in the Field Office Technical Guide and potentially state developed technical criteria.	Number	Number	\$673.20	\$807.84	N/A	N/A

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
112-41	Prescribed Burning Plan 251-500 Acres	Scenario Description: Non Industrial Private Forest Land, Pasture or Range Land typically 251 to 500 acres in size and is dominated by fire tolerant species that are competing with undesirable vegetation and accumulating fuel load. Natural Resource Concern: Fish and Wildlife; Soil Erosion; Soil Condition; Water Quality; Plant Condition. After Practice Description: After EQIP contract approval, participant has obtained services from a certified Technical Service Provider (TSP) for development of the "Prescribed Burning Plan" Conservation Activity Plan (CAP). The CAP criteria require the plan to identify approved Field Office Technical Guide conservation practices where needed to address identified resource concerns. The Prescribed Burning Plan CAP is not considered a Forest Management Plan, a Reforestation Plan, a Forest Harvest Plan, or a Prescribed Grazing Plan, but should complement the needs of those plans if they exist and if desired by the decision maker. The CAP plan will fully describe all aspects of the prescribed burn including, but not limited to objectives of the burn (i.e site preparation, wildlife habitat, etc.), site conditions (i.e fuel load, fuel type, etc.), implementation strategies (i.e method of ignition, number of persons required, equipment needs, etc.), tolerable weather parameters (i.e wind direction, relative humidity, mixing height, etc.) and identification of Smoke Sensitive Areas. Additional CAP plan criteria are detailed in the Field Office Technical Guide and potentially state developed technical criteria.	Number	Number	\$897.60	\$1,077.12	N/A	N/A

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
112-42	Prescribed Burning Plan 501-1000 Acres	Scenario Description: Non Industrial Private Forest Land, Pasture or Range Land typically 501 to 1000 acres in size and is dominated by fire tolerant species that are competing with undesirable vegetation and accumulating fuel load. Natural Resource Concern: Fish and Wildlife; Soil Erosion; Soil Condition; Water Quality; Plant Condition. After Practice Description: After EQIP contract approval, participant has obtained services from a certified Technical Service Provider (TSP) for development of the "Prescribed Burning Plan" Conservation Activity Plan (CAP). The CAP criteria require the plan to identify approved Field Office Technical Guide conservation practices where needed to address identified resource concerns. The Prescribed Burning Plan CAP is not considered a Forest Management Plan, a Reforestation Plan, a Forest Harvest Plan, or a Prescribed Grazing Plan, but should complement the needs of those plans if they exist and if desired by the decision maker. The CAP plan will fully describe all aspects of the prescribed burn including, but not limited to objectives of the burn (i.e site preparation, wildlife habitat, etc.), site conditions (i.e fuel load, fuel type, etc.), implementation strategies (i.e method of ignition, number of persons required, equipment needs, etc.), tolerable weather parameters (i.e wind direction, relative humidity, mixing height, etc.) and identification of Smoke Sensitive Areas. Additional CAP plan criteria are detailed in the Field Office Technical Guide and potentially state developed technical criteria.	Number	Number	\$1,122.00	\$1,346.40	N/A	N/A

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
112-43	Prescribed Burning Plan Greater Than 1000 Acres	Scenario Description: Non Industrial Private Forest Land, Pasture or Range Land typically greater than 1000 acres in size and is dominated by fire tolerant species that are competing with undesirable vegetation and accumulating fuel load. Natural Resource Concern: Fish and Wildlife; Soil Erosion; Soil Condition; Water Quality; Plant Condition. After Practice Description: After EQIP contract approval, participant has obtained services from a certified Technical Service Provider (TSP) for development of the "Prescribed Burning Plan Conservation Activity Plan (CAP). The CAP criteria require the plan to identify approved Field Office Technical Guide conservation practices where needed to address identified resource concerns. The Prescribed Burning Plan CAP is not considered a Forest Management Plan, a Reforestation Plan, a Forest Harvest Plan, or a Prescribed Grazing Plan, but should complement the needs of those plans if they exist a n d if desired by the decision maker. The CAP plan will fully describe all aspects of the prescribed burn including, but not limited to objectives of the burn (i.e site preparation, wildlife habitat, etc.), site conditions (i.e fuel load, fuel type, etc.), implementation strategies (i.e method of ignition, number of persons required, equipment needs, etc.), tolerable weather parameters (i.e wind direction, r e I a t i v e humidity, mixing height, etc.) and identification of Smoke Sensitive Areas. Additional CAP plan criteria are detailed in the Field Office Technical Guide and potentially state developed technical criteria.	Number	Number	\$1,346.40	\$1,615.68	N/A	N/A

PRESCRIBED GRAZING Practice Code 528

Livestock Vegetative Practice

PRS Unit of Measurement: Acre

Definition: Managing the harvest of vegetation with grazing and/or browsing animals.

Purpose: This practice applies to all lands where grazing and/or browsing animals are managed. To improve or maintain desired species composition and vigor of plant communities, improve or maintain quantity and quality of forage for grazing and browsing animals' health and productivity, improve or maintain surface and/or subsurface water quality and quantity, reduce accelerated soil erosion, and maintain or improve soil condition.

Applicability: This practice applies to all lands where grazing and/or browsing animals are managed.

Limitations: Payment is made only after all associated contracted grazing practices have been applied according to NRCS standards and specifications, and record keeping has been turned in.

Maintenance: Practice must be maintained for a lifespan of 1 year.

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
528-1	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Scenario Description: Design and implementation of a grazing system that will enhance pasture condition and ecosystem function as well as optimize efficiency and economic return through monitoring (ex: photo points, stubble height after grazing, etc.) & record keeping. Livestock graze each pasture for more than seven (7) days in rotation and adequate rest is provided for the forages. After Practice Description: Typical scenario is based on a grazing system consisting of a 30 animal unit cow/calf operation (including bull(s), calves and replacement females) on 80 acres. Activities include farm labor to m o w or clip pastures; monitor and measure forage growth; complete record keeping; analyze plant growth and animal performance; and make decisions or other management techniques. Management techniques reduce the use of supplemental feed, control weeds, and reduce energy requirements. Prescribed grazing system is designed to protect the health and vigor of the plant communities that are in place. Livestock are managed in a way that enhances pasture condition and function through protection of sensitive areas and efficient harvest of forage resources. Runoff, sediment and nutrient loss are reduced by improving plant density, diversity and percent cover. Grazing system success is evaluated through short term monitoring and maintaining grazing stop height requirements. Acquisition of technical knowledge needed to effectively implement prescribed grazing. Costs and activities are typical for conventional and organic producers. Associated Practices: (511) Forage Harvest Management, (512) Forage and Biomass Planting, (590) Nutrient Management, (595) Integrated Pest Management, (561) Heavy Use Area Protection, (382) Fence, (614) Watering Facility, (378) Pond, (642) Water Well, (314) Brush Management, (315) Herbaceous Weed Control, (338) Prescribed Burning.		Acre	\$13.73	\$20.59	\$20.59	\$24.71

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
528-2	Medium Intensity, 7-3 Days Rotation Frequency	Scenario Description: Design and implementation of a grazing system that will enhance pasture condition and ecosystem function as well as optimize efficiency and economic return through monitoring (ex: photo points, stubble height after grazing, etc.) & record keeping. Livestock graze each pasture from three (3) to seven (7) days in rotation. Rotation is based on monitoring livestock demand and supply. After Practice Description: Typical scenario is based on a grazing system consisting of a 30 animal unit cow/calf operation (including bull(s), calves and replacement females) on 80 acres. Scenario results in an increase (above the low intensity option) in labor required to complete the following activities: farm labor to mow or clip pastures; monitor and measure forage growth; complete record keeping; analyze plant growth and animal performance; and make decisions or other management techniques. Management techniques reduce the use of supplemental feed, control weeds, and reduce energy requirements. Prescribed grazing system is designed to protect the health and vigor of the plant communities that are in place. Livestock are managed in a way that enhances pasture condition and function through protection of sensitive areas and efficient harvest of forage resources. Runoff, sediment and nutrient loss are reduced by improving plant density, diversity and percent cover. Grazing system success is evaluated through short term monitoring and maintaining grazing stop height requirements. Acquisition of technical knowledge needed to effectively implement prescribed grazing. Costs and activities are typical for conventional and organic producers. Associated Practices: (511) Forage Harvest Management, (595) Integrated Pest Management, (561) Heavy Use Area Protection, (382) Fence, (614) Watering Facility, (378) Pond, (642) Water Well, (314) Brush Management, (315) Herbaceous Weed Control, (338) Prescribed Burning.		Acre	\$21.15	\$31.73	\$31.73	\$38.07

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
528-3		Scenario Description: Design and implementation of a grazing system that will enhance pasture condition and ecosystem function as well as optimize efficiency and economic return through monitoring (ex: photo points, stubble height after grazing, etc.) & record keeping. Livestock graze each pasture/paddock from two (2) days or less in rotation. Rotation is based on monitoring livestock demand and supply. After Practice Description: Typical scenario is based on a grazing system consisting of a 30 animal unit cow/calf operation (including bull(s), calves and replacement females) on 80 acres. Scenario results in an increase (above the medium intensity option) in labor required to complete the following activities: farm labor to mow or clip pastures; monitor stop grazing heights and measure forage growth; complete record keeping; analyze plant growth and animal performance; and make decisions or other management techniques. Management techniques reduce the use of supplemental feed, control weeds, and reduce energy requirements. Prescribed grazing system is designed to protect the health and vigor of the plant communities that are in place. Livestock are managed in a way that enhances pasture condition and function through protection of sensitive areas and efficient harvest of forage resources. Runoff, sediment and nutrient loss are reduced by improving plant density, diversity and percent cover. Grazing system success is evaluated through short term monitoring and maintaining grazing stop height requirements. Acquisition of technical knowledge needed to effectively implement prescribed grazing. Costs and activities are typical for conventional and organic producers. Associated Practices: (511) Forage Harvest Management, (512) Forage and Biomass Planting, (590) Nutrient Man		Acre	\$29.72	\$44.58	\$44.58	\$53.50

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
528-4	Enhanced - Strip Grazing	Scenario Description: Design and implementation of a grazing system that will enhance pasture condition and ecosystem function as well as optimize efficiency and economic return through monitoring (ex: photos points, stubble height after grazing, etc.) & record keeping. Livestock are part of a managed grazing system which includes utilization of management techniques such as stockpiling/strip grazing to assist in extending the grazing season and improve animal demand and supply efficiency, or summer strip grazing on mature pasture to improve soil health by maintaining and/or improving ideal cover, plant diversity, organic matter and soil temperatures favorable for sustained microbial life. After Practice Description: Typical scenario is based on a grazing system consisting of a 30 animal unit cow/calf operation (including bull(s), calves and replacement females) on 80 acres for a 200 to 290 day grazing season. Scenario results in an increase (above the Standard option) in labor required to complete the following activities: farm labor to mow or clip pastures; monitor and measure forage growth; complete record keeping; analyze plant growth and animal performance; and make decisions or other management techniques. Management techniques reduce the use of supplemental feed, control weeds, and reduce energy requirements. Prescribed grazing system is designed to protect the health and vigor of the plant communities that are in place. Livestock are managed in a way that enhances pasture condition and function through protection of sensitive areas and efficient harvest of forage resources. Runoff, sediment and nutrient loss are reduced by improving plant density, diversity and percent cover. Grazing system success is evaluated through short term monitoring. Acquisition of technical knowledge needed to effectively implement prescribed grazing. Consultant or T S P used to develop detailed grazing plan. Costs and activities are typical for conventional and organic producers. Associated Practices: (511) Forage Harvest Mana		Acre	\$35.91	\$53.86	\$53.86	\$64.64

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
528-5	High Density Grazing	Scenario Description: An improved grazing management system where livestock are grazed on pasture and managed at a stock density of at least 50,000 lbs. for 75% of the grazing days. Pastures will be managed for a livestock utilization rate of 60% per grazing event. The grazing days will be identified in the Prescribed Grazing Plan. After Practice Description: A grazing system for a 30 animal unit cow-calf operation (includes bull(s), calves and replacement females) on 80 acres and designed for a 300 day grazing season. The grazing system has a stock density of at least 50,000 pounds for 75% of the grazing days. Pastures will be monitored and measure pasture growth to ensure a livestock utilization rate of 60% or less per grazing event. Acquisition of technical knowledge needed to effectively implement prescribed grazing is included. Management techniques will improve soil condition, reduce soil compaction, reduce the use of supplemental feed, reduce the need for weed control, and reduce energy requirements. Consultant or TSP used to develop detailed grazing plan. Costs and activities are typical for conventional and organic producers. Associated Practices: (511) Forage Harvest Management, (512) Forage and Biomass Planting, (590) Nutrient Management, (595) Integrated Pest Management, (561) Heavy Use Area Protection, (382) Fence, (614) Watering Facility, (378) Pond, (642) Water Well, (314) Brush Management, (315) Herbaceous Weed Control, (338) Prescribed Burning.	ac	Acre	\$41.13	\$61.70	\$61.70	\$74.04

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
528-6	Deferment for Wildlife	Scenario Description: Defer grazing of the pasture for a minimum of 90 days to manage for any of the following purposes: invasive weed control; improve the health of the forage plants; or provide cover for wildlife species. Keep records of dates out and monitor to determine when desired objectives of deferment are met. Does not include the purpose of deferment for the establishment of forages. After Practice Description: Scenario describes activities completed to restrict grazing for a defined period during the normal grazing period to provide benefits for invasive weed control, improvement in the health of the forage plants or providing cover for wildlife species. Activities include moving livestock to alternate locations, sampling and analyzing pasture condition, recordkeeping. Forgone Income used represents the acreage of usable forage not utilized during the deferment period as a proportion of the grazing season. Typical size of 80 acre pasture operation with 30 animal units where 50% of the acreage (or 40 acres) is deferred from grazing for 90 days. Costs and activities are typical for conventional and organic producers. Associated Practices: (511) Forage Harvest Management, (512) Forage and Biomass Planting, (590) Nutrient Management, (595) Integrated Pest Management, (561) Heavy Use Area Protection, (382) Fence, (614) Watering Facility, (378) Pond, (642) Water Well, (314) Brush Management, (315) Herbaceous Weed Control, (338) Prescribed Burning.		Acre	\$27.85	\$41.77	\$41.77	\$50.13

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
528-7	Long Term Deferment	Scenario Description: Defer the pasture for 210 days and up to a growing season to manage for invasive weeds when necessary, to improve the health of the plants and/or provide nesting habitat for wildlife species. Keep records of dates out and monitor to determine when desired objectives of deferment are met. Does not include the purpose of deferment for the establishment of forages. After Practice Description: Scenario describes activities completed to restrict grazing for a defined period during the normal grazing period to provide benefits for invasive weed control, improvement in the health of the forage plants or providing cover for wildlife species. Activities include moving livestock to alternate locations, sampling and analyzing pasture condition, recordkeeping. Forgone Income used represents the acreage of usable forage not utilized during the deferment period as a proportion of the grazing season. Typical size of 80 acre pasture operation with 30 animal units where 75% of the acreage (or 60 acres) is deferred from grazing for 210 days. Costs and activities are typical for conventional and organic producers. Associated Practices: (511) Forage Harvest Management, (512) Forage and Biomass Planting, (590) Nutrient Management, (595) Integrated Pest Management, (561) Heavy Use Area Protection, (382) Fence, (614) Watering Facility, (378) Pond, (642) Water Well, (314) Brush Management, (315) Herbaceous Weed Control, (338) Prescribed Burning.		Acre	\$38.14	\$57.20	\$57.20	\$68.64
528-8	Biological Control with Grazing Animals	Scenario Description: Management of herbaceous and/or woody plant species through the use of livestock such as goats, sheep or other grazing animal that will graze on the undesirable species. Payment is based on impacted acres only. Payment is based on the use of goats for problems where a stocking rate equivalent of 50 goats can adequately clear 1 acre of undesirable herbaceous species in one day (or equivalent stocking; for example 5 goats for 1 week to clear an acre), or equivalent number of other livestock. Costs are related to transportation of livestock, setting up temporary fencing and/or watering system. Cost represents typical situations for conventional, organic, and transitioning to organic producers. After Practice Description: Livestock grazing is managed to limit the regrowth of weed species and achieve a desirable plant community based on species composition, structure, density, and canopy cover or height. Ecological site condition is progressing in an upward trend and plant health and vigor is returning to near normal levels.	Acres treated	Acre	\$402.16	\$603.24	\$603.24	\$723.89

PUMPING PLANT Practice Code 533

Livestock Structural Practice

PRS Unit of Measurement: Number

Definition: A pumping facility installed to transfer water for a conservation need.

Purpose: The transfer of non-potable water for a conservation need, such as supplying water for livestock.

Applicability: To provide a water supply for such purposes as irrigation, livestock, or wildlife, maintain critical water levels in swamps, marshes, open water, or for newly constructed wetlands and ponds, to transfer manure and/or process wastewater to a storage facility or for utilization as part of a waste management system, provide drainage by the removal of surface runoff water or groundwater.

Limitations:

Maintenance: Practice must be maintained for a lifespan of 15 years.

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
533-1	Wastewater Pump < 1 Hp.	Scenario Description: Scenario is for the implementation of a electric chopper screw pump of less than 1 horsepower. Implementation examples include, but are not limited to, pumping wastewater from the source to a storage facility such as in a dairy milk parlor, or pumping supernatant from the sump of a settling basin to a level spreader device upstream of a Vegetated Treatment Area, in flat topography where gravity flow from the settling basin is not feasible. Payment includes the pump and controls, installation and concrete pad base for the pump. After Practice Description: Practice typically installed for transfer of wastewater to a storage facility using 3/4 HP chopper/screw pump. Dairy milk parlor wastewater is directed to a waste storage facility, or feedlot runoff is directed to a solid/liquid settling basin, and supernatant is pumped from the sump of the settling basin to a Vegetated Treatment Area. Contaminated water no longer enters the stream. Cost represents typical situations for conventional, organic, and transitioning to organic producers. Associated Practices include: 374 - Farmstead Energy Improvement; 313 - Waste Storage Facility; 634 - Waste Transfer; 633 Waste Utilization; 632 Solid/liquid Waste Separation Facility; 635 Vegetated Treatment Area	Per Pump	Each	\$712.83	\$1,069.24	\$1,069.24	\$1,283.09
533-2	Wastewater Pump 1-5 Hp.	Scenario Description: Scenario is for the implementation of a electric chopper screw pump of 1-5 horsepower. Implementation examples include, but are not limited to, pumping wastewater from the source to a storage facility such as in a dairy milk parlor, or pumping supernatant from the sump of a settling basin to a level spreader device upstream of a Vegetated Treatment Area, in flat topography where gravity flow from the settling basin is not feasible. Payment includes the pump and controls, installation and concrete pad base for the pump. After Practice Description: Practice typically installed for transfer of wastewater to a storage facility using 3 HP chopper/screw pump. Dairy milk parlor wastewater is directed to a waste storage facility, or feedlot runoff is directed to a solid/liquid settling basin, and supernatant is pumped from the sump of the settling basin to a Vegetated Treatment Area. Contaminated water no longer enters the stream. Cost represents typical situations for conventional, organic, and transitioning to organic producers. Associated Practices include: 374 - Farmstead Energy Improvement; 313 - Waste Storage Facility; 634 - Waste Transfer; 633 Waste Utilization; 632 Solid/liquid Waste Separation Facility; 635 Vegetated Treatment Area	Per Pump	Each	\$2,023.39	\$3,035.09	\$3,035.09	\$3,642.10

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
533-3	Manure Pump >5 Hp.	Scenario Description: Scenario is for the implementation of a electric chopper screw pump of >5 horsepower to pump manure from the source to a storage facility. Implementation examples include, but are not limited to, situations where a dairy or swine operation is pumping manure to an above ground storage facility. Payment includes the pump and controls, installation and concrete pad. After Practice Description: Practice typically installed for transfer of manure to a storage facility using 10 HP chopper/screw pump. Manure is directed to a waste storage facility, or feedlot runoff is directed to a solid/liquid settling basin, and supernatant is pumped from the sump of the settling basin to a Vegetated Treatment Area. Contaminated water no longer enters the stream. Cost represents typical situations for conventional, organic, and transitioning to organic producers. Associated Practices include: 374 - Farmstead Energy Improvement; 313 - Waste Storage Facility; 634 - Waste Transfer; 633 Waste Utilization; 632 Solid/liquid Waste Separation Facility; 635 Vegetated Treatment Area	Per Pump	Each	\$4,024.50	\$6,036.75	\$6,036.75	\$7,244.10
533-4	Small Wastewater Fuel Driven Pump <= 50 Hp.	Scenario Description: Scenario is for the implementation of a fuel or PTO-driven pump of ≤ 50 horsepower for transferring manure or wastewater. Implementation examples include, but are not limited to, pumping wastewater from a storage facility to an end use such as a field, or transferring manure and wastewater from a shallow pit under a hog confinement building to a deep pit manure storage on the headquarters site. Payment includes all controls and appurtenances needed to mount the pump and connect the pump to the piping system. The piping system and any associated reception tank is specified under 634 - Waste Transfer. After Practice Description: For semi-solid or liquid waste, wastes that have been collected through a waste transfer system are now efficiently transferred to appropriate treatment or storage facilities or crop application. Due to topography, gravity transfer is not possible and a properly sized pump is needed to transfer waste as part of a waste transfer system. Associated Practices include: 374 - Farmstead Energy Improvement; 313 - Waste Storage Facility; 634 - Waste Transfer	Per Pump	Each	\$12,338.91	\$18,508.37	\$18,508.37	\$22,210.04

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
533-5	Large Wastewater Fuel Driven Pump > 50 Hp.	Scenario Description: Scenario is for the implementation of a fuel or PTO-driven pump of >50 horsepower for transferring manure or wastewater. Implementation examples include, but are not limited to, moving wastewater from a waste holding pond to a dragline field application system, supplying wastewater to a sprinkler irrigation system, or any other transfer of wastewater from a storage facility to an end use. Includes all controls and appurtenances needed to mount the pump and connect the pump to the piping system. The piping system and any associated reception tank is specified under 634 - Waste Transfer. After Practice Description: For semi-solid or liquid waste, wastes that have been collected through a waste transfer system are now efficiently transferred to appropriate treatment or storage facilities or crop application. Due to topography, gravity transfer is not possible and a properly sized pump is needed to transfer waste as part of a waste transfer system. Associated Practices include: 374 - Farmstead Energy Improvement; 313 - Waste Storage Facility; 634 - Waste Transfer	Per Pump	Each	\$15,945.70	\$23,918.56	\$23,918.56	\$28,702.27
533-6	Irrigation Pump	Scenario Description: The practice is installed to pump irrigation water from the source to a final destination. Payment includes the pump and controls, installation and concrete pad. After Practice Description: Practice typically installed for transfer of irrigation water to a final destination using 50 HP pump. Conservation benefits of the installation are improved efficiency for the delivery of irrigation water. Cost represents typical situations for conventional, organic, and transitioning to organic producers. Associated Practices: 430 Irrigation Pipeline, 442 Irrigation System - Sprinkler, 449 Irrigation Water Management, 590 nutrient management, 595 integrated pest management; 374-Farmstead Energy Improvement	per pump	Each	\$15,416.23	\$23,124.34	\$23,124.34	\$27,749.21

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
533-7	Micro Irrigation Pump	Scenario Description: The practice is installed to pump irrigation water from the source to a final destination for a micro irrigation system. Payment includes the pump and controls, installation and concrete pad. After Practice Description: Practice typically installed for transfer of irrigation water to a final destination using 1 HP pump. Conservation benefits of the installation are improved efficiency for the delivery of irrigation water. Cost represents typical situations for conventional, organic, and transitioning to organic producers. Associated Practices: 430 Irrigation Pipeline, 441 Irrigation System - Micro irrigation, 449 Irrigation Water Management, 590 nutrient management, 595 integrated pest management; 374-Farmstead Energy Improvement	per pump	Each	\$775.92	\$1,163.88	\$1,163.88	\$1,396.65
533-8	Solar Pump for Shallow Well or Spring Development	Scenario Description: The scenario is for the installation of a solar panel array, pump, pressure tank, and appurtenances in a shallow well or spring development for supplying water to livestock in situations where standard electric power is inaccessible. The installation includes the pump, wiring, drop pipe, solar panels, mounts, inverter, and all appurtenances. Payment does not include battery backup. After Practice Description: The typical scenario assumes installation of a 200-watt photovoltaic (PV) panel. The installation includes the pump, wiring, pipeline in the well, solar panels, frame mounts, inverter, and all appurtenances. Water will be pumped to an existing storage tank at a higher elevation from which it will be used to pressurize the Livestock Pipeline (516) or Irrigation Pipeline (430). Grazing - L i v e s t o c k exclusion from surface water will result in improved surface water quality and reduced erosion. Associated Practices include: 516 - Livestock Pipeline; 642 Water Well, 528 Prescribed Grazing and, 614 - Watering Facility.	Pump	Each	\$1,647.18	\$2,470.78	\$2,470.78	\$2,964.93

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
533-9	Solar Pump for Pond	Scenario Description: The scenario is for the installation of a solar panel array, and pump from a pond for supplying water to livestock in situations where standard electric power is inaccessible. The installation includes the pump, wiring, drop pipe, solar panels, mounts, inverter, and all appurtenances. Payment does not include battery backup. After Practice Description: The typical scenario assumes installation of a 200-watt photovoltaic (PV) panel. The installation includes the pump, wiring, solar panels, frame mounts, inverter, and all appurtenances. Water will be pumped to an existing pond at a higher elevation from which it will be used to pressurize the Livestock Pipeline (516) or Irrigation Pipeline (430). Grazing - Livestock exclusion from surface water will result in improved surface water quality and reduced erosion. Associated Practices include: 516 - Livestock Pipeline; 528 Prescribed Grazing and, 614 - Watering Facility.	Pump	Each	\$1,404.50	\$2,106.75	\$2,106.75	\$2,528.10
533-10	Livestock Water, Shallow Well Pump (<= 25 ft. deep)	Scenario Description: The scenario is for the installation of a pump a n d pressure tank in a shallow well (≤ 25 feet deep) or collection for supplying water to livestock. Payment includes pump, controls, pressure tank and installation. After Practice Description: Practice typically installed for 30 animal units and consists of installing a centrifugal pump, pressure tank, and appurtenances for a shallow draw watering system. Conservation benefits of the installation is proper grazing distribution, which will allow a degraded site to be restored. Cost represents typical situations for conventional, organic, and transitioning to organic producers. Associated practices: 528 Prescribed Grazing, 516 Pipeline, 614 Watering Facility, 642 Water Well; 574 Spring Development	per pump	Each	\$746.27	\$1,119.40	\$1,119.40	\$1,343.28

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
533-11	Livestock Water, Shallow Well Pump (<= 25ft deep) with Above Ground Pump House	Scenario Description: The scenario is for the installation of a pump and pressure tank in a shallow well (&%=25 feet deep) or collection for supplying water to livestock. Payment includes pump, controls, pressure tank and installation. Payment also includes a pump house installed above ground for situations where there is not an existing sheltered location for the pump to be installed. After Practice Description: Practice typically installed for 30 animal units and consists of installing a centrifugal pump, pressure tank, and appurtenances for a shallow draw watering system. A 5' x 4' x 5' (100 cu ft.) prefabricated concrete above ground pump house is installed above ground on an 8' x 8' x 1' gravel pad. An above ground pump house is utilized where burying is not feasible in a cost effective manner due to shallow soils. Conservation benefits of the installation is proper grazing distribution, which will allow a degraded site to be restored. Cost represents typical situations for conventional, organic, and transitioning to organic producers. Associated practices: 528 Prescribed Grazing, 516 Pipeline, 614 Watering Facility, 642 Water Well; 574 Spring Development.	per pump	Each	\$1,201.11	\$1,801.67	\$1,801.67	\$2,162.00
533-12	Livestock Water, Shallow Well Pump (<= 25 ft. deep) with Buried Pump House	Scenario Description: The scenario is for the installation of a pump a n d pressure tank in a shallow well (≤ 25 feet deep) or collection for supplying water to livestock. Payment includes pump, controls, pressure tank and installation. Payment also includes a buried pump house for situations where there is not an existing sheltered location for the pump to be installed. After Practice Description: Practice typically installed for 30 animal units and consists of installing a centrifugal pump, pressure tank, and appurtenances for a shallow draw watering system. A 160 cuft. concrete well house is buried. A buried pump house is utilized where the ground is such that burying is not difficult and the climate conditions warrant burying for improved protection. Conservation benefits of the installation is proper grazing distribution, which will allow a degraded site to be restored. Cost represents typical situations for conventional, organic, and transitioning to organic producers. Associated practices: 528 Prescribed Grazing, 516 Pipeline, 614 Watering Facility, 642 Water Well; 574 Spring Development.	per pump	Each	\$1,839.46	\$2,759.19	\$2,759.19	\$3,311.03

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
533-13	Livestock Water, Deep Well Pump (>25 ft. deep)	Scenario Description: The scenario is for the installation of a pump a n d pressure tank in a deep well (> 25 feet) for supplying water to livestock. Payment includes pump, controls, pressure tank and installation. After Practice Description: Practice typically installed for 30 animal units and consists of installing a jet or submersible pump, pressure tank, and appurtenances for a watering system. When utilizing a pond or stream a sump will be installed and used rather than a well. Conservation benefits of the installation is proper grazing distribution, which will allow a degraded site to be restored. Cost represents typical situations for conventional, organic, and transitioning to organic producers. Associated practices: 528 Prescribed Grazing, 516 Pipeline, 614 Watering Facility, 642 Water Well	per pump	Each	\$945.91	\$1,418.86	\$1,418.86	\$1,702.63
533-14	Livestock Water, Deep Well Pump (> 25ft deep) with Above Ground Pump House	Scenario Description: The scenario is for the installation of a pump a n d pressure tank in a deep well (> 25 feet) for supplying water to livestock. Payment includes pump, controls, pressure tank and installation. Payment also includes a pump house installed above ground for situations where there is not an existing sheltered location for the pump to be installed. After Practice Description: Practice typically installed for 30 animal units and consists of installing a jet or submersible pump, pressure tank, and appurtenances for a watering system. A 5' x 4' x 5' (100 cu ft) prefabricated concrete above ground pump house is installed above ground on an 8' x 8' x 1' gravel pad. An above ground pump house is utilized where burying is not feasible in a cost effective manner due to shallow soils. Conservation benefits of the installation is proper grazing distribution, which will allow a degraded site to be restored. Cost represents typical situations for conventional, organic, and transitioning to organic producers. Associated practices: 528 Prescribed Grazing, 516 Pipeline, 614 Watering Facility, 642 Water Well	per pump	Each	\$1,400.75	\$2,101.13	\$2,101.13	\$2,521.35

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
533-15	Deep Well Pump (> 25 ft. deep)	Scenario Description: The scenario is for the installation of a pump and pressure tank in a deep well (> 25 feet) for supplying water to livestock. Payment includes pump, controls, pressure tank and installation. Payment also includes a buried pump house for situations where there is not an existing sheltered location for the pump to be installed. Associated practices: 528 Prescribed Grazing, 516 Pipeline, 614 Watering Facility, 642 Water Well After Practice Description: Practice typically installed for 30 animal units and consists of installing a jet or submersible pump, pressure tank, and appurtenances for a watering system. A 160 cu ft. concrete well house is buried. A buried pump house is utilized where the ground is such that burying is not difficult and the climate conditions warrant burying for improved protection. Conservation benefits of the installation is proper grazing distribution, which will allow a degraded site to be restored. Cost represents typical situations for conventional, organic, and transitioning to organic producers. Associated practices: 528 Prescribed Grazing, 516 Pipeline, 614 Watering Facility, 642 Water Well	per pump	Each	\$2,035.13	\$3,052.69	\$3,052.69	\$3,663.23
533-16	Pump with Sump	Scenario Description: The scenario is for the installation of a pump, pressure tank, and sump that supplies a dependable water supply to livestock from a pond, stream, or spring development. After Practice Description: Practice typically installed for 30 animal units and c o n s i s t s of installing a pump, pressure tank, sump, and appurtenances for a watering system from a pond or stream or spring development. Cost represents typical situations for conventional, organic, and transitioning to organic producers. Associated Practices: 528 Prescribed Grazing, 516 Pipeline, 614 Watering Facility, 642 Water Well	per pump	Each	\$1,837.85	\$2,756.77	\$2,756.77	\$3,308.12

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
533-17	Milk Transfer Pump	Scenario Description: The typical scenario is for the installation of a 1 HP motor and transfer pump with appurtenances, used in a dairy milking system to transfer milk from the milk receiver to the bulk tank. The motor will be used in conjunction with a VSD. This practice is to be used exclusively for implementing recommendations from on-farm energy audits. Payment includes pump, controls and labor to install. After Practice Description: An on-farm energy audit has determined that energy use can be reduced through use of a more efficient motor and pump combination. A VSD will be used with the motor/pump combination so that the motor speed can be adjusted to reduce power requirements and better match varied flow or pressure requirements. Associated practices/activities: may include 122-AgEMP - HQ, and other activities within 374-Farmstead Energy Improvement. The resource concern is inefficient use of energy in the farm operation which increases dependence on non-renewable energy sources and can be addressed through improved energy efficiency. Any improvements are based on a Type 2 energy audit meeting the requirements of ASABE S612. Associated Practice: 374 Farmstead Energy Improvement	per pump	Each	\$295.83	\$443.74	\$443.74	\$532.49
533-18	Vacuum Pump	Scenario Description: The typical scenario is for the installation of a 10 HP motor and vacuum pump with appurtenances, used in a dairy milking system to transfer the milk from the animal to the milk receiver. The motor will be used in conjunction with a VSD. This practice is to be used exclusively for implementing recommendations from on-farm energy audits. Payment includes pump, controls and labor to install. After Practice Description: An on-farm energy audit has determined that energy use can be reduced through use of a more efficient motor and pump combination. A VSD will be used with the motor/pump combination so that the motor speed can be adjusted to reduce power requirements and better match varied flow or pressure requirements. Associated practices/activities: may include 122-AgEMP - HQ, and other activities within 374-Farmstead Energy Improvement. The resource concern is inefficient use of energy in the farm operation which increases dependence on non-renewable energy sources and can be addressed through improved energy efficiency. Any improvements are based on a Type 2 energy audit meeting the requirements of ASABE S612. Associated Practice: 374 Farmstead Energy Improvement	per pump	Each	\$2,708.36	\$4,062.53	\$4,062.53	\$4,875.04

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
533-62	Solar Pump for Deep Well	Scenario Description: The scenario is for the installation of a solar panel array, pump, and appurtenances in a deep well for supplying water to livestock is situations where standard electric power is inaccessible. The installation includes the pump, wiring, drop pipe, solar panels, mounts, inverter, and all appurtenances. Payment does not include battery backup. After Practice Description: The typical scenario assumes installation of a 500-watt photovoltaic (PV) panel. Pump TDH 200ft at 5 gallon per minute. The installation includes the pump, wiring, pipeline in the well, solar panels, frame mounts, inverter, and all appurtenances. Water will be pumped to an existing storage tank at a higher elevation from which it will be used to pressurize the Livestock Pipeline (516) or Irrigation Pipeline (430). Grazing - Livestock exclusion from surface water will result in improved surface water quality and reduced erosion. Associated Practices include: 516 - Livestock Pipeline; 642 Water Well, 528 Prescribed Grazing and, 614 - Watering Facility.	Pump	Each	\$5,268.35	\$7,902.52	\$7,902.52	\$9,483.02
533-63	Livestock Non- Electric Pump	Scenario Description: A non-electric pump (nose pump, sling pump, water ram, etc.) is located in a pasture for the purpose of providing water to cattle. For a permanent installation, it is typical to also install Heavy Use Area Protection (561) (separate contract item) where the cattle congregate around the pump. The objective is to provide water to the cattle outside of a live stream or other natural water s o u r c e thereby eliminating a significant erosion situation and while also improving water quality. The cattle thus have access to drinking water without having to enter the stream. Generally one pump is adequate for 20 cattle. Resource Concerns: Insufficient stock water; Inefficient energy use - Equipment and facilities. Associated Practices include: 374 - Farmstead Energy Improvement; 382 - Fence; 516 - Livestock Pipeline; 561 - Heavy Use Area Protection; and, 614 - Watering Facility. After Practice Description: One non electric pump is installed with all appurtenances anchored to concrete pad with 6"x6"x10 Gauge reinforcement wire (9 ft. x 4 ft. x 5 in) or other appropriate secure base to supply water to cattle for improved livestock herd management. Additional Heavy Use Area Protection (561) in the form of crushed rock and at least 5 feet wide, may be installed (separate contract item) surrounding the concrete pad. Improved: water quality, soil quality, grazing management, plant diversity, and animal health.	Number of Pumps	Each	\$575.85	\$863.78	\$863.78	\$1,036.54

RESIDUE AND TILLAGE MANAGEMENT, REDUCED TILL Practice Code 345

Non-Livestock Management Practice

PRS Unit of Measurement: Acre

Definition: Managing the amount, orientation and distribution of crop and other plant residue on the soil surface year round while limiting the soil-disturbing activities used to grow crops in systems where the entire field surface is tilled prior to planting.

Purpose: • Reduce sheet and rill erosion.

- Reduce wind erosion.
- · Maintain or improve soil quality.
- Increase plant-available moisture.
- Reduce energy use.

Applicability: This practice applies to all cropland and other land where crops are planted. This practice includes tillage methods commonly referred to as mulch tillage or chiseling and disking. It applies to stubble mulching on summer-fallowed land, to tillage for annually planted crops and to tillage for planting perennial crops. It also includes some planting operations, such as hoe drills, air seeders and "no-till" drills that disturb a large percentage of the soil s u r f a c e during the planting operation.

Limitations: When Reduced Till is scheduled for more than one year, the scheduled years must run consecutively on the same land. The maximum number of years payment can be made for is 3. Residue and Tillage Management, Reduced-Till is capped (lifetime) at \$10,000/Participant and \$15,000/Historically Underserved Participant. The Payment Schedule, "Mulch Till Basic" under the Residue and Tillage Management, Reduced Till (345) is only offered in the Energy and Organic Initiatives. The Payment Schedule "Ridge Till", can be offered locally in regular EQIP. This practice is payable only to producers who have not previously used the practice for a full rotation, example: If the applicant has only used reduced till the soybean year of a cornsoybean rotation they are eligible, but if the applicant had used reduced till on both corn and soybeans anywhere in there operation they are not eligible. Applicants who previously received financial assistance from any government program for applying this practice, are not eligible for EQIP financial assistance for reduced till.

Maintenance: Practice will be maintained for a minimum lifespan of 1 year.

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
345-1	Mulch Till Basic	Scenario Description: Mulch-till is managing the amount, orientation and distribution of crop and other plant residue on the soil surface year round while limiting the soil-disturbing activities used to grow crops in systems where the entire field surface is tilled prior to planting. This practice includes tillage methods commonly referred to as mulch tillage or chiseling and disking. It applies to stubble mulching on summerfallowed land, to tillage for annually planted crops and to tillage for planting perennial crops. All residue shall be uniformly surface throughout critical wind erosion period. All residue shall be uniformly distributed over the entire field and not burned or removed. These periods of intensive tillage have led to excessive soil loss, often above the Soil Loss Tolerance (T), due to the loss of critical crop or weed residue. The RUSLE2 model will be used to review the farming operation and determine if enough residue is being retained, throughout the rotation, to keep soil loss below T. The producer will then remove operations, or select alternate operations, to reduce erosion below T. After Practice Description: Mulch tillage applies to all cropland and other lands where crops are planned. It applies to stubble mulching on summer fallowed land to tillage for annually planted crops and to tillage for plating perennial crops. It also includes some planting operation such as hoe drill, air seeder and notill drill that disturb a large percentage of soil surface using the planting operation. Tillage occurs after crop harvest. In warmer areas, winter weeds or cover crops grow throughout the winter months. The residue that remains on the soil surface provides soil cover during late fall, throughout the winter, and into the early spring. Runoff and erosion are reduced and no rills are visible on the soil surface in the spring. Wind erosion is reduced by standing residues. Winter weeds or the cover crop is terminated with tillage, a roller-crimper, shredding, or a combination of these methods prior	Acre Planted	Acre	N/A	N/A	\$4.11	\$4.93

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
345-2	Ridge Till	Scenario Description: This practice typically involves conversion from a conventional tillage system to a ridge tillage (conservation tillage) system on cropland. This involves managing the amount, orientation and distribution of crop and other plant residue on the soil surface year round while limiting soil-disturbing activities used to grow and harvest crops in systems. The practice is used to reduce wind erosion, reduce sheet and rill erosion, improve soil quality, reduce energy use, and increase plant available moisture. The ridge till system includes using a ridge till planter and ridge till row cultivator for building the ridge late in the crop season for the next year. This residue management system is applicable to both organic and non-organic production. This system will manage soil erosion to T and maintain a positive SCI. After Practice Description: Practice applied per the conservation practice standard 345 to meet the planned purposes. Managing crop residue on the surface year around while limiting soil disturbing activities to those which reshape ridges, place nutrients, and plant crops. All crops are seeded/planted with a ridge till planter, which minimizes soil disturbance while establishing good seed-soil contact. All residues are to be maintained on the soil surface in a uniform distribution over the entire field and not burned or removed. Crop residues provide soil surface cover throughout the year. Runoff and erosion are reduced and no rills are visible on the soil surface. Wind erosion is reduced by standing residues and surface cover. Over time, soil health is improved due to the additional crop residues, ground cover, and soil infiltration. This practice will require reducing soil erosion to T and maintain a positive SCI.	Area planted	Acre	\$19.27	\$28.91	\$28.91	\$34.69

RESIDUE AND TILLAGE MANAGEMENT, NO-TILL Practice Code 329

Non-Livestock Management Practice

PRS Unit of Measurement: Acre

Definition: Managing the amount, orientation, and distribution of crop and other plant residue on the soil surface year round while limiting soil-disturbing activities to only those necessary to place nutrients, condition residue, and plant crops.

Purpose: To reduce sheet and rill, and wind erosion; improve soil organic matter content; reduce CO2 losses from the soil; reduce soil particulate emissions; increase plant-available moisture, provide food and escape cover for wildlife.

Applicability: This practice applies to all cropland and other land where crops are planted. These planting methods are commonly referred to as no-till, strip till, direct seed, zero till, slot till, or zone till. Approved implements are: no-till and strip-type fertilizer and manure injectors and applicators; and similar implements that only disturb strips and slots. All others are considered to be full-width or capable of full disturbance and therefore not compatible.

Soil disturbance percentage is determined by measuring the amount of the row width that is disturbed by soil preparation and planting equipment. For example, for a 30" row, up to 9" can be disturbed by drilling equipment and still qualify as No-till for EQIP.

STIR (Soil Tillage Intensity Ratio) is estimated using the Revised Universal Soil Loss Equation 2 (RUSLE2) which estimates soil loss from rill and inter-rill erosion caused by rainfall on cropland, for several alternative combinations of crop system and management practices. It also considers specified soil types, rainfall patterns, and topography.

Limitations: This practice is payable only to producers who have not previously used the practice for a full rotation, example: If the applicant has only notilled the soybean year of a corn-soybean rotation they are eligible, but if the applicant had no-tilled both corn and soybeans anywhere in there operation they are not eligible. Applicants who previously received financial assistance from any government program for applying this practice, are not eligible for EQIP financial assistance for no-till or strip-till. When no- till is scheduled for more than one year, the scheduled years must run consecutively on the same land. The maximum number of years payment can be made for is 3. Residue and Tillage Management, No-Till or Strip-Till is capped (lifetime) at \$24,000/Participant and \$36,000/Historically Underserved Participant. The No-Till scenario "No-Till/Strip-Till" will be used for both organic and non-organic applications.

Maintenance: Practice will be maintained for a minimum lifespan of 1 year.

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
329-1	No-Till/Strip-Till (non-Organic or Organic)	Scenario Description: This practice typically involves conversion from a clean-tilled (conventional tilled) system to no-till or strip-till (conservation tilled) system on cropland or organic cropland. This involves managing the amount, orientation and distribution of crop and other plant residue on the soil surface year round while limiting soil-disturbing activities used to grow and harvest crops in systems. The practice is used to reduce sheet and rill erosion, reduce wind erosion, improve soil quality, reduce CO2 losses from the soil, reduce energy use, increase plant available moisture and provide food and escape cover for wildlife. The no-till/strip-till system relies on mulching/residue management and/or chemicals for weed control (rather than cultivation). Organic no-till/strip-till alternatively uses organic-approved chemicals or alternative methods of weed control such as hand weeding, flaming, roller crimping, etc. (rather than cultivation). System is applicable in both irrigated and non-irrigated fields. After Practice Description: 329 is applied per the practice plan and all the appropriate criteria are followed for the planned purpose(s). Crop residue is managed on the surface of a crop field year round while limiting soil disturbing activities to those which condition residue, place nutrients, and plant crops. All crops are seeded/planted with a no-till drill, no-till/strip-till transplanter, or no-till/strip-till planter, which minimize soil disturbance while establishing good seed-soil contact. All residues are to be maintained on the soil surface in a uniform distribution over the entire field and not burned or removed. Crop residues provide soil surface cover throughout the year. Runoff and erosion are reduced and no rills are visible on the soil surface. Wind erosion is reduced by standing residues and surface cover. Over time, soil health is improved due to the additional biomass (crop residues), ground cover, and soil infiltration. Crop residues and/or cover crop residues left on the soil	Area planted	Acre	\$9.89	\$14.83	\$14.83	\$17.80

RESTORATION AND MANAGEMENT OF RARE AND DECLINING HABITATS Practice Code 643

Non-Livestock Vegetative Practice

PRS Unit of Measurement: Acre

Definition: Restoring and managing rare and declining habitats and their associated wildlife species to conserve biodiversity

Purpose: To restore and manage unique or declining land or aquatic, native habitats; to provide habitat for rare and declining species; to restore, conserve, and manage native plant communities and to increase native plant community diversity.

Note: NRCS uses the term "wildlife" to include all animals, terrestrial and aquatic.

Applicability: Sites that previously or currently support a rare or declining habitat targeted for restoration or management. Iowa habitats deemed rare and in decline for the purposes of this practice include prairie, savanna, fen, sedge and wet meadow, and forest/woodlands.

For the purposes of this standard, reconstruction refers to the restoration of native plant communities where such a community does not currently exist, or within areas that have been seeded to native vegetation, but need to be enhanced to reflect a natural community. A remnant is recognized as a natural habitat with pre-settlement components and diversity still intact.

Please see the Specifications for Practice Code 643 which accompany the Standard in the eFOTG.

Limitations:

Maintenance: Practice must be maintained for a lifespan of 1 year.

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
643-2	Habitat Monitoring and Management, Low Intensity and Complexity	Scenario Description: This scenario is applied to all land use types including those with wildlife as a modifier, where native plant conditions (T&E plants) or wildlife have been identified as the resource concern, and where low intensity and complexity of monitoring or management will treat the identified resource concern. Only 1-2 monitoring efforts are needed and each requiring less than 2 people and 4 hours per effort. The adaptive management actions such as cutting of limbs that are impeding access of birds into nest boxes, replacing damaged fence markers, cleaning of nest structures and debris around other structures requires only hand labor and less than 8 hours labor per year. After Practice Description: Rare and declining habitat is improved by implementation of annual adaptive management actions of low intensity and complexity.	Monitoring efforts and adaptive management actions	Acre	\$2.04	\$3.07	\$3.07	\$3.68
643-3	Rare or Declining Habitat Monitoring and Management, Medium Intensity and Complexity	Scenario Description: This scenario is applied to all land use types including those with wildlife as a modifier, where any resource concern is identified related to rare or declining habitats, and where medium intensity and complexity of monitoring or management will treat the identified resource concern. Two or three monitoring efforts are needed and each requiring less than 2 people and less than 8 hours per effort. Two or three adaptive management efforts are required (such as cutting of limbs that impede monitoring efforts, replacing damaged fence markers, or other minor adaptive management activities). The adaptive mgmt. requires hand labor and the occasional use of light equipment. A crew of 2 is needed for the hand labor efforts and the crew will require less than 16 total hours of labor per mgmt. effort. Mowing of roads and trail is required to provide access for monitoring and management. After Practice Description: Rare or declining habitat is improved by implementation of annual adaptive management actions of medium intensity and complexity.	Monitoring efforts and adaptive management actions	Acre	\$6.39	\$9.59	\$9.59	\$11.51

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
643-4	Habitat Monitoring and Management, High Intensity and Complexity	Scenario Description: This scenario is applied to all land use types including those with wildlife as a modifier, where any resource concern is identified for wildlife, and where high intensity and complexity of monitoring or management will treat the identified resource concern. Two - four monitoring efforts are needed and each requiring less than 2 people and less than 8 hours per effort. The adaptive management actions (2 - 5 efforts) such as cutting of limbs that are impeding access of birds into nest boxes, replacing damaged fence markers, cleaning of nest structures and debris around other structures requires hand labor and light equipment, requiring a 2-person crew less than 1 day per effort. After Practice Description: Wildlife habitat is improved by implementation of annual adaptive management actions of high intensity and complexity.	Monitoring efforts and adaptive management actions	Acre	\$11.96	\$17.94	\$17.94	\$21.53
643-5	Development of Shallow Micro- Topographic Features with Normal Farming Equipment.	Scenario Description: This typical scenario is installed on open non-wetlands. The purpose is to increase plant species richness and diversity, create micro-habitats for invertebrates, increase water infiltration and reduce run-off. The area is plowed to loosen the soil. Then the soil is excavated with normal farming equipment (e.g. tractor and box-blade) to a depth of 2-6 inches and immediately deposited. This lowering and raising of a box-blade restores the original micro-topographic features (6' X 6' depressions and mounds) common to most landscapes and landforms prior to clearing, tilling, and annual mowing. Restoration of shallow but frequent micro-topographic features has been lost by the smoothing action of tillage, mowing and the original land-clearing. This scenario it typically implemented for ecosystem restoration projects such as prairie restoration and rangeland restoration, and particularly on moderately well-drained soils. After Practice Description: Shallow micro-depressions and mounds are numerous. This varied micro-topographic features provided varied moisture gradients required for high plant species richness and diversity. Wildlife habitat is improved. Water conservation is increased, increasing vegetative production. Water quality is improved as the micro depressions capture sediments, nutrients and manure. Over time, the micro-depressions become more nutrient rich than the micro-highs, further increasing plant species richness.	hours of tractor use	Acre	\$20.22	\$30.33	\$30.33	\$36.39

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
643-6	Development of Deep Micro- Topographic Features with Heavy Equipment.	Scenario Description: This typical scenario is installed on open non-wetlands, where micro-topographic features have been removed by past farming and/or ranching cultural practices. The purpose is to increase plant species richness and diversity, create micro-habitats for invertebrates, increase water infiltration and reduce run-off. The area is plowed 2 weeks prior to excavation to kill existing vegetation and allow for proper dirt work. Then the soil is excavated with track equipment (dozer) to a depth of 6-12 inches and immediately deposited. This lowering and raising of a dozer -blade restores the original deep micro-topographic features (10' X10' depressions and mounds) common to many landscapes and landforms prior to the lands conversion to agricultural lands. This scenario it typically implemented for ecosystem restoration projects such as wetland restoration (herbaceous or prior to planting of woody species), prairie restoration and range-land restoration. It is most commonly applied to well-drained soils as the purpose is for the micro-depression to pond water for short duration (less than 7 days). After Practice Description: Deep (6' - 12' depth) micro-depressions and mounds are numerous. These varied micro-topographic features provide varied moisture gradients required for development of high plant species richness and diversity. Wildlife habitat is improved. Water conservation is increased, increasing vegetative production. Water quality is improved as the deep micro-depressions capture sediments, nutrients and manure. Over time, the micro-depressions become more nutrient rich than the micro-highs, further increasing plant species richness.	Hours	Acre	\$54.58	\$81.88	\$81.88	\$98.25

RIPARIAN FOREST BUFFER Practice Code 391

Non-Livestock Vegetative Practice

PRS Unit of Measurement: Acre

Definition: An area predominantly trees and/or shrubs located adjacent to and up-gradient from watercourses or water bodies.

Purpose: To reduce excess amounts of sediment, organic material, nutrients and pesticides in surface runoff and reduce excess nutrients and other chemicals in shallow ground water flow; create shade to lower or maintain water temperatures to improve habitat for aquatic organisms; create or improve riparian habitat and provide a source of detritus and large woody debris; reduce pesticide drift entering the water body; increase carbon storage in plant biomass and soils; mitigate flooding damage by trapping large debris and water-borne sediments, slowing flood waters and lowering flood peaks; create riparian habitat and corridors for wildlife and provide room for water courses to establish geomorphic stability.

Applicability: On areas adjacent to and up-gradient from permanent or intermittent streams, lakes, ponds, and wetlands.

The riparian forest buffer will be most effective when used as a component of a total resource management system including nutrient management, pest management, and erosion runoff and sediment control practices.

Limitations: This practice is not applied to stabilize stream banks or shorelines (see Practice 580 Streambank and Shoreline Protection for this). Nor should it be applied to small cold water trout streams.

Maintenance: Practice will be maintained for a lifespan of 15 years.

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
391-1	Direct Seeding	Scenario Description: Establish a buffer of trees and/or shrubs to restore riparian plant communities and associated benefits. The buffer will be located adjacent to and up-gradient from a watercourse or water body and extend the minimum required width. The planting will consist of trees or shrubs planted through direct seeding. Planting rate will be approximately 3000 seed per acre. Payment includes tree seed, equipment and labor to seed, and foregone income for the land taken out of crop production to install the riparian buffer. Site preparation is implemented through associated practice 490 Tree/Shrub Site Preparation. Additional associated practices may include: 315 Herbaceous Weed Control, 660 Tree/Shrub Pruning, 484 Mulching After Practice Description: A buffer of trees and shrubs will be established along the riparian corridor which will provide stability, filtration, shade, and desirable habitat to address the resource concerns of Soil Erosion - excessive bank erosion; Water Quality - excess sediment and organics in surface waters and elevated temperature; Degraded Plant Condition - inadequate structure and composition; and Inadequate Habitat for Fish and Wildlife - habitat degradation.	Area of planting	Acre	\$408.82	\$613.24	\$613.24	\$735.88
391-2	Bare-root trees and shrubs	Scenario Description: Establish a buffer of trees and shrubs into a suitably prepared site to restore riparian plant communities and associated benefits. The buffer will be located adjacent to and upgradient from a watercourse or water body and extend the minimum required width. The planting will consist of machine planted bare-root shrubs and trees at spacing recommended in a tree/shrub planting plan. Payment includes trees, equipment and labor to plant, and foregone income for the land taken out of crop production to install the riparian buffer. Site preparation is implemented through associated practice 490 Tree/Shrub Site Preparation. Additional associated practices may include: 315 Herbaceous Weed Control, 660 Tree/Shrub Pruning, 484 Mulching After Practice Description: A buffer of trees and shrubs will be established along the riparian corridor which will provide stability, filtration, shade, and desirable habitat to address the resource concerns of Soil Erosion - excessive bank erosion; Water Quality - excess sediment and organics in surface waters and elevated temperature; Degraded Plant Condition - inadequate structure and composition; and Inadequate Habitat for Fish and Wildlife - habitat degradation.	Area of planting	Acre	\$444.96	\$667.44	\$667.44	\$800.93

RIPARIAN HERBACEOUS COVER Practice Code 390

Non Livestock Vegetative Practice

PRS Unit of Measurement: Ac

Definition: Grasses, sedges, rushes, ferns, legumes, and forbs tolerant of intermittent flooding or saturated soils, established or managed as the dominant vegetation in the transitional zone between upland and aquatic habitats.

Purpose: Practice is applied as part of a conservation management system to meet one or more of the purposes listed in the practice standard for 390.

Applicability: To area adjacent to perennial and intermittent watercourses or water bodies where the natural plant community is dominated by herbaceous vegetation that is tolerant of periodic flooding or saturated soils. For seasonal or ephemeral watercourses and water bodies, this zone extends to the center of the channel or basin. Where channel and stream bank stability is adequate to support this practice. Where the riparian area has been altered and the potential natural plant community has changed.

Limitations: This practice is not applied to stabilize stream banks or shorelines (see Practice 580 Streambank and Shoreline Protection for this). Nor should it be applied to small cold water trout streams.

Maintenance: Practice will be maintained for a lifespan of 5 years.

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
390-3	Native Grass	Scenario Description: This scenario addresses inadequate herbaceous plant community function or diversity within the specific transitional zone between terrestrial and aquatic habitats in rangeland, pasture, cropland, and forest where natural seeding methods and/or management is unlikely to improve the plant community within a reasonable time period. This scenario applies to work not covered under NRCS Conservation Practice Forage and Biomass Planting (512), Critical Area Planting (342), Filter Strip (393), Restoration and Management of Rare and Declining Habitats (643), Streambank and Shoreline Protection (580), Vegetated Treatment Area (635), Wetland Enhancement (659), or Wetland Restoration (657). The typical s e t t i n g for this scenario is usually a narrow strip between the aquatic and terrestrial habitats subject to intermittent flooding and saturated soils where the existing plant community has been disturbed, destroyed, or the species diversity is unable to provide proper function and/or adequate habitat. Native Grass is established by seeding. Where chemical control of undesirable vegetation, including invasives, is required to reduce competition for the desired plant community the Herbaceous Weed Control (315) practice should be used. Payment includes seedbed preparation, seed, and planting, and foregone income for land removed from production. After Practice Description: The riparian zone, the transitional zone between the terrestrial and aquatic habitats, is established to an adapted, diverse vegetative plant community and is under close management to insure long term survival and ecological succession. The quality and quantity of the riparian zone components are managed to support the species that depend on it for habitat as well as the functions it performs for stabilizing the streambank and/or shoreline, dissipating stream energy and trapping sediment, and improving and/or maintaining water quality. These functions include: stream te m p e r a t u r e moderation through shading, recruitment o	Acres of Riparian Herbaceous Cover	Acre	\$366.07	\$549.11	\$549.11	\$658.93

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
390-4	Pollinator	Scenario Description: This scenario addresses inadequate herbaceous plant community function or diversity within the specific transitional zone between terrestrial and aquatic habitats in rangeland, pasture, cropland, and forest where natural seeding methods and/or management is unlikely to improve the plant community within a reasonable time period. This scenario applies to work not covered under NRCS Conservation Practice Forage and Biomass Planting (512), Critical Area Planting (342), Filter Strip (393), Restoration and Management of Rare and Declining Habitats (643), Streambank and Shoreline Protection (580), Vegetated Treatment Area (635), Wetland Enhancement (659), or Wetland Restoration (657). The typical s e t t i n g for this scenario is usually a narrow strip between the aquatic and terrestrial habitats subject to intermittent flooding and saturated soils where the existing plant community has been disturbed, destroyed, or the species diversity is unable to provide proper function and/or adequate habitat. Pollinator habitat is established by seeding. Where chemical control of undesirable vegetation, including invasives, is required to reduce competition for the desired plant community the Herbaceous Weed Control (315) practice should be used. Payment includes seedbed preparation, seed, and planting, and foregone income for land removed from production. After Practice Description: The riparian zone, the transitional zone between the terrestrial and aquatic habitats, is established to an adapted, diverse vegetative plant community and is under close management to insure long term survival and ecological succession. The quality and quantity of the riparian zone components are managed to support the species that depend on it for habitat as well as the functions it performs for stabilizing the streambank and/or shoreline, dissipating stream energy and trapping sediment, and improving and/or maintaining water quality. These functions include: stream term per a t u r e moderation through shading, recruitmen	Acres of Riparian Herbaceous Cover	Acre	\$339.59	\$509.38	\$509.38	\$611.26

ROOFS and COVERS Practice Code 367

Livestock Structural Practice

PRS Unit of Measurement: Number

Definition: A rigid, semi-rigid, or flexible manufactured membrane, composite material or roof structure placed over a waste management facility.

Purpose: To provide a roof or cover for:

- · water quality improvement
- · diversion of clean water from animal management areas (i.e. barnyard, feedlot or exercise area) and/or waste storage facilities
- · capture of biogas for energy production
- reducing net effect of greenhouse gas emissions and air quality improvement and odor reduction

Applicability: This practice applies where:

- Exclusion of precipitation from an outdoor animal management area, waste storage facility or waste treatment facility will improve management of an existing or planned animal waste handling system or eliminate a pollution concern.
- Capture and controlled release of emissions from an existing or planned animal waste management, storage, or treatment system will improve air quality and/or reduce the net effect of greenhouse gas emissions.
- Bio-treatment of emissions from an existing or planned waste storage or treatment facility will improve air quality and/or reduce the net effect of greenhouse gas emissions.
- Biogas production and capture for energy are components of an existing or planned waste management system.

Limitations: Roofs and Covers Scenarios 1 to 4 (Roof Structures) are not eligible for (313) Waste Storage Facilities to cover deep pits that are directly below animal housing (e.g. pits under slats). Financial assistance for the animal waste storage, management, or treatment facility is eligible to treat the existing resource concern, and expansion of the existing extent of the resource concern. Priority is given to treating existing resource concerns and up to 25% expansion of the existing resource concern. Prior to payment for 367, Roofs and Covers, elimination of the open feedlot which shall be closed to livestock for the life span of the practice is required. This includes removal of feedlot fences, feeding facilities and watering facilities and the area shall be vegetated.

Maintenance: Practice must be maintained for a lifespan of 10 years.

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
367-1	Roof Structure, less than 33 feet Wide	Scenario Description: A timber or steel framed roof structure with a wood sheathing, steel 'sheet' or fabric-like roof. Scenario does not include foundation costs. Manure is stored as a liquid in basins, tanks, and as a solid on concrete and earthen surfaces. Excess precipitation can cause premature filling of storages or cause nutrients to leach from solid manure piles leading to uncontrolled runoff as well as odor issues. Associated practices include Waste Storage Facility (313), Animal Mortality Facility (316), Composting Facility (317), Obstruction Removal (500), Roof Runoff Structure (558), and Waste Treatment (629). After Practice Description: A timber or steel framed roof structure with a wood sheathing, steel 'sheet' or fabric-like roof. Roof or cover will be engineered and installed in accordance with appropriate building codes and permits. Typical size is 1000 square feet and is over an approved animal waste management facility as a component of a CNMP. It is designed to prevent precipitation to allow proper management of animal waste streams (manure or compost streams), thus mitigating the negative factors from the 'before practice implementation'.	Roof Area	Square Foot	\$4.59	\$6.89	\$6.89	\$8.27
367-2	Roof Structure, 33 feet to 60 feet Wide	Scenario Description: A timber or steel framed roof structure with a wood sheathing, steel 'sheet' or fabric-like roof. Scenario does not include foundation costs. Manure is stored as a liquid in basins, tanks, and as a solid on concrete and earthen surfaces. Excess precipitation can cause premature filling of storages or cause nutrients to leach from solid manure piles leading to uncontrolled runoff as well as odor issues. Associated practices include Waste Storage Facility (313), Animal Mortality Facility (316), Composting Facility (317), Obstruction Removal (500), Roof Runoff Structure (558), and Waste Treatment (629). After Practice Description: A timber or steel framed roof structure with a wood sheathing, steel 'sheet' or fabric-like roof. Engineered and installed in accordance with appropriate building codes and permits. Typical size is 7,500 square feet and is over an approved animal waste management facility as a component of a CNMP. It is designed to prevent precipitation to allow proper management of animal waste streams (manure or compost streams), thus mitigating the negative factors from the 'before practice implementation'.	Roof Area	Square Foot	\$4.25	\$6.38	\$6.38	\$7.65

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
367-3	Roof Structure, more than 60 feet Wide	Scenario Description: A timber or steel framed roof structure with a wood sheathing, steel 'sheet' or fabric-like roof. Scenario does not include foundation costs. Manure is stored as a liquid in basins, tanks, and as a solid on concrete and earthen surfaces. Excess precipitation can cause premature filling of storages or cause nutrients to leach from solid manure piles leading to uncontrolled runoff as well as odor issues. Associated practices include Waste Storage Facility (313), Animal Mortality Facility (316), Composting Facility (317), Obstruction Removal (500), Roof Runoff Structure (558), and Waste Treatment (629). After Practice Description: A timber or steel framed roof structure with a wood sheathing, steel 'sheet' or fabric-like roof. Engineered and installed in accordance with appropriate building codes and permits. Typical size is 24,000 square feet and is over an approved animal waste management facility as a component of a CNMP. It is designed to prevent precipitation to allow proper management of animal waste streams (manure or compost streams), thus mitigating the negative factors from the 'before practice implementation'.	Roof Area	Square Foot	\$2.46	\$3.69	\$3.69	\$4.43
367-4	Roof structure with foundation	Scenario Description: A timber or steel framed roof structure with a wood sheathing or steel 'sheet' roof. Scenario includes foundation costs. Roof support is separate from associated manure storage structure, or roof structure may be used to cover an existing feed lot to eliminate runoff from rainfall events. Excess precipitation can cause premature filling of storages or cause nutrients to leach from solid manure piles leading to uncontrolled runoff as well as odor issues. Associated practices include Waste Storage Facility (313), Animal Mortality Facility (316), Composting Facility (317), Agrichemical Handling Facility (309), Obstruction Removal (500), Roof Runoff Structure (558), and Waste Treatment (629). After Practice Description: A timber or steel framed roof structure with a timber or steel 'sheet' roof and supporting foundation. Engineered and installed in accordance with appropriate building codes and permits. Typical size is 7,500 square feet and is over an approved animal waste management facility or feedlot as a component of a CNMP. It is designed to prevent precipitation to allow proper management of animal waste streams (manure or compost streams), thus mitigating the negative factors from the 'before practice implementation'.	Roof Area	Square Foot	\$5.01	\$7.52	\$7.52	\$9.02

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
367-5	Flexible Membrane Cover with gas collection	Scenario Description: A fabricated rigid, semi-rigid, or flexible membrane covering the entire surface of a waste storage or treatment facility (e.g. waste treatment lagoon or anaerobic digester) with typical size of one acre. Cover will exclude precipitation and capture biogas for controlled release or flaring, to improve air quality and enable the production of renewable energy. Not to be used with 366-Covered Lagoon scenario. Associated practices include Waste Storage Facility (313), Waste Treatment Lagoon (359), Anaerobic Digester (366), Animal Mortality Facility (316), Composting Facility (317), Roof Runoff Structure (558), Pumping Plant (533), and Waste Treatment (629). After Practice Description: A fabricated rigid, semi-rigid, or flexible membrane over a waste storage or treatment facility. Rainfall is excluded, minimizing the volume of contaminated liquid to be stored and/or treated. Air quality in the vicinity of the facility is improved, and the biogas is collected and made available for potential use as heat or energy generation.	Surface Area of Facility Covered	Square Foot	\$3.89	\$5.83	\$5.83	\$7.00
367-6	Flexible Membrane Cover	Scenario Description: A fabricated rigid, semi-rigid, or flexible membrane covering the entire surface of a waste storage or treatment facility (e.g. waste treatment lagoon or anaerobic digester) with typical size of one acre. Cover will exclude precipitation and improve air quality. Associated practices include Waste Storage Facility (313), Waste Treatment Lagoon (359), Anaerobic Digester (366), Animal Mortality Facility (316), Composting Facility (317), Roof Runoff Structure (558), Pumping Plant (533), and Waste Treatment (629). After Practice Description: A fabricated rigid, semi-rigid, or flexible membrane over a waste storage or treatment facility. Rainfall is excluded, minimizing the volume of contaminated liquid to be stored and/or treated. Air quality in the vicinity of the facility is improved.	Surface Area of Facility Covered	Square Foot	\$2.42	\$3.63	\$3.63	\$4.35

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
367-7	Modular Floating Cover	Scenario Description: A permeable floating composite cover is deployed on the liquid surface of a 70 foot diameter waste storage facility. The permeable composite cover utilizes fabricated shapes or tiles that fit together to cover a minimum of 90% of the liquid surface of a waste storage facility. The waste storage volume must be documented in the CNMP as adequate to store the waste product and rainfall on the surface of the facility for the intended period without any credit for evaporative loss. Installation of the practice will address air quality by reducing emissions of odors and ammonia. Associated practices include Waste Storage Facility (313), and Waste Treatment Lagoon (359) After Practice Description: A permeable modular cover over an animal waste storage or treatment facility. Installation of the modular floating tiles will improve air quality by reducing emissions of odors and ammonia. The typical waste storage structure treated has a liquid surface area of 70 foot diameter, or 3,848 square feet. Associated practices include Waste Storage Facility (313) and Waste Treatment Lagoon (359).	Surface Area of Liquid Manure Storage Tank	Square Foot	\$3.28	\$4.92	\$4.92	\$5.91

ROOF RUNOFF STRUCTURE Practice Code 558

Livestock Structural Practice

PRS Unit of Measurement: Number

Definition: Roof Runoff Structures involves the installation of gutters, downspouts and affiliated appurtenances for diverting roof runoff.

Purpose: To reduce soil erosion, improve water quality, increase infiltration, protect structures and increase water quantity.

Applicability: Roof runoff structures are 1) a component of an overall resource management system; 2) used where runoff from rooftops needs to be diverted away from structures or contaminated areas; and 3) used where there is a need to collect, control, and transport runoff from roofs to a stable outlet.

If needed, Underground Outlet – Practice 620 may be added to the contract as a separate item.

Limitations: Only building roof areas that contribute clean water runoff to an area of livestock concentration are eligible. Payment is limited to installing the practice to the extent necessary to meet the resource concerns addressed by the conservation plan.

Maintenance: Practice must be maintained for a lifespan of 15 years.

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
558-1	Roof Gutter, Small	Scenario Description: A gutter-downspout system for the side of a 30 ft. x70 ft. livestock confinement building, to exclude clean water from the loafing area adjacent to the building. Roof area served by the 70 ft. long gutter is 1,050 square feet. The gutter is a 5' K-type, with two 12' downspouts to convey the roof runoff to ground level. Underground outlets (CPS 620) are then utilized to safely outlet the water from the downspouts. Facilitates waste management and protects environment by minimizing clean water additions to waste systems and addresses water quality concerns. Associated practices include Waste Storage Facility (313), Composting Facility (317), Heavy Use Area Protection (561), Underground Outlet (620), and/or Diversion (362) to capture flow from downspouts and route away from contaminated areas as needed. After Practice Description: A gutter-downspout system has been installed on the side of the building adjacent to the loafing area, routing the clean water away from the contaminated surface, and reducing the volume of contaminated runoff from the loafing area.	Linear Length of Roof to be Drained	Foot	\$4.48	\$6.72	\$6.72	\$8.07
558-2	Roof Gutter, Med	Scenario Description: A gutter-downspout system for the side of a 70 ft.x140 ft. livestock confinement building, to exclude clean w a t e r from the loafing area adjacent to the building. Roof area served by the 140 ft. long gutter is 4,900 square feet. The gutter is a 7' K- type, with two 12' downspouts to convey the roof runoff to ground level. Underground outlets (CPS 620) are then utilized to safely outlet the water from the downspouts. Facilitates waste management and protects environment by minimizing clean water additions to waste systems and addresses water quality concerns. Associated practices include Waste Storage Facility (313), Composting Facility (317), Heavy Use Area Protection (561), Underground Outlet (620), and/or Diversion (362) to capture flow from downspouts and route away from contaminated areas as needed. After Practice Description: A gutter-downspout system has been installed on the side of the building adjacent to the loafing area, routing the clean water away from the contaminated surface, and reducing the volume of contaminated runoff from the loafing area.	Linear Length of Roof to be Drained	Foot	\$11.86	\$17.78	\$17.78	\$21.34

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
558-3	Roof Gutter, Large	Scenario Description: A gutter-downspout system for the side of a 160 ft. x220 ft. livestock confinement building, to exclude clean water from the loafing area adjacent to the building. Roof area served by the 220' long gutter is 17,600 square feet. The gutter is 11', with two 12' downspouts to convey the roof runoff to ground level. Underground outlets (CPS 620) are then utilized to safely outlet the water from the downspouts. Facilitates waste management and protects environment by minimizing clean water additions to waste systems and addresses water quality concerns. Associated practices include Waste Storage Facility (313), Composting Facility (317), Heavy Use Area Protection (561), Underground Outlet (620), and/or Diversion (362) to capture flow from downspouts and route away from contaminated areas as needed. After Practice Description: A gutter-downspout system has been installed on the side of the building adjacent to the loafing area, routing the clean water away from the contaminated surface, and reducing the volume of contaminated runoff from the loafing area.	Linear Length of Roof to be Drained	Foot	\$15.85	\$23.77	\$23.77	\$28.52

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
558-4		Scenario Description: An aggregate-filled infiltration trench lined with geotextile, 3 ft. wide by 2 ft. deep, is placed on each side of a 40' x 100' hoop structure storing feedstock at the headquarters site of a confined livestock operation, to exclude roof runoff from contaminated lot surfaces. Facilitates waste management and protects environment by minimizing clean water additions to waste systems and addresses water quality concerns. This scenario is to be used where environmental/design considerations, for example snow loads, or a building without proper structural support needed for gutters- dictate the use of the trench drain. May be used to prevent roof runoff from causing erosion or ponding of water adjacent to a seasonal high t u n n e I, benefitting water quality, water quantity, and soil erosion. In situations where the roof runoff will not properly infiltrate the soil, a subsurface drain system will be installed using 606 - Subsurface Drain. Associated practices include Waste Storage Facility (313), C o m p o s t i n g Facility (317), Heavy Use Area Protection (561), Seasonal High Tunnel (798), Subsurface Drain (606), and Diversion (362). After Practice Description: An aggregate-filled infiltration trench lined with geotextile is placed on each side of the hoop structure. Runoff from the roof of the structure enters the infiltration trench and drains off site to a stable outlet through a subsurface drain. The volume of contaminated water at the confinement site is reduced.	Linear Length of Roof to be Drained	Foot	\$4.46	\$6.70	\$6.70	\$8.04

HIGH TUNNEL SYSTEM Practice Code 325

Non-Livestock Structural Practice

PRS Unit of Measurement: SQ. FT.

Definition: An enclosed polyethylene, polycarbonate, plastic, or fabric covered structure that is used to cover and protect crops from sun, wind, excessive rainfall, or cold, to extend the growing season in an environmentally safe manner.

Purpose: Improve plant health and vigor.

Applicability: This practice applies to existing cultivated cropland where extension of the growing season is needed due to climate conditions and crops can be grown in the natural soil profile.

Limitations: The following are not eligible for financial assistance but are allowed at the participant's expense: electricity, heating, or ventilation (other than lifting the sides). When the sides are lifted for ventilation, a mesh screen may be used to keep insects out. The participant must purchase a manufacturer's kit and must follow the manufacturer's specifications. Practice is eligible for use with annual and perennial crops. Structure must be at least 6 feet tall and no more than 30 feet wide. The participant is allowed to leave the tunnel cover in place through the winter. It is the participant's responsibility to replace or repair the cover if it is damaged. Plants must be grown in natural ground. Raised beds with structural sides are NOT permitted. Mounding natural soil without structural sides is allowed. If surface water drainage issues causing erosion are present or created, practices must be in sit alled to treat this resource concern. Practice is only eligible on existing cropland. The Seasonal High Tunnel practice is capped (lifetime) at \$8,220/Participant and \$9,870/Historically Underserved Participant.

Maintenance: Practice must be maintained for a lifespan of 5 years.

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
325-19	Seasonal High Tunnel	Scenario Description: A manufactured frame of tubular steel covered with 4-year 6mil plastic. Costs are based on purchase of manufactured kit and landowner installing the structure. Structure must be installed to manufacturer's specifications. After Practice Description: A gothic style seasonal high tunnel (30 x 72 ft.) has been installed and the growing season has been extended for 1-4 months on average. The gothic style is encouraged to hedge against possible failure under s n o w loads. Plant health and vigor is improved and there is decreased energy use by producing food locally.	Area of Tunnel	Square Foot	\$2.56	\$3.85	\$3.85	\$4.62

SEDIMENT BASIN Practice Code 350

Livestock Structural Practice

PRS Unit of Measurement: Number

Definition: A basin constructed to collect and store debris or sediment.

Purpose: To prevent undesirable deposition on bottom lands and developed areas;

Applicability: Where physical conditions or land ownership preclude treatment of a sediment source by the installation of erosion control measures to keep soil and other material in place or where a sediment basin offers the most practical solution to the problem.

Limitations: The unit cost includes a filter strip if there is a documented need for one.

Maintenance: Practice must be maintained for a lifespan of 20 years.

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
350-2	Embankment earthen basin with no pipe	Scenario Description: An low hazard class earthen embankment sediment basin in an existing drainage way on a farm for purpose of trapping sediment and preserving the capacity of reservoirs, ditches, canals, diversions, waterways and streams and to prevent undesirable deposition on bottom lands and other developed lands. An earthen embankment will be constructed with a principal spillway conduit and earthen auxiliary spillway, as designed. Resource concerns addressed include excessive suspended sediment and turbidity in surface water, damage from sediment deposition, and reduced capacity of conveyances by sediment deposition. Surface water causes the sediment (and potentially pesticides and nutrients) to be transported into the riparian areas and water bodies downstream. After Practice Description: The typical sediment basin is constructed by excavating the pool area and using the excavated material to construct the earthen embankment. The embankment will have a constructed auxiliary spillway and a core trench (10' wide, 3' deep, 1:1 slopes) using 1,500 cubic yards of material to create the embankment and c o r e trench. The embankment will be designed and constructed according the Pond standard (378). The product of the storage times the effective height of the dam is less than 3,000. The effective height of the dam is less than 3,000. The effective height of the dam is less than 3,000. The defective height of the dam is less than 3,000. The defective height of the dam is a less than 3,000. The defective height of the dam is less than 3,000. The offective height of the dam is less than 3,000. The offective height of the dam is 35 feet or less. The sediment storage capacity should be a minimum of 900 cubic feet per acre of disturbed area. The detention storage should be a minimum of 3600 cubic feet per acre of drainage area. The earthen auxiliary spillway will be constructed as designed based on Pond standard (378). No principal spillway will be used. Associated practice(s): Other practices that may need to be i	Embankment volume	Cubic Yard	\$2.71	\$4.07	\$4.07	\$4.88

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
350-3	Embankment earthen basin with pipe	Scenario Description: An low hazard class earthen embankment sediment basin in an existing drainage way on a farm for purpose of trapping sediment and preserving the capacity of reservoirs, ditches, canals, diversions, waterways and streams and to prevent undesirable deposition on bottom lands and other developed lands. An earthen embankment will be constructed with a principal spillway conduit and earthen auxiliary spillway, as designed. Resource concerns addressed include excessive suspended sediment and turbidity in surface water, damage from sediment deposition, and reduced capacity of conveyances by sediment deposition. Surface water causes the sediment (and potentially pesticides and nutrients) to be transported into the riparian areas and water bodies downstream. After Practice Description: The typical sediment basin is constructed by excavating the pool area and using the excavated material to construct the earthen embankment. The embankment will have a constructed auxiliary spillway and a core trench (10' wide, 3' deep, 1:1 slopes) using 1,500 cubic yards of material to create the embankment and c o r e trench. The embankment will be designed and constructed according the Pond standard (378). The product of the storage times the effective height of the dam is less than 3,000. The effective height of the dam is 35 feet or less. The sediment storage capacity should be a minimum of 900 cubic feet per acre of disturbed area. The detention storage should be a minimum of 3600 cubic feet per acre of drainage area. The principal spillway is created using an approved conduit material and filter diaphragm. The earthen auxiliary spillway will be constructed as designed based on Pond standard (378). Associated practice(s): Other practices that may need to be implemented along with sediment basin to address all of the site specific resource concerns include: Critical Area Planting (342) and Mulching (484) where necessary to prevent erosion following construction activities, Structure for Water Control (587) if usin	Embankment volume	Cubic Yard	\$3.58	\$5.36	\$5.36	\$6.44

SHALLOW WATER DEVELOPMENT AND MANAGEMENT Practice Code 646

Non Livestock Vegetative Practice

PRS Unit of Measurement: Ac

Definition: The inundation of lands to provide habitat for fish and/or wildlife.

Purpose: To provide habitat for wildlife such as shorebirds, waterfowl, wading birds, mammals, fish, reptiles, amphibians, and other species that require shallow water for at least a part of their life cycle.

Applicability: On lands where water can be impounded or regulated by diking, excavating, ditching, and/or flooding. On floodplain that provide refuge habitats for native fish during high flow periods.

Limitations: See practice standard for 646 for situations where 646 does not apply.

Maintenance: Practice will be maintained for a lifespan of 1 year.

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
646-1	Management, Low Level	Scenario Description: This scenario addresses inadequate habitat for fish and wildlife on cropland. The resource concern is addressed by providing shallow water habitat for wildlife such as shorebirds, waterfowl, wading birds, mammals, fish, reptiles, amphibians, and other species that require shallow water for at least part of their life cycle. Sites are flooded up to a depth of 18' with an average depth of 9'. Before flooding, fields are prepared by rolling residue if necessary. Water is provided by natural flooding and/or precipitation. After Practice Description: A single or series of shallow water areas that are managed per standard and specification. Water levels are regulated to maintain temporary wildlife habitat. Timing and duration of flooding and de-watering is dependent on specific species requirements. Water is pumped into area to be flooded. Flooded sites vary from mudflats to water depths of 18' with an average depth of 9'. The hydrologic conditions of ponding and saturation (frequency, depth, duration, timing) provides optimum seasonal habitat for waterfowl, shorebirds, and other wildlife (amphibians, reptiles, mammals, invertebrates, etc.). Associated practices include Structure for Water Control (587) and Dike (356) if needed. Depending on local conditions, other Conservation Practices may also be required.	Acre of shallow water	Acre	N/A	N/A	\$57.88	\$69.46

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
646-2	Management, High Level	Scenario Description: This scenario addresses inadequate habitat for fish and wildlife on cropland. To facilitate practice code 643, 644, 645, or 395, seasonal shallow water is provided annually for target species by purchasing of water, lifting of such water, monitoring of the water quality, response by target plant community, and use by target flora or fauna. Sites are flooded up to a depth of 18' with an average depth of 9'. Before flooding, fields are prepared by rolling residue if necessary. Monitoring and adaptive management accomplished of existing water control structures is accomplished to meet very specific conditions needed to address previously identified degraded plant conditions or inadequate habitat for fish and/or wildlife. This high-level management is applied to lands used for crop, pasture, hay, forests or wildlife lands where target flora and fauna have been identified as a primary concern. Loss of some level of crop, forage, hay or forest products may occur depending on site specific conditions. After Practice Description: A single or series of shallow water areas that are managed per standard and specification. Water levels are regulated to maintain temporary wildlife habitat. Timing and duration of flooding and de-watering is dependent on specific species requirements. Water is pumped into area to be flooded. Flooded sites vary from mudflats to water depths of 18' with an average depth of 9'. The hydrologic conditions of ponding and saturation (frequency, depth, duration, timing) provides optimum seasonal habitat for waterfowl, shorebirds, and other wildlife (amphibians, reptiles, mammals, invertebrates, etc.). Associated practices include Structure for Water Control (587) and Dike (356) if needed and Pumping Plan (533) if a natural water source (i.e. precipitation for flooding) is not available. Depending on local conditions, other Conservation Practices may also be required.	Acre of shallow water	Acre	N/A	N/A	\$145.39	\$174.47

WASTE SEPARATION FACILITY Practice Code 632

Livestock Structural Practice

PRS Unit of Measurement: Number

Definition: A filtration or screening device, settling tank, settling basin, or settling channel used to separate a portion of solids from a liquid waste stream.

Purpose: To partition solids, liquids, and their associated nutrients as part of a conservation management system to improve or protect air quality, water quality, or animal health, and meet management objectives.

Applicability: Where the waste separation facility will:

- Remove solids from the liquid waste stream as a primary treatment process and allow further treatment processes to be applied such as composting and anaerobic digestion, allow partly digested feed to be separated from the liquid waste stream so that it can be used as a feed supplement or for bedding
- Reduce problems associated with solids accumulation in liquid storage facilities (i.e.: decrease odors in liquid storages)
- Reduce solids in stored liquids so liquids can be recycled for other uses (i.e.: flush)
- Reduce solids in stored liquids to better facilitate land application of liquids using irrigation techniques
- Assist with partitioning nutrients in the waste stream to improve nutrient management

Limitations: The participant must develop and implement as scheduled, a Comprehensive Nutrient Management Plan (CNMP) for this practice.

Maintenance: Practice must be maintained for a lifespan of 15 years.

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
632-1	Earthen Settling Structure	Scenario Description: An earthen structure, such as a basin or a terrace or dike like structure, used to capture and separate a portion of the solids from a liquid stream from a feedlot or confinement facility. A concrete pad should be installed on the bottom of the basin and around outlet structures to facilitate cleanout. Removes as portion of the solids to facilitate waste handling and to address water quality concerns. Associated practices include Nutrient Management (590), Composting Facility (317), Anaerobic Digester (366), Waste Storage Facility (313), Waste Recycling (633), Waste Transfer (634), Vegetated Treatment Area (635), Pond Lining or Sealing (521A-D), and Waste Treatment (629). After Practice Description: One earthen settling basin structure (60 ft wide by 200 ft. long by 3 ft. deep, with three screening outlet structures) constructed around or at a livestock feeding operation. Removes a portion of the solids that otherwise would leave with the runoff from an animal feeding operation. Part of an animal waste management system.	Cubic Foot of Total Storage	Cubic Foot	\$0.15	\$0.23	\$0.23	\$0.27
632-2	Concrete Basin	Scenario Description: A concrete structure, such as a basin with concrete walls and floor, used to capture and separate a portion of the solids from a liquid stream from a feedlot or confinement facility. Removes as portion of the solids to facilitate waste handling and to address water quality concerns. Associated practices include Nutrient Management (590), Composting Facility (317), Anaerobic Digester (366), Waste Storage Facility (313), Waste Recycling (633), Waste Transfer (634), Pumping Plant (533), Vegetated Treatment Area (635), Pond Lining or Sealing (521A-D), and Waste Treatment (629). After Practice Description: One 3' deep concrete settling basin structure (20'x20' flat bottom with 3' walls on 2 sides, 10:1 ramps on other sides, 50'x50' overall footprint) and weeping wall/picket structure or outlet control) constructed at the outlet of a open feedlot. Removes a portion of the solids that otherwise would leave with the runoff from an animal feeding operation. Part of an animal waste management system.	Cubic Foot of Total Storage	Cubic Foot	\$1.91	\$2.87	\$2.87	\$3.45

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
632-3	Concrete Sand Settling Lane	Scenario Description: A concrete structure, a concrete lane with curbs, used to capture and separate a portion of the solids, mainly sand, from a liquid stream from a confinement facility. Removes as portion of the solids to facilitate waste handling and to address water quality concerns. Associated practices include Nutrient Management (590), Composting Facility (317), Anaerobic Digester (366), Waste Storage Facility (313), Waste Recycling (633), Waste Transfer (634), Pumping Plant (533), Vegetated Treatment Area (635), Pond Lining or Sealing (521A-D), and Waste Treatment (629). After Practice Description: One concrete settling lane structure (25 ft. wide by 200 ft. long by 0.5 ft. thick with 18' walls on each side.) constructed around or at a livestock feeding operation. Removes a portion of the solids (sand) that otherwise would leave with the runoff from an animal feeding operation. Part of an animal waste management system.	Square Foot of Settling Lane Footprint	Square Foot	\$3.23	\$4.85	\$4.85	\$5.82

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
632-4	Gravity Tank	Scenario Description: A concrete tank used for gravity separation of solid material in a daily waste management system. The waste management system must utilize a 'flush' type system in order to convey and agitate the material. The flush system is needed to maintain high solids removal. Associated practices include Nutrient Management (590), Composting Facility (317), Anaerobic Digester (366), Waste Storage Facility (313), Waste Recycling (633), Waste Transfer (634), Pumping Plant (533), Vegetated Treatment Area (635), Pond Lining or Sealing (521A-D), and Waste Treatment (629). After Practice Description: A concrete tank 20' x 20' x 6' with a full width ramp of 20' x 72'. For a total structure capacity of 6,720 cu ft. Separator description: Dairy manure is flushed into the Gravity Tank (Pull Plug) Separator that utilizes a vertical pipe, surrounded by a baffle that is open at the top. The vertical pipe maintains 4.5 feet of material in the tank. When the manure is flushed into the tank the level rises in the tank and slowly drains through the baffle, floating mat of fibrous material (roughage from the dairy manure) and the open top of the vertical pipe as the level returns to 4.5 feet. The liquid goes to a storage structure. This process is repeated each time the manure is flushed into the tank, typically 2 times per day. The floating material will form a mat on the surface of the separator, the heavy material will sink to the bottom of the separator. Eventually the floating mat and the heavy material will meet and the tank level will not return to 4.5 feet. The basin will continue to be used a few more weeks. This helps to dewater the separated solids. When the separator is ready to be cleaned out the vertical pipe (Pull Plug) is removed and the basin dewaters for 12 to 24 hours. The solids are removed. The vertical pipe installed and the process starts again.	Total capacity of basin	Cubic Foot	\$1.89	\$2.84	\$2.84	\$3.41

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
632-9	Mechanical Separation Facility	Scenario Description: A small mechanical separation facility to partition solids, liquids, and/or associated nutrients from animal waste streams. The partitioning of the previously mentioned components facilitates the protection of air and water quality, protects animal health, and improves the management of an animal waste management system. Mechanical separators may include, but are not limited to: static inclined screens, vibratory screens, rotating screens, centrifuges, screw or roller presses, or other systems. Associated practices include Nutrient Management (590), Composting Facility (317), Anaerobic Digester (366), Waste Storage Facility (313), Waste Recycling (633), Waste Transfer (634), Amendments for the Treatment of Agricultural Waste (591), Pumping Plant (533), Vegetated Treatment Area (635), Pond Lining or Sealing (521A-D), and Waste Treatment (629). After Practice Description: One small mechanical separation facility (a vibratory or rotating s c r e e n) installed at livestock facility before storage or treatment or after treatment, for example, after an anaerobic digester. Part of an animal waste management system.	Item	Each	\$17,476.18	\$26,214.27	\$26,214.27	\$31,457.12

SPRING DEVELOPMENT Practice Code 574

Livestock Structural Practice

PRS Unit of Measurement: Number

Definition: Developing or development of a method to collect water from springs or seeps to provide water for a conservation need. May include cutoff trench, gravel pack, intercept tile, etc.

Purpose: To improve the quantity and/or quality of water for livestock, wildlife or other agricultural uses.

Applicability: In areas where a spring or seep will provide a dependable supply of suitable water for the planned agricultural use.

Limitations:

Maintenance: Practice will be maintained for a lifespan of 20 years.

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
574-1	Collection Structure	Scenario Description: Develop a water source from a natural spring or seep to provide water for livestock and/or wildlife needs. This scenario includes excavating and exposing the water source at the spring/seep (typically on a hillside) and installing a water collection structure. Payment includes excavation and labor to expose the spring, concrete for collection box, lid and gravel backfill. Resource Concern: Livestock production limitation - Inadequate livestock water. After Practice Description: Spring development system provides adequate water for the intended use. The system typically runs all year long in most zones. Site is excavated with a backhoe to expose the seep, a concrete collection box (3'x3'x4') is installed and gravel is backfilled between the spring source and collection box. Associated Practices: 516-Livestock Pipeline; 614-Watering Facility; 533 Pumping Plant	Number of Developments	Each	\$604.80	\$907.20	\$907.20	\$1,088.64
574-2	Horizontal Collection Pipe	Scenario Description: Develop a water source from a natural spring or seep to provide water for livestock and/or wildlife needs. This scenario includes excavating and exposing the water source at the spring/seep (typically on a hillside) and installing a horizontal water collection system. The collection system is commonly composed of perforated drainage pipe placed in an excavated collection trench that runs across the slope, and is piped directly to watering facilities (implemented through associated practice 614). Resource Concern: Livestock production limitation - Inadequate livestock water. After Practice Description: Spring development system provides adequate water for the intended use. The system typically runs all year long in most zones. Horizontal water collection system is a 50 ft. long, 4 inch diameter HDPE perforated pipe enclosed in a sand/gravel envelope overlaid by 2 ft. wide filter fabric (50 ft long). Associated Practices: 516-Livestock Pipeline; 614-Watering Facility	Number of Development	Each	\$470.52	\$705.78	\$705.78	\$846.94

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
574-3	Horizontal Pipe with Collection Box	Scenario Description: Develop a water source from a natural spring or seep to provide water for livestock and/or wildlife needs. This scenario includes excavating and exposing the water source at the spring/seep (typically on a hillside) and installing a horizontal water collection system and a water storage structure. The collection system is commonly composed of perforated 4 inch diameter drainage pipe placed in an excavated collection trench that runs across the slope into the collection box. Resource Concern: Livestock production limitation - Inadequate livestock water. After Practice Description: Spring development system provides adequate water for the intended use. The system typically runs all year long in most zones. Water is collected in a spring box (48 inch diameter x 6 ft. long CMP). Horizontal water collection system is a 50 ft. long, 4 inch diameter HDPE perforated pipe enclosed in a sand/gravel envelope overlaid by 2 ft. wide filter fabric (50 ft. long). Associated Practices: 516-Livestock Pipeline; 614-Watering Facility; 533 Pumping Plant	Number of Developments	Each	\$1,140.84	\$1,711.25	\$1,711.25	\$2,053.50
574-4	Vertical Collection & Storage Pipe	Scenario Description: Develop a water source from a natural spring or seep to provide water for livestock and/or wildlife needs. Typically installed at the point source of a spring and provides for collection and storage of water. Payment includes the vertical excavation of the spring source, placement of vertical collection pipe and gravel around the pipe. Resource Concern: Livestock production limitation - Inadequate livestock water. After Practice Description: Spring development system provides adequate water for the intended use. The system typically runs all year long in most zones. Water is collected in a vertical 48 inch diameter x 12 ft. tall CMP. Associated Practices: 516-Livestock Pipeline; 614-Watering Facility; 533 Pumping Plant	Number of Developments	Each	\$971.01	\$1,456.52	\$1,456.52	\$1,747.82

STORMWATER RUNOFF CONTROL Practice Code 570

Non - Livestock Structural Practice

PRS Unit of Measurement: Acre

Definition: Controlling the quantity and quality of storm water runoff.

Purpose: To control storm water runoff to achieve one or more of the following:

- Minimize erosion and sedimentation during and following construction activities.
- Reduce the quantity of storm water leaving developing or developed sites.
- Improve the quality of storm water leaving developing or developed sites.

Applicability: This practice applies to sites where storm water runoff causes or may cause undesirable downstream flooding, sedimentation, or channel degradation and/or degradation of surface or ground water quality if left untreated. This practice may apply both to sites undergoing development as well as remedial work on already developed sites.

Limitations:

Maintenance: Practice will be maintained for a lifespan of 15 years.

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
570-1	Stormwater Runoff Control	Scenario Description: This scenario involves installation of silt fence, straw wattles, coconut fabric mats or jute mats, and synthetic mats on the construction site as part of one conservation engineering system. The combined system shall include two or more components and will address the resource concerns related with concentrated flow erosion, excessive sediment in surface waters as well as protection of existing inlets and structures depending on the combination. This practice may also be used in the installation of rain gardens, permeable pavement, and/or bioswales. After Practice Description: When properly installed, the combination structures slow down runoff flow velocity and reduce high velocity erosion, detain and filter the storm water runoff and provide a controlled release to the downstream areas. In seeded areas, straw wattles also enable seeds to settle and germinate, aiding the revegetation process. By filtering overland runoff and holding sediment on the slope, Straw Wattles also help to protect lakes, p o n d s , rivers and streams from sediment pollution. When properly installed, coconut mats slow and spread the overland water flow and provide a filtering effect. They also help to reduce sediment transport and stabilize the construction area. Silt fence are installed along the downstream perimeter of a construction site to prevent sediment transport off construction areas. A typical silt fence consists of a synthetic filter fabric stretched between a series of fence stakes, with the stakes installed on the downstream side of the perimeter and the fabric trenched into the soil on the upstream side and backfilled. All erosion control blankets and straw mulches will be covered under 484 - Mulching. If earthen basins are warranted for water quality improvement purposes, use Sediment Basin (350) or Dam (402) as appropriate. If seeding is warranted for water quality and erosion control purpose, use Critical Area Planting (342).	Area of construction site	Acre	\$802.51	\$1,203.77	\$1,203.77	\$1,444.52

STREAM CROSSING Practice Code 578

Livestock Structural Practice

PRS Unit of Measurement: Number

Definition: A stabilized area or structure constructed across a stream to provide a travel way for livestock and/or small equipment.

Purpose: To improve water quality by reducing sediment, nutrient, organic, and inorganic loading of the stream; reduce streambank and streambed erosion and provide crossing for access to another land unit.

Applicability: To all land uses where an intermittent or perennial watercourse exists and a ford, bridge, or culvert type crossing is desired for livestock, and/or small equipment.

Limitations: The landowner is responsible for obtaining all necessary permits prior to construction.

Maintenance: Practice will be maintained for a lifespan of 10 years.

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
578-1	Gravel Crossing	Scenario Description: A stabilized area or structure constructed across a stream to provide a travel way for people, livestock, equipment, or vehicles. This practice applies to all land uses where an intermittent or perennial watercourse exists and a ford crossing is desired for livestock, people, and /or equipment. Stream bed in the channel reach containing the crossing must be vertically stable. Scenario is for stabilizing the bottom and slope of a stream channel using gravel and geotextile. This scenario includes site preparation, dewatering, acquiring and installing gravel on channel bottom and approaches. Use (396) Aquatic Organism Passage when the primary intent is biological concerns, not hydrologic. After Practice Description: Scenario is based upon a vehicle crossing 8' wide stream with 5' high banks and a 12' wide crossing with 6:1 approach. Stream flow is not impeded and a stable base exists for equipment, people and/or animals to cross. Associated practices: (342) Critical Area Planting, (560) Access Road, (575) Animal Trails and Walkways, (566) Recreational Trails and Walkways, (500) Obstruction Removal, or (584) Channel Stabilization.	Crossing dimensions	Square Foot	\$0.73	\$1.09	\$1.09	\$1.31
578-2	Rip Rap Crossing	Scenario Description: A stabilized area or structure constructed across a stream to provide a travel way for people, livestock, equipment, or vehicles. This practice applies to all land uses where an intermittent or perennial watercourse exists and a ford crossing is desired for livestock, people, and /or equipment. Stream bed in the channel reach containing the crossing must be vertically stable. Scenario is for stabilizing the bottom and slope of a stream channel using Rip Rap, gravel and geotextile. This scenario includes site preparation, dewatering, acquiring and installing rip rap and gravel on channel bottom and approaches. Scenario is based on a 20' wide x 50' long crossing. Use (396) Aquatic Organism Passage when the primary intent is biological concerns, not hydrologic. After Practice Description: Stream flow is not impeded and a stable base exists for equipment, people and/or animals to cross. Associated practices: (342) Critical Area Planting, (560) Access Road, (575) Animal Trails and Walkways, (566) Recreational Trails and Walkways, (500) Obstruction Removal, or (584) Channel Stabilization.	Crossing dimensions	Square Foot	\$1.60	\$2.40	\$2.40	\$2.89

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
578-4	Concrete Crossing	Scenario Description: A stabilized area or structure constructed across a stream to provide a travel way for people, livestock, equipment, or vehicles. This practice applies to all land uses where an intermittent or perennial watercourse exists and a ford crossing is desired for livestock, people, and /or equipment. Stream bed in the channel reach containing the crossing must be vertically stable. Scenario is for stabilizing the bottom and slope of a stream channel using concrete. This scenario includes site preparation, dewatering, acquiring and installing gravel and concrete channel bottom and approaches. Scenario is based on a 20' wide x 50' long crossing. Use (396) Aquatic Organism Passage when the primary intent is biological concerns, not hydrologic. After Practice Description: Stream flow is not impeded and a stable base exists for equipment, people and/or animals to cross. Associated practices: (342) Critical Area Planting, (560) Access Road, (575) Animal Trails and Walkways, (566) Recreational Trails and Walkways, (500) Obstruction Removal, or (584) Channel Stabilization.	Crossing dimensions	Square Foot	\$3.21	\$4.82	\$4.82	\$5.78
578-6	Culvert Installation	Scenario Description: Install a new culvert. Work includes dewatering, site preparation and removing any old crossing, acquiring and installing culvert pipe with gravel bedding and fill (compacted), and building headwalls. If a different travel surface is needed, refer to another appropriate standard for the surfacing. 30 inch Culvert installation with <75 cy of fill needed and < 2 yds. rock riprap for headwalls. Pipe is 40 feet long. Use (396) Aquatic Organism Passage instead, when the primary intent is biological concerns, not hydrologic. Use (587) Structure for Water Control instead, for ditch cross culverts and other intermittent flows. After Practice Description: Access road and water flow are able to cross each other in a stable manner. Stream flow is not impeded and a stable base exists for equipment, people and/or animals to cross. Associated practices: (342) Critical Area Planting, (560) Access Road, (575) Animal Trails and Walkways, (566) Recreational Trails and Walkways, (500) Obstruction Removal, or (584) Channel Stabilization.	Culvert	Diameter Inch Foot	\$1.74	\$2.61	\$2.61	\$3.13

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
578-8	Repair of Stream Crossing	Scenario Description: Repair of a stream crossing damaged as a result of a natural catastrophe. The repair may include the installation of lost or displaced rock riprap or the re-installation of a pipe culvert that has been lost or suffered excessive erosion of the associated earthen embankment. After Practice Description: Access road and water flow are able to cross each other in a stable manner. Stream flow is not impeded and a stable base exists for equipment, people and/or animals to cross. Associated practices: (342) Critical Area Planting, (560) Access Road, (575) Animal Trails and Walkways, (566) Recreational Trails and Walkways, (500) Obstruction Removal, or (584) Channel Stabilization.	area of crossing needing repair	Square Foot	\$1.00	\$1.49	\$1.49	\$1.79

STREAMBANK AND SHORELINE PROTECTION Practice Code 580

Non-Livestock Structural Practice

PRS Unit of Measurement: Feet

Definition: Treatment(s) used to stabilize and protect banks of streams or constructed channels using hard engineering and bioengineering methods. Example measures include riprap, willow plantings, tree revetments, etc. Practice may include fish hides (lunkers) for fish habitat.

Purpose: To prevent the loss of land or damage to land uses, or other facilities adjacent to the banks, including the protection of known historical, archeological, and traditional cultural properties; maintain the flow or storage capacity of the water body or to reduce the offsite or downstream effects of sediment resulting from bank erosion; improve or enhance the stream corridor for fish and wildlife habitat, aesthetics, recreation.

Applicability: To streambanks of natural or constructed channels and shorelines of lakes, reservoirs, or estuaries where they are susceptible to erosion. It applies to controlling erosion on shorelines where the problem can be solved with relatively simple structural measures, vegetation, or upland erosion control practices and where failure of structural measures will not create a hazard to life or result in serious damage to property.

Limitations: This practice does not apply to erosion problems on main lake fronts and similar areas of complexity not normally within the scope of NRCS authority or expertise.

Maintenance: Practice must be maintained for a lifespan of 20 years.

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
580-1	Bank Shaping	Scenario Description: Protection of streambanks consisting of shaping banks to a stable slope and conventional plantings of vegetation to stabilize and protect against scour and erosion. The purpose of this practice is to maintain, improve, or restore physical, chemical, and biological functions of a stream to provide diverse aquatic communities to improve habitat for desired aquatic species. Payment cost include shaping bank; a 6-foot high bank at 3(H):1(V) slope for 1000 linear feet (0.46 acres) is used for estimation purposes. Resource Concerns: Soil Erosion - Excessive Bank Erosion from Streams, Shoreline and Water Conveyance Channels; Water Quality Degradation - Excessive Sediment in Surface Waters; Water Quality Degradation - Elevated Water Temperature; Excess/Insufficient Water - Excessive Sediment in Surface Waters; Inadequate Habitat for Fish a n d Wildlife- Habitat Degradation. Associated Practices include: 560 - Access Road; 342 - Critical Area Planting; 382 - Fence; 391 - Riparian Forest Buffer; 390 - Riparian Herbaceous Cover; 395 - Stream Habitat Improvement and Management; 614 - Watering Facility; 484-Mulching; 570-Stormwater Runoff Control. After Practice Description: The streambank is stable against further erosion and encourages natural sediment transport and deposition. Loss of riparian areas and sediment load is reduced in the stream. Critical Area Planting (342) is included for establishment of vegetation. If erosion control blankets or mulching for seedbed establishment/protection are needed, use conservation practice Mulching (484). For Soil Erosion: The streambank is stable. For Water Quality Degradation: The sediment load has decreased in the stream resulting in improved aquatic habitat. For Excess/Insufficient Water: The water conveyance capacity, storage capacity and flow within the stream has been stabilized. For Inadequate Habitat for Fish and Wildlife: The reduction in the sediment load promotes survival, growth, reproduction, and/or diversity of aquatic organisms within the	Linear Feet of Streambank/ Shoreline Protected	Foot	\$4.76	\$7.13	\$7.13	\$8.56

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
580-2	Bioengineered	Scenario Description: Protection of streambanks consisting of a bioengineered technique comprised of non-structural measures such as earth revetments and benches with vegetative measures to s t a b i i i z e and protect the streambank against scour and erosion. Soil bioengineering is a system of living plant materials used as structural components. Adapted types of woody vegetation (shrubs and trees) are initially installed in specified configurations that offer immediate s o i I protection and reinforcement. In addition, soil bioengineering systems create resistance to sliding or shear displacement in a streambank as they develop roots or fibrous inclusions. Environmental benefits derived from woody vegetation include diverse and productive riparian habitats, shade, organic additions to the stream, cover for fish, and improvements in aesthetic value and water quality. Under certain conditions, soil bioengineering installations work well in conjunction with structures to provide more permanent protection and healthy function, enhance aesthetics, and create a more environmentally acceptable product. Soil bioengineering systems normally use unrooted plant parts in the form of cut branches and rooted plants. For streambanks, living systems include brush mattresses, live stakes, joint plantings, vegetated geogrids, branch packing, and live fascines. The purpose of this practice is to maintain, improve, or restore physical, chemical, and biological functions of a stream to provide diverse aquatic communities to improve habitat for desired aquatic species. Payment cost include shaping bank and willlow tree planting. A 6-foot high bank at 3(H):1(V) slope for 1000 linear feet (0.46 acres) is used for estimation purposes. Resource Concerns: Soil Erosion - Excessive Bank Erosion from Streams, Shoreline and Water Conveyance Channels; Water Quality Degradation - Excessive Sediment in Surface Waters; Inadequate Habitat for Fish a n d Wildlife- Habitat Degradation. Provest Buffer; 390 - Riparian Herbaceous Cover; 395 - Stre	Linear Feet of Streambank/ Shoreline Protected	Foot	\$10.11	\$15.17	\$15.17	\$18.21

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
580-3	Structural	Scenario Description: Protection of streambanks using rock riprap to stabilize and protect banks of streams or excavated channels against scour and erosion. Additional structural measures may also include tree revetments; log, root wad and boulder revetments; dormant post plantings; piling revetments with wire or geotextile fencing; piling revetments with slotted fencing; jacks or jack fields; rock riprap; stream jetties; stream barbs; and gabions. The purpose of this practice is to maintain, improve, or restore physical, chemical, and biological functions of a stream to provide diverse aquatic communities to improve habitat for desired aquatic species. Payment cost include shaping bank, critical area vegetation, geotextile, and rock rip rap; a 10-foot high bank at 2(H):1(V) slope for 500 linear feet is used for estimation purposes. The rock will be 2' thick and 10' high. The bank above the riprap will be graded to a stable slope and revegetated. Resource Concerns: Soil Erosion - Excessive Bank Erosion from Streams, Shoreline and Water Conveyance Channels; Water Quality Degradation - Excessive Sediment in Surface Waters; Water Quality Degradation - Elevated Water Temperature; Excess/Insufficient Water - Excessive Sediment in Surface Waters; Inadequate Habitat for Fish a n d Wildlife- Habitat Degradation. Associated Practices include: 560 - Access Road; 342 - Critical Area Planting; 382 - Fence; 391 - Riparian Forest Buffer; 390 - Riparian Herbaceous Cover; 395 - Stream Habitat Improvement and Management; 614 - Watering Facility; 484-Mulching; 570-Stormwater Runoff Control. After Practice Description: The streambank is stable against further erosion and encourages natural sediment transport and deposition. Loss of riparian areas and sediment load has decreased in the stream resulting in improved aquatic habitat. For Soil Erosion: The streambank is stable. For Water Quality Degradation: The water conveyance capacity, storage capacity and flow within the stream has been stabilized. For Inadequate Habitat for	Cubic Yard of Riprap	Cubic Yard	\$25.01	\$37.52	\$37.52	\$45.02

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
580-4	Longitudinal Peaked Stone Toe Protection-	Scenario Description: Protection of streambanks using longitudinal peaked stone toe protection to stabilize and protect banks of streams or excavated channels against scour and erosion. Additional structural measures may also include tree revetments; log, root wad and boulder revetments; dormant post plantings; piling revetments with wire or geotextile fencing; piling revetments with slotted fencing; jacks or jack fields; rock riprap; stream jetties; stream barbs; J-Hooks and gabions. The purpose of this practice is to maintain, improve, or restore physical, chemical, and biological functions of a stream to provide diverse aquatic communities to improve habitat for desired aquatic species. Payment cost includes rock rip rap and placement. A 4' high stone toe with 1.5:1 side slopes, 275 linear feet in length is used for estimation purposes. The bank behind the riprap will not be modified. Stream with less than 100 sq. miles drainage area. Resource Concerns: Soil Erosion - Excessive Bank Erosion from Streams, Shoreline and Water Conveyance Channels; Water Quality Degradation - Excessive Sediment in Surface Waters; Water Quality Degradation - Elevated Water Temperature; Excess/Insufficient Water - Excessive Sediment in Surface Waters; Inadequate Habitat for Fish a n d Wildlife- Habitat Degradation. Associated Practices include: 560 - Access Road; 342 - Critical Area Planting; 382 - Fence; 391 - Riparian Forest Buffer; 390 - Riparian Herbaceous Cover; 395 - Stream Habitat Improvement and Management; 614 - Watering Facility; 484-Mulching; 570-Stormwater Runoff Control. After Practice Description: The streambank is stable against further erosion and encourages natural sediment transport and deposition. Loss of riparian areas and sediment load has decreased in the stream resulting in improved aquatic habitat. For Soil Erosion: The streambank is stable. For Water Quality Degradation: The sediment load has decreased in the stream resulting in improved aquatic habitat.	Linear foot of bank protected	Foot	\$17.89	\$26.83	\$26.83	\$32.20

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
580-5	Stone Toe protection with vegetation	Scenario Description: Protection of streambanks using riprap toe protection with grass vegetation on the upper portion of the bank to stabilize and protect banks of streams or excavated channels against scour and erosion. Additional structural measures may also include tree revetments; log, root wad and boulder revetments; dormant post plantings; piling revetments with wire or geotextile fencing; piling revetments with slotted fencing; jacks or jack fields; rock riprap; stream jetties; stream barbs; and gabions. The purpose of this practice is to maintain, improve, or restore physical, chemical, and biological functions of a stream to provide diverse aquatic communities to improve habitat for desired aquatic species. Payment cost includes rock rip rap and bank shaping. Typical installation consists of 4 vertical feet of riprap toe protection on a 2:1 slope, 2' thick. 4 vertical feet of bank above the rock will be shaped to a 4:1 slope, seeded to cool season vegetation and covered with erosion control blanket. Resource Concerns: Soil Erosion - Excessive Bank Erosion from Streams, Shoreline and Water Conveyance Channels; Water Quality Degradation - Excessive Sediment in Surface Waters; Water Quality Degradation - Elevated Water Temperature; Excess/Insufficient Water-Excessive Sediment in Surface Waters; Inadequate Habitat for Fish a n d Wildlife- Habitat Degradation. Associated Practices include: 560 - Access Road; 342 - Critical Area Planting; 382 - Fence; 391 - Riparian Forest Buffer; 390 - Riparian Herbaceous Cover; 395 - Stream Habitat Improvement and Management; 614 - Watering Facility; 484-Mulching; 570-Stormwater Runoff Control. After Practice Description: The streambank is stable against further erosion and encourages natural sediment transport and deposition. Loss of riparian areas and sediment load is reduced in the stream. For Soil Erosion: The streambank is stable. For Water Quality Degradation: The sediment load has decreased in the stream resulting in improved aquatic habitat. For Excess/Insu	Linear Feet of Bank Protected	Foot	\$21.18	\$31.77	\$31.77	\$38.13

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
580-6	Stream Barb/Bend way Weir- large stream	Scenario Description: Protection of streambanks using stream barbs to stabilize and protect banks of streams or excavated channels against scour and erosion. The purpose of this practice is to maintain, improve, or restore physical, chemical, and biological functions of a stream to provide diverse aquatic communities to improve habitat for desired aquatic species. Payment cost includes rock rip rap, bank shaping, erosion control blanket and seeding. Typical installation consists of 7 stream barbs, each 7' tall and 60' long protecting 650' of bank. Stream with 100 sq miles or more drainage area. Resource Concerns: Soil Erosion - Excessive Bank Erosion from Streams, Shoreline and Water Conveyance Channels; Water Quality Degradation - Excessive Sediment in Surface Waters; Water Quality Degradation - Elevated Water Temperature; Excess/Insufficient Water - Excessive Sediment in Surface Waters; Inadequate Habitat for Fish a n d Wildlife- Habitat Degradation. Associated Practices include: 342 - Critical Area Planting; 382 - Fence; 391 - Riparian Forest Buffer; 390 - Riparian Herbaceous Cover; 395 - Stream Habitat Improvement and Management; 614 - Watering Facility; 484-Mulching; 570-Stormwater Runoff Control. After Practice Description: The streambank is stable against further erosion and encourages natural sediment transport and deposition. Loss of r i p a r i a n areas and sediment load is reduced in the stream. For Soil Erosion: The streambank is stable. For Water Quality Degradation: The sediment load has decreased in the stream resulting in improved aquatic habitat. For Excess/Insufficient Water: The water conveyance capacity, storage capacity and flow within the stream has been stabilized. For Inadequate Habitat for Fish and Wildlife: The reduction in the sediment load promotes survival, growth, reproduction, and/or diversity of aquatic organisms within the stream's habitat.	Lineal Feet of bank protected	Foot	\$34.42	\$51.63	\$51.63	\$61.96

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
580-7	Weir/Riffle Small	Scenario Description: Protection of streambanks using a rock riffle to stabilize and protect banks of streams or excavated channels against scour and erosion by controlling down cutting. Additional structural measures may also include tree revetments; log, root wad and boulder revetments; dormant post plantings; piling revetments with wire or geotextile fencing; piling revetments with slotted fencing; jacks or jack fields; rock riprap; and gabions. The purpose of this practice is to maintain, improve, or restore physical, chemical, and biological functions of a stream to provide diverse aquatic communities to improve habitat for desired aquatic species. Payment cost includes rock rip rap, bank shaping, erosion control blanket and seeding. Typical installation consists of a 1' high riffle on a stream with an 8' bottom width and 5' banks. Resource Concerns: Soil Erosion - Excessive Bank Erosion from Streams, Shoreline and Water Conveyance Channels; Water Quality Degradation - Excessive Sediment in Surface Waters; Water Quality Degradation - Elevated Water Temperature; Excess/Insufficient Water - Excessive Sediment in Surface Waters; Inadequate Habitat for Fish a n d Wildlife- Habitat Degradation. Associated Practices include: 560 - Access Road; 342 - Critical Area Planting; 382 - Fence; 391 - Riparian Forest Buffer; 390 - Riparian Herbaceous Cover; 395 - Stream Habitat Improvement and Management; 614 - Watering Facility; 484-Mulching; 570-Stormwater Runoff Control. After Practice Description: The streambank is stable against further erosion and encourages natural sediment transport and deposition. Loss of riparian areas and sediment load has decreased in the stream resulting in improved aquatic habitat. For Soil Erosion: The streambank is stable. For Water Quality Degradation: The sediment load has decreased in the stream resulting in improved aquatic habitat.	Per structure installed	Each	\$1,553.31	\$2,329.97	\$2,329.97	\$2,795.96

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
580-8	Weir/Riffle Medium	Scenario Description: Protection of streambanks using a rock riffle to stabilize and protect banks of streams or excavated channels against scour and erosion by controlling down cutting. Additional structural measures may also include tree revetments; log, root wad and boulder revetments; dormant post plantings; piling revetments with wire or geotextile fencing; piling revetments with slotted fencing; jacks or jack fields; rock riprap; and gabions. The purpose of this practice is to maintain, improve, or restore physical, chemical, and biological functions of a stream to provide diverse aquatic communities to improve habitat for desired aquatic species. Payment cost includes rock rip rap, bank shaping, erosion control blanket and seeding. Typical installation consists of a 1.5' high riffle on a stream with a 20' bottom width and 6' banks. Resource Concerns: Soil Erosion - Excessive Bank Erosion from Streams, Shoreline and Water Conveyance Channels; Water Quality Degradation - Excessive Sediment in Surface Waters; Water Quality Degradation - Elevated Water Temperature; Excess/Insufficient Water - Excessive Sediment in Surface Waters; Inadequate Habitat for Fish a n d Wildlife- Habitat Degradation. Associated Practices include: 560 - Access Road; 342 - Critical Area Planting; 382 - Fence; 391 - Riparian Forest Buffer; 390 - Riparian Herbaceous Cover; 395 - Stream Habitat Improvement and Management; 614 - Watering Facility; 484-Mulching; 570-Stormwater Runoff Control. After Practice Description: The streambank is stable against further erosion and encourages natural sediment transport and deposition. Loss of riparian areas and sediment load has decreased in the stream resulting in improved aquatic habitat. For Soil Erosion: The streambank is stable. For Water Quality Degradation: The water conveyance capacity, storage capacity and flow within the stream has been stabilized. For Inadequate Habitat for Fish and Wildlife: The reduction in the sediment load promotes survival, growth, reproduction, and/or diversi	Per structure installed	Each	\$3,088.03	\$4,632.04	\$4,632.04	\$5,558.45

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
580-9	Weir/Riffle Large	Scenario Description: Protection of streambanks using a rock riffle to stabilize and protect banks of streams or excavated channels against scour and erosion by controlling down cutting. Additional structural measures may also include tree revetments; log, root wad and boulder revetments; dormant post plantings; piling revetments with wire or geotextile fencing; piling revetments with slotted fencing; jacks or jack fields; rock riprap; and gabions. The purpose of this practice is to maintain, improve, or restore physical, chemical, and biological functions of a stream to provide diverse aquatic communities to improve habitat for desired aquatic species. Payment cost includes rock rip rap, bank shaping, erosion control blanket and seeding. Typical installation consists of a 1.5' high riffle on a stream with a 30' bottom width and 7' banks. Resource Concerns: Soil Erosion - Excessive Bank Erosion from Streams, Shoreline and Water Conveyance Channels; Water Quality Degradation - Excessive Sediment in Surface Waters; Water Quality Degradation - Elevated Water Temperature; Excess/Insufficient Water - Excessive Sediment in Surface Waters; Inadequate Habitat for Fish a n d Wildlife- Habitat Degradation. Associated Practices include: 560 - Access Road; 342 - Critical Area Planting; 382 - Fence; 391 - Riparian Forest Buffer; 390 - Riparian Herbaceous Cover; 395 - Stream Habitat Improvement and Management; 614 - Watering Facility; 484-Mulching; 570-Stormwater Runoff Control. After Practice Description: The streambank is stable against further erosion and encourages natural sediment transport and deposition. Loss of riparian areas and sediment load has decreased in the stream resulting in improved aquatic habitat. For Soil Erosion: The streambank is stable. For Water Quality Degradation: The sediment load has decreased in the stream resulting in improved aquatic habitat.	Per structure installed	Each	\$4,048.20	\$6,072.30	\$6,072.30	\$7,286.76

STREAM HABITAT IMPROVEMENT AND MANAGEMENT Practice Code 395

Non-Livestock Vegetative Practice

PRS Unit of Measurement: Acre

Definition: Maintain, improve, or restore the physical, chemical and biological functions of a stream.

Purpose: Provide suitable habitat for desired aquatic species and a diverse aquatic community. Provide channel morphology and associated riparian characteristics important to desired aquatic species. Provide esthetic values and recreational opportunities associated with stream habitats such as angling and fish viewing.

Applicability: In streams with habitat deficiencies limiting survival, growth, reproduction, and/or diversity of aquatic species in relation to the streams potential.

Limitations:

Maintenance: Practice will be maintained for a lifespan of 5 year.

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
395-1	Riparian Zone Improvement, Forested	Scenario Description: This scenario describes fish and wildlife habitat improvement and/or management actions focused on the community structure and function of forested riparian zone plant communities. The planned activity meets the 395 standard, and facilitating practice standards, especially Codes 390 and 391, utilized in combination to satisfy all requirements specific to habitats needed for the stream and riparian species for which the practice is being implemented. Implementation will improve instream and riparian habitat complexity, water quality, hiding and resting cover, and/or increased food availability for desired riparian and stream species. After Practice Description: Revegetation/reforestation of the riparian zone is completed and the vegetation community is under close management to insure long-term survival and ecological succession of the plant community. The quality and quantity of the riparian zone components of the site are managed to support a diverse vegetation community suitable for the site, the species that depend on it for habitat, and the functions it performs or will eventually perform as the vegetation matures. These functions include: stream temperature moderation thru shading, recruitment of instream large wood and/or non-woody organic matter, riparian habitat for terrestrial insects and other riparian-dependent species, streambank integrity, and filtration of contaminants from surface run-off into the stream.	acres	Acre	\$2,170.34	\$3,255.50	\$3,255.50	\$3,906.61

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
395-2	Instream wood placement	Scenario Description: This scenario involves placement of large wood (logs, root wads, log structures) into a stream channel in order to improve aquatic habitat that currently does not meet quality criteria for stream species habitat. A stream assessment (i.e. Stream Visual Assessment Protocol) should be conducted in order to document habitat components lacking for aquatic species (i.e. large wood, pools). A project design for wood placement will be based on assessment of the target stream reach characteristics and those of a suitable reference reach. These characteristics include channel geometry, channel slope, stream bottom substrate size and composition, and the geomorphic setting influencing the channel form, pattern and profile. Large wood and root wads placed into the stream will mimic genus, age, and size of mature trees found in intact, reference riparian areas in the MLRA where the project is located. Large wood/trees with root wads intact should be placed in streams to create pool habitat according to NRCS engineering specifications and with close review & approval of a fish habitat biologist. Boulders placed to provide ballast shall only be used if the geomorphic setting and project design demand this component. The planned activity will meet the current 395 standard, and facilitating practice standards utilized, including timing of work windows required for protected aquatic and riparian species, and protecting/restoring vegetation and substrates of/to areas impacted by heavy equipment. Implementation will result in the improvement of instream habitat complexity, hiding and resting cover, and/or increased food availability for fish and other stream species. Payment for implementation is to defray the costs of project implementation. Monitoring records demonstrating implementation of this scenario will address resource concerns for stream species of concern are required. After Practice Description: Stream habitat within the project reach is improving as a result of placing logs, root wads, and/or wo	Bank full width x reach length	Acre	\$5,756.85	\$8,635.28	\$8,635.28	\$10,362.33

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
395-3	Instream rock placement	Scenario Description: This scenario describes the implementation of a stream habitat improvement and management project that places individual boulders or boulder clusters, or rock structures in or adjacent to the stream channel as habitat components. A project design for boulder placement will be based on assessment of the target stream reach characteristics and those of a suitable reference reach. These characteristics include channel geometry, channel slope, stream bottom substrate size and composition, and the geomorphic setting influencing the channel form, pattern and profile. Large rocks/boulders placed in the stream channel will mimic geologic material sizes t y p i c a I I y present in the watershed or observed in intact, reference stream reaches in the MLRA where the project is located. Boulders should be placed in streams to create pool habitat and hydraulic complexity according to NRCS engineering specifications and with close review & approval of a fish habitat biologist onsite during implementation of the project design. Spawning gravel placement should be placed to restore spawning area substrates potentially disturbed by rock placement. The planned activity will meet the current 395 standard, and facilitating practice standards utilized. Implementation will result in the improvement of instream habitat complexity, hiding and resting cover, spawning habitat, and/or increased food availability for fish and other stream species. Payment for implementation is to defray the costs of stream habitat assessment, and project implementation. Records demonstrating implementation of this scenario will address resource concerns for stream species of concern will be required. After Practice Description: Stream habitat within the project reach is improving as a result of placing boulders or constructing rock structures in the channel and/or along the stream bank. Hydraulic complexity of the habitat in the reach is increased, and hiding cover, food availability and refuge habitat for stream species is improving	Bank full width x reach length	Acre	\$6,221.31	\$9,331.97	\$9,331.97	\$11,198.37

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
395-4	Rock and wood structures	Scenario Description: This scenario describes the implementation of a stream habitat improvement and management project where practices are focused on instream habitat improvement with a combination of rock AND wood structures. This scenario involves placement of large wood and rock structures into a stream channel in order to improve aquatic habitat that currently does not meet quality criteria for stream species habitat. A stream assessment (i.e. Stream Visual A s s e s s m e n t Protocol) should be conducted in order to document habitat components (such as large wood, pools) are not currently present in the stream or are limited for aquatic species. A project design for placement of habitat structures (boulders, boulder clusters, wood, wood structures) will be based on assessment of (a) the target stream reach characteristics and (b) those of a suitable reference reach. These characteristics include channel geometry, channel slope, stream bottom substrate size and composition, and the geomorphic setting influencing the channel form, pattern and profile. Large rocks/boulders placed in the stream channel will mimic geologic material sizes typically present in the watershed or observed in intact, reference stream reaches in the MLRA where the project is located. Rock boulder sizes should also reflect the geomorphic setting of the stream reach. Large wood placed into the stream under this scenario should be similar in species, age, and size (diameter) as trees found in the surrounding riparian area, to the extent possible. Wood, boulders and/or boulder clusters will be placed in the stream to create pool habitat and hydraulic complexity according to NRCS engineering specifications and with close review & approval of a fish habitat biologist onsite during the planning and implementation of the project. This scenario involves restoring one acre of stream. The planned activity will meet the current 395 standard, and facilitating practice standards utilized. Implementation will result in the improvement of instream	stream length X bank full width	Acre	\$7,379.68	\$11,069.52	\$11,069.52	\$13,283.42

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
395-5	Wood with Lunkers	Scenario Description: This scenario involves placement of large wood (root wads) and lunkers (overhang/undercut bank structures) into a stream channel in order to improve aquatic habitat that currently does not meet quality criteria for stream species habitat. A stream assessment (i.e. Stream Visual Assessment Protocol) should be conducted in order to document habitat components lacking for aquatic species (i.e. large wood, pools). A project design for wood placement will be based on assessment of the target stream reach characteristics and those of a suitable reference reach. These characteristics include channel geometry, channel slope, stream bottom substrate size and composition, and the geomorphic setting influencing the channel form, pattern and profile. Large wood and root wads placed into the stream will mimic genus, age, and size of mature trees found in intact, reference riparian areas in the MLRA where the project is located. Large wood/trees with root wads intact should be placed in streams to create pool habitat according to NRCS engineering specifications and with close review & approval of a fish habitat biologist. The planned activity will meet the current 395 standard, and facilitating practice standards utilized, including timing of work windows required for protected aquatic and riparian species, and protecting/restoring vegetation and substrates of/to areas impacted by heavy equipment. Implementation will result in the improvement of instream habitat complexity, hiding and resting cover, and/or increased food availability for fish and other stream species. Payment for implementation is to defray the costs of project implementation. Monitoring records demonstrating implementation of this scenario will address resource concerns for stream species of concern are required. After Practice Description: Stream habitat within the project reach is improving as a result of placing a series of root wads, and/or wood structures in the channel and/or along the stream bank. Pool habitat in the reach is i	Bank full width x reach length	Acre	\$3,269.60	\$4,904.40	\$4,904.40	\$5,885.28

STRIPCROPPING Practice Code 585

Non-Livestock Management Practice

PRS Unit of Measurement: Acre

Definition: Growing row crops, forages, small grains, or fallow in a systematic arrangement of equal width strips across a field.

Purpose: To reduce soil erosion from water and transport of sediment and other water-borne contaminants; to reduce soil erosion from wind and to protect growing crops from damage by wind-borne soil particles.

Applicability: On cropland or other land where crops are grown. On sloping cropland and wildlife land where the topography is uniform enough to permit tilling and harvesting, and where it is an essential part of a cropping system to effectively reduce soil and water losses. This practice is most effective on flatter slopes (2-12 percent) but can reduce sheet and rill erosion on steeper slopes. Effectiveness of this practice is a function of soil texture, land slope, effective ridge height, and Cover-Management Condition.

Limitations: This practice is unsuitable on undulating to rolling topography because of the difficulty of maintaining parallel strip boundaries across the hill slope and/or staying within in-row grade limits. It is most suitable on uniform slopes with slope lengths (L) equal to or less than the Critical Slope Length Limits as determined using the RUSLE2. The effect on erosion reduction for this practice is reduced on fields where slope lengths (L) exceeds the Critical Slope Length limits for contouring by 1.5 times, unless the slope length (L) is shortened by the installation of other practices such as terraces. This standard does not apply to situations where alternating strips are not generally equal in width or where the land is treated with Contour Buffer Strips (332)

Maintenance: Practice must be maintained for a lifespan of 5 years.

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
585-1	Strip-cropping - water erosion	Scenario Description: This scenario describes the implementation of a strip-cropping system that is designed specifically for the control of water erosion or minimizing the transport of sediments or other water borne contaminants originating from runoff on cropland. The planned strip-cropping system will meet the current 585 standard. Implementation will result in alternating strips of erosion susceptible crops with erosion resistant crops that are oriented as close to perpendicular to water flows as possible. The designed system will reduce erosion/sediment/contaminants to desired objectives. Payment for implementation is to defray the costs of designing the system, installing the strips on the landscape appropriately, and integrating a crop rotation that includes water erosion resistant species. After Practice Description: A strip-cropping system that includes at least two or more strips within the planning slope will be designed to include parallel strips of approximately equal widths of water erosion resistant crop species with non-water erosion resistant crop species. Widths will be determined using current water erosion prediction technology to meet objectives. The design and implementation of a strip-cropping system will minimize sheet and rill erosion, protect soil quality, reduce offsite sedimentation, and benefit offsite aquatic wildlife habitat. Erosion prediction before and after practice application will be recorded showing the design and benefits of the practice. Erosion -resistant strips in rotation must be managed to maintain the planned vegetative cover and surface roughness.	area of strips	Acre	\$2.11	\$3.17	\$3.17	\$3.81

STRUCTURE FOR WATER CONTROL Practice Code 587

Non-Livestock Structural Practice

PRS Unit of Measurement: Number

Definition: A structure, in a water management system, that controls the direction or rate of flow, maintains a desired water level, or measures water.

Purpose: To control the stage, discharge, distribution, delivery, or direction of water flow.

Applicability: Where a permanent structure is needed as an integral part of a water-control system to control the water level within a wetland or as part of a drainage water management practice.

Limitations:

Maintenance: Practice must be maintained for a lifespan of 20 years.

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
587-1	Water Control, 6-	Scenario Description: An Inline Water Control Structure (WCS) composed of plastic that maintains a desired water surface elevation, controls the direction or rate of flow, or conveys water to address the resource concern: Inadequate habitat for Fish and Wildlife. The water surface elevation is controlled by addition or removal of slats or 'stop logs'. This scenario is applicable to variable crest weir structures where the elevation is controlled at point along a pipe extending through an embankment, providing ease of access to the structure and provide better protection against beaver activity. There are commercially available models composed of plastic that are commonly used when the width of the pipe is 24' or less. Cost estimate is based on a using such a commercial product. The typical scenario is an inline structure with a width of 12', height of six feet, and the pipe is 65' of 8' SCH 40 PVC (inlet and outlet combined). After Practice Description: A WCS is installed in a flow line allowing shallow water impoundments. A wetland area is enhanced and water levels can be varied to better accommodate wildlife needs. Any needed revegetation of disturbed areas use Critical Area Planting (342). Other associated practices such as; Wetland Creation (658), Wetland Enhancement (659) Wetland Wildlife Habitat Management (644), Dike (356), and Grade Stabilization Structure (410) will use the corresponding Standard(s) as appropriate.	Number of structures	Each	\$1,328.27	\$1,992.41	\$1,992.41	\$2,390.89

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
587-2	Water Control, 12-	Scenario Description: An Inline Water Control Structure (WCS) composed of plastic that maintains a desired water surface elevation, controls the direction or rate of flow, or conveys water to address the resource concern: Inadequate habitat for Fish and Wildlife. The water surface elevation is controlled by addition or removal of slats or 'stop logs'. This scenario is applicable to variable crest weir structures where the elevation is controlled at point along a pipe extending through an embankment, providing ease of access to the structure and provide better protection against beaver activity. There are commercially available models composed of plastic that are commonly used when the width of the pipe is 24' or less. Cost estimate is based on a using such a commercial product. The typical scenario is an inline structure with a width of 20', height of six feet, The pipe is 65' of 15' SDR35 PVC (inlet and outlet combined). After Practice Description: A WCS is installed in a flow line allowing shallow water impoundments. A wetland area is enhanced and water levels can be varied to better accommodate wildlife needs. Any needed re-vegetation of disturbed areas use Critical Area Planting (342). Other associated practices such as; Wetland Creation (658), Wetland Enhancement (659) Wetland Wildlife Habitat Management (644), Dike (356), and Grade Stabilization Structure (410) will use the corresponding Standard(s) as appropriate.	Number of Structures	Each	\$2,135.67	\$3,203.50	\$3,203.50	\$3,844.20

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
587-3	Inline Stop log WCS, Surface Water Control, >18 in. dia. Pipe	Scenario Description: An Inline Water Control Structure (WCS) composed of plastic that maintains a desired water surface elevation, controls the direction or rate of flow, or conveys water to address the resource concern: Inadequate habitat for Fish and Wildlife. The water surface elevation is controlled by addition or removal of slats or stop logs. This scenario is applicable to variable crest weir structures where the elevation is controlled at point along a pipe extending through an embankment, providing ease of access to the structure and provide better protection against beaver activity. There are commercially available models composed of plastic that are commonly used when the width of the pipe is 24' or less. Cost estimate is based on a using such a commercial product. The typical scenario is an inline structure with a width of 31', height of six feet, and the pipe is 65' of 24' used steel (inlet and outlet combined). After Practice Description: A WCS is installed in a flow line allowing shallow water impoundments. A wetland area is enhanced and water levels can be varied to better accommodate wildlife needs. Any needed re-vegetation of disturbed areas use Critical Area Planting (342). Other associated practices such as; Wetland Creation (658), Wetland Enhancement (659) Wetland Wildlife Habitat Management (644), Dike (356), and Grade Stabilization Structure (410) will use the corresponding Standard(s) as appropriate.	Number of structures	Each	\$3,482.10	\$5,223.15	\$5,223.15	\$6,267.77
587-4	Weir Box Inlet WCS, Surface Water Control, <=16 in. dia. Pipe.	Scenario Description: A structure in a water management system that conveys water, controls the direction or rate of flow, maintains a desired water surface elevation or measures water. This practice applies wherever a permanent structure is needed as an integral part of a water-control system. A fabricated weir box structure with a pipe of 16' diameter or less is placed in a levee to manage water level elevation. Payment incorporates pipe, anti-seep collar, trash guard, animal guard, flap gate and weir box structure. After Practice Description: A weir box structure is placed in a levee to manage water level elevation. A wetland area is enhanced and water levels can be varied to better accommodate wildlife needs. Any needed revegetation of disturbed areas use Critical Area Planting (342). Other associated practices such as; Wetland Creation (658), Wetland Enhancement (659) Wetland Wildlife Habitat Management (644), Dike (356), and Grade Stabilization Structure (410) will use the corresponding Standard(s) as appropriate.	Number of structures	Each	\$1,827.49	\$2,741.23	\$2,741.23	\$3,289.48

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
587-5	Weir Box Inlet WCS, Surface Water Control, >16 in. dia. Pipe.	Scenario Description: A structure in a water management system that conveys water, controls the direction or rate of flow, maintains a desired water surface elevation or measures water. This practice applies wherever a permanent structure is needed as an integral part of a water-control system. A fabricated weir box structure with a pipe of greater than 16' diameter is placed in a levee to manage water level elevation. Payment incorporates pipe, anti-seep collar, trash guard, animal guard, flap gate and weir box structure. After Practice Description: A weir box structure is placed in a levee to manage water level elevation. A wetland area is enhanced and water levels can be varied to better accommodate wildlife needs. Any needed revegetation of disturbed areas use Critical Area Planting (342). Other associated practices such as; Wetland Creation (658), Wetland Enhancement (659) Wetland Wildlife Habitat Management (644), Dike (356), and Grade Stabilization Structure (410) will use the corresponding Standard(s) as appropriate.	Number of structures	Each	\$2,557.21	\$3,835.82	\$3,835.82	\$4,602.98
587-9	Inline WCS, Subsurface Drainage Control, <=10 in. dia. Pipe	Scenario Description: A subsurface drainage system on a field with a fairly flat slope (less than 2% and preferably less than 1%) outlets through a control structure which is operated with stop logs. This allows the operator to keep the water in the soil profile when it is not critical to dry the soil. This retention time allows nutrients to be reduced by bacteria such that the nutrients do not leave with the water. A single stop log structure may have its influence extended by buried float-activated structures which can be counted as structures also for a separate payment. Resource Concerns: Water Quality Degradation (Nutrients). Associated Practices: 606 - Subsurface Drain; 554 - Drainage Water Management After Practice Description: The discharge from a subsurface drainage system enters ditches or streams only when the soil profile needs to be dry. The retention time in the soil profile removes nutrients. Typical affected area for a single structure is 10-20 acres. A single structure with stop logs may have its influence extended by use of buried float-activated control structures, which may be paid for as separate structures also.	Number of Structures	Each	\$853.15	\$1,279.72	\$1,279.72	\$1,535.66

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
587-10	Inline WCS, Subsurface Drainage Control, >10 in. dia. Pipe	Scenario Description: A subsurface drainage system on a field with a fairly flat slope (less than 2% and preferably less than 1%) outlets through a control structure which is operated with stop logs. This allows the operator to keep the water in the soil profile when it is not critical to dry the soil. This retention time allows nutrients to be reduced by bacteria such that the nutrients do not leave with the water. A single stop log structure may have its influence extended by buried float-activated structures which can be counted as structures also for a separate payment. Resource Concerns: Water Quality Degradation (Nutrients). Associated Practices: 606 - Subsurface Drain; 554 - Drainage Water Management After Practice Description: The discharge from a subsurface drainage system enters ditches or streams only when the soil profile needs to be dry. The retention time in the soil profile removes nutrients. Typical affected area for a single structure is 10-20 acres. A single structure with stop logs may have its influence extended by use of buried float-activated control structures, which may be paid for as separate structures also.	Number of Structures	Each	\$1,170.43	\$1,755.64	\$1,755.64	\$2,106.77
587-11	Inline WCS, Subsurface Drainage Control, float activated head pressure valve	Scenario Description: A subsurface drainage system on a field with a fairly flat slope (less than 2% and preferably less than 1%) outlets through an inline water level control structure which is used in conjunction with 3 float activated head pressure valves. Each float activated head pressure valve increases the zone of influence by 1'. This allows the operator to keep the water in the soil profile when it is not critical to dry the soil. This retention time allows nutrients to be reduced by bacteria such that the nutrients do not leave with the water. Multiple buried float-activated structures can be used to extend the influence of a single inline water control structure. Resource C o n c e r n s : Water Quality Degradation (Nutrients). Associated Practices: 606 - Subsurface Drain; 554 - Drainage Water Management After Practice Description: The discharge from a subsurface drainage system enters ditches or streams only when the soil profile needs to b e dry. The retention time in the soil profile removes nutrients. Typical affected area for a single structure is 10-20 acres.	Number of Structures	Each	\$597.68	\$896.51	\$896.51	\$1,075.82

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
587-12	Straight Pipe, Surface Water Control, <=10 in. dia. Pipe (w/o adjustable control)	Scenario Description: Used as an outlet for Wetland; no drop box; straight through 10' diameter PVC pipe; pipe is backfilled with #57 stone to 1' over the top of the pipe; 12' thick layer of Type D riprap is placed at the outlet end with 6' thick of #57 stone under it. After Practice Description: A straight pipe (principal spillway) is installed through an earth embankment to create a wetland. Any needed re-vegetation of disturbed areas use Critical Area Planting (342). Other associated practices such as; Wetland Creation (658), Wetland Enhancement (659) Wetland Wildlife Habitat Management (644), Dike (356), and Grade Stabilization Structure (410) will use the corresponding Standard(s) as appropriate.	Feet of pipe installed	Foot	\$22.09	\$33.13	\$33.13	\$39.76
587-13	Straight Pipe, Surface Water Control, >=12 in. dia. Pipe (w/o adjustable control)	Scenario Description: Used as an outlet for Wetland; no drop box; straight through 12' diameter PVC pipe; pipe is backfilled with #57 stone to 1' over the top of the pipe; 12' thick layer of Type D riprap is placed at the outlet end with 6' thick of #57 stone under it. After Practice Description: A straight pipe (principal spillway) is installed through an earth embankment to create a wetland. Any needed re-vegetation of disturbed areas use Critical Area Planting (342). Other associated practices such as; Wetland Creation (658), Wetland Enhancement (659) Wetland Wildlife Habitat Management (644), Dike (356), and Grade Stabilization Structure (410) will use the corresponding Standard(s) as appropriate.	Feet of pipe installed	Foot	\$26.58	\$39.87	\$39.87	\$47.84

STRUCTURES FOR WILDLIFE Practice Code 649

PRS Unit of Measurement: No.

Definition: A structure installed to replace or modify a missing or deficient wildlife habitat component.

Purpose: To provide structures, in proper amounts, locations, and seasons to: enhance or sustain non-domesticated wildlife or modify existing structures that pose a hazard to wildlife.

Applicability: This practice applies to all lands where planting or managing vegetation fails to meet the short-term needs of the species or guild under consideration. In addition, where a State-approved wildlife habitat assessment identifies a need as listed in the 649 standard under the section, "Conditions Where Practice Applies".

Limitations: The edge feathering scenarios unit of measure has been changed from linear feet to acres of treatment.

Maintenance: Practice will be maintained for a lifespan of 5 years.

Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
Nesting Box, Small no pole	Scenario Description: A structure is provided to support the nesting and rearing of smaller targeted species, such as bees and birds, and is directly mounted to a tree, building or other structure. Addresses resource concern for wildlife of inadequate cover/shelter After Practice Description: The installation of nesting and rearing boxes support the life-cycle needs of targeted species, such as birds, bats and pollinators. These structures/features enhance habitat, cover, and improve species survivability.	Number of structures	Each	\$20.81	\$31.22	\$31.22	\$37.47
Nesting Box, Small, with wood pole	Scenario Description: Constructing a nest box and mounting on a pole. A structure is provided to support the nesting and rearing of targeted species, such as pollinators and birds. Trees, buildings or other structures are not available. These structures are designed to meet targeted species biology and life history needs. Addresses Resource Concern: Inadequate Cover/Shelter. After Practice Description: The installation nesting and rearing boxes support the life-cycle needs of targeted species, such as blue birds and waterfowl. Location and conditions suggest that predator guards are not needed. These structures/features enhance habitat, cover, and improve species survivability.	Number of structures with poles.	Number	\$31.08	\$46.62	\$46.62	\$55.94
Nesting Box, Large	Scenario Description: A structure is provided to support the nesting and rearing of larger targeted species such as waterfowl, bats and barn owls, and is directly mounted to a tree, building or other structure. These structures are designed to meet targeted species biology and life history needs. Addresses Resource Concern: Inadequate Cover/Shelter. After Practice Description: The installation of nesting and rearing boxes support the life-cycle needs of targeted species, such as birds, bats and pollinators. Because of suitable location and conditions the nesting box can be directly mounted such as on a tree or building, thereby eliminating the need for mounting poles and predator guards. Species such as cavity dwelling birds and pollinators use this approach, but this treatment is not limited to those species. These structures/features enhance habitat, cover, and improve species survivability.	Number of structures.	Each	\$41.54	\$62.30	\$62.30	\$74.76
		Number of structures	Each	\$119.03	\$178.55	\$178.55	\$214.26
	Nesting Box, Small no pole Nesting Box, Small, with wood pole Nesting Box, Large	Scenario Description: A structure is provided to support the nesting and rearing of smaller targeted species, such as bees and birds, and is directly mounted to a tree, building or other structure. Addresses resource concern for wildlife of inadequate cover/shelter After Practice Description: The installation of nesting and rearing boxes support the life-cycle needs of targeted species, such as birds, bats and pollinators. These structures/features enhance habitat, cover, and improve species survivability. Scenario Description: Constructing a nest box and mounting on a pole. A structure is provided to support the nesting and rearing of targeted species, such as pollinators and birds. Trees, buildings or other structures are not available. These structures are designed to meet targeted species biology and life history needs. Addresses Resource Concern: Inadequate Cover/Shelter. After Practice Description: The installation nesting and rearing boxes support the life-cycle needs of targeted species, such as blue birds and waterfowl. Location and conditions suggest that predator guards are not needed. These structures/features enhance habitat, cover, and improve species survivability. Scenario Description: A structure is provided to support the nesting and rearing box and is directly mounted to a tree, building or other structure. These structures are designed to meet targeted species biology and life history needs. Addresses Resource Concern: Inadequate Cover/Shelter. After Practice Description: The installation of nesting and rearing boxes support the life-cycle needs of targeted species, such as birds, bats and pollinators. Because of suitable location and conditions the nesting box can be directly mounted such as on a tree or building, thereby eliminating the need for mounting poles and predator guards. Species such as cavity dwelling birds and pollinators use this approach, but this treatment is not limited to those species. These structures/features enhance habitat, cover, and improve species. These structur	Scenario Name Scenario & After Practice Description Scenario Description: A structure is provided to support the nesting and rearing of smaller targeted species, such as bees and birds, and is directly mounted to a tree, building or other structure. Addresses resource concern for wildlife of inadequate cover/shelter After Practice Description: The installation of nesting and rearing boxes support the life-cycle needs of targeted species, such as birds, bats and pollinators. These structures/features enhance habitat, cover, and improve species survivability. Scenario Description: Constructing a nest box and mounting on a pole. A structure is provided to support the nesting and rearing of targeted species, such as pollinators and birds. Trees, buildings or other structures are not available. These structures are designed to meet targeted species biology and life history needs. Addresses Resource Concern: Inadequate Cover/Shelter. After Practice Description: The installation nesting and rearing boxes support the life-cycle needs of targeted species, such as blue birds and waterfowl. Location and conditions suggest that predator guards are not needed. These structures/features enhance habitat, cover, and improve species survivability. Scenario Description: A structure is provided to support the nesting and rearing of larger targeted species such as waterfowl, bats and barm owls, and is directly mounted to a tree, building or other structure. These structures are designed to meet targeted species biology and life history needs. Addresses Resource Concern: Inadequate Cover/Shelter. After Practice Description: The installation of nesting and rearing boxes support the life-cycle needs of targeted species, such as birds, bats and pollinators. Because of suitable location and conditions the nesting box can be directly mounted such as on a tree or building, thereby eliminating the need for mounting poles and predator guards. Species such as cavity dwelling birds and pollinators use this approach, but this treatment is	Scenario Name Scenario & After Practice Description Scenario Description: A structure is provided to support the nesting and rearing of smaller targeted species, such as bees and birds, and a directly mounted to a tree, building or other structure. Addresses resource concern for wildlife of inadequate cover/shelter After Practice Description: The installation of nesting and rearing boxes support the life-cycle needs of targeted species, such as birds, bats and pollinators. These structures/features enhance habitat, cover, and improve species survivability. Scenario Description: Constructing a nest box and mounting on a pole. A structure is provided to support the nesting and rearing of targeted species, such as pollinators and birds. Trees, buildings or other structures are not available. These structures are designed to meet targeted species, such as pollinators and birds. Trees, buildings or other structures are not available. These structures are designed to meet targeted species biology and life history needs. Addresses Resource Concern: Inadequate Cover/Shelter. After Practice Description: The installation nesting and rearing boxes support the life-cycle needs of targeted species, such as blue birds and waterfowl. Location and conditions suggest that predator guards are not needed. These structures/features enhance habitat, cover, and improve species survivability. Scenario Description: A structure is provided to support the nesting and rearing of larger targeted species such as waterfowl, bats and barn owls, and is directly mounted to a tree, building or other structure. These structures are designed to meet targeted species biology and life history needs. Addresses Resource Concern: Inadequate Cover/Shelter. After Practice Description: The installation of nesting and rearing boxes support the life-cycle needs of targeted species, such as brick, bats and pollinators. Because of suitable location and conditions the nesting box can be directly mounted such as on a tree or building, thereby eliminating t	Scenario Name Scenario & After Practice Description Scenario Description: A structure is provided to support the nesting and rearing of smaller targeted species, such as beas and brids, and s directly mounted to a tree, building or other structure. Addresses resource concern for wildlife of inadequate cover/shelter After practice Description: The installation of resting and rearing boxes support the life-cycle needs of targeted species, such as bitds, bats and pollinators. These structures/features enhance habitat, cover, and improve species survivability. Scenario Description: Constructing a nest box and mounting on a pole. A structure is provided to support the nesting and rearing of targeted species, such as pollinators and birds. Trees, buildings or other structures are not available. These structures are designed to material targeted species biology and life history needs. Addresses Resource Concern: Inadequate Cover/Shelter. After Practice Description: The installation nesting and rearing boxes support the life-cycle needs of targeted species, such as blue birds and waterfowl. Location and conditions suggest that predator guards are not needed. These structures/features enhance habitat, cover, and improve species survivability. Scenario Description: A structure is provided to support the nestling and rearing of larget targeted species such as waterfowl, bats and barn owls, and is directly mounted to a free, building or other structure. These structures are designed to meet targeted species biology and life history needs. Addresses Resource Concern: Inadequate Cover/Shelter. After Practice Description: The installation of nesting and rearing of largeted species such as waterfowl, bats and barn owls, and is directly mounted to a free, building or other structure. These structures are designed to meet targeted species biology and life history needs. Addresses Resource Concern: Inadequate Cover/Shelter. After Practice Description: The life-cycle needs of targeted species, such as birds, bats and pollinato	Scenario Name Scenario & Attracture is provided to support the nesting and rearing of smaller targeted species, such as bees and brids, and siferction become the nesting and rearing of smaller targeted species, such as bees and brids, and siferction become to a tree, building or other structure. Addresses resource concern for wildlife of inadequate cover/shelter After provided to support the life-cycle needs of targeted species, such as brids, bats and polinators. These structures flexitures enhance habitat, cover, and improve species survivability. Scenario Description: Constructing a nest box and mounting on a pole. A structure is provided to support the nesting and rearing of targeted species such as polinators. Expendition of the structures are designed to meet targeted species biology and life history needs. Addresses Resource Concern: Inadequate Cover/Shelter. After Practice Description: The installation resting and rearing boxes support the ensemble and rearing of larget argeted species, such as blue bitds and waterfowl. Location and conditions suggest that predator guards are not needed. These structures are designed to meet targeted species such as blue bitds and waterfowl. Location and conditions suggest that predator guards are not needed. These structures are designed to meet targeted species such as brids bate and waterfowl. Location and conditions suggest that predator guards are not needed. These structures are designed to meet targeted species biology and life history needs. Addresses Passource Concern: inadequate Cover/Shelter. After Practice Description: The installation of nesting and rearing boxes support the life-cycle needs of facility and provided to support the nesting and rearing box can be directly mounted such as on a tree or building, thereby eliminating the need for mounting poles and predator guard where needed. A structure is provided to support the life-cycle needs of facilities to the provided to support the life-cycle needs of facilities and polinators use this approach, but	Scenario Name Scenario Bacription: A structure is provided to support the nesting and rearing of smaller latified for inadequate cover/shelter. After Practice Description: The installation of nesting and rearing to see support the installation of nesting and rearing to see support the installation of nesting and rearing to see support the installation of nesting and rearing to see support the installation of nesting and rearing to see support the installation of nesting and rearing to see support the installation of nesting and rearing to see support the installation of nesting and rearing to see support the installation of the structures are designed to meet targeted species such as pollinations. These structures are designed to meet targeted species sunvivability. Scenario Description: Constructing a nest box and mounting on a pole. A structure is provided to support the nesting and rearing of the structures are not available. These structures are designed to meet targeted species such as pollinations and boths. Trees, buildings or other structures are not available. These structures are designed to meet targeted species, such as blue birds and waterfowl. Location and conditions suggest that prefation guards are not needed. These structures features enhance habitat, cover, and improve species survivability. Scenario Description: A structure is provided to support the nesting and rearing of such such such such such such such such

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
649-5	Escape Ramp	Scenario Description: Retrofit an existing watering trough/tank with a n appropriately designed and installed wildlife escape ramp to reduce wildlife mortality and maintain water quality within the watering facility. After Practice Description: Watering facilities provide wildlife safe access. Water quality is improved within the watering facility and wildlife mortality is reduced.	Each Ramp	Each	\$17.78	\$26.67	\$26.67	\$32.00
649-6	Fence Markers, Vinyl Under sill	Scenario Description: Existing fences are retrofitted with vinyl markers that increase wire visibility and reduce mortality due to collision for wildlife species of concern. Markers are installed approximately every 3 feet along top wire. Scenario is typically implemented along fences in potential high risk areas (red areas in SGI Fence Collision Risk Model) or where a known problem exists. After Practice Description: Fence related mortality of species of special concern is reduced.	feet of fence marked	Foot	\$0.08	\$0.12	\$0.12	\$0.14
649-7	Brush Pile, Small	Scenario Description: Small brush piles are created to provide shrubby/woody escape cover for wildlife. Pushing or cutting of select small trees and placement in selected locations to provide wildlife cover. Typical scenario of 10' x 20' area for structure covered by interlocking limbs of trees less than 12 inches in diameter. After Practice Description: Small brush piles provide needed escape, ground nesting and safe loafing cover for targeted wildlife species.	brush piles	Each	\$16.86	\$25.29	\$25.29	\$30.35
649-8	Downed Tree Structure	Scenario Description: Downed tree structures will be created to provide shrubby/woody escape cover for wildlife. Felling of select trees and placement in selected locations to provide wildlife cover. Minimum 30' x 50' area for structure covered by interlocking limbs of trees at least 12' in diameter. Payment includes tree felling and placement. Facilitating practices may include but not limited to: Upland Wildlife Habitat Management (645), Wetland Creation (658), Wetland Restoration (657), Wetland Enhancement (659), Early Successional Habitat Management/Development (647), Prescribed Burning (338), Restoration and Management of Rare and Declining Habitats (643), and Conservation Cover (327). After Practice Description: The installation of a downed tree structure enhances the overall habitat needs of quail and other small game species. These structures/features enhance habitat and improve species survivability.	area covered by structure	Each	\$128.05	\$192.08	\$192.08	\$230.49

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
649-9	Edge feathering, light	Scenario Description: Trees are cut and brush clipped in the border along a woodland edge using a chainsaw to create dense woody cover and a transitional area between a timbered edge and the adjacent land use such as cropland, pasture, or idle lands. The edge feathering will extend at least 30' wide, measured from the outside tree trunk, and at least 50' long resulting in a minimum area of 1500 square feet covered by interlocking woody branches. Cut stumps will be treated with brush herbicide. Some hand placement of the cut trees is necessary. Facilitating practices may include but not limited to: Upland Wildlife Habitat Management (645), Wetland Creation (658), Wetland Restoration (657), Wetland Enhancement (659), Early Successional Habitat Management/Development (647), Prescribed Burning (338), Restoration and Management of Rare and Declining Habitats (643), and Conservation Cover (327). After Practice Description: The cut trees serve as brush small piles, enhancing the overall habitat needs of wildlife species requiring dense woody cover and increase survival and the population of these species.	area covered by edge feathering	Acre	\$267.23	\$400.84	\$400.84	\$481.01
649-10	Edge feathering, heavy	Scenario Description: Trees are cut and brush clipped in the border along a woodland edge using a tractor and chainsaw to create dense woody cover and a transitional area between a timbered edge and the adjacent land use such as cropland, pasture, or idle lands. The edge feathering will extend at least 30' wide, measured from the outside tree trunk, and at least 50' long resulting in a minimum area of 1500 square feet covered by interlocking woody branches. Cut stumps will be treated with brush herbicide. Some hand placement of the cut trees is necessary. Facilitating practices may include but not limited to: Upland Wildlife Habitat Management (645), Wetland Creation (658), Wetland Restoration (657), Wetland Enhancement (659), Early Successional Habitat Management/Development (647), Prescribed Burning (338), Restoration and Management of Rare and Declining Habitats (643), and Conservation Cover (327). After Practice Description: Creation of woody debris and small piles improves the overall habitat needs of wildlife species requiring dense woody cover and increase survival and the population of these species.	area covered by edge feathering	Acre	\$511.24	\$766.86	\$766.86	\$920.23

SUBSURFACE DRAIN Practice Code 606

Non-Livestock Structural Practice

PRS Unit of Measurement: Feet

Definition: A conduit, such as corrugated plastic tubing, tile, or pipe, installed beneath the ground surface to collect and/or convey drainage water.

Purpose: To improve the soil environment for vegetative growth, reduce erosion, and improve water quality by removing surface runoff.

Applicability: To areas having a high water table where the benefits of lowering the water table or controlling ground water or surface runoff justify installing such a system.

Limitations: This practice can only be used when required in combination with another practice on this list. When Subsurface Drain (606) is associated with a grassed waterway, the tile is limited to no more than the amount needed to maintain the grassed waterway, not to exceed six inch diameter tile. When additional tile is needed for upland drainage outlet(s), it may be installed at the participant's expense as long as all other requirements involving wetland conservation compliance are met.

Maintenance: Practice must be maintained for a lifespan of 20 years.

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
606-1	<= 5in CPP	Scenario Description: Description: Below ground installation of perforated HDPE (Corrugated Plastic Pipe) pipeline, using a trencher. Scenario describes the construction 2,000 feet of 5-inch, Single-Wall, perforated HDPE Corrugated Plastic Pipe (CPP), installed below ground to a minimum depth of 5 feet. Subsurface drainage is installed as a supporting practice for a number of associated conservation practices. Resource Concerns: Excess Water (Seasonal High Water Table); Degraded Plant Condition; Water Quality Degradation (Nutrients). Associated Practices: 608 - Surface Drain, Main or Lateral; 587 - Structure for Water Control, 533 - Pumping Plant; and 554 - Drainage Water Management; 620 - Underground Outlet; 412 - Grassed Waterway; 638 - Water and Sediment Control Basin; 342 - Critical Area Planting; 484 - Mulching; 410 - Grade Stabilization Structure; 468 - Lined Waterway or Outlet; 313 Waste Storage Facility. After Practice Description: The drainage modifications result in reduced water in the upper horizons of the soil profile, allowing for sufficient aeration to allow vegetation to establish. Gully erosion and sediment transport are minimized by established vegetation, a direct result of removing excess water from the soil profile. Plant stress due to excessive wetness caused by a seasonal high water table is minimized, and drainage water quality is improved due to increased erosion control.	length of pipe	Foot	\$1.04	\$1.56	\$1.56	\$1.87

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
606-2	6in CPP	Scenario Description: Description: Below ground installation of perforated HDPE (Corrugated Plastic Pipe) pipeline, using a trencher. Scenario describes construction of 2,000 feet of 6-inch, Single-Wall, perforated HDPE Corrugated Plastic Pipe (CPP), installed below ground to a minimum depth of 5 feet. Subsurface drainage is installed as a supporting practice for a number of associated conservation practices. Resource Concerns: Excess Water (Seasonal High Water Table); Degraded Plant Condition; Water Quality Degradation (Nutrients). Associated Practices: 608 - Surface Drain, Main or Lateral; 587 - Structure for Water Control, 533 - Pumping Plant; and 554 - Drainage Water Management; 620 - Underground Outlet; 412 - Grassed Waterway; 638 - Water and Sediment Control Basin; 342 - Critical Area Planting; 484 - Mulching; 410 - Grade Stabilization Structure; 468 - Lined Waterway or Outlet; 313 - Waste Storage Facility. After Practice Description: The drainage modifications result in reduced water in the upper horizons of the soil profile, allowing for sufficient aeration to allow vegetation to establish. Gully erosion and sediment transport are minimized by established vegetation, a direct result of removing excess water from the soil profile. Plant stress due to excessive wetness caused by a seasonal high water table is minimized, and drainage water quality is improved due to increased erosion control.	length of pipe	Foot	\$1.26	\$1.89	\$1.89	\$2.27

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
606-3	8in CPP	Scenario Description: Description: Below ground installation of perforated HDPE (Corrugated Plastic Pipe) pipeline, using a trencher. Scenario describes the construction 2,000 feet of 8-inch, Single-Wall, perforated HDPE Corrugated Plastic Pipe (CPP), installed below ground to a minimum depth of 5 feet. Subsurface drainage is installed as a supporting practice for a number of associated conservation practices. Resource Concerns: Excess Water (Seasonal High Water Table); Degraded Plant Condition; Water Quality Degradation (Nutrients). Associated Practices: 608 - Surface Drain, Main or Lateral; 587 - Structure for Water Control, 533 - Pumping Plant; and 554 - Drainage Water Management; 620 - Underground Outlet; 412 - Grassed Waterway; 638 - Water and Sediment Control Basin; 342 - Critical Area Planting; 484 - Mulching; 410 - Grade Stabilization Structure; 468 - Lined Waterway or Outlet; 313 - Waste Storage Facility. After Practice Description: The drainage modifications result in reduced water in the upper horizons of the soil profile, allowing for sufficient aeration to allow vegetation to establish. Gully erosion and sediment transport are minimized by established vegetation, a direct result of removing excess water from the soil profile. Plant stress due to excessive wetness caused by a seasonal high water table is minimized, and drainage water quality is improved due to increased erosion control.	length of pipe	Foot	\$2.91	\$4.37	\$4.37	\$5.24

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
606-4	10in CPP	Scenario Description: Description: Below ground installation of perforated HDPE (Corrugated Plastic Pipe) pipeline, using a trencher. Scenario describes the construction 2,000 feet of 10-inch, Single-Wall, perforated HDPE Corrugated Plastic Pipe (CPP), installed below ground to a minimum depth of 5 feet. Subsurface drainage is installed as a supporting practice for a number of associated conservation practices. Resource Concerns: Excess Water (Seasonal High Water Table); Degraded Plant Condition; Water Quality Degradation (Nutrients). Associated Practices: 608 - Surface Drain, Main or Lateral; 587 - Structure for Water Control, 533 - Pumping Plant; and 554 - Drainage Water Management; 620 - Underground Outlet; 412 - Grassed Waterway; 638 - Water and Sediment Control Basin; 342 - Critical Area Planting; 484 - Mulching; 410 - Grade Stabilization Structure; 468 - Lined Waterway or Outlet; 313 - Waste Storage Facility. After Practice Description: The drainage modifications result in reduced water in the upper horizons of the soil profile, allowing for sufficient aeration to allow vegetation to establish. Gully erosion and sediment transport are minimized by established vegetation, a direct result of removing excess water from the soil profile. Plant stress due to excessive wetness caused by a seasonal high water table is minimized, and drainage water quality is improved due to increased erosion control.	length of pipe	Foot	\$3.86	\$5.80	\$5.80	\$6.96

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
606-5	12in CPP	Scenario Description: Description: Below ground installation of perforated HDPE (Corrugated Plastic Pipe) pipeline, using a trencher. Scenario describes the construction 2,000 feet of 12-inch, Single-Wall, perforated HDPE Corrugated Plastic Pipe (CPP), installed below ground to a minimum depth of 5 feet. Subsurface drainage is installed as a supporting practice for a number of associated conservation practices. Resource Concerns: Excess Water (Seasonal High Water Table); Degraded Plant Condition; Water Quality Degradation (Nutrients). Associated Practices: 608 - Surface Drain, Main or Lateral; 587 - Structure for Water Control, 533 - Pumping Plant; and 554 - Drainage Water Management; 620 - Underground Outlet; 412 - Grassed Waterway; 638 - Water and Sediment Control Basin; 342 - Critical Area Planting; 484 - Mulching; 410 - Grade Stabilization Structure; 468 - Lined Waterway or Outlet; 313 - Waste Storage Facility. After Practice Description: The drainage modifications result in reduced water in the upper horizons of the soil profile, allowing for sufficient aeration to allow vegetation to establish. Gully erosion and sediment transport are minimized by established vegetation, a direct result of removing excess water from the soil profile. Plant stress due to excessive wetness caused by a seasonal high water table is minimized, and drainage water quality is improved due to increased erosion control.	length of pipe	Foot	\$4.35	\$6.52	\$6.52	\$7.82

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
606-6	>/= 15in CPP	Scenario Description: Description: Below ground installation of perforated HDPE (Corrugated Plastic Pipe) pipeline, using a trencher. Scenario describes the construction 2,000 feet of 15-inch, twin-Wall, perforated HDPE Corrugated Plastic Pipe (CPP), installed below ground to a minimum depth of 5 feet. Subsurface drainage is installed as a supporting practice for a number of associated conservation practices. Resource Concerns: Excess Water (Seasonal High Water Table); Degraded Plant Condition; Water Quality Degradation (Nutrients). Associated Practices: 608 - Surface Drain, Main or Lateral; 587 - Structure for Water Control, 533 - Pumping Plant; and 554 - Drainage Water Management; 620 - Underground Outlet; 412 - Grassed Waterway; 638 - Water and Sediment Control Basin; 342 - Critical Area Planting; 484 - Mulching; 410 - Grade Stabilization Structure; 468 - Lined Waterway or Outlet; 313 - Waste Storage Facility. After Practice Description: The drainage modifications result in reduced water in the upper horizons of the soil profile, allowing for sufficient aeration to allow vegetation to establish. Gully erosion and sediment transport are minimized by established vegetation, a direct result of removing excess water from the soil profile. Plant stress due to excessive wetness caused by a seasonal high water table is minimized, and drainage water quality is improved due to increased erosion control.	length of pipe	Foot	\$5.58	\$8.37	\$8.37	\$10.04

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
606-7	Enveloped Corrugated Plastic Pipe (CPP), Single-Wall, <= 6in	Scenario Description: Description: Below ground installation of perforated HDPE (Corrugated Plastic Pipe) pipeline with Sand-Gravel envelope, using a drainage trencher. Scenario includes the construction of 2,000 feet of 5-inch, Single-Wall, perforated HDPE Corrugated Plastic Pipe (CPP), installed below ground to a minimum depth of 5 feet, and surrounded with a sand-gravel envelope. The unit is in weight of pipe material in pounds. 2,000 feet of 5-inch, Single-Wall, perforated HDPE CPP weighs 0.50 lb./ft., or a total of 1,000 pounds. The typical volume sand-gravel for 2,000 feet of 12'wide x 12' high envelope is 64 cubic yards. Subsurface drainage is installed as a supporting practice for a number of associated conservation practices including (but not limited to) perimeter drainage around a waste storage facility. Associated Practices: 608 - Surface Drain, Main or Lateral; 587 - Structure for Water Control, 533 - Pumping Plant; and 554 - Drainage Water Management; 620 - Underground Outlet; 412 - Grassed Waterway; 638 - Water and Sediment Control Basin; 342 - Critical Area Planting; 484 - Mulching; 410 - Grade Stabilization Structure; 468 - Lined Waterway or Outlet; 313 - Waste Storage Facility After Practice Description: The drainage modifications result in reduced water in the upper horizons of the soil profile, preventing uplift pressures from damaging the integrity of installed structures. Excessive wetness caused by a seasonal high water table is minimized, and drainage water quality is improved due decreased erosion.	length of pipe	Foot	\$2.20	\$3.30	\$3.30	\$3.96

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
606-8	Secondary Main Retrofit for DWM	Scenario Description: An agricultural field has existing patterned tile system installed at 75 foot spacing. The field is 75 acres in size: 2475' x 1320', with a single main line at the low end of the field (2475'). The laterals are installed perpendicular to the topographic contours. The field has 3.5 feet of fall in the 1/4 mile length of the laterals, so a secondary main will be needed to allow drainage water management to be implemented on the higher half of the field. After Practice Description: A 12 inch diameter secondary mainline is retrofitted to the drainage system, located halfway up the field and relatively parallel to the topographic contours. This new mainline is hooked to each individual lateral and continued to a stable outlet. A Drainage Water practice must be completed along with the mainline; typically Structures for Water Control (587) installed at two foot vertical in tervals so that water can be retained in the field. This scenario also applies to systems where the secondary main is used to connect drain lines that formerly each exited separately to the ditch, with a structure that distributes the drainage water into the subsurface soil at a vegetated buffer (772) OR a Denitrifying Bioreactor (747) might be installed at the outlet. In combination or singly, one of these practices must be installed with the secondary main.	Feet of Pipe	Foot	\$3.82	\$5.73	\$5.73	\$6.87

TERRACE Practice Code 600

Non-Livestock Structural Practice

PRS Unit of Measurement: Feet

Definition: An earth embankment, or a combination ridge and channel, constructed across the field slope.

Purpose: This practice may be applied as part of a resource management system to support one or both of the following:

- · Reduce soil erosion
- Retain runoff for moisture conservation

Applicability: This practice applies where:

- Soil erosion by water is a problem
- There is a need to conserve water
- The soils and topography are such that terraces can be constructed and farmed with reasonable effort
- Suitable outlet can be provided
- · Excess runoff is a problem

For tile in terraces use Underground Outlet Practice 620.

Limitations: Practice must be applied in accordance with the lowa FOTG Terrace Standard 600. When a Terrace Summer Construction Scenario is included in the contract, construction must occur between June 15 and October 15 of the scheduled year. The Payment Scenario for summer construction is only available on land in row crop and requires a temporary cover or cover crop. No crop is allowed to be harvested. Haying or grazing of the cover crop after October 15th is allowed. No payments can be made for any items associated with the summer construction initiative until all associated practices are installed.

Maintenance: Practice must be maintained for a lifespan of 10 years.

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
600-1	Broad base, with Top soiling	Scenario Description: An earthen embankment with channel constructed across the field slope as part of a system to shorten slope lengths and reduce sheet, rill, and gully erosion in a cropped field. Scenario is for the installation of a system of broad base terraces where channel and berm are farmed. Topsoil is stripped and stockpiled during construction. A stable outlet is provided in the form of a Grassed Waterway, other open outlet or Underground Outlet through associated practices. Payment include all equipment and labor necessary to excavate, shape, and compact terraces, and stripping and stockpiling topsoil. This practice addresses Concentrated Flow Erosion and Excessive Sediment in surface waters. After Practice Description: A system of broad based terraces measuring 2,500 feet in length, 2.5 height, and 5:1 front and back slopes is installed with spacing designed to intercept flow of water and shorten slope length to reduce erosion to acceptable levels. Work is done with dozer, scraper, or road grader. The installed terrace is typically farmed. Associated practices are Underground Outlet (620), Critical Area Planting (342) and Grassed Waterway (412).	Length of Terrace	Foot	\$2.09	\$3.14	\$3.14	\$3.76
600-2	Broad base, no Top soiling	Scenario Description: An earthen embankment with channel constructed across the field slope as part of a system to shorten slope lengths and reduce sheet, rill, and gully erosion in a cropped field. Scenario is for the installation of a system of broad base terraces where channel and berm are farmed. A stable outlet is provided in the form of a Grassed Waterway, other open outlet or Underground Outlet through associated practices. Payment includes all equipment and labor necessary to excavate, shape, and compact terraces. This practice addresses Concentrated Flow Erosion and Excessive Sediment in surface waters. After Practice Description: A system of broad-based terraces measuring 2,500 feet in length, 2.5 height, and 5:1 front and back slopes is installed with spacing designed to intercept flow of water and shorten slope length to reduce erosion to acceptable levels. Work is done with dozer, scraper, or road grader. The installed terrace is typically farmed. Associated practices are Underground Outlet (620), Critical Area Planting (342) and Grassed Waterway (412).	Length of Terrace	Foot	\$1.30	\$1.96	\$1.96	\$2.35

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
600-3	Broad base, with Top soiling, Crop Season Construction	Scenario Description: An earthen embankment with channel constructed across the field slope as part of a system to shorten slope lengths and reduce sheet, rill, and gully erosion in a cropped field. Scenario is for the installation of a system of broad base terraces where channel and berm are farmed. Topsoil is stripped and stockpiled during construction. A stable outlet is provided in the form of a Grassed Waterway, other open outlet or Underground Outlet through associated practices. Payment includes all equipment and labor necessary to excavate, shape and compact terraces, stripping and stockpilling topsoil and foregone income for the loss of crop income due to construction of the practice during the crop season. This practice addresses Concentrated Flow Erosion and Excessive Sediment in surface waters. After Practice Description: A system of broad-based terraces measuring 2,500 feet in length, 2.5 height, and 5:1 front and back slopes is installed with spacing designed to intercept flow of water and shorten slope length to reduce erosion to acceptable levels. Work is done with dozer, scraper, or road grader. The installed terrace is typically farmed. Associated practices are Underground Outlet (620), Critical Area Planting (342) and Grassed Waterway (412).	Length of Terrace	Foot	\$4.25	\$5.30	\$5.30	\$5.92

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
600-4	Narrow base <=9% Slopes, with Top soiling	Scenario Description: An earthen embankment with channel constructed across the field slope as part of a system to shorten slope lengths and reduce sheet, rill, and gully erosion in a cropped field. Scenario is for the installation is a system of narrow base terraces with 2:1 slopes constructed in a field with slopes ≤9%. Topsoil is stripped from the borrow area and replaced upon completion of the terrace. A stable outlet is provided in the form of a Grassed W a t e r w a y , other open outlet or Underground Outlet through associated practices. Payment includes all equipment and labor necessary to excavate, shape, and compact terraces. For the establishment of permanent vegetation on the terraces use associated practice Critical Area Planting (342). This practice addresses Concentrated Flow Erosion and Excessive Sediment in surface w a t e r s . After Practice Description: A system of narrow base terraces with approximately 2:1 front and back slopes measuring 2,500 feet in length and 4.0' height is constructed with spacing designed to intercept flow of water and shorten slope length to reduce erosion to acceptable levels. Work is done with dozer, scraper, or road grader. Associated practices are Critical Area Planting (342), Grassed Waterway (412), and Underground Outlet (620).	Length of Terrace	Foot	\$1.86	\$2.78	\$2.78	\$3.34

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
600-5	Narrow base <=9% Slopes, with Top soiling, Crop Season Construction	Scenario Description: An earthen embankment with channel constructed across the field slope as part of a system to shorten slope lengths and reduce sheet, rill, and gully erosion in a cropped field. Scenario is for the installation is a system of narrow base terraces with 2:1 slopes constructed in a field with slopes ≤9%. A stable outlet is provided in the form of a Grassed Waterway, other open outlet or Underground Outlet through associated practices. Payment includes all equipment and labor necessary to excavate, shape, and compact terraces, and foregone income for the loss of crop income due to construction of the practice during the crop season. For the establishment of permanent vegetation on the terraces use associated practice Critical Area Planting (342). This practice addresses Concentrated Flow Erosion and Excessive Sediment in surface w a t e r s . After Practice Description: A system of narrow base terraces with approximately 2:1 front and back slopes measuring 2,500 feet in length and 4.0' height is constructed with spacing designed to intercept flow of water and shorten slope length to reduce erosion to acceptable levels. Work is done with dozer, scraper, or road grader. Associated practices are Critical Area Planting (342), Grassed Waterway (412), and Underground Outlet (620).	Length of Terrace	Foot	\$4.02	\$4.94	\$4.94	\$5.50
600-6	Narrow base <=9% Slopes, no Top soiling	Scenario Description: An earthen embankment with channel constructed across the field slope as part of a system to shorten slope lengths and reduce sheet, rill, and gully erosion in a cropped field. Scenario is for the installation is a system of narrow base terraces with 2:1 slopes constructed in a field with slopes ≤9%. A stable outlet is provided in the form of a Grassed Waterway, other open outlet or Underground Outlet through associated practices. Payment includes all equipment and labor necessary to excavate, shape, and compact terraces. For the establishment of permanent vegetation on the terraces use associated practice Critical Area Planting (342). This practice addresses Concentrated Flow Erosion and Excessive Sediment in surface waters. After Practice Description: A system of narrow base terraces with approximately 2:1 front and back slopes measuring 2,500 feet in length and 4.0 feet height is constructed with spacing designed to intercept flow of water and shorten slope length to reduce erosion to acceptable levels. Work is done with dozer, s c r a p e r, or road grader. Associated practices are Critical Area Planting (342), Grassed Waterway (412), and Underground Outlet (620).	Length of Terrace	Foot	\$1.07	\$1.60	\$1.60	\$1.92

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
600-7	Narrow base >9% Slopes, with Top soiling	Scenario Description: An earthen embankment with channel constructed across the field slope as part of a system to shorten slope lengths and reduce sheet, rill, and gully erosion in a cropped field. Scenario is for the installation is a system of narrow base terraces with 2:1 slopes constructed in a field with slopes >9%. Topsoil is stripped from the borrow area and replaced upon completion of the terrace. A stable outlet is provided in the form of a Grassed Waterway, other open outlet or Underground Outlet through associated practices. Payment includes all equipment and labor necessary to excavate, shape, and compact terraces. For the establishment of permanent vegetation on the terraces use associated practice Critical Area Planting (342). This practice addresses Concentrated Flow Erosion and Excessive Sediment in surface waters. After Practice Description: A system of narrow base terraces with approximately 2:1 front and back slopes measuring 2,500 feet in length and 4.4' height is constructed with spacing designed to intercept flow of water and shorten slope length to reduce erosion to acceptable levels. Work is done with dozer, scraper, or road grader. Associated practices are Critical Area Planting (342), Grassed Waterway (412), and Underground Outlet (620).	Length of Terrace	Foot	\$2.01	\$3.02	\$3.02	\$3.62

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
600-8	Narrow base >9% Slopes, with Top soiling, Crop Season Construction	Scenario Description: An earthen embankment with channel constructed across the field slope as part of a system to shorten slope lengths and reduce sheet, rill, and gully erosion in a cropped field. Scenario is for the installation is a system of narrow base terraces with 2:1 slopes constructed in a field with slopes >9%. A stable outlet is provided in the form of a Grassed Waterway, other open outlet or Underground Outlet through associated practices. Payment includes all equipment and labor necessary to excavate, shape, and compact terraces, and foregone income for the loss of crop income due to construction of the practice during the crop season. For the establishment of permanent vegetation on the terraces use associated practice Critical Area Planting (342). This practice addresses Concentrated Flow Erosion and Excessive Sediment in surface w a t e r s . After Practice Description: A system of narrow base terraces with approximately 2:1 front and back slopes measuring 2,500 feet in length and 4.4' height is constructed with spacing designed to intercept flow of water and shorten slope length to reduce erosion to acceptable levels. Work is done with dozer, scraper, or road grader. Associated practices are Critical Area Planting (342), Grassed Waterway (412), and Underground Outlet (620).	Length of Terrace	Foot	\$4.17	\$5.18	\$5.18	\$5.78
600-9	Narrow base >9% Slopes, no Top soiling	Scenario Description: An earthen embankment with channel constructed across the field slope as part of a system to shorten slope lengths and reduce sheet, rill, and gully erosion in a cropped field. Scenario is for the installation is a system of narrow base terraces with 2:1 slopes constructed in a field with slopes >9%. A stable outlet is provided in the form of a Grassed Waterway, other open outlet or Underground Outlet through associated practices. Payment includes all equipment and labor necessary to excavate, shape, and compact terraces. For the establishment of permanent vegetation on the terraces use associated practice Critical Area Planting (342). This practice addresses Concentrated Flow Erosion and Excessive Sediment in surface waters. After Practice Description: A system of narrow base terraces with approximately 2:1 front and back slopes measuring 2,500 feet in length and 4.4' height is constructed with spacing designed to intercept flow of water and shorten slope length to reduce erosion to acceptable levels. Work is done with dozer, s c r a p e r, or road grader. Associated practices are Critical Area Planting (342), Grassed Waterway (412), and Underground Outlet (620).	Length of Terrace	Foot	\$1.23	\$1.84	\$1.84	\$2.21

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
600-10	Grassed Front or Steep Back slope, with Top soiling	Scenario Description: An earthen embankment with channel constructed across the field slope as part of a system to shorten slope lengths and reduce sheet, rill, and gully erosion in a cropped field. Scenario is for the installation is a system of either grassed front or steep back slope (grassed backed) terraces where each terrace is constructed with one relatively flat (5:1) slope and one steep (2:1) slope constructed in a field. Topsoil is stripped from the borrow area and replaced upon completion of the terrace. The steep slopes are established to permanent vegetation and the flatter slopes are farmed. A stable outlet is provided in the form of a Grassed Waterway, other open outlet or Underground Outlet through associated practices Payment includes all equipment and labor necessary to excavate, shape, and compact terraces, and stripping and stockpiling topsoil. For the establishment of permanent vegetation on the terraces use associated practice Critical Area Planting (342). This practice addresses Concentrated Flow Erosion and Excessive Sediment in surface waters. After Practice Description: A system of terraces with one steep (2:1) and one flat (5:1) slope measuring 2,500 feet in length and 3.2' height is installed with spacing designed to intercept flow of water and shorten slope length to reduce erosion to acceptable levels. Work is done with dozer, scraper, or road grader. Associated practices are Critical Area Planting (342), Grassed Waterway (412), and Underground Outlet (620).	Length of Terrace	Foot	\$1.93	\$2.90	\$2.90	\$3.48

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
600-11	Grassed Front or Steep Back slope, no Top soiling	Scenario Description: An earthen embankment with channel constructed across the field slope as part of a system to shorten slope lengths and reduce sheet, rill, and gully erosion in a cropped field. Scenario is for the installation is a system of either grassed front or steep back slope (grassed backed) terraces where each terrace is constructed with one relatively flat (5:1) slope and one steep (2:1) slope constructed in a field. The steep slopes are established to permanent vegetation and the flatter slopes are farmed. A stable outlet is provided in the form of a Grassed Waterway, other open outlet or Underground Outlet through associated practices Payment includes all equipment and labor necessary to excavate, shape, and compact terraces, and stripping and stockpiling topsoil. For the establishment of permanent vegetation on the terraces use associated practice Critical Area Planting (342). This practice addresses Concentrated Flow Erosion and Excessive Sediment in surface w a t e r s . After Practice Description: A system of terraces with one steep (2:1) and one flat (5:1) slope measuring 2,500 feet in length and 3.2' height is installed with spacing designed to intercept flow of water and shorten slope length to reduce erosion to acceptable levels. Work is done with dozer, scraper, or road grader. Associated practices are Critical Area Planting (342), Grassed Waterway (412), and Underground Outlet (620).	Length of Terrace	Foot	\$1.15	\$1.72	\$1.72	\$2.07

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
600-12	Grassed Front or Steep Back slope, with Top soiling, Crop Seasonal Construction	Scenario Description: An earthen embankment with channel constructed across the field slope as part of a system to shorten slope lengths and reduce sheet, rill, and gully erosion in a cropped field. Scenario is for the installation is a system of either grassed front or steep back slope (grassed backed) terraces where each terrace is constructed with one relatively flat (5:1) slope and one steep (2:1) slope constructed in a field. Topsoil is stripped from the borrow area and replaced upon completion of the terrace. The steep slopes are established to permanent vegetation and the flatter slopes are farmed. A stable outlet is provided in the form of a Grassed Waterway, other open outlet or Underground Outlet through associated practices Payment includes all equipment and labor necessary to excavate, shape, and compact terraces, and stripping and stockpiling topsoil and foregone income for the loss of crop income due to construction of the practice during the crop season. For the establishment of permanent vegetation on the terraces use associated practice Critical Area Planting (342). This practice addresses Concentrated Flow Erosion and Excessive Sediment in surface waters. After Practice Description: A system of terraces with one steep (2:1) and one flat (5:1) slope measuring 2,500 feet in length and 3.2' height is installed with spacing designed to intercept flow of water and shorten slope length to reduce erosion to acceptable levels. Work is done with dozer, scraper, or r o a d grader. Associated practices are Critical Area Planting (342), Grassed Waterway (412), and Underground Outlet (620).	Length of Terrace	Foot	\$4.09	\$5.06	\$5.06	\$5.64

TREE / SHRUB ESTABLISHMENT Practice Code 612

Non-Livestock Vegetative Practice

PRS Unit of Measurement: Acre

Definition: To establish woody plants in non-forested areas by planting seedlings, container/potted plants, cuttings or by direct seeding.

Purpose: To provide erosion control; improve energy conservation and beautification, improve water quality through uptake of soil and water borne chemicals and nutrients, protect a watershed; improve air quality; provide wildlife habitat, control drifting snow, store carbon in biomass.

Applicability: In non-forested appropriately prepared areas where woody plants can be grown.

Limitations: Practice is not applicable in areas with a canopy cover greater than 25%. These areas are considered forests. A Woodland Stewardship Plan written by the District Forester is required documentation. A copy must be kept in the contract file.

Maintenance: Practice must be maintained for a lifespan of 15 years.

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
612-1	Hardwood Establishment, Direct Seeding	Scenario Description: This practice involves planting of tree and shrubs through direct seeding after the site has been prepared for seedling growth and establishment. Planting rate will be approximately 3000 seed per acre. The productivity of the site is good and will handle a medium density planting rate. The resource concerns addressed is degraded plant condition — undesirable plant productivity and health, and inadequate structure and composition and degraded wildlife habitat. Payment includes tree seed, equipment and labor to seed, and foregone income for the land taken out of crop production. Site preparation is implemented through associated practice 490 Tree/Shrub Site Preparation. Additional associated practices may include: 315 Herbaceous Weed Control, 660 Tree/Shrub Pruning, 484 Mulching After Practice Description: Seed from native species are collected or purchased and planted at prescribed rates. 5 acres of land is established with permanent tree cover that will improve degraded plant condition, reduce soil erosion, establish wildlife habitat, sequester carbon and reduce invasive species presence. Establishing forest vegetation also creates corridors for wildlife movement.	Area of Treatment	Acre	\$408.82	\$613.24	\$613.24	\$735.88
612-2	Hardwood Establishment, Direct Seeding, no Foregone Income	Scenario Description: This practice involves planting of tree and shrubs through direct seeding after the site has been prepared for seedling growth and establishment. Planting rate will be approximately 3000 seed per acre. The productivity of the site is good and will handle a medium density planting rate. The resource concerns addressed is degraded plant condition — undesirable plant productivity and health, and inadequate structure and composition and degraded wildlife habitat. Payment includes tree seed and equipment and labor to seed. Site preparation is implemented through associated practice 490 Tree/Shrub Site Preparation. Additional associated practices may include: 315 Herbaceous Weed Control, 660 Tree/Shrub Pruning, 484 Mulching After Practice Description: Seed from native species are collected or purchased and planted at prescribed rates. 5 acres of land is established with permanent tree cover that will improve degraded plant condition, reduce soil erosion, establish wildlife habitat, sequester carbon and reduce invasive species presence. Establishing forest vegetation also creates corridors for wildlife movement.	Area of Treatment	Acre	\$183.81	\$275.71	\$275.71	\$330.86

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
612-3	Hardwood Establishment, Bare root	Scenario Description: This practice involves planting of bare-root hardwood tree seedlings after the site has been prepared for seedling growth and establishment. The productivity of the site is good and will support a planting rate of 436 trees per acre (10' x 10' spacing). Resource concerns addressed are degraded plant condition — undesirable plant productivity and health, and inadequate structure and composition and degraded wildlife habitat. Payment includes bare-root seedlings, equipment and labor to plant, and foregone income for the land taken out of crop production. Site preparation is implemented through associated practice 490 Tree/Shrub Site Preparation. Additional associated practices may include: 315 Herbaceous Weed Control, 660 Tree/Shrub Pruning, 484 Mulching After Practice Description: The land is established with permanent tree cover that will improve degraded plant condition, reduce soil erosion, establish wildlife habitat, sequester carbon and reduce invasive species presence. Establishing forest vegetation also creates corridors for wildlife movement.	Area of Treatment	Acre	\$392.63	\$588.95	\$588.95	\$706.74
612-5	Shrub Establishment, Bare root	Scenario Description: This practice involves planting of bare-root shrub seedlings after the site has been prepared for seedling growth and establishment. The productivity of the site is good and will support a planting rate of 1210 shrubs per acre (6' x 6' spacing). Plantings are in either uplands or bottomlands. The site lacks ground level habitat structure and diversity for wildlife. Resource concern is inadequate habitat for fish and wildlife - habitat fragmentation. Payment includes bare-root seedlings, equipment and labor to plant, and foregone income for the land taken out of crop production. Site preparation is implemented through associated practice 490 Tree/Shrub Site Preparation. Additional associated practices may include: 315 Herbaceous Weed Control, 660 Tree/Shrub Pruning, 484 Mulching After Practice Description: Multiple small blocks of shrubs are planted that total 1 acre. The blocks are based on a habitat appraisal that determines the specific size and location to maximize habitat structure and diversity.	Area of Treatment	Acre	\$764.90	\$1,147.36	\$1,147.36	\$1,376.83

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
612-6	Conifer Establishment, Bare root	Scenario Description: This practice involves planting of bare-root conifer tree seedlings after the site has been prepared for seedling growth and establishment. The productivity of the site is good and will support a planting rate of 436 trees per acre (10' x 10' spacing). Resource concerns addressed are degraded plant condition undesirable plant productivity and health, and inadequate structure and composition and degraded wildlife habitat. Payment includes bare-root seedlings, equipment and labor to plant, and foregone income for the land taken out of crop production. Site preparation is implemented through associated practice 490 Tree/Shrub Site Preparation. Additional associated practices may include: 315 Herbaceous Weed Control, 660 Tree/Shrub Pruning, 484 Mulching After Practice Description: The land is established with permanent tree cover that will improve degraded plant condition, reduce soil erosion, establish wildlife habitat, sequester carbon and reduce invasive species presence. Establishing forest vegetation also creates corridors for wildlife movement.	Area of Treatment	Acre	\$385.17	\$577.75	\$577.75	\$693.30
612-7	Bare root Trees and Shrubs, Each	Scenario Description: Bare-root trees and/or shrubs to be planted or interplanted to establish woody plants in any area where they can be grown for wildlife, erosion control, water quality improvement, carbon sequestration, forest products, and aesthetics. Resource concerns addressed are degraded plant condition undesirable plant productivity and health, and inadequate structure and composition and degraded wildlife habitat. Payment includes bare-root seedlings and equipment and labor to plant. Foregone income is not included with this scenario. Site preparation is implemented through associated practice 490 Tree/Shrub Site Preparation. Additional associated practices may include: 315 Herbaceous Weed Control, 660 Tree/Shrub Pruning, 484 Mulching. After Practice Description: The land is established with permanent tree cover that will improve degraded plant condition, reduce soil erosion, establish wildlife habitat, sequester carbon and reduce invasive species presence. Establishing forest vegetation also creates corridors for wildlife movement.	Area of Treatment	Each	\$0.39	\$0.58	\$0.58	\$0.70

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
612-8	Bare root Trees and Shrubs, with Tree Shelters, Each	Scenario Description: Bare-root trees and/or shrubs to be planted or interplanted to establish woody plants in any area where they can be grown for wildlife, erosion control, water quality improvement, carbon sequestration, forest products, and aesthetics. Seedlings are protected from deer browsing by installing tree tube shelters. Resource concerns addressed are degraded plant condition — undesirable plant productivity and health, and inadequate structure and composition and degraded wildlife habitat. Payment includes bare-root seedlings, tree shelters, and equipment and labor to plant and install shelters. Foregone income is not included with this scenario. Site preparation is implemented through associated practice 490 Tree/Shrub Site Preparation. Additional associated practices may include: 315 Herbaceous Weed Control, 660 Tree/Shrub Pruning, 484 Mulching. After Practice Description: The land is established with permanent tree cover that will improve degraded plant condition, reduce soil erosion, establish wildlife habitat, sequester carbon and reduce invasive species presence. Establishing forest vegetation also creates corridors for wildlife movement.	Area of Treatment	Each	\$1.71	\$2.56	\$2.56	\$3.08

TREE/SHRUB SITE PREPARATION Practice Code 490

Non-Livestock Vegetative Practice

PRS Unit of Measurement: Acre

Definition: Treating areas to encourage natural seeding of desirable trees or to permit reforestation by planting or direct seeding.

Purpose: To prepare land for establishing a stand of trees to conserve soil and water, improve watersheds, or to produce wood crops. To treat areas to improve site conditions for establishing/planting trees and shrubs.

Applicability: In under-stocked areas or in areas of undesirable vegetation where the soils are suited to growing trees for wood crops.

Limitations: A Woodland Stewardship Plan written by the District Forester is required documentation. A copy must be kept in the contract file.

Maintenance: Practice must be maintained for a lifespan of 1 year.

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
490-1	Chemical Application	Scenario Description: This practice involves the use of various herbicides applied using ground-based machinery in order to remove undesirable vegetation and improve site conditions for establishing trees and/or shrubs. Typical sites include abandoned fields, pastures, rangelands, agricultural fields or forestland that was recently harvested. This practice is typically used to address the following resource concerns: degraded plant condition - undesirable plant productivity and health and inadequate structure and composition. After Practice Description: Undesirable vegetation has been treated using appropriate herbicides, reducing competition for target trees and/or shrubs. Site conditions are favorable for successful establishment of trees and/or shrubs. The typical size of the practice is 40 acres. Associated practices may include: 612 Tree and Shrub Establishment, 315 Herbaceous Weed Control, 660 Tree/Shrub Pruning, 484 Mulching	Area of Treatment	Acre	\$25.05	\$37.57	\$37.57	\$45.09
490-2	Light Mechanical	Scenario Description: This practice involves the use of light/moderate machinery to clear above ground vegetation and to also rip/cut/lift underground root systems in order to improve site conditions for establishing trees and/or shrubs. Typical sites include abandoned fields, pastures, rangelands, agricultural fields or forestlands that have been harvested. This following resource concerns: soil quality degradation - compaction, soil erosion - sheet and rill, and degraded plant condition - undesirable plant productivity and health and inadequate structure and composition. After Practice Description: Undesirable vegetation has been removed using a bush hog to knock down stand vegetation and heavy tillage equipment is used to breakup and lift root systems, breakup plow pans (<18' deep), thus enhancing the conditions for planting and survival of trees and/or shrubs. Soil compaction has been alleviated, allowing penetration of moisture and allowing roots to grow properly. Site conditions are favorable for successful establishment of trees and/or shrubs. The typical size of the practice is 40 acres. Associated practices may include: 612 Tree and Shrub Establishment, 315 Herbaceous Weed Control, 660 Tree/Shrub Pruning, 484 Mulching	Area of Treatment	Acre	\$27.33	\$40.99	\$40.99	\$49.19

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
490-3	Light Mechanical with Chemical	Scenario Description: This practice involves the use of light/moderate machinery to clear above ground vegetation and to also rip/cut/lift underground root systems followed by appropriate herbicide application in order to improve site conditions for establishing trees and/or shrubs. Typical sites include small trees (<2' dbh) and brush cover on less than 60% of area that is not appropriate to the site or providing the desired condition for the landowner. Typical sites include abandoned fields, pastures, rangelands, agricultural fields or forestlands that have been harvested. This following resource concerns: soil quality degradation - compaction, soil erosion - sheet and rill, and degraded plant condition - undesirable plant productivity and health and inadequate structure and composition. After Practice Description: Undesirable vegetation has been removed using a bush hog to knock down stand vegetation and heavy tillage equipment is used to breakup and lift root systems, breakup plow pans (<18' deep), thus enhancing the conditions for planting and survival of trees and/or shrubs. Soil compaction has been alleviated, allowing penetration of moisture and allowing roots to grow properly. Site conditions are favorable for successful establishment of trees and/or shrubs. The typical size of the practice is 40 acres. Associated practices may include: 612 Tree and Shrub Establishment, 315 Herbaceous Weed Control, 660 Tree/Shrub Pruning, 484 Mulching	Area of Treatment	Acre	\$52.37	\$78.56	\$78.56	\$94.27

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
490-4	,	Scenario Description: This practice involves the use of heavy machinery combined with appropriate herbicide application to treat an area in order to improve site conditions for establishing trees and/or shrubs. Typical sites include large trees (>2'dbh) and brush cover on 60% of area that is not appropriate to the site or providing the desired condition for the landowner. This practice is typically used to address the following resource concerns: degraded plant condition - undesirable plant productivity and health and inadequate structure and composition and soil quality degradation - soil erosion - sheet and rill. After Practice Description: Undesirable vegetation has been removed using mechanical methods reducing competition for target trees and/or shrubs. Woody debris has been removed to facilitate tree/shrub planting operations. Soil compaction has been alleviated, allowing penetration of moisture and allowing roots to grow properly. Site conditions are favorable for successful establishment of trees and/or shrubs. The typical size is 40 acres. Associated practices may include: 612 Tree and Shrub Establishment, 315 Herbaceous Weed Control, 660 Tree/Shrub Pruning, 484 Mulching	Area of Treatment	Acre	\$164.21	\$246.32	\$246.32	\$295.58

UNDERGROUND OUTLET Practice Code 620

Non-Livestock Structural Practice

PRS Unit of Measurement: Feet

Definition: A conduit installed beneath the surface of the ground to collect surface water and convey it to a suitable outlet.

Purpose: To dispose of excess water from terraces, diversions, subsurface drains, surface drains, trickle tubes or principal spillways from dams (outside the dam area only), or other concentrations without causing damage by erosion or flooding.

Applicability: Where excess surface water needs to be disposed of; an underground outlet can be installed that will safely dispose of excess water, and surface outlets are impractical because of stability problems, climatic conditions, land use, or equipment traffic.

Limitations: This practice can only be used when required in combination with another practice on this list. The unit cost includes all affiliated appurtenances (inlets, outlets and fittings) installed beneath the ground to collect and/or convey drainage water.

Maintenance: Practice must be maintained for a lifespan of 20 years.

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
620-2	<= 5in Diameter Pipe with Risers	Scenario Description: Scenario is for the Installation of a 5' or less diameter approved plastic pipe to convey storm water from one location to a suitable and stable outlet. Payment includes pipe, perforated PVC riser inlet, trench excavation, and trench backfill. This practice is often installed in conjunction with terraces, diversions, sediment control basins, waterways or similar practices. After Practice Description: Excessive sedimentation and soil erosion is controlled after UGO is installed in association with terraces or water and sediment control basin. Associated practices are Critical Area Planting (342), Grassed Waterway (412), Terrace (600), Diversion (342), Water and Sediment Control Basin (638), and Subsurface Drainage (606)	Length of Conduit	Foot	\$1.48	\$2.22	\$2.22	\$2.66
620-4	6in Diameter Pipe with Risers	Scenario Description: Scenario is for the Installation of a 6' diameter approved plastic pipe to convey storm water from one location to a suitable and stable outlet. Payment includes pipe, perforated PVC riser inlet, trench excavation, and trench backfill. This practice is often installed in conjunction with terraces, diversions, sediment control basins, waterways or similar practices. After Practice Description: Excessive sedimentation and soil erosion is controlled after UGO is installed in association with terraces or water and sediment control basin. Associated practices are Critical Area Planting (342), Grassed Waterway (412), Terrace (600), Diversion (342), Water and Sediment Control Basin (638), and Subsurface Drainage (606)	Length of Conduit	Foot	\$1.74	\$2.61	\$2.61	\$3.13
620-6	8in Diameter Pipe with Risers	Scenario Description: Scenario is for the Installation of an 8' diameter approved plastic pipe to convey storm water from one location to a suitable and stable outlet. Payment includes pipe, perforated PVC riser inlet, trench excavation, and trench backfill. This practice is often installed in conjunction with terraces, diversions, sediment control basins, waterways or similar practices. After Practice Description: Excessive sedimentation and soil erosion is controlled after UGO is installed in association with terraces or water and sediment control basin. Associated practices are Critical Area Planting (342), Grassed Waterway (412), Terrace (600), Diversion (342), Water and Sediment Control Basin (638), and Subsurface Drainage (606)	Length of Conduit	Foot	\$3.10	\$4.65	\$4.65	\$5.58

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
620-8	10in Diameter Pipe with Risers	Scenario Description: Scenario is for the Installation of a 10' diameter approved plastic pipe to convey storm water from one location to a suitable and stable outlet. Payment includes pipe, perforated PVC riser inlet, trench excavation, and trench backfill. This practice is often installed in conjunction with terraces, diversions, sediment control basins, waterways or similar practices. After Practice Description: Excessive sedimentation and soil erosion is controlled after UGO is installed in association with terraces or water and sediment control basin. Associated practices are Critical Area Planting (342), Grassed Waterway (412), Terrace (600), Diversion (342), Water and Sediment Control Basin (638), and Subsurface Drainage (606)	Length of Conduit	Foot	\$4.52	\$6.78	\$6.78	\$8.14
620-10	>=12in Diameter Pipe with Risers	Scenario Description: Scenario is for the Installation of a 12' diameter approved plastic pipe to convey storm water from one location to a suitable and stable outlet. Payment includes pipe, perforated PVC riser inlet, trench excavation, and trench backfill. This practice is often installed in conjunction with terraces, diversions, sediment control basins, waterways or similar practices. After Practice Description: Excessive sedimentation and soil erosion is controlled after UGO is installed in association with terraces or water and sediment control basin. Associated practices are Critical Area Planting (342), Grassed Waterway (412), Terrace (600), Diversion (342), Water and Sediment Control Basin (638), and Subsurface Drainage (606)	Length of Conduit	Foot	\$5.88	\$8.81	\$8.81	\$10.58
620-11	Blind Inlet	Scenario Description: Install an excavated earthen box with perforated collector tubing placed in the bottom and filled to the surface with bedding material and rock riprap to direct surface flow into a 'main line' or subsurface drain. Typically installed at the upper end of a waterway to protect the vegetation of the waterway from prolonged surface flow, thus facilitating vegetative growth and controlling ephemeral gully erosion. Costs include the collection pipe, excavation, and rock. This practice is often installed in conjunction with waterways or similar practices. After Practice Description: Excessive sedimentation and soil erosion is controlled through the installation of the blind inlet and grassed waterway. Vegetation is successfully established within the waterway. Associated practices are Critical Area Planting (342), Grassed Waterway (412), Terrace (600), Diversion (342), Water and Sediment Control Basin (638), and Subsurface Drainage (606)	Length of Conduit	Foot	\$28.94	\$43.41	\$43.41	\$52.10

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
620-13	Trickle Flow Collector	Scenario Description: Install a perforated pipe to collect surface flow and redirect water to a subsurface outlet. The Trickle Flow Collector consists of a rock/rip rap area bedded around the perforated pipe to trap sediment prior to out letting water. Scenario describes a 10' long by 30' wide by 1.5' deep rectangular shaped area lined with riprap. This scenario includes the installation of pipe in the bottom of the rock bedding to serve as a trickle flow collector. These typically are installed adjacent to waterway and with same flow dimensions. Half the flow channel is excavated, before excavation for riprap. Excess excavation is spoiled in the immediate area. Cost include excavation, spoiling of excess material, geotextile underlayment and installing Rock Riprap. TFC area is measured from upstream to downstream flow catchment area. After Practice Description: Rock lined area is 10' long by 30' wide by 1.5' deep. This armor will result in a protected surface to address the initial concern of erosion. Placement of the perforated pipe and rock/rip rap bedding will not only armor the surface area from erosion, but will provide a filter for trapping sediment laden with nutrients and/or pesticides, to result in an improvement to water quality. Area is excavated and rock is placed using a hydraulic excavator. Geotextile underlayment is installed by laborers. Associated practices are Subsurface Drain (606), Underground Outlet (620), Structure for Water Control (587), and Critical Area Seeding (342).	Width of collector area (ft)	Foot	\$28.66	\$42.99	\$42.99	\$51.59
620-14	<=5in Diameter Pipe	Scenario Description: Scenario is for the Installation of a 5' or less diameter approved plastic pipe to convey storm water from one location to a suitable and stable outlet. Payment includes pipe, trench excavation, and trench backfill. The typical use for this scenario is non-pressure underground outlet for roof runoff management and non-perforated outlet for upstream drainage installed adjacent to a wetland. After Practice Description: Excessive sedimentation and soil erosion is controlled or 'clean' storm water runoff is diverted away from an agricultural waste management system to minimize the volume of runoff that is contaminated by agricultural waste. Associated practices are Critical Area Planting (342), Grassed Waterway (412), Terrace (600), Diversion (342), Water and Sediment Control Basin (638), and Subsurface Drainage (606)	Length of Conduit	Foot	\$1.34	\$2.01	\$2.01	\$2.41

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
620-15	6in Diameter Pipe	Scenario Description: Scenario is for the Installation of a 6' diameter approved plastic pipe to convey storm water from one location to a suitable and stable outlet. Payment includes pipe, trench excavation, and trench backfill. The typical use for this scenario is non-pressure underground outlet for roof runoff management and non-perforated outlet for upstream drainage installed adjacent to a wetland. After Practice Description: Excessive sedimentation and soil erosion is controlled or 'clean' storm water runoff is diverted away from an agricultural waste management system to minimize the volume of runoff that is contaminated by agricultural waste. Associated practices are Critical Area Planting (342), Grassed Waterway (412), Terrace (600), Diversion (342), Water and Sediment Control Basin (638), and Subsurface Drainage (606)	Length of Conduit	Foot	\$1.60	\$2.40	\$2.40	\$2.88
620-16	8in Diameter Pipe	Scenario Description: Scenario is for the Installation of a 8' diameter approved plastic pipe to convey storm water from one location to a suitable and stable outlet. Payment includes pipe, trench excavation, and trench backfill. The typical use for this scenario is non-pressure underground outlet for roof runoff management and non-perforated outlet for upstream drainage installed adjacent to a wetland. After Practice Description: Excessive sedimentation and soil erosion is controlled or 'clean' storm water runoff is diverted away from an agricultural waste management system to minimize the volume of runoff that is contaminated by agricultural waste. Associated practices are Critical Area Planting (342), Grassed Waterway (412), Terrace (600), Diversion (342), Water and Sediment Control Basin (638), and Subsurface Drainage (606)	Length of Conduit	Foot	\$3.14	\$4.71	\$4.71	\$5.66
620-17	10in Diameter Pipe	Scenario Description: Scenario is for the Installation of a 10' diameter approved plastic pipe to convey storm water from one location to a suitable and stable outlet. Payment includes pipe, trench excavation, and trench backfill. The typical use for this scenario is non-pressure underground outlet for roof runoff management and non-perforated outlet for upstream drainage installed adjacent to a wetland. After Practice Description: Excessive sedimentation and soil erosion is controlled or 'clean' storm water runoff is diverted away from an agricultural waste management system to minimize the volume of runoff that is contaminated by agricultural waste. Associated practices are Critical Area Planting (342), Grassed Waterway (412), Terrace (600), Diversion (342), Water and Sediment Control Basin (638), and Subsurface Drainage (606)	Length of Conduit	Foot	\$4.23	\$6.34	\$6.34	\$7.61

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
620-18	>=12in Diameter Pipe	Scenario Description: Scenario is for the Installation of a 12' diameter approved plastic pipe to convey storm water from one location to a suitable and stable outlet. Payment includes pipe, trench excavation, and trench backfill. The typical use for this scenario is non-pressure underground outlet for roof runoff management and non-perforated outlet for upstream drainage installed adjacent to a wetland. After Practice Description: Excessive sedimentation and soil erosion is controlled or 'clean' storm water runoff is diverted away from an agricultural waste management system to minimize the volume of runoff that is contaminated by agricultural waste. Associated practices are Critical Area Planting (342), Grassed Waterway (412), Terrace (600), Diversion (342), Water and Sediment Control Basin (638), and Subsurface Drainage (606)	Length of Conduit	Foot	\$4.80	\$7.19	\$7.19	\$8.63

UPLAND WILDLIFE HABITAT MANAGEMENT Practice Code 645

Non-Livestock Vegetative Practice

PRS Unit of Measurement: Acre

Definition: Creating, maintaining or enhancing areas, including wetlands, for food and cover for upland wildlife.

Purpose: To create, maintain, or enhance habitat suitable for sustaining desired kinds of upland wildlife.

Applicability: On all lands that are suitable for the kinds of wildlife food or cover plants that are needed.

Limitations:

Maintenance: Practice must be maintained for a lifespan of 1 year.

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
645-1	Macro Topography, deep	Scenario Description: Establishment of a topographic feature on the landscape consisting of a small dam and pool or small excavated depression that will hold water to provide a source of water for wildlife, including habitat for reptiles and amphibians. Payment includes the equipment and labor associated with establishing the water feature. After Practice Description: This practice consists of an excavated depression to collect water for wildlife to access. Excavated depressions are typically 1000 ft2 and 3 feet deep in center with 4:1 side slopes around edge. Associated practices: Critical Area Planting (342), Conservation Cover (327)	Each deep macro- topography feature	Each	\$427.42	\$641.13	\$641.13	\$769.36
645-3	and Management,	Scenario Description: This scenario is applied to all land use types including those with wildlife as a modifier, where any resource concern is identified for wildlife, and where low intensity and complexity of monitoring or management will treat the identified resource concern. Only 1-2 monitoring efforts are needed and each requiring less than 2 people and 4 hours per effort. The adaptive management actions such as cutting of limbs that are impeding access of birds into nest boxes, replacing damaged fence markers, cleaning of nest structures and debris around other structures requires only hand labor and less than 8 hours labor per year. After Practice Description: Wildlife habitat is improved by implementation of annual adaptive m a n a g e m e n t actions of low intensity and complexity.	Monitoring efforts and adaptive management actions	Acre	\$2.04	\$3.07	\$3.07	\$3.68

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
645-4	and Management,	Scenario Description: This scenario is applied to all land use types including those with wildlife as a modifier, where any resource concern is identified for wildlife, and where medium intensity and complexity of monitoring or management will treat the identified resource concern. Two or three monitoring efforts are needed and each requiring less than 2 people and less than 8 hours per effort. Two or three adaptive management efforts are required (such as cutting of limbs that are impeding access of birds into nest boxes, replacing damaged fence markers, cleaning of nest structures and debris around other structures). The adaptive mgmt. requires hand labor and the occasional use of light equipment. A crew of 2 is needed for the hand labor efforts and the crew will require less than 16 total hours of labor per mgmt. effort. Mowing of roads and trail is required to provide access for monitoring and management. After Practice Description: Wildlife habitat is improved by implementation of annual adaptive management actions of medium intensity and complexity.	Monitoring efforts and adaptive management actions	Acre	\$6.39	\$9.59	\$9.59	\$11.51
645-5	Habitat Monitoring and Management, High Intensity and Complexity	Scenario Description: This scenario is applied to all land use types including those with wildlife as a modifier, where any resource concern is identified for wildlife, and where high intensity and complexity of monitoring or management will treat the identified resource concern. Two - four monitoring efforts are needed and each requiring less than 2 people and less than 8 hours per effort. The adaptive management actions (2 - 5 efforts) such as cutting of limbs that are impeding access of birds into nest boxes, replacing damaged fence markers, cleaning of nest structures and debris around other structures requires hand labor and light equipment, requiring a 2-person crew less than 1 day per effort. After Practice Description: Wildlife habitat is improved by implementation of annual adaptive management actions of high intensity and complexity.	Monitoring efforts and adaptive management actions	Acre	\$15.48	\$23.22	\$23.22	\$27.86
645-6	Wildlife Habitat Enh w/ Fl	Scenario Description: Exclusion of livestock on 40 acres of pastureland for the enhancement of habitat for wildlife. Monitoring to assure gates are closed and cattle remain excluded during critical nesting period. After Practice Description: Livestock are excluded for wildlife habitat enhancement for the desired wildlife species. Implementation includes the exclusion of livestock to allow for adequate deferment for sufficient regrowth and development of the habitat.	Acres Excluded	Acre	\$8.98	\$13.47	\$13.47	\$16.16

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
645-7	Deferred Acres	Scenario Description: Setting is any land use with the potential to provide habitat for species of plants and animals identified as Rare and Declining and the habitat potential is not currently being captured. The identified habitat limiting factors can be restored, enhanced or created, with the application of this practice alone, or in combination with other supporting and facilitating practices. Monitoring will be used to determine if the conservation system meets or exceeds the minimum quality criteria for the targeted wildlife. Management will be implemented based on the findings of the habitat assessment and monitoring. Habitat management and monitoring needed to treat the resource concerns requires no training, no qualitative data assessment, no water quality monitoring and is low in complexity and intensity. Examples of prescribed monitoring include but are not limited to: photo points taken, use documentation by livestock, regeneration/breeding success, completing an annual management records log, documenting wildlife sightings, documenting location and species of invasive plants and condition of vegetative and structural treatments. The planner will specify locations and identify the methods to the customer who will implement the monitoring and management plan. Includes foregone income. Setting is cropland that will be managed to benefit rare and declining habitats through deferral or seeding to permanent vegetation. After Practice Description: Based on the results of a State-approved upland wildlife habitat assessment process, the application of habitat management efforts and prescribed monitoring has been implemented. Crop production has been halted to allow for implementation, management, and monitoring of wildlife habitat, resulting in income foregone. With the application of this practice alone, or in combination with other supporting and facilitating practices, the inadequate habitat conditions have been addressed. Monitoring has maximized the benefits of the needed habitat treatment efforts.	Acres Managed and Monitored	Acre	\$232.86	\$349.29	\$349.29	\$419.15

VEGETATED SUBSURFACE DRAIN OUTLET Practice Code 739

Non - Livestock Structural Practice

PRS Unit of Measurement: Feet

Definition: A water control structure and subsurface distribution pipe capable of diverting drainage system discharge to create an elevated zone of soil saturation.

Purpose: The structural measures installed shall be used to achieve one or more of the following purposes:

- · To reduce nitrate loading from subsurface drain outlets
- To enhance or restore saturated soil conditions in riverine, lacustrine fringe, slope, or depression hydrogeomorphic (HGM) landscape classes

Applicability: This practice is applicable to agricultural lands with subsurface agricultural drainage systems that can be adapted to allow management of drainage discharges.

This practice can be applied where the soils and topography are capable of maintaining a raised water table without adverse effects to channel banks, shorelines, or adjacent land.

This practice shall not be used to treat septic system discharge or animal waste.

Limitations:

Maintenance: Practice must be maintained for a lifespan of 20 years.

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
739-1	Saturated Buffer	Scenario Description: Water discharging from a subsurface drainage system is dispersed along a buffer strip (often a riparian buffer). The water flows underground through the buffer area where nutrients and sediment can be removed before the water reaches the stream. Resource Concerns: Water Quality Degradation (Nutrients) Associated Practices: 606 - Subsurface Drain; 554 - Drainage Water Management; 587 - Structure for Water Control. After Practice Description: Water from a subsurface drainage system is dispersed through at 400 feet of 5' HDPE single wall perforated pipe tile drain along an established vegetated buffer strip at least 30 feet from the receiving stream. Drainage pipe is trenched in at 4 feet depth. The water is detained by passing underground where the nitrogen is removed by bacteria and natural processes.	Length of Dispersal conduit	Foot	\$2.99	\$4.49	\$4.49	\$5.39

VEGETATED TREATMENT AREA Practice Code 635

Livestock Structural Practice

PRS Unit of Measurement: Number

Definition: An area of permanent vegetation used for agricultural wastewater treatment.

Purpose: To improve water quality by reducing loading of nutrients, organics, pathogens, and other contaminants associated with livestock, poultry, and other agricultural operations.

Applicability: Where a vegetated treatment area (VTA) can be constructed, operated, and maintained to treat contaminated runoff from such areas as feedlots, compost areas, barnyards, and other livestock holding areas, or to treat process wastewater from agricultural operations.

Limitations: The participant must develop and implement as scheduled, a Comprehensive Nutrient Management Plan (CNMP) for this practice.

Maintenance: Practice must be maintained for a lifespan of 10 years.

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
635-1	VTA-Constructed Vegetative Area with Flow Distribution	Scenario Description: This is a permanent herbaceous vegetative area installed near livestock production area. Wastewater (runoff or milking parlor wastewater) is properly collected and released with a controlled gravity outflow or is pumped into distribution piping within the VTA. The VTA vegetation is harvested to remove nutrients on a regular basis. This practice addresses water quality degradation due to uncontrolled nutrient rich wastewater that can flow into surface waters or leach into ground water. Associated practices: Waste Storage Facility (313), Fence (382), Solid/Liquid Waste Separation Facility (632), Manure Transfer (634), Roof runoff Management (558), Pumping Plant (533), Subsurface Drain (606), Critical Area Planting (342), Terrace (600), Nutrient Management (590), Diversion (362), Pipeline (516), Land Smoothing (466), Precision Land Forming (462), Waste Treatment (629). After Practice Description: Typical VTA is 1.0 ac in size, includes a gravel trench for distribution flow (sheet flow) into the VTA. Typically requires grading and shaping, gravel spreader trenches and perforated pipe to maintain sheet flow throughout the VTA. A settling basin for wastewater collection is contracted using Solid/Liquid Waste Separation Facility (632). For milk house waste, Waste Treatment (629) and/or Waste Storage Facility (313) could be contracted to provide pre-treatment/ storage prior to being released into the VTA. The VTA practice will provide a controlled release of nutrient rich wastewater into a designed vegetative area for nutrient uptake. This system will improve water quality by treating nutrient rich wastewater and prevent contamination of surface and ground water resources.	Amount of VTA installed	Acre	\$3,328.72	\$4,993.09	\$4,993.09	\$5,991.70

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
635-2		Scenario Description: This is a permanent herbaceous vegetative area located adjacent to a livestock production area. Wastewater (runoff or milking parlor wastewater) is properly collected at the production area and pumped to mechanically distribute wastewater onto the VTA. The VTA vegetation is harvested to remove nutrients on a regular basis. This practice addresses water quality degradation due to uncontrolled nutrient rich wastewater that can flow into surface waters or leach into ground water. Associated practices: Waste Storage Facility (313), Fence (382), Solid/Liquid Waste Separation Facility (632), Manure Transfer (634), Irrigation System, Sprinkler (442), Roof runoff Management (558), Pumping Plant (533), Subsurface Drain (606), Critical Area Planting (342), Terrace (600), Nutrient Management (590), Diversion (362), Pipeline (516), Land Smoothing (466), Precision Land Forming (462), Waste Treatment (629) After Practice Description: Typical VTA is 1.0 ac in size, includes the sizing, grading and shaping of the VTA area. Typically requires grading and shaping to maintain sheet flow onto the VTA. A settling basin for wastewater collection is contracted using Solid/Liquid Waste Separation Facility (632) and Pumping Plant (533) to get the wastewater to the VTA mechanical distribution component that is contracted using Irrigation System, Sprinkler (442). For milk house waste, Waste Treatment (629) could be contracted to provide pretreatment prior to being pumped and distributed onto the VTA. The VTA practice will provide a controlled release of nutrient rich wastewater into a designed vegetative area for nutrient uptake. This system will improve water quality by treating nutrient rich wastewater and prevent contamination of surface and ground water resources.	Amount of VTA installed	Acre	\$1,050.37	\$1,575.55	\$1,575.55	\$1,890.66

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
635-3	VTA using an Existing Vegetative Area with Flow Distribution	Scenario Description: An existing permanent herbaceous vegetated area that meets the requirements for a VTA and is used as an overland flow area for nutrient rich runoff treatment. A flow distribution component is installed to achieve sheet flow at the start of the VTA. Clean runoff is diverted where possible. The VTA vegetation is harvested to remove nutrients on a regular basis. This practice addresses water quality degradation due to uncontrolled nutrient rich runoff that can flow into surface waters or leach into ground water. Associated practices: Waste Storage Facility (313), Fence (382), Solid/Liquid Waste Separation Facility (632), Manure Transfer (634), Irrigation System, Sprinkler (442), Roof runoff Management (558), Pumping Plant (533), Subsurface Drain (606), Critical Area Planting (342), Terrace (600), Nutrient Management (590), Diversion (362), Pipeline (516), Land Smoothing (466), Precision Land Forming (462), Waste Treatment Area (629) After Practice Description: Typical VTA is 1.0 ac in size, includes a gravel trenches and perforated pipe to establish sheet flow into the VTA where and existing permanent herbaceous vegetated area meets the requirements for a VTA. Does not include any grading or seeding. The VTA practice will provide a controlled release of nutrient rich runoff into an existing vegetative area for nutrient uptake. This system will improve water quality by treating nutrient rich runoff and prevent contamination of surface and ground water resources.	Amount of VTA treating wastewater	Acre	\$4,128.08	\$6,192.13	\$6,192.13	\$7,430.55

WASTE FACILITY CLOSURE Practice Code 360

Livestock Structural Practice

PRS Unit of Measurement: No.

Definition: The closure of waste impoundments (treatment lagoons and liquid storage facilities), that are no longer used for their intended purpose, in an environmentally safe manner.

Purpose: To protect the quality of surface water and groundwater resources, eliminate a safety hazard for humans and livestock and to safeguard the public health.

Applicability: For agricultural waste impoundments that are no longer needed as a part of a waste management system and are to be permanently closed or converted. Where these impoundments are to be converted to fresh water storage and the original impoundment was not constructed to NRCS standards.

Limitations: This practice will only apply where the investigation, as required in National Engineering Manual (NEM) 501.23, shows structural integrity. Contract holder is responsible for obtaining all necessary permits prior to construction. If needed, seeding can be added to the contract as Critical Area Planting – Practice Code 342.

Maintenance: Practice will be maintained for a lifespan of 15 years.

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
360-1	Poultry House Soil Remediation	Scenario Description: Remediation of the soil in an abandoned poultry structures previously used for production or to store poultry waste (litter) on an earthen floor. Payment includes all activities associated with structure removal and soil remediation. The purpose of the practice is to address resource concerns related to water quality degradation due to excess nutrient and pathogens in ground and/or surface waters and air quality impacts from greenhouse gases, particulate matter and associated precursors, and objectionable odors. After Practice Description: This scenario is based on a 40' wide x 400' long poultry house with 1 foot depth of nutrient laden soil to remediate (16,000 CF). Payment under this scenario includes activities associated with the soil remediation and structure removal. Soil remediation activities in this scenario include removing the first 6 inches of soil beneath the litter floor and mixing wood chips with the remaining 6 inches of soil. Nutrient level testing and field application of the removed soil shall be performed according to nutrient planning in conformance with Nutrient Management, Code 590. The soil will be remediated in-situ by mixing in wood chips, at a rate of 33% of the volume of remaining soil, for the purpose of nitrogen sequestration. Shaping and crowning of the soil material on the disturbed area and critical area seeding (342) will be done to provide drainage, complete the site remediation and establish vegetation. Operation and maintenance of the site will include nutrient testing the following year to determine if the nutrients in the mixed soil have been remediated and surface and ground water resource concerns have been addressed. In this scenario, samples at four (4) locations will be taken at 6, 12, 18 and 24 inches at the end of Year 1. Associated practices: Nutrient Management (590), Critical Area Planting (342).	Cubic feet of soil remediated	Cubic Foot	\$0.35	\$0.52	\$0.52	\$0.63

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
360-2	Feedlot Closure	Scenario Description: Remediation of the soil on an abandoned feedlot previously used to feed animals on a bare earthen lot. Payment includes activities associated with soil remediation of the feedlot area. The purpose of the practice is to address resource concerns related to water quality degradation due to excess nutrient and pathogens in ground and/or surface waters and air quality impacts from greenhouse gases, particulate matter and associated precursors, and objectionable odors. After Practice Description: This scenario is based on a 3 acre feedlot. Surveys and testing have determined the manure pack and contaminated soil is 12 inches. Payment under this scenario includes only activities associated with the soil remediation. Soil remediation activities in this scenario include removing the nutrient enriched manure pack and soil, an average of 12 inches below the existing surface (130,680 CF). The excavated surface will be vegetated with a mix of salt tolerant plants in conformance with Critical Area Planting, Code 342. Nutrient level testing and field application of the removed soil shall be performed according to nutrient planning in conformance with Nutrient Management, Code 590. Shaping and crowning of the soil material on the disturbed area and critical area seeding will be done to provide drainage, complete the site remediation and establish vegetation. Operation and maintenance of the site will include nutrient testing the following year to determine if the soil has been remediated and surface and ground water resource concerns have been addressed. In this scenario, samples at four (4) locations will be taken at 6, 12, 18 and 24 inches at the end of Year 1. Associated practices: Nutrient Management (590), Critical Area Planting (342).	Cubic feet of soil remediated	Cubic Foot	\$0.13	\$0.20	\$0.20	\$0.24

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
360-3	Demolition of Concrete Waste	Scenario Description: Demolition of a concrete waste storage structure. Payment includes all materials and labor to demolish the structure, remove the concrete and earth fill the site. The scenario d o e s not include payment for removal of manure as this would be accomplished as part of normal operation and maintenance when the facility was operating. A concrete structure left full of manure creates a toxic situation that would not be in compliance with normal operation and maintenance. The purpose of the practice is to address resource concerns related to water quality degradation due to excess nutrient and pathogens in ground and/or surface waters and air quality impacts from greenhouse gases, particulate matter and associated precursors, and objectionable odors. After Practice Description: This scenario assumes a concrete waste storage structure, with top dimensions of 60 ft. x 60 ft. with 10 ft. vertical walls. The walls are 8 inches thick and the concrete floor is 5 inches thick. The total structural storage volume equals 36,000 cubic feet. The total volume of concrete to be demolished is 3,580 cubic feet ([4 X 60 ft. X 10 ft.) X 8in /12 in/ft.] + [60 ft. X 60 ft. X 5in /12 in/ft.] + [240 X 2 sq. ft. / ft. footing]). The volume of earthwork (earth fill and/or excavation, final grading) required is approximately 50% of the structural volume. The concrete will be demolished and hauled off-site for recycling or disposal. Structural removal, as necessary, may include the sealing or removal and disposal of waste transfer components and other appurtenances associated with closure of the facility. All waste material shall be land applied in accordance with Nutrient Management (590). Excavated areas will be filled in. The disturbed areas shall be vegetated in accordance with Critical Area Planting (342). Demolition of the concrete waste structure will address water quality degradation, air quality impacts and safety hazards by removing and properly utilizing the waste from the impoundment. The site will also be	Cubic Feet of concrete to be demolished	Cubic Foot	\$1.15	\$1.73	\$1.73	\$2.08

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
360-4	Earthen Basin Closure with Sludge Removal	Scenario Description: Decommissioning of an earthen liquid waste impoundment (embankment or excavated type). Payment includes the removal and spreading of accumulated sludge and the removal of contaminated soil at the soil/sludge interface, and equipment and labor required to close the impoundment in an environmentally safe manner. If present, the synthetic liner will be removed and properly disposed of. The purpose of the practice is to address resource concerns related to water quality degradation due to excess nutrient and pathogens in ground and/or surface waters and air quality impacts from greenhouse gases, particulate matter and associated precursors, and objectionable odors. After Practice Description: This scenario assumes a waste storage basin, with top dimensions of 110 ft. x 110 ft., 8 ft. total depth with 3:1 side slopes. The 8 ft. total depth is the height of the earthen berm above the bottom of the basin for a total structural storage volume equal to 63,500 cubic feet. The volume of sludge and contaminated soil is 20% of the structural volume, 12,700 cu ft. Decommissioning of a liquid waste storage impoundment includes agitating, removing, and spreading liquid/slurry waste material, removing solid/sludge waste remaining in the bottom. All waste material shall be land applied in accordance with Nutrient Management (590). The volume of earthwork (earth fill and excavation) required to fill in the impoundment and perform final grading of the site is approximately 40% of the structural volume, 25,400. Structural removal, as necessary, may include the removal and disposal of waste transfer components and other appurtenances associated with closure of the facility. All inflow devices and associated appurtenances will be removed and properly disposed of. The embankment will be excavated and used for levelling or manipulating the site so not to impound surface water. The disturbed areas shall be vegetated in accordance with Critical Area Planting (342). Closure of the waste impoundment will address water	Cubic feet of sludge removed	Cubic Foot	\$0.49	\$0.74	\$0.74	\$0.89

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
360-5	Earthen Basin Closure no Sludge Removal	Scenario Description: Decommissioning of an earthen liquid waste impoundment (embankment or excavated type) where there is no accumulated sludge that needs to be removed. Payment includes equipment and labor required to close the impoundment in an environmentally safe manner. If present, the synthetic liner will be removed and properly disposed of. The purpose of the practice is to address resource concerns related to water quality degradation due to excess nutrient and pathogens in ground and/or surface waters and air quality impacts from greenhouse gases, particulate matter and associated precursors, and objectionable odors. After Practice Description: This scenario assumes a waste storage basin, with top dimensions of 110 ft. x 110 ft., 8 ft. total depth with 3:1 side slopes. The 8 ft. total depth is the height of the earthen berm above the bottom of the basin for a total structural storage volume equal to 63,500 cubic feet. The volume of sludge and contaminated soil is such that removal is not necessary. The volume of earthwork (excavation) required to fill in the impoundment and perform final grading of the site is approximately 40% of the total structural storage volume (63,500 * 0.4 = 25,400). Earth fill is assumed to be 50% of the excavation amount. Structural removal, as necessary, may include the removal and disposal of waste transfer components and other appurtenances associated with closure of the facility. All inflow devices and associated appurtenances will be removed and properly disposed of. The embankment will be excavated and used for levelling or manipulating the site so not to impound surface water. The disturbed areas shall be vegetated in accordance with Critical Area Planting (342). Closure of the waste impoundment will address water quality degradation, air quality impacts and safety hazards by removing and properly utilizing the waste from the impoundment. The site will also become available for another use.	Cubic feet of berm removed	Cubic Foot	\$0.12	\$0.17	\$0.17	\$0.21

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
360-6	Conversion to Freshwater Structure with Sludge Removal	Scenario Description: This practice scenario is for the conversion of an earthen liquid waste impoundment (embankment or excavated type) to a freshwater impoundment. Payment includes removal and spreading of manure sludge and the removal of contaminated soil at the soil/sludge interface, construction/excavation of storm water diversions and principle spillway, and the removal of the waste transfer pipe and appurtenances as required to convert the impoundment in an environmentally safe manner. If present, the synthetic liner will be removed and properly disposed of. The purpose of the practice is to address resource concerns related to water quality degradation due to excess nutrient and pathogens in ground and/or surface waters and air quality impacts from greenhouse gases, particulate matter and associated precursors, and objectionable odors. After Practice Description: This scenario assumes a waste storage basin, with top dimensions of 110 ft. x 110 ft., 8 ft. total depth with 3:1 side slopes. The 8 ft. total depth is the height of the earthen berm above the bottom of the basin for a total structural storage volume equal to 63,500 cubic feet. The volume of sludge and contaminated soil is 20% of the structural volume, 12,700 cu ft. Decommissioning of a liquid waste storage impoundment includes agitating, removing, and spreading liquid/slurry waste material, removing solid/sludge waste remaining in the bottom. All waste material shall be land applied in accordance with Nutrient Management (590). The volume of earthwork (earth fill and excavation) required to change or remove the storm water diversions and provide stable principal spillway for the impoundment is approximately 10% of the structural volume. All inflow devices and associated appurtenances will be removed and properly disposed of. The disturbed areas shall be vegetated in accordance with Critical Area Planting (342). Closure of the waste impoundment will address water quality degradation, air quality impacts and safety hazards by removing and prope	Cubic feet of sludge removed	Cubic Foot	\$0.31	\$0.47	\$0.47	\$0.57

WASTE STORAGE FACILITY Practice Code 313

Livestock Structural Practice

PRS Unit of Measurement: Number

Definition: A waste impoundment made by construction of an embankment and/or excavating a pit or dugout, or by fabricating a structure.

Purpose: To temporarily store waste such as manure, wastewater, and contaminated runoff as a function of an agricultural waste management system.

Applicability: The storage facility is a component of a planned agricultural waste management system

- Temporary storage is needed for organic wastes generated by agricultural production or processing
- The storage facility can be constructed, operated, and maintained without polluting air or water resources
- · Soils, geology, and topography are suitable for construction of the facility

The practice applies to:

- Waste storage pond facilities utilizing embankments with an effective height of 35 feet or less where damage resulting from failure would be limited to farm buildings, agricultural land, or township and county roads
- · Fabricated structure facilities such as tanks, stacking facilities, and pond appurtenances

Waste storage facilities described in this standard are waste storage ponds, fabricated structures, and short term storage basins.

The short term storage basin may be used to store manure, bedding, spilled feed, runoff, etc., from open concrete lots for swine or for other livestock enterprises, where the producer's management objectives may include scraping solids from the feedlot and into the basin, utilizing a frequent hauling system, and having a manure management plan that is consistent with these objectives.

Additional considerations include situations where:

- · solid settling is nearly impossible to achieve
- long term total containment is not practical
- total containment does not meet the producer's management objectives

Short term storage basins for confinement systems shall not be used except as allowed in Criteria – Stacking Facilities. A manure management plan (nutrient management or waste utilization plan) shall be developed for all systems.

Limitations: If an EQIP plan of operations includes an animal waste storage, management, or treatment facility, the participant must develop and implement as scheduled, a Comprehensive Nutrient Management Plan (CNMP), prior to the design of the waste storage, management, or treatment facility. Financial Assistance for the animal waste storage, management, or treatment facility is eligible to treat the existing resource concern. Financial assistance for the animal waste storage, management, or treatment facility is eligible to treat the existing resource concern, and expansion of the existing extent of the resource concern. Priority is given to treating existing resource concerns and up to 25% expansion of the existing resource concern. Prior to payment for 313 Waste Storage Facility, elimination of the open feedlot which shall be closed to livestock for the life span of the practice is required. This includes removal of feedlot fences, feeding facilities and watering facilities and the area shall be vegetated.

Maintenance: Practice must be maintained for a lifespan of 15 years.

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
313-1	Earthen Storage Facility	Scenario Description: An earthen waste impoundment constructed w i t h cuts and fills balanced such that one half of the impoundment depth is excavated and the remainder of the storage is created with the embankment. The structure is constructed to store wastes such as manure, wastewater, and contaminated runoff as part of an agricultural waste management system. This practice will address soil and water quality by reducing the pollution potential for surface water and groundwater quality degradation. Payment includes materials and equipment necessary for construction of the storage structure. If a roof is to be included in the installation, refer to Practice Standard 367 - Roofs and Covers. If an earthen storage liner is to be included in the installation, refer to associated Practice Standards 521A, 521B, 521C, or 521D. Vehicular and equipment access is addressed in Heavy Use Area Protection (561). Adequately protect liner at agitation and access points. After Practice Description: An earthen storage structure constructed from on-site material provides an environmentally safe facility for storing manure and other agricultural waste by-products. This facility provides the landowner a means of storing waste until it can be utilized in a proper manner in accordance with a nutrient management plan. Typical design size: total storage volume 147,000 ft3; 150'X150' (top); 3:1 inside and outside side slopes; embankment top width = 10'; compaction ratio = 1.1; total depth = 10'; embankment volume = 10,430 cu yd. Potential Associated Practices: Pond Sealing or Lining, Bentonite Sealant (521C), Pond Sealing or Lining, Compacted Clay Treatment (521D), Pond Sealing or Lining, Flexible Membrane (521A), Pond Sealing or Lining, Soil Dispersant (521B), Fence (382), Critical Area Planting (342), Nutrient Management (590), Waste Transfer (634), Heavy Use Area Protection (561), Roofs and Covers (367), and Solid/Liquid Waste Separation Facility (632), Waste Treatment (629).	Total Storage Volume	Cubic Foot	\$0.10	\$0.15	\$0.15	\$0.18

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
313-2	Earthen Natural Storage	Scenario Description: An embankment is constructed in a location to utilize naturally available storage to serve as a waste impoundment structure for storing wastes such as manure, wastewater, and contaminated runoff as part of an agricultural waste management system. This practice will address soil and water quality by reducing the pollution potential for surface water and groundwater quality degradation. Payment includes materials and equipment necessary for construction of the storage structure. If a roof is to be included in the installation refer to Practice Standard 367 - Roofs and Covers. If an earthen storage liner is to be included in the installation refer to associated Practice Standards 521A, 521B, 521C, or 521D. Vehicular and equipment access is addressed in Heavy Use Area Protection (561). Adequately protect liner at agitation and access points. After Practice Description: An earthen storage structure constructed from on-site material provides an environmentally safe facility for storing manure and other agricultural waste by-products. This facility provides the landowner a means of storing waste until it can be utilized in a proper manner in accordance with a nutrient management plan. Typical design size: design storage volume 153,000 ft3; embankment top width = 10'; 3:1 upstream slope; 3:1 downstream slope; compaction ratio = 1.1; settlement = 10%; total depth = 10'. Potential Associated Practices: Pond Sealing or Lining, Bentonite Sealant (521C), Pond Sealing or Lining, Flexible Membrane (521A), Pond Sealing or Lining, Soil Dispersant (521B), Fence (382), Critical Area Planting (342), Nutrient Management (590), Waste Transfer (634), Heavy Use Area Protection (561), Roofs and Covers (367), and Solid/Liquid Waste Separation Facility (632), Waste Treatment (629).	Total Storage Volume	Cubic Foot	\$0.05	\$0.07	\$0.07	\$0.08

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
313-6	Dry Stack Facility, Earthen Floor with Concrete Side Walls	Scenario Description: This scenario consists of a dry stack facility with compacted earthen floor with concrete side walls. This scenario is intended for poultry litter or similar dry product. Payment includes materials and equipment necessary for construction of the floor and walls. If a roof is to be included in the installation refer to Practice Standard 367 - Roofs and Covers. Vehicular and equipment access is addressed in Heavy Use Area Protection (561). The purpose of this practice is to properly store manure and other agricultural by-products until they can be hauled away from the site for proper disposal or utilization on land at agronomical rates. This practice will address soil and water quality by reducing the pollution potential to soil, surface water and ground water. After Practice Description: The typical is 40' x 56' slab with walls. The earthen floor will be prepared by stripping the top 1' of soil and roller compacting it back into floor. Walls are 5' reinforced concrete. Walls consist of three perimeter walls (40' + 56' + 40') for a total wall length of 136 linear feet. Walls allow for greater storage volume. Manure and other agricultural by-products are being controlled, by the collection at the source, and stored temporarily, at an environmentally suitable location, until such time that they are disposed of or utilized in a proper manner, typically in accordance with a nutrient management plan. Potential Associated practices: 342-Critical Area Planting, 362-Diversion, 561-Heavy Use Area Protection, 367-Roofs and Covers, 558-Roof Runoff Structure, 317-Composting Facility, 633-Waste Recycling, 634-Waste Transfer, 635-Vegetated Treatment Area	Cubic Foot Storage	Cubic Foot	\$0.54	\$0.82	\$0.82	\$0.98

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
313-7	Dry Stack Facility, Concrete Floor without Side Walls	Scenario Description: This scenario consists of a dry stack facility with reinforced concrete floor without side walls. This scenario is intended for situations where consistency of manure or geographical conditions prohibit earthen floors. Payment includes materials and equipment necessary for construction of the floor. If a roof is to be included in the installation refer to Practice Standard 367 - Roofs and Covers. Vehicular and equipment access is addressed in Heavy Use Area Protection (561). The purpose of this practice is to properly store manure and other agricultural by-products that are stackable until they can be hauled away from the site for proper disposal or utilization on land at agronomical rates. This practice will address soil and water quality by reducing the pollution potential to soil, surface water and ground water. After Practice Description: The typical is 75'x226'. The facility floor is 5' reinforced concrete without side walls. Manure and other agricultural by-products are being controlled, by the collection at the source, and stored temporarily, at an environmentally suitable location, until such time that they are disposed of or utilized in a proper manner, typically in accordance with a nutrient management plan. Potential Associated practices: 342-Critical Area Planting, 362-Diversion, 561-Heavy Use Area Protection, 367-Roofs and Covers, 558-Roof Runoff Structure, 317-Composting Facility, 633-Waste Recycling, 634-Waste Transfer, 635-Vegetated Treatment Area	Square Foot Floor Area	Square Foot	\$2.07	\$3.10	\$3.10	\$3.72

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
313-8	Dry Stack Facility, Concrete Floor with Wood Side Walls	Scenario Description: This scenario consists of a dry stack facility with reinforced concrete Floor with pressure treated wood side walls. Payment includes materials and equipment necessary for construction of the floor and walls. If a roof is to be included in the installation refer to Practice Standard 367 - Roofs and Covers. Vehicular and equipment access is addressed in Heavy Use Area Protection (561). This scenario is intended for situations where consistency of manure or geographical conditions prohibit earthen floors. The purpose of this practice is to temporarily, properly store manure and other agricultural by-products that are stackable until they can be hauled away from the site for proper disposal or utilization on land at agronomical rates. This practice will address soil and water quality by reducing the pollution potential to soil, surface water and ground water. After Practice Description: The typical is 40' x 56' concrete slab with 5' high walls. The facility floor is 5' reinforced concrete with 5' pressure treated wood (2' x 8' boards) walls, 6' x 6' x 8' posts set 4' c-c with 6' concrete curbing. Walls allow for greater storage volume. Walls consist of three perimeter walls (40' + 56' + 40') for a total wall length of 136 linear feet. Manure and other agricultural by-products are being controlled, by the collection at the source, and stored temporarily, at an environmentally suitable location, until such time that they are d i s p o s e d of or utilized in a proper manner, typically in accordance with a nutrient management plan. Potential Associated practices: 342-Critical Area Planting, 362-Diversion, 561-Heavy Use Area Protection, 367-Roofs and Covers, 558-Roof Runoff Structure, 317-Composting Facility, 633-Waste Recycling, 634-Waste Transfer, 635-Vegetated Treatment Area	Cubic Foot Storage	Cubic Foot	\$0.73	\$1.10	\$1.10	\$1.32

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
313-9	Dry Stack Facility, Concrete Floor with Concrete Side Walls	Scenario Description: This scenario consists of a dry stack facility with reinforced concrete floor and concrete side walls. This scenario is intended for situations where consistency of manure or geographical conditions prohibit earthen floors. Payment includes materials and equipment necessary for construction of the floor and walls. If a roof is to be included in the installation refer to Practice Standard 367 - Roofs and Covers. Vehicular and equipment access is addressed in Heavy Use Area Protection (561). The purpose of this practice is to properly store manure and other agricultural by-products that are stackable until they can be hauled away from the site for proper disposal or utilization on land at agronomical rates. This practice will address soil and water quality by reducing the pollution potential to soil, surface water and ground water. After Practice Description: The typical is 40' x 56' concrete slab with 5' high walls. The facility floor is 5' reinforced concrete with 5' reinforced concrete walls. Walls allow for greater storage volume. Walls consist of three perimeter walls (40' + 56' + 40') for a total wall length of 136 linear feet. Manure and other agricultural by-products a r e being controlled, by the collection at the source, and stored temporarily, at an environmentally suitable location, until such time that they are disposed of or utilized in a proper manner, typically in accordance with a nutrient management plan. Note on use of concrete walls versus wood walls: different states utilize different options depending on many specific conditions which may change what is considered least cost. Each state will decide individually based on the suite of scenarios developed which meets their program policy and resource goals and needs Potential Associated practices: 342-Critical Area Planting, 362-Diversion, 561-Heavy Use Area Protection, 367-Roofs and Covers, 558-Roof Runoff Structure, 317-Composting Facility, 633-Waste Recycling, 634-Waste Transfer, 635-Vegetated Treatment Area	Cubic Foot Storage	Cubic Foot	\$0.94	\$1.41	\$1.41	\$1.70

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
313-10	Concrete Lid Tank, <1,000 Cu Ft Storage	Scenario Description: This scenario consists of installing a small concrete tank with a solid lid and a total storage volume of less than 1,000 Cu Ft. Design volume does not include freeboard. This practice will address soil and water quality by reducing the pollution potential to soil, surface water and ground water. Payment includes all materials, equipment and labor to install a concrete lid tank and gravel for drain fill around the tank. After Practice Description: Manure and other agricultural by-products are being controlled, by the collection at the source, and stored temporarily, at an environmentally suitable location, until such time that they are disposed of or utilized in a proper manner, typically in accordance with a nutrient management plan. Tank typically 5' deep x 8' wide x 9' long, with a total storage volume of 360 cubic feet. Sizing based on manure, other wastes, rainfall, lot runoff, etc. Tanks associated with open lots sized to handle design storm in tank or in combination with lot as per state regulations. Potential Associated Practices: Fence (382), Critical Area Planting (342), Nutrient Management (590), Access Road (560), Waste Transfer (634), Heavy Use Area Protection (561), Roof and Covers (367), Solid/Liquid Waste Separation Facility (632), Diversion (362), Subsurface Drain (606), Pumping Plant (533), and Underground Outlet (620).	Total Storage Volume	Cubic Foot	\$6.53	\$9.80	\$9.80	\$11.76

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
313-11	Concrete Lid Tank, >=1,000 Cu Ft Storage	Scenario Description: This scenario consists of installing a small concrete tank with a solid lid and a total storage volume of greater than or equal to 1,000 Cu Ft. Design volume does not include freeboard. This practice will address soil and water quality by reducing the pollution potential to soil, surface water and ground water. Payment includes all materials, equipment and labor to install a concrete lid tank and gravel for drain fill around the tank. After Practice Description: Manure and other agricultural by-products are being controlled, by the collection at the source, and stored temporarily, at an environmentally suitable location, until such time that they are disposed of or utilized in a proper manner, typically in accordance with a nutrient management plan. Tank typically 8' deep x 12' wide x 40' long, with a total storage volume of 3,840 cubic feet. Sizing based on manure, other wastes, rainfall, lot runoff, etc. Tanks associated with open lots sized to handle design storm in tank or in combination with lot as per state regulations. Potential Associated Practices: Fence (382), Critical Area Planting (342), Nutrient Management (590), Access Road (560), Waste Transfer (634), Heavy Use Area Protection (561), Roof and Covers (367), Solid/Liquid Waste Separation Facility (632), Diversion (362), Subsurface Drain (606), Pumping Plant (533), and Underground Outlet (620).	Total Storage Volume	Cubic Foot	\$2.60	\$3.90	\$3.90	\$4.68

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
313-12	Concrete Tank Open Top, <5,000 Cu Ft Storage	Scenario Description: This scenario consists of installing an open top concrete tank with or without a full width ramp that has a total storage volume less than 5,000 Cu Ft. Payment includes materials and equipment necessary for construction of the concrete tank. If a roof is to be included in the installation refer to Practice Standard 367 - Roofs and Covers. Vehicular and equipment access is addressed in Heavy Use Area Protection (561). Tank can also be installed under an animal facility using slats. Design volume does not include freeboard. This practice will address soil and water quality by reducing the pollution potential to soil, surface water and ground water. Payment includes all materials, equipment and labor to install a concrete tank and gravel for drain fill around the tank. After Practice Description: Manure and other agricultural by-products are being controlled, by the collection at the source, and stored temporarily, at an environmentally suitable location, until such time that they are disposed of or utilized in a proper manner, typically in accordance with a nutrient management plan. Tank typically 5' deep, with a bottom area of 880 sq. ft., and a total storage volume of 4,400 cu ft. Sizing based on volume of manure, other wastes, rainfall, lot runoff, etc. as appropriate. Potential Associated Practices: Fence (382), Critical Area Planting (342), Nutrient Management (590), Access Road (560), Waste Transfer (634), Heavy Use Area Protection (561), Roof and Covers (367), Solid/Liquid Waste Separation Facility (632), Diversion (362), Subsurface Drain (606), and Underground Outlet (620).	Total Storage Volume	Cubic Foot	\$2.23	\$3.34	\$3.34	\$4.01

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
313-13	Concrete Tank Open Top, 5,000 - 14,999 Cu Ft Storage	Scenario Description: This scenario consists of installing an open top concrete tank that has a total storage volume from 5,000 to 14,999 Cu Ft. Payment includes materials and equipment necessary for construction of the concrete tank. If a roof is to be included in the installation refer to Practice Standard 367 - Roofs and Covers. Vehicular and equipment access is addressed in Heavy Use Area Protection (561). Tank can also be installed under an animal facility using slats. Design volume does not include freeboard. This practice will address soil and water quality by reducing the pollution potential to soil, surface water and ground water. Payment includes all materials, equipment and labor to install a concrete tank and gravel for drain fill around the tank. After Practice Description: Manure and other agricultural by-products are being controlled, by the collection at the source, and stored temporarily, at an environmentally suitable location, until such time that they are disposed of or utilized in a proper manner, typically in accordance with a nutrient management plan. Tank typically 8' deep, with a bottom area of 1256 SF, and a total storage volume of 10,048 cubic feet. Sizing based on volume of manure, other wastes, rainfall, lot runoff, etc. as appropriate. Potential Associated Practices: Fence (382), Critical Area Planting (342), Nutrient Management (590), Access Road (560), Waste Transfer (634), Heavy Use Area Protection (561), Roof and Covers (367), Solid/Liquid Waste Separation Facility (632), Diversion (362), Subsurface Drain (606), and Underground Outlet (620).	Total Storage Volume	Cubic Foot	\$1.12	\$1.69	\$1.69	\$2.02

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
313-14	Concrete Tank Open Top, 15,000 - 24,999 Cu Ft Storage	Scenario Description: This scenario consists of installing an open top concrete tank that has a total storage volume from 15,000 to 24,999 Cu Ft. Payment includes materials and equipment necessary for construction of the concrete tank. If a roof is to be included in the installation refer to Practice Standard 367 - Roofs and Covers. Vehicular and equipment access is addressed in Heavy Use Area Protection (561). Tank can also be installed under an animal facility using slats. The design volume does not include freeboard. This practice will address soil and water quality by reducing the pollution potential to soil, surface water and ground water. Payment includes all materials, equipment and labor to install a concrete tank and gravel for drain fill around the tank. After Practice Description: Manure and other agricultural by-products are being controlled, by the collection at the source, and stored temporarily, at an environmentally suitable location, until such time that they are disposed of or utilized in a proper manner, typically in accordance with a nutrient management plan. Tank is typically 8 ft. deep, with a bottom area of 2,670 sq. ft., and a total storage volume of 21,360 cubic feet. Size based on volume of manure, other wastes, rainfall, lot runoff, etc. as appropriate. Potential Associated Practices: Fence (382), Critical Area Planting (342), Nutrient Management (590), Access Road (560), Waste Transfer (634), Heavy Use Area Protection (561), Roof and Covers (367), Solid/Liquid Waste Separation Facility (632), Diversion (362), Subsurface Drain (606), Pumping Plant (533) and Underground Outlet (620).	Total Storage Volume	Cubic Foot	\$0.83	\$1.24	\$1.24	\$1.49

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
313-15	Concrete Tank Open Top, 25,000 - 49,999 Cu Ft Storage	Scenario Description: This scenario consists of installing an open top concrete tank that has a total storage volume from 25,000 to 49,999 Cu Ft. Payment includes materials and equipment necessary for construction of the concrete tank. If a roof is to be included in the installation refer to Practice Standard 367 - Roofs and Covers. Vehicular and equipment access is addressed in Heavy Use Area Protection (561). Tank can also be installed under an animal facility using slats. The design volume does not include freeboard. This practice will address soil and water quality by reducing the pollution potential to soil, surface water and ground water. Payment includes all materials, equipment and labor to install a concrete tank and gravel for drain fill around the tank. After Practice Description: Manure and other agricultural by-products are being controlled, by the collection at the source, and stored temporarily, at an environmentally suitable location, until such time that they are disposed of or utilized in a proper manner, typically in accordance with a nutrient management plan. Tank installed is 8' deep, with an interior bottom area of 3,786 SF, and a total storage volume of 30,288 cubic feet. Outside dimensions, 4,225 sq. ft. (includes 3' footing and 8' wall). Size based on manure, other wastes, rainfall, lot runoff, etc. as appropriate. Potential Associated Practices: Fence (382), Critical Area Planting (342), Nutrient Management (590), Access Road (560), Waste Transfer (634), Heavy Use Area Protection (561), Roof and Covers (367), Solid/Liquid Waste Separation Facility (632), Diversion (362), Subsurface Drain (606), and Underground Outlet (620).	Total Storage Volume	Cubic Foot	\$0.82	\$1.23	\$1.23	\$1.48

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
313-16	Concrete Tank Open Top, 50,000 - 74,999 Cu Ft Storage	Scenario Description: This scenario consists of installing an open top concrete tank that has a total storage volume from 50,000 to 74,999 Cu Ft. Payment includes materials and equipment necessary for construction of the concrete tank. If a roof is to be included in the installation refer to Practice Standard 367 - Roofs and Covers. Vehicular and equipment access is addressed in Heavy Use Area Protection (561). Tank can also be installed under an animal facility using slats. The design volume does not include freeboard. This practice will address soil and water quality by reducing the pollution potential to soil, surface water and ground water. Payment includes all materials, equipment and labor to install a concrete tank and gravel for drain fill around the tank. After Practice Description: Manure and other agricultural by-products are being controlled, by the collection at the source, and stored temporarily, at an environmentally suitable location, until such time that they are disposed of or utilized in a proper manner, typically in accordance with a nutrient management plan. Tank typically 8' deep, with a bottom area of 8470 SF, and a total storage volume of 67,760 cubic feet. Outside dimensions 9,216 sq. ft. (includes 3' footing and 8' wall). Sizing based on manure, other wastes, rainfall, lot runoff, etc. as appropriate. Potential Associated Practices: Fence (382), Critical Area Planting (342), Nutrient Management (590), Access Road (560), Waste Transfer (634), Heavy Use Area Protection (561), Roof and Covers (367), Solid/Liquid Waste Separation Facility (632), Diversion (362), Subsurface Drain (606), and Underground Outlet (620).	total Storage Volume	Cubic Foot	\$0.65	\$0.98	\$0.98	\$1.17

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
313-17		Scenario Description: This scenario consists of installing an open top concrete tank that has a total storage volume from 75,000 to 109,999 Cu Ft. Payment includes materials and equipment necessary for construction of the concrete tank. If a roof is to be included in the installation refer to Practice Standard 367 - Roofs and Covers. Vehicular and equipment access is addressed in Heavy Use Area Protection (561). Tank can also be installed under an animal facility using slats. The design volume does not include freeboard. This practice will address soil and water quality by reducing the pollution potential to soil, surface water and ground water. Payment includes all materials, equipment and labor to install a concrete tank and gravel for drain fill around the tank. After Practice Description: Manure and other agricultural by-products are being controlled, by the collection at the source, and stored temporarily, at an environmentally suitable location, until such time that they are disposed of or utilized in a proper manner, typically in accordance with a nutrient management plan. This practice will address soil and water quality by reducing the pollution potential to soil, surface water and ground water. Tank typically 8' deep, with a bottom area of 12,642 SF, and a storage capacity of 101,136 cubic feet. Outside dimensions 13,456 sq. ft. (includes 3' footing and 8' wall). Sizing based on manure, other wastes, rainfall, lot runoff, etc. as appropriate. Potential Associated Practices: Fence (382), Critical Area Planting (342), Nutrient Management (590), Access Road (560), Waste Transfer (634), Heavy Use Area Protection (561), Roof and Covers (367), Solid/Liquid Waste Separation Facility (632), Diversion (362), Pipeline (516), Subsurface Drain (606), and Underground Outlet (620).	Total Storage Volume	Cubic Foot	\$0.59	\$0.88	\$0.88	\$1.06

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
313-18	Concrete Tank Open Top, >=110,000 Cu Ft Storage	Scenario Description: This scenario consists of installing an open top concrete tank that has a total storage volume of 110,000 Cu Ft or greater. Payment includes materials and equipment necessary for construction of the concrete tank. If a roof is to be included in the installation refer to Practice Standard 367 - Roofs and Covers. Vehicular and equipment access is addressed in Heavy Use Area Protection (561). Tank can also be installed under an animal facility using slats. The design volume does not include freeboard. This practice will address soil and water quality by reducing the pollution potential to soil, surface water and ground water. Payment includes all materials, equipment and labor to install a concrete tank and gravel for drain fill around the tank. After Practice Description: Manure and other agricultural by-products are being controlled, by the collection at the source, and stored temporarily, at an environmentally suitable location, until such time that they are disposed of or utilized in a proper manner, typically in accordance with a nutrient management plan. Tank typically 8' deep with a bottom area of 21,000 SF and a total storage volume of 168,000 CF. Outside dimensions 22,200 sq ft (includes 3' footing and 8' wall). Sizing based on manure, other wastes, rainfall, lot runoff, etc. as appropriate. Sizing based on manure, other wastes, rainfall, lot runoff, etc. as appropriate. Potential Associated Practices: Fence (382), Critical Area Planting (342), Nutrient Management (590), Access Road (560), Waste Transfer (634), Heavy Use Area Protection (561), Roof and Covers (367), Solid/Liquid Waste Separation Facility (632), Diversion (362), Pipeline (516), Subsurface Drain (606), and Underground Outlet (620).	Total Storage Volume	Cubic Foot	\$0.52	\$0.78	\$0.78	\$0.94

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
313-19	Composted Bedded Pack - Gravel Floor	Scenario Description: A composted bedded pack facility is constructed to store wastes as part of an agricultural waste management system. Payment includes materials and equipment necessary for construction of the floor and walls. If a roof is to be included in the installation refer to Practice Standard 367 - Roofs and Covers. This practice will address soil and water quality by reducing the pollution potential for surface water and groundwater quality degradation. After Practice Description: Using a bedded pack provides an environmentally safe facility for storing manure and other agricultural waste by-products. This facility provides the landowner a means of storing waste until it can be utilized in a proper manner in accordance with a nutrient management plan. Typical design: floor area 4,000 ft2, (40' X 100'); 4' concrete wall height, 3' footing depth with a geotextile and 6' gravel layer over an earthen floor; 20' openings on each end of structure. Potential Associated Practices: Fence (382), Nutrient Management (590), Waste Transfer (634), Heavy Use Area Protection (561), Obstruction Removal (500), and Roofs and Covers (367).	Square Foot Floor Area	Square Foot	\$2.99	\$4.49	\$4.49	\$5.39

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
313-21	Composted Bedded Pack, 5 inch Reinforced Concrete Floor	Scenario Description: A composted bedded pack facility is constructed to store wastes such as manure, wastewater, and contaminated runoff as part of an agricultural waste management system. This scenario is intended for situations where consistency of manure or geological conditions prohibit the use of earthen floors. Payment includes materials and equipment necessary for construction of the floor and walls. The walls may be constructed of concrete or wood as allowed by state policies and regulations. If a roof is to be included in the installation refer to Practice Standard 367 - Roofs and Covers. This practice will address soil and water quality by reducing the pollution potential for surface water and groundwater quality degradation. After Practice Description: Using a bedded pack provides an environmentally safe facility for storing manure and other agricultural waste by-products. This facility provides the landowner a means of storing waste until it can be utilized in a proper manner in accordance with a nutrient management plan. Typical design: floor area 4,000 ft2, (40' X 100'); 4' concrete wall height, 3' footing depth with a 5' reinforced concrete floor; 20' openings on each end of structure. 5' reinforced concrete floor is the minimum requirement in lowa. Potential Associated Practices: Fence (382), Nutrient Management (590), Waste Transfer (634), Heavy Use Area Protection (561), Obstruction Removal (500) and Roofs and Covers (367).	Square Foot Floor Area	Square Foot	\$4.04	\$6.06	\$6.06	\$7.27

WASTE TRANSFER Practice Code 634

Livestock Structural Practice

PRS Unit of Measurement: Number

Definition: A manure conveyance system using structures, conduits, or equipment with a 10 Hp. or less pump.

Purpose: To transfer animal manure using a pump and conduit to either a manure storage/treatment facility, a loading area.

Applicability: The manure transfer component is a part of a planned comprehensive nutrient management system. Where manure is generated by livestock production and a conveyance system is necessary to transfer manure from the source to a storage/treatment facility or a loading area.

Limitations: Not applicable for field application of manure.

Maintenance: Practice must be maintained for a lifespan of 15 year.

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
634-1	Manure Auger	Scenario Description: Scenario is for a manure auger associated with an agricultural production operation to transfer agricultural waste product from the storage facility to manure spreading equipment for proper utilization. This auger is used when the manure consistency will not allow for pumping. Payment includes the cost of the auger and labor for the electrical hook-up. The waste transfer equipment is installed to address water quality concerns by facilitating timely land application of waste at agronomic rates according to the nutrient management plan. This scenario addresses the potential for surface water and groundwater quality degradation. After Practice Description: A typical installation would be for an auger to remove manure from an animal waste storage structure and facilitate the transfer of this material to the next step of waste treatment or utilization. This auger is for a tank less than 14' deep and is part of an animal waste management system to address water quality concerns. Associated practices may include: PS 313 Waste Storage Facility for storage structures; PS 632, Waste Separation Facility; PS 590 Nutrient Management for waste application; PS 633, Waste Recycling	Auger, installed	Each	\$2,820.64	\$4,230.96	\$4,230.96	\$5,077.15

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
634-2	Wastewater catch basin, less than 1000 gal.	Scenario Description: Installation of a wastewater collection system that includes materials and structures to collect liquids of a design volume less than 1000 gallons such as silage leachate, lot runoff and other contaminated liquid effluent. This may include curbs, screens, precast manholes, sumps or catch basins. The wastewater will be transferred from the collection basin to a waste storage facility. Payment includes excavation, placement of bedding as needed, placement of structure and backfill with construction of concrete inlet collection area. Transfer pump if needed must be contracted under associated practice 533 Pumping Plant. This scenario addresses the potential for surface water and groundwater quality degradation from liquid wastewater running unchecked out of silage bunkers and off of animal feeding lots. After Practice Description: This practice scenario is suitable where the estimated design volume for wastewater transfer is less than 1000 gallons of contaminated liquid that may flow from silage bunkers or animal production facilities. The practice scenario typically includes materials and installation of flat and formed concrete for curbs and/or gutters to collect liquids. With the installation of a precast manhole with lid or catch basin with grate. Associated practices may include: 313 Waste Storage Facility for storage structures; 533, Pumping Plant; 632, Waste Separation Facility; 590 Nutrient Management for waste application; 633, Waste Recycling.	Collection volume installed	Gallon	\$2.95	\$4.43	\$4.43	\$5.31
634-3	Concrete Channel, with footers	Scenario Description: Installation of a concrete channel that consists of a slab with curb and footing on each side of the slab for the entire length of the channel to enable the facility manager to direct liquid waste to a collection basin and/or waste storage facility. Water quality concerns will be addressed by preventing liquid waste from entering surface waters, and to facilitate timely land application of manure and wastewater at agronomic rates according to the CNMP. This scenario addresses the potential for surface water and groundwater quality degradation. After Practice Description: Typical installation of a 12 foot wide 100' long concrete channel that consists of a 5' thick concrete slab with curbing on each side of the slab that is 2' high, 6' thick with footing for the entire length. The purpose is to transfer liquids or manure slurry from one area to a collection basin or waste storage facility. Associated practices may include: 313 Waste Storage Facility for storage structures; 533, Pumping Plant; 632, Waste Separation Facility; 590 Nutrient Management for waste application; 633, Waste Recycling.	Bottom surface area of concrete channel	Square Foot	\$4.71	\$7.07	\$7.07	\$8.49

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
634-4	Concrete Channel, no footers	Scenario Description: Installation of a concrete channel that consists of a slab with curb for the entire length of the channel to enable the facility manager to direct liquid waste to a collection basin and/or waste storage facility. Water quality concerns will be addressed by preventing liquid waste from entering surface waters, and to facilitate timely land application of manure and wastewater at agronomic rates according to the CNMP. This scenario addresses the potential for surface water and groundwater quality degradation. After Practice Description: Typical installation of a 12 foot wide 100' long concrete channel that consists of a 5' thick concrete slab with curbing on each side of the slab that is 2' high, 6' thick for the entire length. The purpose is to transfer liquids or manure slurry from one area to a collection basin or waste storage facility. Associated practices may include: 313 Waste Storage Facility for storage structures; 533, Pumping Plant; 632, Waste Separation Facility; 590 Nutrient Management for waste application; 633, Waste Recycling.	Bottom surface area of concrete channel	Square Foot	\$3.87	\$5.81	\$5.81	\$6.97
634-5	Lot Runoff Containment Wall, >1ft Tall	Scenario Description: Installation of a concrete wall with footing to direct manure laden lot runoff to a collection basin and/or waste storage facility. Water quality concerns will be addressed by preventing liquid waste from entering surface waters, and to facilitate timely land application of manure and wastewater at agronomic rates according to the CNMP. This scenario addresses the potential for surface water and groundwater quality degradation. Associated practices may include: 313 Waste Storage Facility for storage structures; 533, Pumping Plant; 632, Solid/Liquid Waste Separation Facility; 590 Nutrient Management for waste application; 633, Waste Recycling. After Practice Description: Typical installation consists of a 2' high concrete wall with an adjacent 5' wide, 5' thick concrete slab. Typical length is 300'. The purpose is to direct lot runoff to a collection basin or waste storage facility. Wall also allows manure to be scraped to waste storage facility. Associated practices may include: 313 Waste Storage Facility; 533, Pumping Plant; 632, Waste Separation Facility; 590 Nutrient Management; 633, Waste Recycling; 561, Heavy Use Protection Area.	Length of Wall installed	Foot	\$25.94	\$38.91	\$38.91	\$46.69

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
634-6	Concrete Channel with push-off wall at pond and safety gate	Scenario Description: Installation of a concrete channel that consists of a slab with curb and footing on each side of the slab for the entire length of the channel and push off wall to enable the facility manager to direct liquid waste to a collection basin and/or waste storage facility. The purpose is to transfer liquids or manure slurry from one area to a collection basin or waste storage facility. Includes safety gate for human and animal exclusion. Water quality concerns will be addressed by preventing liquid waste from entering surface waters, and to facilitate timely land application of manure and wastewater at agronomic rates according to the CNMP. This scenario addresses the potential for surface water and groundwater quality degradation. After Practice Description: Typical installation of a 12 foot wide 100' long concrete channel that consists of a 5' thick concrete slab with curbing on each side of the slab that is 2' high, 6' thick with footing for the entire length. The pushoff ramp is a concrete cantilever structure that allows the waste to be moved into the storage facility. Associated practices may include: 313 Waste Storage Facility for storage structures; 533, Pumping Plant; 632, Waste Separation Facility; 590 Nutrient Management for waste application; 633, Waste Recycling.	Bottom surface area of concrete channel	Square Foot	\$5.81	\$8.72	\$8.72	\$10.46

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
634-7		Scenario Description: Installation of a concrete channel that consists of a slab with wall and footing on each side of the slab for the entire length of the channel, in addition to an over fall structure at the channel outlet, to enable the facility manager to direct liquid waste to a collection basin and/or waste storage facility. Water quality concerns will be addressed by preventing liquid waste from entering surface waters, and to facilitate timely land application of manure and wastewater at agronomic rates according to the CNMP. This scenario addresses the potential for surface water and groundwater quality degradation. Associated practices may include: 313 Waste Storage Facility for storage structures; 533, Pumping Plant; 632, Solid/Liquid Waste Separation Facility; 590 Nutrient Management for waste application; 633, Waste Recycling. After Practice Description: Typical installation of a 12'-wide x 12'-long push-off platform having an 8"-thick slab and 4'-high side walls. Push-off platform slab is supported on all four sides by a 6'-high wall with footer. A horizontal concrete beam is installed above the end of the platform to serve as a safety barrier for scraping equipment. Manure scraped off the end of the platform drops vertically onto a 16'-wide x 6"-thick concrete chute installed on the lower half of a 2:1 side slope of a manure holding pond. The purpose is to transfer manure and runoff from a feedlot area or livestock building to a waste storage facility.	Channel with Drop Chute, installed	Each	\$4,556.34	\$6,834.50	\$6,834.50	\$8,201.40

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
634-8	Manure Flush System	Scenario Description: Installation of a manure flush system consisting of a flush water storage tank, flushing mechanism such as a valve, and flush water distribution. This practice scenario is suitable only where the water or wastewater supplies are available for operating a flush system to collect the animal waste deposited on the concrete surfaces. Payment includes tank, valve and distribution pipeline, site prep and concrete to support these structures. This scenario addresses the potential for surface water and groundwater quality degradation from animal waste. After Practice Description: The design flush volume for the flush system is less than 1000 gallons. Concrete slab to support the tank and distribution pipeline is 28ft x 12 ft. x 5' thick with 40 ft. of above ground 8' pipe is used for distribution. Associated practices may include: 313 Waste Storage Facility for storage structures; 533, Pumping Plant; 632, Waste Separation Facility; 590 Nutrient Management for waste application; 633, Waste Recycling.	1000 Gallons of flush water	Gallon	\$2.72	\$4.08	\$4.08	\$4.90
634-9	Wastewater Recycle System for Flush System, Pipes only	Scenario Description: Installation of a wastewater recycle pipeline utilized with manure and wastewater flush system using recycled wastewater. Scenario is for the pipe system only to retrofit flush systems to utilize recycled water. Payment includes excavation, placement of bedding as needed, conveyance pipelines with valves and pipe backfill to transport water to the flush tank. This scenario addresses the potential for surface water and groundwater quality degradation from animal waste. After Practice Description: Supplemental piping is needed to install the recycled flush water as a means to collect the animal waste deposited on the concrete production surfaces. The pipe design for the flush volume requires 300 feet of 3 inch diameter pipe for pressure flow. Associated practices may include: 313 Waste Storage Facility for storage structures; 533, Pumping Plant; 632, Waste Separation Facility; 590 Nutrient Management for waste application; 633, Waste Recycling.	Flush - pipes	Foot	\$4.66	\$6.99	\$6.99	\$8.39

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
634-10	8 inch PVC pipeline, gravity or low pressure flow	Scenario Description: Gravity or low pressure flow pipeline used to transfer manure or wastewater according to the CNMP. Payment includes the pipe plus clean-out risers and fittings, trench excavation and backfill, labor and equipment for installation. Typical installation applies to soils with no special bedding requirements. This scenario addresses the transport of liquid waste to a waste storage or treatment facility to prevent a water quality resource concern of excessive nutrients/organics and harmful levels of pathogens in surface water and/or excessive nutrients/organics in ground water. After Practice Description: Install a 100 foot long 8 inch diameter PVC gasketted IPS pipe to transfer the manure wastewater. The transfer pipeline will deliver the manure slurry according to the CNMP, thereby protecting water quality resources. Associated practices may include: 313 Waste Storage Facility for storage structures; 533, Pumping Plant; 632, Waste Separation Facility; 590 Nutrient Management for waste application; 633, Waste Recycling; 635, Vegetated Treatment Area.	Length of pipe installed	Foot	\$8.38	\$12.56	\$12.56	\$15.08
634-11	Gravity or Low pressure 24 in Dual Wall pipeline.	Scenario Description: Gravity or low pressure flow pipeline used to transfer manure or wastewater according to the CNMP. Payment includes the pipe plus clean-out risers and fittings, trench excavation and backfill, gravel bedding, labor and equipment for installation. This scenario addresses the transport of liquid waste to a waste storage or treatment facility to prevent a water quality resource c o n c e r n of excessive nutrients/organics and harmful levels of pathogens in surface water and/or excessive nutrients/organics in ground water. After Practice Description: Install a 100 foot long 24 inch diameter dual wall gasketted IPS pipe to transfer the manure wastewater. The transfer pipeline will deliver the manure slurry according to the CNMP, thereby protecting water quality resources. Associated practices may include: 313 Waste Storage Facility for storage structures; 533, Pumping Plant; 632, Waste Separation Facility; 590 Nutrient Management for waste application; 633, Waste Recycling; 635, Vegetated Treatment Area.	Length of pipe installed	Foot	\$30.11	\$45.16	\$45.16	\$54.20

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
634-12	PVC Pressure Distribution Pipeline.	Scenario Description: Pressure flow pipeline used to transfer manure wastewater by pumping from the waste storage pond to the field where it is to be applied according to the CNMP. The pressure pipe moves the water by pumping from the intake riser location, through a buried mainline with outlet risers. Payment includes the pipe plus an inlet riser structure, clean-out risers and outlet risers plus all other valves and fittings, trench excavation and backfill, labor and a equipment for installation. Appurtenances include: couplings, fittings, air vents, pressure relief valves, thrust blocks, risers, and inline valves. Cost of appurtenances does not include flow meters or backflow preventers. Typical installation applies to soils with no special bedding requirements. This pipeline is part of a manure transfer system for a planned waste management or comprehensive nutrient management plan. This scenario addresses the transport of liquid waste to a waste storage or treatment facility to prevent a water quality resource concern of excessive nutrients/organics and harmful levels of pathogens in surface water and/or excessive nutrients/organics in ground water. After Practice Description: Install a 2000 foot long 8 inch diameter PVC gasketted IPS pipe that has an SDR of 21 and is water tight under pressure flow to transfer the manure wastewater. An inlet riser and is located near the pump site of the waste storage pond and designed for the desired pressure and flow for the application system. The transfer pipeline will deliver the manure slurry to the fields for agronomic nutrient utilization according to the CNMP, thereby protecting water quality resources. Associated practices may include: 313 Waste Storage Facility for storage structures; 533, Pumping Plant; 632, Waste Separation Facility, 590 Nutrient Management for waste application; PS 633, Waste Recycling; PS 635, Vegetated Treatment Area.	Length of pipe installed	Foot	\$8.87	\$13.30	\$13.30	\$15.96

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
634-38	Cased Pipeline with Boring	Scenario Description: Installation of a 6" plastic pipeline with an outer casing, bored under a road or other obstruction to convey wastewater from a storage structure to points of use. After Practice Description: The typical installation consists of installing 120 ft. of 6" PVC SDR 21 pipe with a 10" outer casing under a roadbed. Pipeline boring includes all pipe under roadbed and labor and equipment involved during installation of pipe. The pipeline is installed as a facilitating practice for utilization of waste in a waste management system, to improve water quality. Payment incorporates couplers and fittings. Cost represents typical situations for conventional, organic, and transitioning to organic producers. Associated practices include Waste Storage Facility (313), Pumping Plant (533).	foot	Foot	\$62.15	\$93.22	\$93.22	\$111.86
634-39	Lot Runoff Containment Wall, <=1ft tall	Scenario Description: Installation of a concrete wall with footing to direct manure laden lot runoff to a collection basin and/or waste storage facility. Water quality concerns will be addressed by preventing liquid waste from entering surface waters, and to facilitate timely land application of manure and wastewater at agronomic rates according to the CNMP. This scenario addresses the potential for surface water and groundwater quality degradation. Associated practices may include: 313 Waste Storage Facility for storage structures; 533, Pumping Plant; 632, Solid/Liquid Waste Separation Facility; 590 Nutrient Management for waste application; 633, Waste Recycling. After Practice Description: Typical installation consists of a 9" high concrete wall with an adjacent 5' wide, 5" thick concrete slab. Typical length is 300'. The purpose is to direct lot r u n o f f to a collection basin or waste storage facility. Wall also allows manure to be scraped to waste storage facility. Associated practices may include: 313 Waste Storage Facility; 533, Pumping Plant; 632, Waste Separation Facility; 590 Nutrient Management; 633, Waste Recycling; 561, Heavy Use Protection Area.	Length of wall installed	Foot	\$21.63	\$32.45	\$32.45	\$38.94

WASTE TREATMENT Practice Code 629

Livestock Structural Practice

PRS Unit of Measurement: Number

Definition: The use of unique or innovative mechanical, chemical or biological technologies that change the characteristics of manure and agricultural waste.

Purpose: To use manure and waste treatment facilities to improve water quality and air quality by:

- Reducing the nutrient content, organic strength, and/or pathogen levels of manure and agricultural waste.
- · Reducing odors and gaseous emissions
- · Facilitating desirable waste handling and storage
- Producing value added byproducts that facilitate manure and waste utilization.

Applicability: This practice applies where there is a need to implement waste treatment technologies that are not within the scope of other NRCS Conservation Practice Standards and that are sufficiently developed for incorporation into a manure or agricultural waste management system. This includes new or unique components or processes.

Limitations:

Maintenance: Practice must be maintained for a lifespan of 10 year.

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP-Initiative	EQIP-Initiative- HU
629-1	Poultry Litter Gasifier	Scenario Description: This practice scenario includes gasification of poultry litter to reduce the volume of Phosphorus to be spread (as ash). The purpose of the practice is to address resource concerns related to water quality degradation due to (excess nutrient and pathogens) and air quality impacts (PM & PM precursors, and objectionable odors). In addition, energy is captured as heat from the process. Associated practices: Amendments for Treatment of Agricultural Waste (591), Waste Storage Facility (313), & Nutrient Management (590) After Practice Description: The poultry litter gasification system is in place to accept litter from the adjacent barn or litter stacking area. The gasifier reduces the poultry litter to a more compact, dry ash that can more easily be hauled long distances to take to fields with lower P soil values. Energy produced by the gasifier can be used to heat the poultry house, providing a drier, healthier environment for the birds.	Each poultry farm	Each	\$77,330.10	\$115,995.15	\$115,995.15	\$139,194.17
629-2	Milking Parlor Waste Treatment System with Dosing System	Scenario Description: This practice scenario includes a dosed treatment system for milking parlor wastewater that will outlet to a constructed wetland and/or vegetated treatment area and/or other acceptable treatment. The purpose of the practice is to address resource concerns related to water quality degradation due to (excess nutrient, salts and pathogens). Associated practices: Constructed Wetland (656), Vegetated Treatment Area (635), Waste Transfer (634), Nutrient Management (590), Pumping Plant (533), Fence (382), & Waste Storage Facility (313) After Practice Description: This scenario assumes that the treatment system is designed for 500 gal/day of wastewater from the milking parlor. It assumes a two tank scenario. The grease trap acts as the primary settling basin. The wastewater overflows into the septic tank, which is then dosed to a treatment area (constructed wetland and/or vegetated treatment area and/or other acceptable treatment). This practice scenario reduces nutrient content, organic strength, or pathogen levels of agricultural waste; improve air quality by reducing odors and gaseous emissions (methane or ammonia).	Each	Each	\$4,170.06	\$6,255.09	\$6,255.09	\$7,506.11

WASTE TREATMENT LAGOON Practice Code 359

Livestock Structural Practice

PRS Unit of Measurement: Number

Definition: A waste treatment impoundment made by constructing an embankment and/or excavating a pit or dugout.

Purpose: To reduce pollution potential by serving as a treatment component of a waste management system.

Applicability: Where treatment is needed for organic wastes generated by agricultural production or processing; on any site where the lagoon can be constructed, operated, and maintained without polluting air or water resources.

Limitations: This practice must be a component of a Comprehensive Nutrient Management System (CNMP). The CNMP must be written before any design work is begun on the waste facility.

Maintenance: Practice must be maintained for a lifespan of 15 years.

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
359-1	Waste Treatment Lagoon	Scenario Description: A waste treatment lagoon is a component of a waste management system that provides biological treatment of manure and other byproducts of agricultural operations by reducing the pollution potential. Resource concern addressed is water quality by reducing the pollution potential to surface and groundwater by treating and storing liquid waste. Earthen lagoon liners are addressed with another standard. Potential Associated Practices: Pond Sealing or Lining, Bentonite Sealant (521C), Pond Sealing or Lining, Compacted Clay Treatment (521D), Pond Sealing or Lining, Flexible Membrane (521A), Pond Sealing or Lining, Soil Dispersant (521B), Fence (382), Critical Area Planting (342), Nutrient Management (590), Waste Transfer (634), Heavy Use Area Protection (561), and Solid/Liquid Waste Separation Facility (632). After Practice Description: A waste treatment lagoon constructed from on-site material provides an environmentally safe facility for storing manure and other agricultural waste by-products. This facility provides the landowner a means of storing and treating waste until it can be utilized in a proper manner in accordance with a nutrient management plan. Typical design size: Design Volume 439,440 ft3; 260' X 208' (top); 3:1 inside and outside side slopes; cut/fill ratio = 1.25; total depth = 13'	Total Storage Volume	Cubic Foot	\$0.08	\$0.11	N/A	N/A

WATER AND SEDIMENT CONTROL BASIN Practice Code 638

Non-Livestock Structural Practice

PRS Unit of Measurement: Number

Definition: An earth embankment or a combination ridge and channel constructed across the slope of minor watercourses to form a sediment trap and water detention basin with a stable outlet.

Purpose: This practice may be applied as part of a resource management system for one or more of the following purposes:

- To reduce watercourse and gully erosion
- To trap sediment
- To reduce and manage onsite and downstream runoff.

Applicability: This practice applies to sites where:

- 1. The topography is generally irregular.
- 2. Watercourse or gully erosion is a problem.
- 3. Sheet and rill erosion is controlled by other conservation practices.
- 4. Runoff and sediment damages land and works of improvements.
- 5. Adequate outlets can be provided.

Do not use this standard in place of terraces. Where the ridge and/or channel extends beyond the detention basin or level embankment, use Conservation Practice Standard (600), Terrace or (362) Diversion as appropriate.

Limitations: When a Water & Sediment Control Basin Summer Construction Scenario is included in the contract, construction must occur between June 15 and October 15 of the scheduled year. The Payment Scenario for summer construction is only available on land in row crop and requires a temporary cover or cover crop. No crop is allowed to be harvested. Haying or grazing of the cover crop after October 15th is allowed. No payments can be made for any items associated with the summer construction initiative until all associated practices are installed.

Maintenance: Practice must be maintained for a lifespan of 10 years.

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
638-1	Base	Scenario Description: Typical scenario for the construction of an earthen embankment or the rebuild of an existing WASCOB. Rebuild work includes the removal of accumulated sediment from the pool area to restore original capacity. Outlet is typically an underground outlet. An earthen embankment or combination ridge and channel generally constructed/rebuilt across the slope and minor watercourses to form a sediment trap and water detention basin. Work is done with dozer, scraper, or road grader. Costs include all equipment necessary to excavate, shape, grade and compact the Water and Sediment Control Basin and mobilization of equipment. Seeding not included. This practice is utilized to reduce watercourse and gully erosion, trap sediment, reduce and manage onsite and downstream runoff. Sheet and rill erosion will be controlled by other conservation practices. After Practice Description: Water and Sediment Control Basin is constructed or rebuilt by the excavation/earth fill with dozer, scraper and/or road grader. Rill and/or gully erosion is reduced. If riser and underground outlet are needed, then include Underground Outlet (620). Include Critical Area Planting (342) where necessary to prevent erosion following construction activities.	CY of WASCOB Embankment	Cubic Yard	\$1.44	\$2.16	\$2.16	\$2.59
638-2	Topsoil	Scenario Description: Typical scenarios for the construction of an earthen embankment or the rebuild of an existing WASCOB. Prior to constructing/reconstructing the embankment, 6 inches of topsoil is removed and stockpiled. Outlet is typically an underground outlet. An earthen embankment or combination ridge and channel generally constructed/rebuilt across the slope and minor watercourses to form a sediment trap and water detention basin. Topsoil is replaced following construction of the embankment. Costs include all equipment necessary to strip and stock pile topsoil, excavate, shape, grade and compact the Water and Sediment Control Basin, spread and replace topsoil after construction and mobilization of equipment. Seeding not included. This practice is utilized to reduce watercourse and gully erosion, trap sediment, reduce and manage onsite and downstream runoff. Sheet and rill erosion will be controlled by other conservation practices. Work is done with dozer, scraper, or road grader. After Practice Description: Water and Sediment Control Basis is constructed or rebuilt by the excavation/earth fill with dozer, scraper and/or road grader. Rill and/or gully erosion is reduced. If riser and underground outlet are needed, then include Underground Outlet (620). Include Critical Area Planting (342) where necessary to prevent erosion following construction activities.	CY of WASCOB Embankment	Cubic Yard	\$1.59	\$2.39	\$2.39	\$2.87

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
638-5	Base, crop seasonal construction	Scenario Description: Typical scenario for the construction of an earthen embankment or the rebuild of an existing WASCOB. Rebuild work includes the removal of accumulated sediment from the pool area to restore original capacity. Outlet is typically an underground outlet. An earthen embankment or combination ridge and channel generally constructed/rebuilt across the slope and minor watercourses to form a sediment trap and water detention basin. Work is done with dozer, scraper, or road grader. Costs include all equipment necessary to excavate, shape, grade and compact the Water and Sediment Control Basin and mobilization of equipment. Seeding not included. This practice is utilized to reduce watercourse and gully erosion, trap sediment, reduce and manage onsite and downstream runoff. Sheet and rill erosion will be controlled by other conservation practices. Foregone income reflects entire construction area to account for crop loss while constructing during the growing season. After Practice Description: Water and Sediment Control Basin is constructed or rebuilt by the excavation/earth fill with dozer, scraper and/or road grader. Rill and/or gully erosion is reduced. If riser and underground outlet are needed, then include Underground Outlet (620). Include Critical Area Planting (342) where necessary to prevent erosion following construction activities.	CY of WASCOB Embankment	Cubic Yard	\$2.08	\$2.80	\$2.80	\$3.23

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
638-6	Topsoil, crop seasonal construction	Scenario Description: Typical scenarios for the construction of an earthen embankment or the rebuild of an existing WASCOB. Prior to constructing/reconstructing the embankment, 6 inches of topsoil is removed and stockpiled. Outlet is typically an underground outlet. An earthen embankment or combination ridge and channel generally constructed/rebuilt across the slope and minor watercourses to form a sediment trap and water detention basin. Topsoil is replaced following construction of the embankment. Costs include all equipment necessary to strip and stock pile topsoil, excavate, shape, grade and compact the Water and Sediment Control Basin, spread and replace topsoil after construction and mobilization of equipment. Seeding not included. This practice is utilized to reduce watercourse and gully erosion, trap sediment, reduce and manage onsite and downstream runoff. Sheet and rill erosion will be controlled by other conservation practices. Work is done with dozer, scraper, or road grader. Foregone income reflects entire construction area to account for crop loss while constructing during the growing season. After Practice Description: Water and Sediment Control Basis is constructed or rebuilt by the excavation/earth fill with dozer, scraper and/or road grader. Rill and/or gully erosion is reduced. If riser and underground outlet are needed, then include Underground Outlet (620). Include Critical Area Planting (342) where necessary to prevent erosion following construction activities.	CY of WASCOB Embankment	Cubic Yard	\$2.24	\$3.03	\$3.03	\$3.51

WATER WELL Practice Code 642

Livestock Structural Practice

PRS Unit of Measurement: Number

Definition: A hole drilled, dug, driven, bored, jetted, or otherwise constructed to an aquifer.

Purpose: To provide non-potable water for livestock watering needs only.

Applicability: This practice applies only to production wells. The payment rate includes drilling the hole, casing the hole, gravel pack if needed, and a bentonite seal.

Limitations: Specifically excluded are any types of wells installed solely for monitoring or observation purposes; injection wells; and piezometers. Well must be installed by an lowa DNR Certified Well Installer. The landowner shall provide NRCS a copy of the following items prior to the installation of the well:

- A signed and completed copy of the IDNR "Application for Private Well Construction Permit"
- A copy of the county "approval" well installation letter
- Upon construction completion of well installation the landowner must provide NRCS a completed copy of the IDNR "Well Record" form.

Submission of all three documents listed above will serve as proof that the well was installed in accordance with all applicable standards and specifications.

The payment rate does not include above ground installations such as pumping plants, pipelines, and tanks. If these components are required, they may be added to the contract separately. See practice codes 533 – Pumping Plant; 516 – Pipeline or 614 – Watering Facility.

EQIP FA for a Water Well is only eligible for watering of livestock as part of a grazing system to treat the resource concern, Livestock Production Limitation - Inadequate Water.

Maintenance: Practice must be maintained for a lifespan of 20 years.

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
642-1	Large Diameter Drilled Well	Scenario Description: Typical construction is for the drilling of a well using a bucket well drill rig. These wells are large diameter drilled wells. The purpose of the practice is to provide water for livestock. An average well depth is less than 100 foot at 36' diameter. These wells are typically implemented in glacial till areas where the ground water resource has slow recharge rate, and the large diameter of the well allows for storage of water to meet the demand. After Practice Description: A 48 ft, 36' diameter well is installed using a bucket drill rig. The large diameter of the well allows for storage of water in glacial till areas where the groundwater recharge rate is less than demand. The well is dug and then cased with concrete. Perforated concrete casing is used as a screen around the bottom of the well. Approximately 6' of gravel is placed around the screen. Sufficient water is available for livestock. Utilize Pumping Plant (533) and Pipeline (516) as associated practices. Use Critical Area Seeding (342) where necessary to prevent erosion following construction activities.	Depth of Well	Foot	\$95.36	\$143.05	\$143.05	\$171.66
642-2	Shallow Drilled Well, <= 100 feet, <= 6in Diam	Scenario Description: Typical construction is for the installation of a well, in areas where sufficient water is known to occur within 100 feet of the ground surface, and the flow is such that a smaller diameter well is sufficient. The well shall be drilled, dug, driven, bored, jetted or otherwise constructed to an aquifer for water supply. The purpose of the practice is to provide water for livestock. After Practice Description: An average well depth is 100 feet. Well casings are ≤ 6' in diameter. Sufficient water is available for livestock. Utilize Pumping Plant (533) and Pipeline (516) as associated practices. Use Critical Area Seeding (342) where necessary to prevent erosion following construction activities.	Depth of Well	Foot	\$26.27	\$39.40	\$39.40	\$47.28

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
642-3	Shallow Drilled Well, <= 100 feet, > 6in Diam.	Scenario Description: Typical construction is for the installation of a well, in areas where sufficient water is known to occur within 100 feet of the ground surface, and the flow is such that a larger diameter well is needed. The well shall be drilled, dug, driven, bored, jetted or otherwise constructed to an aquifer for water supply. The purpose of the practice is to provide water for livestock. After Practice Description: An average well depth is 100 feet. Well casings are 12' in diameter. Sufficient water is available for livestock. Utilize Pumping Plant (533) and Pipeline (516) as associated practices. Use Critical Area Seeding (342) where necessary to prevent erosion following construction activities.	Depth of Well	Foot	\$31.63	\$47.44	\$47.44	\$56.93
642-4	Deep Drilled Well, > 100 Feet	Scenario Description: Typical construction is for the installation of a well, in areas where sufficient water is known to occur >100 feet of the ground surface. The well shall be drilled, dug, driven, bored, jetted or otherwise constructed to an aquifer for water supply. The purpose of the practice is to provide water for livestock. After Practice Description: An average well depth is 300 feet. Well casings are 4-6' in diameter. Well is dug into consolidated (bedrock or firm material) where casing and lining is installed to a depth of 240 feet. The remaining depth does not need lining or screening due to the 'open hole' construction and nature of wells in this substrate. Sufficient water is available for livestock. Utilize Pumping Plant (533) and Pipeline (516) as associated practices. Use Critical Area Seeding (342) where necessary to prevent erosion following construction activities.	Depth of Well	Foot	\$20.64	\$30.96	\$30.96	\$37.15

WATERING FACILITY Practice Code 614

Livestock Structural Practice

PRS Unit of Measurement: Number

Definition: A permanent or portable device to provide an adequate amount and quality of drinking water for livestock and or wildlife.

Purpose: To provide access to drinking water for livestock and/or wildlife in order to:

- Meet daily water requirements
- · Improve animal distribution

Applicability: This practice applies to all land uses where there is a need for new or improved watering facilities for livestock and/or wildlife.

Limitations: Watering facilities are only eligible for financial assistance when associated with grazing system improvements or for wildlife.

Maintenance: Practice must be maintained for a lifespan of 20 years.

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
614-1	Permanent Tank, <450 gallons	Scenario Description: A permanent watering facility constructed of approved materials with less than 450 gallons of capacity that provides adequate quantity and quality of water for storage and or direct drinking access. All watering facilities will be constructed from approved durable materials that have a life expectancy that meets or exceeds the planned useful life of the installation. Payment includes materials and labor costs for installing the watering tank, float valve, wildlife escape ramp, and freeze proof hydrant. A stabilized area under and around the watering facility is not included and must be addressed through an associated practice of Heavy Use Area Protection (561). This watering facility will address the resource concerns of inadequate supply of water for livestock and or wildlife, habitat degradation, water quality, and undesirable plant productivity and health. After Practice Description: A permanent watering facility with a capacity of less than 450 gallons is typically installed for 30 animal units with all tank materials, tank plumbing and float valve, to provide adequate water storage capacity to ensure an adequate supply and quality of water for livestock or wildlife for storage and or direct drinking access and provides improved plant productivity and health, water quality, and habitat. All watering facilities are constructed from approved durable materials that have a life expectancy that meets or exceeds the planned useful life of the installation and placed on a properly prepared foundation with required plumbing. All needed pipelines are installed using Pipeline (516). Any needed vegetation of disturbed areas will use Critical Area Planting (342). All collectors or catchments for collecting precipitation will be addressed by using Water Harvesting Catchment (636). Any needed water source installation will use Water Well (642), Pumping Plant (533), Spring Development (574), or Pipeline (516) as appropriate. Areas around watering facilities where animal concentrations will cause res	Number of Watering Points	Each	\$261.10	\$391.65	\$391.65	\$469.98

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
614-2	Portable Tank	Scenario Description: Establishment of a portable watering facility for livestock as part of an intensively managed grazing system where the livestock are frequently moved. Payment includes materials and labor costs for installing the watering tank, float valve, and freeze proof hydrant. If needed, a stabilized area under and around the watering facility is not included and must be addressed through an associated practice of Heavy Use Area Protection (561). Payment is based on the number of watering points (i.e. hydrants) installed, not the number of portable tanks used in the watering facility installed for 30 animal units. It consists of a portable trough of either durable plastic, steel, or rubber that provides adequate water and access for the livestock. The trough includes a float for control of inflow of water. All watering facilities are constructed from approved durable materials that have a life expectancy that meets or exceeds the planned useful life of the installation. Cost represents typical situations for conventional, organic, and transitioning to organic producers. Associated practices: Pipeline (516), Critical Area Planting (342), Water Harvesting Catchment (636), Water Well (642), Pumping Plant (533), Spring Development (574), and Heavy Use Area Protection (561).	Number of Watering Points	Each	\$99.12	\$148.68	\$148.68	\$178.41

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
614-3	Tire Tank	Scenario Description: A permanent watering facility constructed from a rubber tire that provides an adequate quantity and quality of water for storage and or direct drinking access. All watering facilities will be constructed from approved durable materials that have a life expectancy that meets or exceeds the planned useful life of the installation. Payment includes materials and labor costs for installing the watering tank, float valve, wildlife escape ramp, and freeze proof hydrant. A stabilized area around the watering facility is not included and must be addressed through associated practices of Heavy Use Area Protection (561). This watering facility will address the resource concerns of inadequate supply of water for livestock and or wildlife, habitat degradation, water quality, and undesirable plant productivity and health. After Practice Description: This practice is typically installed for 50 animal units. It consists of a necessarily large rubber tire trough that provides adequate water and access for the livestock. Cost represents typical situations for conventional, organic, and transitioning to organic producers. Associated practices: Pipeline (516), Critical Area Planting (342), Water Harvesting Catchment (636), Water Well (642), Pumping Plant (533), Spring Development (574), and Heavy Use Area Protection (561). Areas around watering facilities where animal concentrations will cause resource concerns will be protected by using Heavy Use Area Protection (561), as appropriate.	Number of Watering Points	Each	\$585.10	\$877.65	\$877.65	\$1,053.17
614-4	Large Permanent Tank, 500-1000 gallons, or Fountain	Scenario Description: Establishment of a large permanent watering facility using materials such as a large concrete trough or fountain type waterers. Payment includes materials and labor costs for installing the watering tank, float valve, wildlife escape ramp, and freeze proof hydrant. A stabilized area under and around the watering facility is not included and must be addressed through an associated practice of Heavy Use Area Protection (561). After Practice Description: This practice is typically installed for 50 animal units. It consists of a necessarily large permanent concrete trough, or fountain type waterer that provides adequate water and access for the livestock. Cost represents typical situations for conventional, organic, and transitioning to organic producers. Associated practices: Pipeline (516), Critical Area Planting (342), Water Harvesting Catchment (636), Water Well (642), Pumping Plant (533), Spring Development (574), and Heavy Use Area Protection (561). Areas around watering facilities where animal concentrations will cause resource concerns will be protected by using Heavy Use Area Protection (561), as appropriate.	Number of Watering Points	Each	\$642.93	\$964.40	\$964.40	\$1,157.28

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
614-5	Above Ground Storage, 1,000 - 3,000 gallons	Scenario Description: A permanent watering facility constructed of approved materials having 1,000 to 3,000 gallons of water storage capacity for an adequate quantity and quality of water in situations where a lower capacity water supply source such as a spring or solar pump is the only feasible water source and backup capacity is needed during peak water demand periods. All watering facilities will be constructed from approved durable materials that have a life expectancy that meets or exceeds the planned useful life of the installation. Payment includes materials and labor costs for installing the storage tank. A stabilized area under and around the watering facility is not included and must be addressed through an associated practice of Heavy Use Area Protection (561). This watering facility will address the resource concerns of inadequate supply of water for livestock, habitat degradation, water quality, and undesirable plant productivity and health. After Practice Description: A permanent watering facility with water storage capacity of 1,000 to 3,000 gallons is typically installed for 30 animal units to provide adequate water storage capacity to ensure an adequate supply and quality of water for livestock or wildlife. Installation facilitates improved plant productivity and health, water quality, and habitat. All watering facilities are constructed from approved durable materials that have a life expectancy that meets or exceeds the planned useful life of the installation and placed on a properly prepared foundation with required plumbing. All needed pipelines are installed using Pipeline (516). Any needed vegetation of disturbed areas will use Critical Area Planting (342). All collectors or catchments for collecting precipitation will be addressed by using Water Harvesting Catchment (636). Any needed water source installation will use Water Well (642), Pumping Plant (533), Spring Development (574), or Pipeline (516) as appropriate. Areas around watering facilities where animal concentrations will cause	Number of tanks	Each	\$1,455.23	\$2,182.85	\$2,182.85	\$2,619.41

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
614-6	Above Ground Storage, >3,000 gallons	Scenario Description: Establishment of a large permanent watering facility having 3,001 to 5,000 gallons of water storage capacity for an adequate quantity and quality of water in situations where a lower capacity water supply source such as a spring or solar pump is the only feasible water source and backup capacity is needed during peak water demand periods. All watering facilities will be constructed from approved durable materials that have a life expectancy that meets or exceeds the planned useful life of the installation. Payment includes materials and labor costs for installing the storage tank. A stabilized area under and around the watering facility is not included and must be addressed through an associated practice of Heavy Use Area Protection (561). This watering facility will address the resource concerns of inadequate supply of water for livestock, habitat degradation, water quality, and undesirable plant productivity and health. After Practice Description: A permanent watering facility with water storage capacity of 3,001 to 5,000 gallons is typically installed for 50 animal units to provide adequate water storage capacity to ensure an adequate supply and quality of water for livestock or wildlife. Installation facilitates improved plant productivity and health, water quality, and habitat. All watering f a c i l i t i e s are constructed from approved durable materials that have a life expectancy that meets or exceeds the planned useful life of the installation and placed on a properly prepared foundation with r e q u i r e d plumbing. All needed pipelines are installed using Pipeline (516). Any needed vegetation of disturbed areas will use Critical Area Planting (342). All collectors or catchments for collecting precipitation will be addressed by using Water Harvesting Catchment (636). Any needed water source installation will use Water Well (642), Pumping Plant (533), Spring Development (574), or Pipeline (516) as appropriate. Areas around watering facilities where animal concentrations will ca	Number of tanks	Each	\$2,443.77	\$3,665.66	\$3,665.66	\$4,398.79

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
614-7	Underground Storage Tank	Scenario Description: A precast concrete tank used for storing water as part of a watering system. The storage tank will consist of 1 storage tank (2500 gal.) adequate base material and backfill around the tank, access riser with lid, and 20 ft. of 4 inch for overflow pipe. After Practice Description: A permanent watering facility for livestock constructed of approved materials with a 2,500 gallons of additional storage capacity for adequate quantity and quality of water for storage when backup capacity is needed peak water demand periods. All watering facilities are constructed from approved durable materials that have a life expectancy that meets or exceeds the planned useful life of the installation and placed on a properly prepared foundation with required plumbing. All needed pipelines are installed using Pipeline (516). Any needed vegetation of disturbed areas will use Critical Area Planting (342). Any needed water source installation will use Water Well (642), Pumping Plant (533), Spring Development (574), or Pipeline (516) as appropriate.	Number of tanks	Each	\$2,338.69	\$3,508.04	\$3,508.04	\$4,209.65

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
614-8	Frost Free Waterer	Scenario Description: A permanent watering facility constructed of approved materials that provides adequate quantity and quality of water for direct drinking access. All watering facilities will be constructed from approved durable materials that have a life expectancy that meets or exceeds the planned useful life of the installation. Payment includes materials and labor costs for installing the frost free waterer. The stabilized area under and around the watering facility is not included and must be addressed through an associated practice of Heavy Use Area Protection (561). This watering facility will address the resource concerns of inadequate supply of water for livestock and or wildlife, habitat degradation, water quality, and undesirable plant productivity and health. After Practice Description: A permanent watering facility is typically installed for 30 animal units with all waterer materials to provide an adequate supply and quality of water for livestock or wildlife for direct drinking access and provides improved plant productivity and health, water quality, and habitat. All watering facilities are constructed from approved durable materials that have a life expectancy that meets or exceeds the planned useful life of the installation and placed on a properly prepared foundation with required plumbing. All needed pipelines are installed using Pipeline (516). Any needed vegetation of disturbed areas will use Critical Area Planting (342). Any needed water source installation will use Water Well (642), Pumping Plant (533), or Pipeline (516) as appropriate. Areas around watering facilities where animal concentration will cause resource concerns will be protected by using Heavy Use Area Protection (561) as appropriate.	Number of Waterers	Each	\$653.86	\$980.78	\$980.78	\$1,176.94

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
614-9	Access Ramp	Scenario Description: The bank of the stream or pond is severely eroded and water quality is poor due to the unrestricted access of livestock or wildlife. A conservation plan includes provisions for controlled access to drinking water for livestock or wildlife to provide daily water requirements, improve animal distribution to better utilize grazing resources, or provide a water source that is an alternative to a sensitive resource. After Practice Description: A permanent watering ramp with a level section at the base is installed to provide drinking water for livestock or wildlife. The access ramp is constructed of approved materials consisting of rock and or gravel surfacing on geotextile fabric foundation, with a life expectancy that meets or exceeds the planned useful life of the installation. The resource concerns of inadequate supply of water for livestock or wildlife, soil erosion, habitat degradation, water quality, and undesirable plant productivity and health have been addressed. The watering facility includes all materials, equipment, and labor to shape the ramp and install the surfacing material. Seeding of berms and construction areas is to be specified using 342 - Critical Area Planting, and 484 - Mulching, as needed. Use 382 - Fence to limit livestock access.	Area of access ramp	Square Foot	\$0.95	\$1.42	\$1.42	\$1.71

WELL DECOMMISSIONING Practice Code 351

Non-Livestock Structural Practice

PRS Unit of Measurement: No

Definition: The sealing and permanent closure of an inactive, abandoned, or unusable water well.

Purpose: • Eliminate physical hazard to people, animals, and farm machinery; and to prevent entry of animals, debris, or other foreign substances

- Prevent contamination of groundwater by surface water inflow
- Restore the natural hydrogeologic conditions, to the extent possible, by preventing vertical cross-contamination or commingling of groundwaters between separate water bearing zones
- Eliminate the possibility of the water well being used for any other purpose
- Allow future alternative use or management of the site

Applicability: This practice applies to any vertical water well that is to be decommissioned. This practice does not apply to water wells that were used for waste disposal.

Limitations:

Maintenance: Practice must be maintained for a lifespan of 20 year.

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
351-1	Hand Dug	Scenario Description: Seal and permanently close an inactive, abandoned, or unusable hand dug or shallow water well to prevent excess nutrients in surface and groundwater and to eliminate pesticides transported to surface and ground water. Well will be cleared of all equipment and materials. Residual water column must be treated with chlorine concentration of >50 ppm or according to local, State, Tribal, or Federal regulations. After Practice Description: Procedures and sealing materials shall conform to ASTM D5299 and be compatible with all local, State, Tribal, and Federal requirements. Backfill shall be placed and compacted in a manner that minimizes segregation and bulking to prevent surface subsidence. Typical well is hand dug. Associated practices: 342 Critical Area Seeding	Length of well casing	Foot	\$27.99	\$41.98	\$41.98	\$50.38
351-2	Drilled >100ft	Scenario Description: Seal and permanently close an inactive, abandoned, or unusable water well to prevent excess nutrients in surface and groundwater and to eliminate pesticides transported to surface and ground water. Well will be cleared of all equipment and materials. Residual water column must be treated with chlorine concentration of >50 ppm or according to local, State, Tribal, or Federal regulations. After Practice Description: Procedures and sealing materials shall conform to ASTM D5299 and be compatible with all local, State, Tribal, and Federal requirements. Backfill shall be placed and compacted in a manner that minimizes segregation and bulking to prevent surface subsidence. Typical length of well casing is greater than 100 feet. Associated practices: 342 Critical Area Seeding	Length of well casing	Foot	\$3.22	\$4.82	\$4.82	\$5.79

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
351-3	Drilled <=100 ft	Scenario Description: Seal and permanently close an inactive, abandoned, or unusable water well to prevent excess nutrients in surface and groundwater and to eliminate pesticides transported to surface and ground water. Well will be cleared of all equipment and materials. Residual water column must be treated with chlorine concentration of >50 ppm or according to local, State, Tribal, or Federal regulations. After Practice Description: Procedures and sealing materials shall conform to ASTM D5299 and be compatible with all local, State, Tribal, and Federal requirements. Backfill shall be placed and compacted in a manner that minimizes segregation and bulking to prevent surface subsidence. Typical length of well casing is 100 feet or less. Associated practices: 342 Critical Area Seeding	Length of well casing	Each	\$459.59	\$689.38	\$689.38	\$827.26

GROUNDWATER TESTING Practice Code 355

Non-Livestock Management Practice

PRS Unit of Measurement: No

Definition: Testing for physical, biological, and chemical characteristics of groundwater in wells or spring developments.

Purpose: This practice may be applied as part of a conservation management system to determine the quality of a groundwater supply for the following intended uses: irrigation, livestock, fish and wildlife habitat, aquaculture enterprises, or other agricultural uses.

Applicability: This standard applies to water supplies that are used or have potential to be used on farms or ranches.

This practice does not apply to groundwater for human consumption, nor wells for monitoring groundwater hydrology or contamination associated with animal waste storage or treatment installations.

Limitations:

Maintenance: Practice must be maintained for a lifespan of 1 year.

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
355-1	Basic Water Test	Scenario Description: Typical scenario includes the professional testing for nitrates, nitrites, and coliform to confirm well water meets basic water quality standards for consumption by livestock or use in irrigation. Water samples are sent to an EPA or state certified laboratory for testing. This scenario is recommended when water quality is suspected to be acceptable. After Practice Description: Water quality results are known.	No.	Each	\$26.49	\$39.74	\$39.74	\$47.69
355-2	Specialty Water Test	Scenario Description: Typical scenario includes the professional testing for pesticides, heavy metals, VOC's or other less common substances, in addition to the basic water test items. Tests are intended to confirm well water meets water quality standards for consumption by livestock or use in irrigation. Water samples are sent to an EPA or state certified laboratory for testing. This scenario is recommended when water quality is suspected to be degraded due to a specialized substance. After Practice Description: Water quality results are known.	No.	Each	\$107.69	\$161.54	\$161.54	\$193.85
355-3	Full Spectrum Test	Scenario Description: Typical scenario includes the professional comprehensive testing for all less common substances, to include: pesticides, heavy metals, VOC's or other less common substances, in addition to the basic water test items. Tests are intended to confirm well water meets water quality standards for consumption by livestock or use in irrigation. Water samples are sent to an EPA or state certified laboratory for testing. This scenario is recommended when water quality is known to be degraded due to a specialized substance but thorough analysis is warranted. After Practice Description: Water quality results are known.	No.	Each	\$131.06	\$196.60	\$196.60	\$235.91

WETLAND CREATION Practice Code 658

Non-Livestock Structural Practice

PRS Unit of Measurement: Acre

Definition: The creation of a wetland on a site that was historically non-wetland.

Purpose: To establish wetland hydrology, vegetation, and wildlife habitat functions on soils capable of supporting those functions.

Applicability: This practice applies only to sites where hydric soils do not exist and the objective is to establish specific wetland functions. This practice does not apply to:

- The treatment of point and non-point sources of water pollution (Constructed Wetland Code 656).
- The rehabilitation of a degraded wetland or the reestablishment of a former wetland so that soils, hydrology, vegetative community, and habitat are a close approximation of the original natural condition and boundary that existed prior to the modification. (Wetland Restoration Code 657).
- The rehabilitation of a degraded wetland, the reestablishment of a former wetland, or the modification of an existing wetland, where specific wetland

Limitations: These wetlands are not intended to treat point or non-point pollutants.

Maintenance: Practice must be maintained for a lifespan of 15 years.

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
658-1	Excavated	Scenario Description: A wetland is created on a flat mineral upland at a location where surface runoff may be intercepted and ponded by excavation. Resource concerns are 22 - INDEQUATE HABITAT FOR FISH AND WILDLIFE - Habitat degradation. After Practice Description: An excavation with an average depth of 12' has created a shallow depression in a broad swale which intercepts surface runoff. The excavated material has been spread on adjacent areas. The INADEQUATE HABITAT FOR FISH AND WILDLIFE resource concern has been addressed with the provision of seasonal open water for terrestrial, aquatic, and waterfowl species.	Acres of Wetland	Acre	\$2,012.89	\$3,019.33	\$3,019.33	\$3,623.20
658-2	Embankment	Scenario Description: A wetland is created on a flat mineral upland at a location where surface runoff may be intercepted and ponded by excavation and with an embankment. Facilitating practices may include Structure for Water Control (587). Resource concerns are 22 - INDEQUATE HABITAT FOR FISH AND WILDLIFE - Habitat degradation. After Practice Description: An excavation/embankment with an average depth of 12' has created a shallow depression in a broad swale which intercepts surface runoff. The excavated material has been spread on adjacent areas and used to compact the embankment. The INADEQUATE HABITAT FOR FISH AND WILDLIFE resource concern has been addressed with the provision of seasonal open water for terrestrial, aquatic, and waterfowl species.	0	Acre	\$2,158.12	\$3,237.18	\$3,237.18	\$3,884.61

WETLAND ENHANCEMENT Practice Code 659

Non-Livestock Structural Practice

PRS Unit of Measurement: Acre

Definition: The augmentation of wetland functions beyond the original natural conditions on a former, degraded, or naturally functioning wetland site; sometimes at the expense of other functions.

Purpose: To increase the capacity of specific wetland functions (such as habitat for targeted species, and recreational and educational opportunities) by enhancing:

- Hydric soil functions (changing soil hydrodynamic and/or bio-geochemical properties).
- Hydrology (dominant water source, hydroperiod, and hydrodynamics).
- Vegetation (including the removal of undesired species, and/or seeding or planting of desired species).
- · Plant and animal habitats.

Applicability: This practice applies to any degraded or non-degraded wetland sites with hydric soils, where the objective is to enhance selected wetland functions to conditions different than those that originally existed on the site. This practice does not apply to:

- The treatment of point and non-point sources of water pollution (Constructed Wetland Code 656);
- The rehabilitation of a degraded wetland or the reestablishment of a former wetland so that soils, hydrology, vegetative community, and habitat are a close approximation of the original natural condition and boundary that existed prior to the modification (Wetland Restoration Code 657).
- The creation of a wetland on a site location that was historically non-wetland. (Wetland Creation Code 658).

Limitations: These wetlands are not intended to treat point or non-point pollutants.

Maintenance: Practice must be maintained for a lifespan of 15 years.

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
659-1	Mineral Flat, Tile Removal	Scenario Description: A Mineral Flat wetland is to be enhanced. The tract size is 40 Acres consists of surface saturated soils interspersed with shallow depressions that are not depressional class HGM wetlands. The wetland size is also 40 acres. Resource Concerns are: 4-SOIL QUALITY DEGRADATION - Organic matter depletion, 11-WATER QUALITY DEGRADATION - Excess nutrients in surface and ground waters, 12 - WATER QUALITY DEGRADATION - Pesticides transported to surface and ground waters, 16 - WATER QUALITY DEGRADATION - Excessive sediment in surface waters, 18 - DEGRADED PLANT CONDITION - Undesirable plant productivity and health, 19 - DEGRADED PLANT CONDITION, Inadequate structure and composition, 22-INADEQUATE HABITAT FOR FISH AND WILDLIFE - Habitat degradation. After Practice Description: The drain tiles have been rendered non-functional by excavating 50 foot lengths of tile mains and laterals in 6 separate locations, and backfilling with excavated earth, which is compacted with the excavator bucket. There are no facilitating practices. Enhancement of hydrology and plant community functions will improve the WATER QUALITY and DEGRADED PLANT CONDITION concerns listed above. The hydrologic and vegetative practices will address the SOIL QUALITY DEGRADATION and INADEQUATE HABITAT FOR FISH AND WILDLIFE concerns.	Acres of Wetland	Acre	\$235.66	\$353.49	\$353.49	\$424.19

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
659-2		Scenario Description: A Riverine HGM tract on a large floodplain is to be enhanced. It has been converted to agricultural production by surface ditching and clearing of woody vegetation. The wetland extent is 60 acres. Resource Concerns are: 4-SOIL QUALITY DEGRADATION - Organic matter depletion, 11- WATER QUALITY DEGRADATION - Excess nutrients in surface and ground waters, 12-WATER QUALITY DEGRADATION - Pesticides transported to surface and ground waters, 16 - WATER QUALITY DEGRADATION - Excessive sediment in surface waters, 18 - DEGRADED PLANT CONDITION - Undesirable plant productivity and health, 19 - DEGRADED PLANT CONDITION, Inadequate structure and composition, 22- INADEQUATE HABITAT FOR FISH AND WILDLIFE Habitat degradation. After Practice Description: The hydrology of the site is enhanced with the installation of ditch plugs, and the excavation of macro topographic features with an average depth of 6' over 30% of the wetland area. Excavated spoil is placed adjacent to the features on the wetland and adjacent non-wetland area with a maximum depth of 24 inches. The levee has been breached at the upstream and downstream ends of the tract reach, restoring dynamic stream flooding. The breach length is 150 feet long at both locations. Both the wetland and non-wetland areas are planted with a Bottomland Hardwood species mix. The levee breaches are armored with rock riprap. Facilitating practices include Grade Stabilization Structure and Tree and Shrub Planting. Restoration of hydrology and plant community functions will improve the WATER QUALITY and DEGRADED PLANT CONDITION concerns listed above. The hydrologic and vegetative practices will address the SOIL QUALITY DEGRADATION and INADEQUATE HABITAT FOR FISH AND WILDLIFE concerns.	Acres of Wetland	Acre	\$674.64	\$1,011.96	\$1,011.96	\$1,214.35

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
659-3	Depression, Sediment Removal and Ditch Plug	Scenario Description: A Depressional HGM class wetland is to be enhanced. The wetland size is 10 acres. The site is a recharge depression, fed only from surface runoff. Resource Concerns are: 4-SOIL QUALITY DEGRADATION - Organic matter depletion, 11-WATER QUALITY DEGRADATION - Excess nutrients in surface and ground waters, 12 - WATER QUALITY DEGRADATION - Pesticides transported to surface and ground waters, 16 - WATER QUALITY DEGRADATION - Excessive sediment in surface waters, 18 - DEGRADED PLANT CONDITION - Undesirable plant productivity and health, 19 - DEGRADED PLANT CONDITION, Inadequate structure and composition, 22- INADEQUATE HABITAT FOR FISH AND WILDLIFE - Habitat degradation. After Practice Description: The ditch has been plugged by the installation of a 50' long section of compacted clay fill, and the deposition has been removed down to the original topsoil layer. A herbaceous plant community has been seeded. Facilitative practices include Conservation Cover. Restoration of hydrology and plant community functions will improve the WATER QUALITY and DEGRADED PLANT CONDITION concerns listed above. The hydrologic and vegetative practices will address the SOIL QUALITY DEGRADATION and INADEQUATE HABITAT FOR FISH AND WILDLIFE concerns.	Acres of Wetland	Acre	\$1,551.75	\$2,327.63	\$2,327.63	\$2,793.16

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
659-4	Riverine, Channel and Floodplain Restoration	Scenario Description: A Riverine HGM landscape on a small stream on a low stream order riparian landscape has been converted to agricultural production. The stream channel has degraded. The reach is 1500 feet in length, and the wetland area is 10 acres. Resource Concerns are: 4-SOIL QUALITY DEGRADATION - Organic matter depletion, 11- WATER QUALITY DEGRADATION - Pasticides transported to surface and ground waters, 12 - WATER QUALITY DEGRADATION - Pesticides transported to surface and ground waters, 16 - WATER QUALITY DEGRADATION - Excessive sediment in surface waters, 18 - DEGRADED PLANT CONDITION - Undesirable plant productivity and health, 19 - DEGRADED PLANT CONDITION, lnadequate structure and composition, 22- INADEQUATE HABITAT FOR FISH AND WILDLIFE - Habitat degradation. After Practice Description: The hydrology of the site is restored by the installation of a series of rock check structures to raise the stream water surface profile. Floodplain macro topographic features replicating the original side channels, oxbows, and back swamps are constructed by excavation. Spoil is placed adjacent to the excavations to replicate natural depositional features. The average depth of the excavated features is 2 feet, and the surface area of the excavations is 25% of the tract size. The eroding stream bank is stabilized with soil bioengineering features, and fish habitat improvement measures are installed in the channel. The tract is seeded to appropriate hydrophytic and upland vegetation, both woody and herbaceous. Facilitating practices are Streambank and Shoreline protection, Structure for Water Control, Conservation Cover, Tree/Shrub Establishment, and Stream Habitat Improvement and Management. Restoration of hydrology and plant community functions will improve the WATER QUALITY and DEGRADED PLANT CONDITION concerns listed above. The hydrologic and vegetative practices will address the SOIL QUALITY DEGRADATION and INADEQUATE HABITAT FOR FISH AND WILDLIFE concerns.	Acres of Wetland	Acre	\$1,125.42	\$1,688.14	\$1,688.14	\$2,025.76

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
659-5	Vernal Pool	Scenario Description: Wetland hardwood forest with sites that have potential to be enhanced with vernal pools. This involves enhancement of hydrology of a vernal pool site that provides season shallow surface water. Resource concerns include INADEQUATE HABITAT FOR FISH AND WILDLIFE - Habitat degradation. After Practice Description: Seasonal inundation of the site has been enhanced on the site without significant disturbance to the native vegetation. Wildlife habitat for species that utilize vernal pools has been developed on the site.	Area of pool	Acre	\$5,299.37	\$7,949.06	\$7,949.06	\$9,538.87

WETLAND RESTORATION Practice Code 657

Non-Livestock Structural Practice

PRS Unit of Measurement: Acre

Definition: The rehabilitation of a degraded wetland or the re-establishment of a wetland so that soils, hydrology, vegetative community, and habitat are a close approximation of the original natural condition that existed prior to modification to the extent practicable.

Purpose: To restore wetland function, value, habitat, diversity, and capacity to a close approximation of the pre-disturbance by restoring the hydric soil, restoring the hydrology (depth, duration, and season of inundation, and/or duration and season of soil saturation) and restoring native vegetation (including the removal of undesired species, and/or seeding or planting of desired species).

Applicability: Only on sites with hydric soils which were natural wetlands that have been previously degraded hydrologically and/or vegetatively, or to sites where hydric soils are covered by fill, sediment, or other deposits. This practice is applicable only where the natural hydrologic conditions, including the hydroperiods, can be approximated by modifying drainage and/or artificial flooding of a duration and frequency similar to natural conditions.

See explanations of specific practice types under Wetland Creation (658).

Limitations: These restored wetlands are not intended to treat point or non-point pollutants.

Maintenance: Practice must be maintained for a lifespan of 15 years.

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
657-1	Mineral Flat, Tile Removal	Scenario Description: A Mineral Flat wetland is to be restored. The wetland size is 40 Acres consists of surface saturated soils interspersed with shallow depressions that are not depressional class HGM wetlands. Resource Concerns are: 4-SOIL QUALITY DEGRADATION - Organic matter depletion, 11- WATER QUALITY DEGRADATION - Excess nutrients in surface and ground waters, 12 - WATER QUALITY DEGRADATION - Pesticides transported to surface and ground waters, 16 - WATER QUALITY DEGRADATION - Excessive sediment in surface waters, 18 - DEGRADED PLANT CONDITION - Undesirable plant productivity and health, 19 - DEGRADED PLANT CONDITION, Inadequate structure and composition, 22- INADEQUATE HABITAT FOR FISH AND WILDLIFE Habitat degradation. After Practice Description: The drain tiles have been rendered non-functional by excavating 50 foot lengths of tile mains and laterals in 6 separate locations, and backfilling with excavated earth, which is compacted with the excavator bucket. There are no facilitating practices. Restoration of hydrology and plant community functions will improve the WATER QUALITY and DEGRADED PLANT CONDITION concerns listed above. The hydrologic and vegetative practices will address the SOIL QUALITY DEGRADATION and INADEQUATE HABITAT FOR FISH AND WILDLIFE concerns.	Acres of wetland	Acre	\$235.66	\$353.49	\$353.49	\$424.19

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
657-2	Riverine Levee Removal, ditch plugs and floodplain features	Scenario Description: A Riverine HGM tract on a large floodplain is to be restored. It has been converted to agricultural production by surface ditching and clearing of woody vegetation. The wetland extent is 60 acres. Resource Concerns are: 4-SOIL QUALITY DEGRADATION - Organic matter depletion, 11- WATER QUALITY DEGRADATION - Excess nutrients in surface and ground waters, 12 - WATER QUALITY DEGRADATION - Pesticides transported to surface and ground waters, 16 - WATER QUALITY DEGRADATION - Excessive sediment in surface waters, 18 - DEGRADED PLANT CONDITION - Undesirable plant productivity and health, 19 - DEGRADED PLANT CONDITION, Inadequate structure and composition, 22- INADEQUATE HABITAT FOR FISH AND WILDLIFE Habitat degradation. After Practice Description: The hydrology of the site is restored with the installation of ditch plugs, and the excavation of macro topographic features with an average depth of 6' over 30% of the wetland area. Excavated spoil is placed adjacent to the features on the wetland and adjacent non-wetland area with a maximum depth of 24 inches. The levee has been breached at the upstream and downstream ends of the tract reach, restoring dynamic stream flooding. The breach length is 150 feet long at both locations. Both the wetland and non-wetland areas are planted with a Bottomland Hardwood species mix. The levee breaches are armored with rock riprap. Facilitating practices include Grade Stabilization Structure and Tree and Shrub Planting. Restoration of hydrology and plant community functions will improve the WATER QUALITY and DEGRADED PLANT CONDITION concerns listed above. The hydrologic and vegetative practices will address the SOIL QUALITY DEGRADATION and INADEQUATE HABITAT FOR FISH AND WILDLIFE concerns.	Acres of wetland	Acre	\$674.64	\$1,011.96	\$1,011.96	\$1,214.35

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
657-3		Scenario Description: A Depressional HGM class wetland is to be restored. The wetland size is 10 acres. The site is a recharge depression, fed only from surface runoff. Resource Concerns are: 4-SOIL QUALITY DEGRADATION - Organic matter depletion, 11-WATER QUALITY DEGRADATION - Excess nutrients in surface and ground waters, 12 - WATER QUALITY DEGRADATION - Pesticides transported to surface and ground waters, 16 - WATER QUALITY DEGRADATION - Excessive sediment in surface waters, 18 - DEGRADED PLANT CONDITION - Undesirable plant productivity and health, 19 - DEGRADED PLANT CONDITION, Inadequate structure and composition, 22- INADEQUATE HABITAT FOR FISH AND WILDLIFE - Habitat degradation. After Practice Description: The ditch has been plugged by the installation of a 50' long section of compacted clay fill, and the deposition has been removed down to the original topsoil layer. A herbaceous plant community has been seeded. Facilitative practices include Conservation Cover. Restoration of hydrology and plant community functions will improve the WATER QUALITY and DEGRADED PLANT CONDITION concerns listed above. The hydrologic and vegetative practices will address the SOIL QUALITY DEGRADATION and INADEQUATE HABITAT FOR FISH AND WILDLIFE concerns.	Acres of Wetland	Acre	\$1,551.75	\$2,327.63	\$2,327.63	\$2,793.16

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
657-4	Riverine Channel and Floodplain Restoration	Scenario Description: A Riverine HGM landscape on a small stream on a low stream order riparian landscape has been partially converted to agricultural production on 10 acres of the 15 acre wetland tract. The stream channel has degraded. The reach is 1500 feet in length. Resource Concerns are: 4-SOIL QUALITY DEGRADATION - Organic matter depletion, 11- WATER QUALITY DEGRADATION - Excess nutrients in surface and ground waters, 12 - WATER QUALITY DEGRADATION - Pesticides transported to surface and ground waters, 16 - WATER QUALITY DEGRADATION - Excessive sediment in surface waters, 18 - DEGRADED PLANT CONDITION - Undesirable plant productivity and health, 19 - DEGRADED PLANT CONDITION, Inadequate structure and composition, 22-INADEQUATE HABITAT FOR FISH AND WILDLIFE - Habitat degradation. After Practice Description: The hydrology of the site is restored by the installation of a series of rock check structures to raise the stream water surface profile. Floodplain macro topographic features replicating the original side channels, oxbows, and back swamps are constructed by excavation. Spoil is placed adjacent to the excavations to replicate natural depositional features. The average depth of the excavated features is 0.5 feet, and the surface area of the excavations is 25% of the tract size. The eroding stream bank is stabilized with soil bio-engineering features, and fish habitat improvement measures are installed in the channel. The tract is seeded to appropriate hydrophytic and upland vegetation, both woody and herbaceous. Facilitating practices are Streambank and Shoreline protection, Structure for Water Control, Conservation Cover, Tree/Shrub Establishment, and Stream Habitat Improvement and Management. Restoration of hydrology and plant community functions will improve the WATER QUALITY and DEGRADED PLANT CONDITION concerns listed above. The hydrologic and vegetative practices will address the SOIL QUALITY DEGRADATION and INADEQUATE HABITAT FOR FISH AND WILDLIFE concerns.	Acres of wetland	Acre	\$750.28	\$1,125.42	\$1,125.42	\$1,350.51

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
657-5	Vernal Pool	Scenario Description: Restoration of vernal pools on suitable sites within areas of hardwood forest. This involves restoration of hydrology to a vernal pool site that provides season shallow surface water. Resource concerns include INADEQUATE HABITAT FOR FISH AND WILDLIFE - Habitat degradation. After Practice Description: Seasonal inundation of the site has been restored to the site without significant disturbance to the native vegetation. Wildlife habitat for species that utilize vernal pools has been restored to the site.	Area of pool	Acre	\$5,299.37	\$7,949.06	\$7,949.06	\$9,538.87
657-6	Riverine, oxbow wetland	Scenario Description: Restoration of a 0.40 acre oxbow wetland to create off-channel habitat for species such as Topeka Shiner. Includes removal of post settlement alluvium down to the original soil surface, slope, and contour. Reconnection of the oxbow to the stream channel using original, natural inflow and outflow channels will include excavation of post settlement alluvium to the original soil surface, slope, and contour. Resource concerns include habitat degradation and excessive sedimentation. After Practice Description: A 0.4 acre off-channel oxbow (35' bottom width, 56' top width, 3.5' depth, and 310 feet long) is excavated to remove the post settlement alluvium down to original soil surface, slope and contour. Oxbow is reconnected to the stream channel by excavating the original inflow and outflow channels to post settlement grade, slope and contour.	area of excavation	Acre	\$13,169.66	\$19,754.50	\$19,754.50	\$23,705.39

WETLAND WILDLIFE HABITAT MANAGEMENT Practice Code 644

Non-Livestock Vegetative Practice

PRS Unit of Measurement: Acre

Definition: Retaining, developing or managing wetland habitat and communities for wetland wildlife.

Purpose: To maintain, develop, or improve wetland habitat for waterfowl, shorebirds, fur-bearers, reptiles and amphibians or other wetland dependent or associated flora and fauna.

Applicability: On or adjacent to wetlands, rivers, lakes and other water bodies where wetland associated wildlife habitat can be managed. This practice applies to natural wetlands and/or water bodies as well as wetlands that may have been previously restored (657), enhanced (659), and created (658).

Limitations:

Maintenance: Practice must be maintained for a lifespan of 1 year.

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
644-2	Wetland Wildlife Habitat Monitoring and Management, Low Intensity and Complexity	Scenario Description: This scenario is applied to wetlands on land use types including those with wildlife as a modifier, where any resource concern is identified for wildlife, and where low intensity and c o m p I e x i t y of monitoring or management will treat the identified resource concern. Only 1-2 monitoring efforts are needed and each requiring less than 2 people and 4 hours per effort. The adaptive management actions such as cutting of limbs that are impeding access of birds into nest boxes, replacing damaged fence markers, cleaning of nest structures and debris around other structures requires only hand labor and less than 8 hours labor per year. After Practice Description: Wildlife habitat is improved by implementation of annual adaptive management actions of low intensity and complexity.	Monitoring efforts and adaptive management actions	Acre	\$2.04	\$3.07	\$3.07	\$3.68
644-3	Habitat Monitoring and Management, Medium Intensity and Complexity	Scenario Description: This scenario is applied to wetland areas located on all land use types including those with wildlife as a modifier, where any resource concern is identified for wildlife, and where medium intensity and complexity of monitoring or management will treat the identified resource concern. Two or three monitoring efforts are needed and each requiring less than 2 people and less than 8 hours per effort. Two or three adaptive management efforts are required (such as cutting of limbs that are impeding access of birds into nest boxes, replacing damaged fence markers, cleaning of nest structures and debris around other structures). The adaptive mgmt. requires hand labor and the occasional use of light equipment. A crew of 2 is needed for the hand labor efforts and the crew will require less than 16 total hours of labor per mgmt. effort. Mowing of roads and trail is required to provide access for monitoring and management. After Practice Description: Wetland wildlife habitat is improved by implementation of annual adaptive management actions of medium intensity and complexity.	Monitoring efforts and adaptive management actions	Acre	\$6.39	\$9.59	\$9.59	\$11.51

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
644-4	Habitat Monitoring and Management, High Intensity and Complexity	Scenario Description: This scenario is applied to all land use types including those with wildlife as a modifier, where any resource concern is identified for wildlife, and where high intensity and complexity of monitoring or management will treat the identified resource concern. Two - four monitoring efforts are needed and each requiring less than 2 people and less than 8 hours per effort. The adaptive management actions (2 - 5 efforts) such as cutting of limbs that are impeding access of birds into nest boxes, replacing damaged fence markers, cleaning of nest structures and debris around other structures requires hand labor and light equipment, requiring a 2-person crew less than 1 day per effort. After Practice Description: Wetland wildlife habitat is improved by implementation of annual adaptive management actions of high intensity and complexity.	Monitoring efforts and adaptive management actions	Acre	\$15.48	\$23.22	\$23.22	\$27.86
644-5	Development of Shallow Micro- Topographic Features with Normal Farming Equipment.	Scenario Description: This typical scenario is installed on nonforested wetlands, including open lands prior to tree planting. The purpose is to increase plant species richness and diversity, create micro-habitats for invertebrates, increase water infiltration and reduce run-off. The area is plowed to loosen the soil. Then the soil is excavated with normal farming equipment (e.g. tractor and box-blade) to a depth of 2-6 inches and immediately deposited. This lowering and raising of a box-blade restores the original micro-topographic features (6' X 6' depressions and mounds) common to most landscapes and landforms prior to clearing, tilling, and annual mowing. Restoration of shallow but frequent micro-topographic features has been lost by the smoothing action of tillage, mowing and the original land-clearing. This scenario it typically implemented for ecosystem restoration projects such as prairie restoration and range-land restoration, and particularly on moderately well-drained soils. After Practice Description: Shallow micro-depressions and mounds are numerous. This varied micro-topographic features provided varied moisture gradients required for high plant species richness and diversity. Wildlife habitat is improved. Water conservation is increased, increasing vegetative production. Water quality is improved as the micro-depressions capture sediments, nutrients and manure. Over time, the micro-depressions become more nutrient rich than the micro-highs, further increasing plant species richness.	hours of tractor use	Acre	\$20.22	\$30.33	\$30.33	\$36.39

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
644-6	Development of Deep Micro- Topographic Features with Heavy Equipment.	Scenario Description: This typical scenario is installed on nonformation of the state of the wetlands (or open land prior to tree planting), where micro-topographic features have been removed by past farming and/or ranching cultural practices. The purpose is to increase plant species richness and diversity, create micro-habitats for invertebrates, increase water infiltration and reduce run-off. The area is plowed 2 weeks prior to excavation to kill existing vegetation and allow for proper dirt work. Then the soil is excavated with track equipment (dozer) to a depth of 6-12 inches and immediately deposited. This lowering and raising of a dozer -blade restores the original deep micro-topographic features (10' X10' depressions and mounds) common to many landscapes and landforms prior to the lands conversion to agricultural lands. This scenario it typically implemented for ecosystem restoration projects such as wetland restoration (herbaceous or prior to planting of woody species), prairie restoration and range-land restoration. It is most commonly applied to well-drained soils as the purpose is for the microdepression to pond water for short duration (less than 7 days). After Practice Description: Deep (6' - 12' depth) micro-depressions and mounds are numerous. These varied micro-topographic features provide varied moisture gradients required for development of high plant species richness and diversity. Wildlife habitat is improved. Water conservation is increased, increasing vegetative production. Water quality is improved as the micro-depressions capture s e d i m e n t s , nutrients and manure. Over time, the micro-depressions become more nutrient rich than the micro-highs, further increasing plant species richness.	Hours	Acre	\$54.58	\$81.88	\$81.88	\$98.25

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
644-7	Topographic Feature Creation, Low	Scenario Description: The setting is all land uses, but typically is on lands used for the production of forest products grazing and/or fish and wildlife where the slope gradient is less than two percent and soils that are not excessively drained. The State-approved habitat evaluation or appraisal found that a limiting factor for wetland wildlife is the absence of sufficient variability in micro topographic relief in the area. The construction of topographic features will provide for diverse soil hydrologic conditions needed to treat the degraded plant condition and/or inadequate habitat for wetland wildlife. Excavated spoil is s p r e a d adjacent to excavation or moved to designated locations but not compacted. This scenario is for earthwork, not associated with habitat structures or any other national standard (e.g. Wetland Restoration (657), Wetland Enhancement (659), Wetland Creation (658), and Dike (356)). Facilitating practices may include Structure for Water Control (587). After Practice Description: As a result of the installation, the topographic relief needed to provide the varied wetland wildlife habitat needs is provided.	Acres of constructed features	Acre	\$447.13	\$670.69	\$670.69	\$804.83
644-8	Topographic Feature Creation, High	Scenario Description: The setting is all land uses, but typically is on lands used for the production of forest products grazing and/or fish and wildlife where the slope gradient is less than two percent and soils that are not excessively drained. The State-approved habitat evaluation or appraisal found that a limiting factor for wetland wildlife is the absence of sufficient variability in micro topographic relief in the area. The construction of topographic features will provide for diverse soil hydrologic conditions needed to treat the degraded plant condition and/or inadequate habitat for wetland wildlife. Excavated spoil is needed to further enhance macro topographic relief by placing and compacting the fill in strategic areas. This scenario is for earthwork, not associated with habitat structures or any other national standard (e.g. Wetland Restoration (657), Wetland Enhancement (659), W e t I a n d Creation (658), and Dike (356)). Facilitating practices may include Structure for Water Control (587). After Practice Description: As a result of the installation, the topographic relief needed to provide the varied wetland wildlife habitat needs is provided.	Acres of constructed features	Acre	\$885.29	\$1,327.93	\$1,327.93	\$1,593.52

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
644-9	Management and monitoring only, foregone income	Scenario Description: Site management will include managing/monitoring the site to provide food and cover for wetland wildlife species on cropland. Annual vegetation (crops or other annual vegetation) will be allowed to establish and persist during critical nesting and brood rearing seasons and will remain standing (not harvested) until migratory species have left the site. The setting is on lands used for the production of crops where the slope gradient is less than two percent and soils that are not excessively drained. The State-approved habitat evaluation or appraisal found that a limiting factor for wetland wildlife is the absence of sufficient cover and food in the area. The manipulation of existing cover will be accomplished thru mechanical methods to provide a diverse vegetation mosaic with in and adjacent to the existing wetland addressing inadequate habitat for wetland wildlife. Where this occurs on cropped fields, annual crops will be lost for one growing season (foregone income is included). After Practice Description: Agricultural crop or annual vegetation has been allowed to persist providing needed food and cover essential for identified species. Crops and annual vegetation will not be harvested during the critical seasons as identified by the habitat evaluation. As a result of the installation, adequate habitat needs have been provided.	Acres of Wetland Wildlife Cover and Food	Acre	\$233.18	\$349.77	\$349.77	\$419.72

WINDBREAK/SHELTERBELT ESTABLISHMENT Practice Code 380

Non-Livestock Vegetative Practice

PRS Unit of Measurement: Feet

Definition: Linear plantings of multiple rows of trees or shrubs established for environmental purposes. The planting of trees and shrubs around farmsteads, building sites, around field edges and along roads.

Purpose: To reduce soil losses from wind erosion, protect growing plants, manage snow deposition, provide shelter for structures, wildlife, livestock and people, enhance wildlife habitat by providing travel corridors linking existing habitat, provide noise or visual screens, improve air quality by intercepting air borne particulate matter, chemicals and odors.

Applicability: On any area where woody plants are desired and can be grown and where wind, noise, air quality, or visual problems are a concern.

Limitations:

Maintenance: Practice must be maintained for a lifespan of 15 years.

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
380-1	3 row windbreak, containerized planting stock	Scenario Description: Three or more rows of containerized trees, shrubs or a combination of trees and shrubs are planted for wind protection, odor management, energy conservation, wildlife habitat, air quality, snow management or to provide a visual screen. This practice is typically applied on cropland at field edges, around homesteads or around confinement facilities. Payment includes materials, labor and equipment needed to hand plant the stock and foregone income for land removed from crop production where windbreak is installed. Site preparation is not included and must be implemented through associated practice 490 Tree/Shrub Site Preparation. Additional associated practices may include: 315 Herbaceous Weed Control, 660 Tree/Shrub Pruning, 484 Mulching After Practice Description: A windbreak of containerized trees and shrubs is installed by hand planting trees 20 ft. apart and shrubs 6 ft. apart with 16' between rows. Wind velocity suitably reduced to reduce soil erosion, energy loss or to manage snow deposition. Additional wildlife food and cover, mixing of odor plumes and visual screening.	length of windbreak row(s)	Foot	\$2.10	\$3.15	\$3.15	\$3.78
380-3	3 row windbreak, bare- root seedling planting stock	Scenario Description: Three or more rows of bare-root trees, shrubs or a combination of trees and shrubs are planted for wind protection, odor management, energy conservation, wildlife habitat, air quality, snow management or to provide a visual screen. This practice is typically applied on cropland at field edges, around homesteads or around confinement facilities. Payment includes materials, labor and equipment needed to machine the stock and foregone income for land removed from crop production where windbreak is installed. Site preparation is not included and must be implemented through associated practice 490 Tree/Shrub Site Preparation. Additional associated practices may include: 315 Herbaceous Weed Control, 660 Tree/Shrub Pruning, 484 Mulching After Practice Description: A windbreak of bare-root trees and shrubs is installed by machine planting trees 10 ft. apart and shrubs 5 ft. apart with 16' between rows. Wind velocity suitably reduced to reduce soil erosion, energy loss or to manage snow deposition. Additional wildlife food and cover, mixing of odor plumes and visual screening.	length of windbreak row(s)	Foot	\$0.62	\$0.93	\$0.93	\$1.12

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
380-5	1 row windbreak, containerized tree planting stock	Scenario Description: One row of containerized hardwood and/or conifer trees planted for wind protection, odor management, energy conservation, wildlife habitat, air quality, snow management or to provide a visual screen. This practice is typically applied on cropland at field edges, around homesteads or around confinement facilities. Payment includes materials, labor and equipment needed to hand plant the stock and foregone income for land removed from crop production where windbreak is installed. Site preparation is not included and must be implemented through associated practice 490 Tree/Shrub Site Preparation. Additional associated practices may include: 315 Herbaceous Weed Control, 660 Tree/Shrub Pruning, 484 Mulching After Practice Description: A windbreak of containerized trees is installed by hand planting trees 20 ft. apart. Wind velocity suitably reduced to reduce soil erosion, energy loss or to manage snow deposition. Additional wildlife food and cover, mixing of odor plumes and visual screening.	length of windbreak row(s)	Foot	\$0.42	\$0.63	\$0.63	\$0.75
380-7	1 row windbreak, containerized shrub planting stock	Scenario Description: One row of containerized shrubs planted for wind protection, odor management, energy conservation, wildlife habitat, air quality, snow management or to provide a visual screen. This practice is typically applied on cropland at field edges, around homesteads or around confinement facilities. Payment includes materials, labor and equipment needed to hand plant the stock and foregone income for land removed from crop production where windbreak is installed. Site preparation is not included and must be implemented through associated practice 490 Tree/Shrub Site Preparation. Additional associated practices may include: 315 Herbaceous Weed Control, 660 Tree/Shrub Pruning, 484 Mulching After Practice Description: A windbreak of containerized shrubs is installed by hand planting shrubs 6 ft. apart. Wind velocity suitably reduced to reduce soil erosion, energy loss or to manage snow deposition. Additional wildlife food and cover, mixing of odor plumes and visual screening.	length of windbreak row(s)	Foot	\$1.30	\$1.95	\$1.95	\$2.34

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
380-9	1 row windbreak, bare-	Scenario Description: One row of bare-root trees planted for wind protection, odor management, energy conservation, wildlife habitat, air quality, snow management or to provide a visual screen. This practice is typically applied on cropland at field edges, around homesteads or around confinement facilities. Payment includes materials, labor and equipment needed to machine the stock and foregone income for land removed from crop production where windbreak is installed. Site preparation is not included and must be implemented through associated practice 490 Tree/Shrub Site Preparation. Additional associated practices may include: 315 Herbaceous Weed Control, 660 Tree/Shrub Pruning, 484 Mulching After Practice Description: A windbreak of bare-root trees is installed by machine planting trees 10 f t . apart. Wind velocity suitably reduced to reduce soil erosion, energy loss or to manage snow deposition. Additional wildlife food and cover, mixing of odor plumes and visual screening.	length of windbreak row(s)	Foot	\$0.20	\$0.29	\$0.29	\$0.35
380-11	1 row windbreak, bare- root shrub seedling planting stock	Scenario Description: One row of bare-root shrubs planted for wind protection, odor management, energy conservation, wildlife habitat, air quality, snow management or to provide a visual screen. This practice is typically applied on cropland at field edges, around homesteads or around confinement facilities. Payment includes materials, labor and equipment needed to machine the stock and foregone income for land removed from crop production where windbreak is installed. Site preparation is not included and must be implemented through associated practice 490 Tree/Shrub Site Preparation. Additional associated practices may include: 315 Herbaceous Weed Control, 660 Tree/Shrub Pruning, 484 Mulching After Practice Description: A windbreak of bare-root shrubs is installed by machine planting shrubs 5 ft. apart. Wind velocity suitably reduced to reduce soil erosion, energy loss or to manage snow deposition. Additional wildlife food and cover, mixing of odor plumes and visual screening.	length of windbreak row(s)	Foot	\$0.27	\$0.41	\$0.41	\$0.49

WINDBREAK/SHELTERBELT RENOVATION Practice Code 650

Non-Livestock Vegetative Practice

PRS Unit of Measurement: Feet

Definition: Replacing, releasing, and/or removing selected trees and shrubs or rows within an existing windbreak or shelterbelt, adding rows to the windbreak or shelterbelt, or removing selected tree or shrub branches.

Purpose: Restoring or enhancing the original planned function of existing windbreaks or shelterbelts, and thinning where necessary for the health of established windbreaks.

Applicability: In any windbreak or shelterbelt that is no longer functioning properly for the intended purpose or that has been damaged by ice, wind, insects or disease.

Limitations:

Maintenance: Practice must be maintained for a lifespan of 15 years.

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
650-1	Removal and/or Thinning with Chain Saw	Scenario Description: Windbreak renovation requires the removal of degraded, overcrowded, or inappropriate trees or shrubs within a windbreak. This may include removal of entire rows, including stumps or roots, and/or selected trees/shrubs in order to prepare for the necessary planting of a replacement row or parts of the row within the windbreak, improve the health of the remaining rows, and/or allow for supplemental planting to expand the windbreak. Resource concerns: Degrade plant condition- undesirable plant productivity and health; Livestock Production-Inadequate livestock shelter, Soil erosion-wind. After Practice Description: Integrity and function of windbreak restored. 1,000 feet of windbreak/shelterbelt renovated.	Length of Renovation	Foot	\$0.32	\$0.48	\$0.48	\$0.57
650-2	Within Row Replacement, Containerized Planting Stock	Scenario Description: Parts of the windbreak being renovated have died. Supplemental plantings of containerized trees/shrubs within existing rows or establishment of an additional row will improve the effectiveness and longevity of the windbreak. Payment includes materials, labor and equipment needed to hand plant the stock. Resource concerns include Soil erosion - Wind erosion, Degraded plant condition -Inadequate structure and composition, and Livestock production limitation - Inadequate livestock shelter. After Practice Description: The integrity and function of the windbreak is restored by hand planting containerized trees/shrubs in gaps created through previous plant mortality.	Length of Renovation	Foot	\$0.83	\$1.24	\$1.24	\$1.49

ID	Scenario Name	Scenario & After Practice Description	Scenario Feature Measure	Scenario Unit	EQIP	EQIP-HU	EQIP- Initiative	EQIP- Initiative-HU
650-4	Replacement	Scenario Description: Parts of the windbreak being renovated have died. Supplemental plantings of bare-root trees/shrubs within existing rows or establishment of an additional row will improve the effectiveness and longevity of the windbreak. Payment includes materials, labor and equipment needed to hand plant the stock. Resource concerns include Soil erosion - Wind erosion, Degraded plant condition -Inadequate structure and composition, and Livestock production limitation - Inadequate livestock shelter. After Practice Description: The integrity and function of the windbreak is restored by hand planting bare-root trees/shrubs in gaps created through previous plant mortality.	Length of Renovation	Foot	\$0.20	\$0.30	\$0.30	\$0.36